


# Concepts of Biology



# 11 | EVOLUTION AND ITS PROCESSES



**Figure 11.1** The diversity of life on Earth is the result of evolution, a continuous process that is still occurring. (credit “wolf”: modification of work by Gary Kramer, USFWS; credit “coral”: modification of work by William Harrigan, NOAA; credit “river”: modification of work by Vojtěch Dostál; credit “protozoa”: modification of work by Sharon Franklin, Stephen Ausmus, USDA ARS; credit “fish” modification of work by Christian Mehlführer; credit “mushroom”, “bee”: modification of work by Cory Zanker; credit “tree”: modification of work by Joseph Kranak)

## Chapter Outline

- 11.1: Discovering How Populations Change**
- 11.2: Mechanisms of Evolution**
- 11.3: Evidence of Evolution**
- 11.4: Speciation**
- 11.5: Common Misconceptions about Evolution**

## Introduction

All species of living organisms—from the bacteria on our skin, to the trees in our yards, to the birds outside—evolved at some point from a different species. Although it may seem that living things today stay much the same from generation to generation, that is not the case: evolution is ongoing. Evolution is the process through which the characteristics of species change and through which new species arise.

The theory of evolution is the unifying theory of biology, meaning it is the framework within which biologists ask questions about the living world. Its power is that it provides direction for predictions about living things that are borne out in experiment after experiment. The Ukrainian-born American geneticist Theodosius Dobzhansky famously wrote that “nothing makes sense in biology except in the light of evolution.”<sup>[1]</sup> He meant that the principle that all life has evolved

1. Theodosius Dobzhansky. “Biology, Molecular and Organismic.” *American Zoologist* 4, no. 4 (1964): 449.

and diversified from a common ancestor is the foundation from which we understand all other questions in biology. This chapter will explain some of the mechanisms for evolutionary change and the kinds of questions that biologists can and have answered using evolutionary theory.

## 11.1 | Discovering How Populations Change

By the end of this section, you will be able to:

- Explain how Darwin's theory of evolution differed from the current view at the time
- Describe how the present-day theory of evolution was developed
- Describe how population genetics is used to study the evolution of populations

The theory of evolution by natural selection describes a mechanism for species change over time. That species change had been suggested and debated well before Darwin. The view that species were static and unchanging was grounded in the writings of Plato, yet there were also ancient Greeks that expressed evolutionary ideas.


In the eighteenth century, ideas about the evolution of animals were reintroduced by the naturalist Georges-Louis Leclerc, Comte de Buffon and even by Charles Darwin's grandfather, Erasmus Darwin. During this time, it was also accepted that there were extinct species. At the same time, James Hutton, the Scottish naturalist, proposed that geological change occurred gradually by the accumulation of small changes from processes (over long periods of time) just like those happening today. This contrasted with the predominant view that the geology of the planet was a consequence of catastrophic events occurring during a relatively brief past. Hutton's view was later popularized by the geologist Charles Lyell in the nineteenth century. Lyell became a friend to Darwin and his ideas were very influential on Darwin's thinking. Lyell argued that the greater age of Earth gave more time for gradual change in species, and the process provided an analogy for gradual change in species.

In the early nineteenth century, Jean-Baptiste Lamarck published a book that detailed a mechanism for evolutionary change that is now referred to as **inheritance of acquired characteristics**. In Lamarck's theory, modifications in an individual caused by its environment, or the use or disuse of a structure during its lifetime, could be inherited by its offspring and, thus, bring about change in a species. While this mechanism for evolutionary change as described by Lamarck was discredited, Lamarck's ideas were an important influence on evolutionary thought. The inscription on the statue of Lamarck that stands at the gates of the Jardin des Plantes in Paris describes him as the "founder of the doctrine of evolution."

### Charles Darwin and Natural Selection

The actual mechanism for evolution was independently conceived of and described by two naturalists, Charles Darwin and Alfred Russell Wallace, in the mid-nineteenth century. Importantly, each spent time exploring the natural world on expeditions to the tropics. From 1831 to 1836, Darwin traveled around the world on *H.M.S. Beagle*, visiting South America, Australia, and the southern tip of Africa. Wallace traveled to Brazil to collect insects in the Amazon rainforest from 1848 to 1852 and to the Malay Archipelago from 1854 to 1862. Darwin's journey, like Wallace's later journeys in the Malay Archipelago, included stops at several island chains, the last being the Galápagos Islands (west of Ecuador). On these islands, Darwin observed species of organisms on different islands that were clearly similar, yet had distinct differences. For example, the ground finches inhabiting the Galápagos Islands comprised several species that each had a unique beak shape (Figure 11.2). He observed both that these finches closely resembled another finch species on the mainland of South America and that the group of species in the Galápagos formed a graded series of beak sizes and shapes, with very small differences between the most similar. Darwin imagined that the island species might be all species modified from one original mainland species. In 1860, he wrote, "Seeing this gradation and diversity of structure in one small, intimately related group of birds, one might really fancy that from an original paucity of birds in this archipelago, one species had been taken and modified for different ends."<sup>[2]</sup>

2. Charles Darwin, *Journal of Researches into the Natural History and Geology of the Countries Visited during the Voyage of H.M.S. Beagle Round the World, under the Command of Capt. Fitz Roy, R.N*, 2nd. ed. (London: John Murray, 1860), <http://www.archive.org/details/journalofreseaa00darw>.



