SCORING RUBRICS FOR PROFESSIONAL PRESENTATIONS

Strategy/Purpose: Does the presentation meet its intended objective?

Well done Objective of the presentation is easily identified; content supports objective

Acceptable Objective is not immediately clear; some additional content needed to support objective Some Weaknesses Objective is difficult to determine; additional content needed to support objective

Problematic Objective cannot be determined

Strategy/Audience: Does the presentation address the intended audience?

Well done Content, structure, and language of presentation geared to intended audience

Acceptable Presentation is missing some content required by audience; some language used inappropriately (e.g., unfamiliar

jargon, too much jargon)

Some Weaknesses Presentation is missing a substantial portion of content required by audience; uses some inappropriate or

ineffective language

Problematic No organization apparent; content of presentation reflects interests of speaker but not of audience; inappropriate

use of language

Structure: Does the organization reflect the purpose of the presentation and the needs of the audience?

Well done Appropriate use of direct/indirect structure; presentation organized according to audience's needs; relationship

between ideas clear; strong introduction and conclusion

Acceptable Structure either too direct or too indirect; organization is evident but may be undermined by weak transitions

or occasional digressions; introduction or conclusion does not accomplish its intended function

Some Weaknesses Direct or indirect structure used inappropriately; organization is confusing or unclear; weak introduction or

conclusion

Problematic No discernible organization; thoughts in random order without connections between them

Support/Evidence: Is the evidence used to support the argument concrete, relevant, credible, accurate, and sufficient?

Well done Argument is clearly supported by accurate evidence considered credible by the audience; sufficient detail to

support the main points of the document

Acceptable Many details support argument, but some are not fully elaborated or sufficiently specific; some evidence not

relevant

Some Weaknesses Some evidence is provided, but data not fully explained, relevant to the argument, or credible; important

pieces of evidence have not been included; some data inaccurate

Problematic Little or no data to support the main ideas of the argument; much of the data is inaccurate

Delivery/Oral: How strong are the oral components of the presentation?

Well done Speaker is fluent and poised; uses language comfortably and appropriately; speaks at an effective rate and

volume; few fillers

Acceptable Some degree of nervousness apparent; minor problems with language usage; speaker may speak too slowly

or quickly, too loudly or softly; fillers are noticeable

Some Weaknesses Speaker seems uncomfortable; several problems with language usage; speaker speaking much too slowly or

quickly, too loudly or softly; fillers are noticeable

Problematic Speaker is unable to deliver presentation coherently

Delivery/Nonverbal: How strong are the nonverbal components of the presentation?

Well done Speaker uses gestures comfortably in line with his/her own style; eye contact is appropriate for audience; use of

space appropriate for the situation

Acceptable Speaker gesturing too much or too little; eye contact may be slightly too much or too little; speaker may be

moving around a little too much or not quite enough

Some Weaknesses Speaker gesturing too much or too little; using distracting gestures (e.g., playing with a ring); not enough eye

contact; inappropriate use of space

Problematic Nonverbal components of the presentation distract from ability of the audience to receive the message

Visual Aids: Do the visual aids reinforce the message and add to the effectiveness of the presentation?

Well done Appropriate visual aids are used; visual aids serve as a complement to the speaker and the message to

be delivered; designed effectively; speaker uses visual aid easily

Acceptable Appropriate visual aids are used; a few weaknesses in design; a few difficulties with use

Some Weaknesses Choice of visual aid is poor; weaknesses with design; difficulties with use

Problematic Inappropriate choice of visual aid; design detracts from speaker's ability to deliver the message; inability

of speaker to use visual aid

Questions and Answers: Has the speaker handled the Q&A portion of the presentation competently?

Well done Speaker answers questions knowledgeably, thoroughly, and concisely; process is handled smoothly

Acceptable Speaker has some difficulty answering questions concisely; some problems responding to some questions

(e.g., hostile questions, aggressive questions)

Some Weaknesses Speaker is thrown off balance by questions; has difficulty responding to some questioners

Problematic Speaker is unable to answer questions; loses control of the process

MIT OpenCourseWare http://ocw.mit.edu

15.279 Management Communication for Undergraduates Fall 2012

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: http://ocw.mit.edu/terms.