**Figure 11.2** Darwin observed that beak shape varies among finch species. He postulated that the beak of an ancestral species had adapted over time to equip the finches to acquire different food sources. This illustration shows the beak shapes for four species of ground finch: 1. *Geospiza magnirostris* (the large ground finch), 2. *G. fortis* (the medium ground finch), 3. *G. parvula* (the small tree finch), and 4. *Certhidea olivacea* (the green-warbler finch).


Wallace and Darwin both observed similar patterns in other organisms and independently conceived a mechanism to explain how and why such changes could take place. Darwin called this mechanism natural selection. **Natural selection**, Darwin argued, was an inevitable outcome of three principles that operated in nature. First, the characteristics of organisms are inherited, or passed from parent to offspring. Second, more offspring are produced than are able to survive; in other words, resources for survival and reproduction are limited. The capacity for reproduction in all organisms outstrips the availability of resources to support their numbers. Thus, there is a competition for those resources in each generation. Both Darwin and Wallace's understanding of this principle came from reading an essay by the economist Thomas Malthus, who discussed this principle in relation to human populations. Third, offspring vary among each other in regard to their characteristics and those variations are inherited. Out of these three principles, Darwin and Wallace reasoned that offspring with inherited characteristics that allow them to best compete for limited resources will survive and have more offspring than those individuals with variations that are less able to compete. Because characteristics are inherited, these traits will be better represented in the next generation. This will lead to change in populations over generations in a process that Darwin called "descent with modification."

Papers by Darwin and Wallace (**Figure 11.3**) presenting the idea of natural selection were read together in 1858 before the Linnaean Society in London. The following year Darwin's book, *On the Origin of Species*, was published, which outlined in considerable detail his arguments for evolution by natural selection.



**Figure 11.3** (a) Charles Darwin and (b) Alfred Wallace wrote scientific papers on natural selection that were presented together before the Linnean Society in 1858.

Demonstrations of evolution by natural selection can be time consuming. One of the best demonstrations has been in the very birds that helped to inspire the theory, the Galápagos finches. Peter and Rosemary Grant and their colleagues have studied Galápagos finch populations every year since 1976 and have provided important demonstrations of the operation of natural selection. The Grants found changes from one generation to the next in the beak shapes of the medium ground finches on the Galápagos island of Daphne Major. The medium ground finch feeds on seeds. The birds have inherited variation in the bill shape with some individuals having wide, deep bills and others having thinner bills. Large-billed birds feed more efficiently on large, hard seeds, whereas smaller billed birds feed more efficiently on small, soft seeds. During 1977, a drought period altered vegetation on the island. After this period, the number of seeds declined dramatically: the decline in small, soft seeds was greater than the decline in large, hard seeds. The large-billed birds were able to survive better than the small-billed birds the following year. The year following the drought when the Grants measured beak sizes in the much-reduced population, they found that the average bill size was larger (**Figure 11.4**). This was clear evidence for natural selection (differences in survival) of bill size caused by the availability of seeds. The Grants had studied the inheritance of bill sizes and knew that the surviving large-billed birds would tend to produce offspring with larger bills, so the selection would lead to evolution of bill size. Subsequent studies by the Grants have demonstrated selection on and evolution of bill size in this species in response to changing conditions on the island. The evolution has occurred both to larger bills, as in this case, and to smaller bills when large seeds became rare.



**Figure 11.4** A drought on the Galápagos island of Daphne Major in 1977 reduced the number of small seeds available to finches, causing many of the small-beaked finches to die. This caused an increase in the finches' average beak size between 1976 and 1978.

### Variation and Adaptation

Natural selection can only take place if there is **variation**, or differences, among individuals in a population. Importantly, these differences must have some genetic basis; otherwise, selection will not lead to change in the next generation. This is critical because variation among individuals can be caused by non-genetic reasons, such as an individual being taller because of better nutrition rather than different genes.

Genetic diversity in a population comes from two main sources: mutation and sexual reproduction. Mutation, a change in DNA, is the ultimate source of new alleles or new genetic variation in any population. An individual that has a mutated gene might have a different trait than other individuals in the population. However, this is not always the case. A mutation can have one of three outcomes on the organisms' appearance (or phenotype):

- A mutation may affect the phenotype of the organism in a way that gives it reduced fitness—lower likelihood of survival, resulting in fewer offspring.
- A mutation may produce a phenotype with a beneficial effect on fitness.
- Many mutations, called neutral mutations, will have no effect on fitness.


Mutations may also have a whole range of effect sizes on the fitness of the organism that expresses them in their phenotype, from a small effect to a great effect. Sexual reproduction and crossing over in meiosis also lead to genetic diversity: when two parents reproduce, unique combinations of alleles assemble to produce unique genotypes and, thus, phenotypes in each of the offspring.

A heritable trait that aids the survival and reproduction of an organism in its present environment is called an **adaptation**. An adaptation is a “match” of the organism to the environment. Adaptation to an environment comes about when a change in the range of genetic variation occurs over time that increases or maintains the match of the population with its environment. The variations in finch beaks shifted from generation to generation providing adaptation to food availability.

Whether or not a trait is favorable depends on the environment at the time. The same traits do not always have the same relative benefit or disadvantage because environmental conditions can change. For example, finches with large bills were benefited in one climate, while small bills were a disadvantage; in a different climate, the relationship reversed.

### **Patterns of Evolution**

The evolution of species has resulted in enormous variation in form and function. When two species evolve in different directions from a common point, it is called **divergent evolution**. Such divergent evolution can be seen in the forms of the reproductive organs of flowering plants, which share the same basic anatomies; however, they can look very different as a result of selection in different physical environments, and adaptation to different kinds of pollinators (**Figure 11.5**).



**Figure 11.5** Flowering plants evolved from a common ancestor. Notice that the (a) dense blazing star and (b) purple coneflower vary in appearance, yet both share a similar basic morphology. (credit a, b: modification of work by Cory Zanker)

In other cases, similar phenotypes evolve independently in distantly related species. For example, flight has evolved in both bats and insects, and they both have structures we refer to as wings, which are adaptations to flight. The wings of bats and insects, however, evolved from very different original structures. When similar structures arise through evolution independently in different species it is called **convergent evolution**. The wings of bats and insects are called **analogous structures**; they are similar in function and appearance, but do not share an origin in a common ancestor. Instead they evolved independently in the two lineages. The wings of a hummingbird and an ostrich are **homologous structures**, meaning they share similarities (despite their differences resulting from evolutionary divergence). The wings of hummingbirds and ostriches did not evolve independently in the hummingbird lineage and the ostrich lineage—they descended from a common ancestor with wings.

## **The Modern Synthesis**

The mechanisms of inheritance, genetics, were not understood at the time Darwin and Wallace were developing their idea of natural selection. This lack of understanding was a stumbling block to comprehending many aspects of evolution. In fact, blending inheritance was the predominant (and incorrect) genetic theory of the time, which made it difficult to understand how natural selection might operate. Darwin and Wallace were unaware of the genetics work by Austrian monk Gregor Mendel, which was published in 1866, not long after publication of *On the Origin of Species*. Mendel's work was rediscovered in the early twentieth century at which time geneticists were rapidly coming to an understanding of the basics of inheritance. Initially, the newly discovered particulate nature of genes made it difficult for biologists to understand how gradual evolution could occur. But over the next few decades genetics and evolution were integrated in what became known


as the **modern synthesis**—the coherent understanding of the relationship between natural selection and genetics that took shape by the 1940s and is generally accepted today. In sum, the modern synthesis describes how evolutionary pressures, such as natural selection, can affect a population's genetic makeup, and, in turn, how this can result in the gradual evolution of populations and species. The theory also connects this gradual change of a population over time, called **microevolution**, with the processes that gave rise to new species and higher taxonomic groups with widely divergent characters, called **macroevolution**.

## Population Genetics

Recall that a gene for a particular character may have several variants, or alleles, that code for different traits associated with that character. For example, in the ABO blood type system in humans, three alleles determine the particular blood-type protein on the surface of red blood cells. Each individual in a population of diploid organisms can only carry two alleles for a particular gene, but more than two may be present in the individuals that make up the population. Mendel followed alleles as they were inherited from parent to offspring. In the early twentieth century, biologists began to study what happens to all the alleles in a population in a field of study known as **population genetics**.

Until now, we have defined evolution as a change in the characteristics of a population of organisms, but behind that phenotypic change is genetic change. In population genetic terms, evolution is defined as a change in the frequency of an allele in a population. Using the ABO system as an example, the frequency of one of the alleles,  $I^A$ , is the number of copies of that allele divided by all the copies of the ABO gene in the population. For example, a study in Jordan found a frequency of  $I^A$  to be 26.1 percent.<sup>[3]</sup> The  $I^B$ ,  $I^O$  alleles made up 13.4 percent and 60.5 percent of the alleles respectively, and all of the frequencies add up to 100 percent. A change in this frequency over time would constitute evolution in the population.

There are several ways the allele frequencies of a population can change. One of those ways is natural selection. If a given allele confers a phenotype that allows an individual to have more offspring that survive and reproduce, that allele, by virtue of being inherited by those offspring, will be in greater frequency in the next generation. Since allele frequencies always add up to 100 percent, an increase in the frequency of one allele always means a corresponding decrease in one or more of the other alleles. Highly beneficial alleles may, over a very few generations, become “fixed” in this way, meaning that every individual of the population will carry the allele. Similarly, detrimental alleles may be swiftly eliminated from the **gene pool**, the sum of all the alleles in a population. Part of the study of population genetics is tracking how selective forces change the allele frequencies in a population over time, which can give scientists clues regarding the selective forces that may be operating on a given population. The studies of changes in wing coloration in the peppered moth from mottled white to dark in response to soot-covered tree trunks and then back to mottled white when factories stopped producing so much soot is a classic example of studying evolution in natural populations (**Figure 11.6**).



Light-colored peppered moths are better camouflaged against a pristine environment; likewise, dark-colored peppered moths are better camouflaged against a sooty environment. Thus, as the Industrial Revolution progressed in nineteenth-century England, the color of the moth population shifted from light to dark.

**Figure 11.6** As the Industrial Revolution caused trees to darken from soot, darker colored peppered moths were better camouflaged than the lighter colored ones, which caused there to be more of the darker colored moths in the population.

In the early twentieth century, English mathematician Godfrey Hardy and German physician Wilhelm Weinberg independently provided an explanation for a somewhat counterintuitive concept. Hardy's original explanation was in response to a misunderstanding as to why a “dominant” allele, one that masks a recessive allele, should not increase in frequency in a population until it eliminated all the other alleles. The question resulted from a common confusion about what “dominant” means, but it forced Hardy, who was not even a biologist, to point out that if there are no factors that affect an allele frequency those frequencies will remain constant from one generation to the next. This principle is now known as the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium. The theory states that a population's allele and genotype frequencies are inherently stable—unless some kind of evolutionary force is acting on the population, the population would carry the same alleles in the same proportions generation after generation. Individuals would, as a whole, look essentially the same and this would

3. Sahar S. Hanania, Dnia S. Hassawi, and Nidal M. Irshaid, “Allele Frequency and Molecular Genotypes of ABO Blood Group System in a Jordanian Population,” *Journal of Medical Sciences* 7 (2007): 51-58, doi:10.3923/jms.2007.51.58

be unrelated to whether the alleles were dominant or recessive. The four most important evolutionary forces, which will disrupt the equilibrium, are natural selection, mutation, **genetic drift**, and **migration** into or out of a population. A fifth factor, nonrandom mating, will also disrupt the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium but only by shifting genotype frequencies, not allele frequencies. In nonrandom mating, individuals are more likely to mate with like individuals (or unlike individuals) rather than at random. Since nonrandom mating does not change allele frequencies, it does not cause evolution directly. Natural selection has been described. Mutation creates one allele out of another one and changes an allele's frequency by a small, but continuous amount each generation. Each allele is generated by a low, constant mutation rate that will slowly increase the allele's frequency in a population if no other forces act on the allele. If natural selection acts against the allele, it will be removed from the population at a low rate leading to a frequency that results from a balance between selection and mutation. This is one reason that genetic diseases remain in the human population at very low frequencies. If the allele is favored by selection, it will increase in frequency. Genetic drift causes random changes in allele frequencies when populations are small. Genetic drift can often be important in evolution, as discussed in the next section. Finally, if two populations of a species have different allele frequencies, migration of individuals between them will cause frequency changes in both populations. As it happens, there is no population in which one or more of these processes are not operating, so populations are always evolving, and the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium will never be exactly observed. However, the Hardy-Weinberg principle gives scientists a baseline expectation for allele frequencies in a non-evolving population to which they can compare evolving populations and thereby infer what evolutionary forces might be at play. The population is evolving if the frequencies of alleles or genotypes deviate from the value expected from the Hardy-Weinberg principle.

Darwin identified a special case of natural selection that he called sexual selection. Sexual selection affects an individual's ability to mate and thus produce offspring, and it leads to the evolution of dramatic traits that often appear maladaptive in terms of survival but persist because they give their owners greater reproductive success. Sexual selection occurs in two ways: through male–male competition for mates and through female selection of mates. Male–male competition takes the form of conflicts between males, which are often ritualized, but may also pose significant threats to a male's survival. Sometimes the competition is for territory, with females more likely to mate with males with higher quality territories. Female choice occurs when females choose a male based on a particular trait, such as feather colors, the performance of a mating dance, or the building of an elaborate structure. In some cases male–male competition and female choice combine in the mating process. In each of these cases, the traits selected for, such as fighting ability or feather color and length, become enhanced in the males. In general, it is thought that sexual selection can proceed to a point at which natural selection against a character's further enhancement prevents its further evolution because it negatively impacts the male's ability to survive. For example, colorful feathers or an elaborate display make the male more obvious to predators.

## 11.2 | Mechanisms of Evolution

By the end of this section, you will be able to:

- Describe the four basic causes of evolution: natural selection, mutation, genetic drift, and gene flow
- Explain how each evolutionary force can influence the allele frequencies of a population

The Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium principle says that allele frequencies in a population will remain constant in the absence of the four factors that could change them. Those factors are natural selection, mutation, genetic drift, and migration (gene flow). In fact, we know they are probably always affecting populations.

### Natural Selection

Natural selection has already been discussed. Alleles are expressed in a phenotype. Depending on the environmental conditions, the phenotype confers an advantage or disadvantage to the individual with the phenotype relative to the other phenotypes in the population. If it is an advantage, then that individual will likely have more offspring than individuals with the other phenotypes, and this will mean that the allele behind the phenotype will have greater representation in the next generation. If conditions remain the same, those offspring, which are carrying the same allele, will also benefit. Over time, the allele will increase in frequency in the population.

### Mutation


Mutation is a source of new alleles in a population. Mutation is a change in the DNA sequence of the gene. A mutation can change one allele into another, but the net effect is a change in frequency. The change in frequency resulting from mutation is small, so its effect on evolution is small unless it interacts with one of the other factors, such as selection. A mutation may produce an allele that is selected against, selected for, or selectively neutral. Harmful mutations are removed

from the population by selection and will generally only be found in very low frequencies equal to the mutation rate. Beneficial mutations will spread through the population through selection, although that initial spread is slow. Whether or not a mutation is beneficial or harmful is determined by whether it helps an organism survive to sexual maturity and reproduce. It should be noted that mutation is the ultimate source of genetic variation in all populations—new alleles, and, therefore, new genetic variations arise through mutation.

## Genetic Drift


Another way a population's allele frequencies can change is genetic drift (Figure 11.7), which is simply the effect of chance. Genetic drift is most important in small populations. Drift would be completely absent in a population with infinite individuals, but, of course, no population is this large. Genetic drift occurs because the alleles in an offspring generation are a random sample of the alleles in the parent generation. Alleles may or may not make it into the next generation due to chance events including mortality of an individual, events affecting finding a mate, and even the events affecting which gametes end up in fertilizations. If one individual in a population of ten individuals happens to die before it leaves any offspring to the next generation, all of its genes—a tenth of the population's gene pool—will be suddenly lost. In a population of 100, that 1 individual represents only 1 percent of the overall gene pool; therefore, it has much less impact on the population's genetic structure and is unlikely to remove all copies of even a relatively rare allele.

Imagine a population of ten individuals, half with allele *A* and half with allele *a* (the individuals are haploid). In a stable population, the next generation will also have ten individuals. Choose that generation randomly by flipping a coin ten times and let heads be *A* and tails be *a*. It is unlikely that the next generation will have exactly half of each allele. There might be six of one and four of the other, or some different set of frequencies. Thus, the allele frequencies have changed and evolution has occurred. A coin will no longer work to choose the next generation (because the odds are no longer one half for each allele). The frequency in each generation will drift up and down on what is known as a random walk until at one point either all *A* or all *a* are chosen and that allele is fixed from that point on. This could take a very long time for a large population. This simplification is not very biological, but it can be shown that real populations behave this way. The effect of drift on frequencies is greater the smaller a population is. Its effect is also greater on an allele with a frequency far from one half. Drift will influence every allele, even those that are being naturally selected.



Genetic drift can also be magnified by natural or human-caused events, such as a disaster that randomly kills a large portion of the population, which is known as the **bottleneck effect** that results in a large portion of the genome suddenly being wiped out (Figure 11.8). In one fell swoop, the genetic structure of the survivors becomes the genetic structure of the entire

population, which may be very different from the pre-disaster population. The disaster must be one that kills for reasons unrelated to the organism's traits, such as a hurricane or lava flow. A mass killing caused by unusually cold temperatures at night, is likely to affect individuals differently depending on the alleles they possess that confer cold hardiness.



**Figure 11.8** A chance event or catastrophe can reduce the genetic variability within a population.

Another scenario in which populations might experience a strong influence of genetic drift is if some portion of the population leaves to start a new population in a new location, or if a population gets divided by a physical barrier of some kind. In this situation, those individuals are unlikely to be representative of the entire population which results in the **founder effect**. The founder effect occurs when the genetic structure matches that of the new population's founding fathers and mothers. The founder effect is believed to have been a key factor in the genetic history of the Afrikaner population of Dutch settlers in South Africa, as evidenced by mutations that are common in Afrikaners but rare in most other populations. This is likely due to a higher-than-normal proportion of the founding colonists, which were a small sample of the original population, carried these mutations. As a result, the population expresses unusually high incidences of Huntington's disease (HD) and Fanconi anemia (FA), a genetic disorder known to cause bone marrow and congenital abnormalities, and even cancer.<sup>[4]</sup>




Visit this site ([http://openstaxcollege.org/l/genetic\\_drift2](http://openstaxcollege.org/l/genetic_drift2)) to learn more about genetic drift and to run simulations of allele changes caused by drift.

## Gene Flow

Another important evolutionary force is **gene flow**, or the flow of alleles in and out of a population resulting from the migration of individuals or gametes (Figure 11.9). While some populations are fairly stable, others experience more flux. Many plants, for example, send their seeds far and wide, by wind or in the guts of animals; these seeds may introduce alleles common in the source population to a new population in which they are rare.

4. A. J. Tipping et al., "Molecular and Genealogical Evidence for a Founder Effect in Fanconi Anemia Families of the Afrikaner Population of South Africa," *PNAS* 98, no. 10 (2001): 5734–5739, doi: 10.1073/pnas.091402398.



**Figure 11.9** Gene flow can occur when an individual travels from one geographic location to another and joins a different population of the species. In the example shown here, the brown allele is introduced into the green population.

## 11.3 | Evidence of Evolution


By the end of this section, you will be able to:

- Explain sources of evidence for evolution
- Define homologous and vestigial structures

The evidence for evolution is compelling and extensive. Looking at every level of organization in living systems, biologists see the signature of past and present evolution. Darwin dedicated a large portion of his book, *On the Origin of Species*, identifying patterns in nature that were consistent with evolution and since Darwin our understanding has become clearer and broader.

### Fossils


Fossils provide solid evidence that organisms from the past are not the same as those found today; fossils show a progression of evolution. Scientists determine the age of fossils and categorize them all over the world to determine when the organisms lived relative to each other. The resulting fossil record tells the story of the past, and shows the evolution of form over millions of years (**Figure 11.10**). For example, highly detailed fossil records have been recovered for sequences of species in the evolution of whales and modern horses. The fossil record of horses in North America is especially rich and many contain transition fossils: those showing intermediate anatomy between earlier and later forms. The fossil record extends back to a dog-like ancestor some 55 million years ago that gave rise to the first horse-like species 55 to 42 million years ago in the genus *Eohippus*. The series of fossils tracks the change in anatomy resulting from a gradual drying trend that changed the landscape from a forested one to a prairie. Successive fossils show the evolution of teeth shapes and foot and leg anatomy to a grazing habit, with adaptations for escaping predators, for example in species of *Mesohippus* found from 40 to 30 million years ago. Later species showed gains in size, such as those of *Hipparrison*, which existed from about 23 to 2 million years ago. The fossil record shows several adaptive radiations in the horse lineage, which is now much reduced to only one genus, *Equus*, with several species.



**Figure 11.10** This illustration shows an artist's renderings of these species derived from fossils of the evolutionary history of the horse and its ancestors. The species depicted are only four from a very diverse lineage that contains many branches, dead ends, and adaptive radiations. One of the trends, depicted here is the evolutionary tracking of a drying climate and increase in prairie versus forest habitat reflected in forms that are more adapted to grazing and predator escape through running. Przewalski's horse is one of a few living species of horse.

## Anatomy and Embryology

Another type of evidence for evolution is the presence of structures in organisms that share the same basic form. For example, the bones in the appendages of a human, dog, bird, and whale all share the same overall construction (**Figure 11.11**). That similarity results from their origin in the appendages of a common ancestor. Over time, evolution led to changes in the shapes and sizes of these bones in different species, but they have maintained the same overall layout, evidence of descent from a common ancestor. Scientists call these synonymous parts homologous structures. Some structures exist in organisms that have no apparent function at all, and appear to be residual parts from a past ancestor. For example, some snakes have pelvic bones despite having no legs because they descended from reptiles that did have legs. These unused structures without function are called **vestigial structures**. Other examples of vestigial structures are wings on flightless birds (which may have other functions), leaves on some cacti, traces of pelvic bones in whales, and the sightless eyes of cave animals.




**Figure 11.11** The similar construction of these appendages indicates that these organisms share a common ancestor.



Click through the activities at this **interactive site** ([http://openstaxcollege.org/l/bone\\_structure2](http://openstaxcollege.org/l/bone_structure2)) to guess which bone structures are homologous and which are analogous, and to see examples of all kinds of evolutionary adaptations that illustrate these concepts.

Another evidence of evolution is the convergence of form in organisms that share similar environments. For example, species of unrelated animals, such as the arctic fox and ptarmigan (a bird), living in the arctic region have temporary white coverings during winter to blend with the snow and ice (**Figure 11.12**). The similarity occurs not because of common ancestry, indeed one covering is of fur and the other of feathers, but because of similar selection pressures—the benefits of not being seen by predators.




**Figure 11.12** The white winter coat of (a) the arctic fox and (b) the ptarmigan's plumage are adaptations to their environments. (credit a: modification of work by Keith Morehouse)

Embryology, the study of the development of the anatomy of an organism to its adult form also provides evidence of relatedness between now widely divergent groups of organisms. Structures that are absent in some groups often appear in their embryonic forms and disappear by the time the adult or juvenile form is reached. For example, all vertebrate embryos, including humans, exhibit gill slits at some point in their early development. These disappear in the adults of terrestrial groups, but are maintained in adult forms of aquatic groups such as fish and some amphibians. Great ape embryos, including humans, have a tail structure during their development that is lost by the time of birth. The reason embryos of unrelated species are often similar is that mutational changes that affect the organism during embryonic development can cause amplified differences in the adult, even while the embryonic similarities are preserved.

## Biogeography

The geographic distribution of organisms on the planet follows patterns that are best explained by evolution in conjunction with the movement of tectonic plates over geological time. Broad groups that evolved before the breakup of the supercontinent Pangaea (about 200 million years ago) are distributed worldwide. Groups that evolved since the breakup appear uniquely in regions of the planet, for example the unique flora and fauna of northern continents that formed from the supercontinent Laurasia and of the southern continents that formed from the supercontinent Gondwana. The presence of Proteaceae in Australia, southern Africa, and South America is best explained by the plant family's presence there prior to the southern supercontinent Gondwana breaking up (**Figure 11.13**).



**Figure 11.13** The Proteacea family of plants evolved before the supercontinent Gondwana broke up. Today, members of this plant family are found throughout the southern hemisphere (shown in red). (credit “Proteacea flower”: modification of work by “dorofofoto”/Flickr)

The great diversification of the marsupials in Australia and the absence of other mammals reflects that island continent’s long isolation. Australia has an abundance of endemic species—species found nowhere else—which is typical of islands whose isolation by expanses of water prevents migration of species to other regions. Over time, these species diverge evolutionarily into new species that look very different from their ancestors that may exist on the mainland. The marsupials of Australia, the finches on the Galápagos, and many species on the Hawaiian Islands are all found nowhere else but on their island, yet display distant relationships to ancestral species on mainlands.

## Molecular Biology

Like anatomical structures, the structures of the molecules of life reflect descent with modification. Evidence of a common ancestor for all of life is reflected in the universality of DNA as the genetic material and of the near universality of the genetic code and the machinery of DNA replication and expression. Fundamental divisions in life between the three domains are reflected in major structural differences in otherwise conservative structures such as the components of ribosomes and the structures of membranes. In general, the relatedness of groups of organisms is reflected in the similarity of their DNA sequences—exactly the pattern that would be expected from descent and diversification from a common ancestor.

DNA sequences have also shed light on some of the mechanisms of evolution. For example, it is clear that the evolution of new functions for proteins commonly occurs after gene duplication events. These duplications are a kind of mutation in which an entire gene is added as an extra copy (or many copies) in the genome. These duplications allow the free modification of one copy by mutation, selection, and drift, while the second copy continues to produce a functional protein. This allows the original function for the protein to be kept, while evolutionary forces tweak the copy until it functions in a new way.

## 11.4 | Speciation

By the end of this section, you will be able to:


- Describe the definition of species and how species are identified as different
- Explain allopatric and sympatric speciation
- Describe adaptive radiation

The biological definition of species, which works for sexually reproducing organisms, is a group of actually or potentially interbreeding individuals. According to this definition, one species is distinguished from another by the possibility of matings between individuals from each species to produce fertile offspring. There are exceptions to this rule. Many species are similar enough that hybrid offspring are possible and may often occur in nature, but for the majority of species this

rule generally holds. In fact, the presence of hybrids between similar species suggests that they may have descended from a single interbreeding species and that the speciation process may not yet be completed.

Given the extraordinary diversity of life on the planet there must be mechanisms for **speciation**: the formation of two species from one original species. Darwin envisioned this process as a branching event and diagrammed the process in the only illustration found in *On the Origin of Species* (**Figure 11.14a**). For speciation to occur, two new populations must be formed from one original population, and they must evolve in such a way that it becomes impossible for individuals from the two new populations to interbreed. Biologists have proposed mechanisms by which this could occur that fall into two broad categories. **Allometric speciation**, meaning speciation in “other homelands,” involves a geographic separation of populations from a parent species and subsequent evolution. **Sympatric speciation**, meaning speciation in the “same homeland,” involves speciation occurring within a parent species while remaining in one location.

Biologists think of speciation events as the splitting of one ancestral species into two descendant species. There is no reason why there might not be more than two species formed at one time except that it is less likely and such multiple events can also be conceptualized as single splits occurring close in time.



**Figure 11.14** The only illustration in Darwin's *On the Origin of Species* is (a) a diagram showing speciation events leading to biological diversity. The diagram shows similarities to phylogenetic charts that are drawn today to illustrate the relationships of species. (b) Modern elephants evolved from the *Palaeomastodon*, a species that lived in Egypt 35–50 million years ago.

## Speciation through Geographic Separation

A geographically continuous population has a gene pool that is relatively homogeneous. Gene flow, the movement of alleles across the range of the species, is relatively free because individuals can move and then mate with individuals in their new location. Thus, the frequency of an allele at one end of a distribution will be similar to the frequency of the allele at the other end. When populations become geographically discontinuous that free-flow of alleles is prevented. When that separation lasts for a period of time, the two populations are able to evolve along different trajectories. Thus, their allele frequencies at numerous genetic loci gradually become more and more different as new alleles independently arise by mutation in each population. Typically, environmental conditions, such as climate, resources, predators, and competitors, for the two populations will differ causing natural selection to favor divergent adaptations in each group. Different histories of genetic drift, enhanced because the populations are smaller than the parent population, will also lead to divergence.

Given enough time, the genetic and phenotypic divergence between populations will likely affect characters that influence reproduction enough that were individuals of the two populations brought together, mating would be less likely, or if a mating occurred, offspring would be non-viable or infertile. Many types of diverging characters may affect the reproductive isolation (inability to interbreed) of the two populations. These mechanisms of reproductive isolation can be divided into prezygotic mechanisms (those that operate before fertilization) and postzygotic mechanisms (those that operate after fertilization). Prezygotic mechanisms include traits that allow the individuals to find each other, such as the timing of mating, sensitivity to pheromones, or choice of mating sites. If individuals are able to encounter each other, character divergence may prevent courtship rituals from leading to a mating either because female preferences have changed or male behaviors have changed. Physiological changes may interfere with successful fertilization if mating is able to occur. Postzygotic mechanisms include genetic incompatibilities that prevent proper development of the offspring, or if the offspring live, they may be unable to produce viable gametes themselves as in the example of the mule, the infertile offspring of a female horse and a male donkey.

If the two isolated populations are brought back together and the hybrid offspring that formed from matings between individuals of the two populations have lower survivorship or reduced fertility, then selection will favor individuals that are able to discriminate between potential mates of their own population and the other population. This selection will enhance the reproductive isolation.

Isolation of populations leading to allopatric speciation can occur in a variety of ways: from a river forming a new branch, erosion forming a new valley, or a group of organisms traveling to a new location without the ability to return, such as seeds floating over the ocean to an island. The nature of the geographic separation necessary to isolate populations depends entirely on the biology of the organism and its potential for dispersal. If two flying insect populations took up residence in separate nearby valleys, chances are that individuals from each population would fly back and forth, continuing gene flow. However, if two rodent populations became divided by the formation of a new lake, continued gene flow would be unlikely; therefore, speciation would be more likely.

Biologists group allopatric processes into two categories. If a few members of a species move to a new geographical area, this is called **dispersal**. If a natural situation arises to physically divide organisms, this is called **vicariance**.

Scientists have documented numerous cases of allopatric speciation taking place. For example, along the west coast of the United States, two separate subspecies of spotted owls exist. The northern spotted owl has genetic and phenotypic differences from its close relative, the Mexican spotted owl, which lives in the south (Figure 11.15). The cause of their initial separation is not clear, but it may have been caused by the glaciers of the ice age dividing an initial population into two.<sup>[5]</sup>




**Figure 11.15** The northern spotted owl and the Mexican spotted owl inhabit geographically separate locations with different climates and ecosystems. The owl is an example of incipient speciation. (credit “northern spotted owl”: modification of work by John and Karen Hollingsworth, USFWS; credit “Mexican spotted owl”: modification of work by Bill Radke, USFWS)

Additionally, scientists have found that the further the distance between two groups that once were the same species, the more likely for speciation to take place. This seems logical because as the distance increases, the various environmental factors would likely have less in common than locations in close proximity. Consider the two owls; in the north, the climate is cooler than in the south; the other types of organisms in each ecosystem differ, as do their behaviors and habits; also, the hunting habits and prey choices of the owls in the south vary from the northern ones. These variances can lead to evolved differences in the owls, and over time speciation will likely occur unless gene flow between the populations is restored.

5. Courtney, S.P., et al, “Scientific Evaluation of the Status of the Northern Spotted Owl,” Sustainable Ecosystems Institute (2004), Portland, OR.

In some cases, a population of one species disperses throughout an area, and each finds a distinct niche or isolated habitat. Over time, the varied demands of their new lifestyles lead to multiple speciation events originating from a single species, which is called **adaptive radiation**. From one point of origin, many adaptations evolve causing the species to radiate into several new ones. Island archipelagos like the Hawaiian Islands provide an ideal context for adaptive radiation events because water surrounds each island, which leads to geographical isolation for many organisms (**Figure 11.16**). The Hawaiian honeycreeper illustrates one example of adaptive radiation. From a single species, called the founder species, numerous species have evolved, including the eight shown in **Figure 11.16**.



**Figure 11.16** The honeycreeper birds illustrate adaptive radiation. From one original species of bird, multiple others evolved, each with its own distinctive characteristics.

Notice the differences in the species' beaks in **Figure 11.16**. Change in the genetic variation for beaks in response to natural selection based on specific food sources in each new habitat led to evolution of a different beak suited to the specific food source. The fruit and seed-eating birds have thicker, stronger beaks which are suited to break hard nuts. The nectar-eating birds have long beaks to dip into flowers to reach their nectar. The insect-eating birds have beaks like swords, appropriate for stabbing and impaling insects. Darwin's finches are another well-studied example of adaptive radiation in an archipelago.




Click through this **interactive site** ([http://openstaxcollege.org/l/bird\\_evolution](http://openstaxcollege.org/l/bird_evolution)) to see how island birds evolved; click to see images of each species in evolutionary increments from five million years ago to today.

## Speciation without Geographic Separation


Can divergence occur if no physical barriers are in place to separate individuals who continue to live and reproduce in the same habitat? A number of mechanisms for sympatric speciation have been proposed and studied.

One form of sympatric speciation can begin with a chromosomal error during meiosis or the formation of a hybrid individual with too many chromosomes. Polyploidy is a condition in which a cell, or organism, has an extra set, or sets, of chromosomes. Scientists have identified two main types of polyploidy that can lead to reproductive isolation of an individual in the polyploid state. In some cases a polyploid individual will have two or more complete sets of chromosomes from its own species in a condition called autopolyploidy (**Figure 11.17**). The prefix “auto” means self, so the term means multiple chromosomes from one’s own species. Polyploidy results from an error in meiosis in which all of the chromosomes move into one cell instead of separating.



**Figure 11.17** Autopolyploidy results when mitosis is not followed by cytokinesis.

For example, if a plant species with  $2n = 6$  produces autopolyploid gametes that are also diploid ( $2n = 6$ , when they should be  $n = 3$ ), the gametes now have twice as many chromosomes as they should have. These new gametes will be incompatible with the normal gametes produced by this plant species. But they could either self-pollinate or reproduce with other autopolyploid plants with gametes having the same diploid number. In this way, sympatric speciation can occur quickly by forming offspring with  $4n$  called a tetraploid. These individuals would immediately be able to reproduce only with those of this new kind and not those of the ancestral species. The other form of polyploidy occurs when individuals of two different species reproduce to form a viable offspring called an allopolyploid. The prefix “allo” means “other” (recall from allopatric); therefore, an allopolyploid occurs when gametes from two different species combine. **Figure 11.18** illustrates one possible way an allopolyploidy can form. Notice how it takes two generations, or two reproductive acts, before the viable fertile hybrid results.




**Figure 11.18** Allopolyploidy results when two species mate to produce viable offspring. In the example shown, a normal gamete from one species fuses with a polyploid gamete from another. Two matings are necessary to produce viable offspring.

The cultivated forms of wheat, cotton, and tobacco plants are all allopolyploids. Although polyploidy occurs occasionally in animals, most chromosomal abnormalities in animals are lethal; it takes place most commonly in plants. Scientists have discovered more than 1/2 of all plant species studied relate back to a species evolved through polyploidy.

Sympatric speciation may also take place in ways other than polyploidy. For example, imagine a species of fish that lived in a lake. As the population grew, competition for food also grew. Under pressure to find food, suppose that a group of these fish had the genetic flexibility to discover and feed off another resource that was unused by the other fish. What if this new food source was found at a different depth of the lake? Over time, those feeding on the second food source would interact more with each other than the other fish; therefore they would breed together as well. Offspring of these fish would likely behave as their parents and feed and live in the same area, keeping them separate from the original population. If this group of fish continued to remain separate from the first population, eventually sympatric speciation might occur as more genetic differences accumulated between them.

This scenario does play out in nature, as do others that lead to reproductive isolation. One such place is Lake Victoria in Africa, famous for its sympatric speciation of cichlid fish. Researchers have found hundreds of sympatric speciation events in these fish, which have not only happened in great number, but also over a short period of time. **Figure 11.19** shows this type of speciation among a cichlid fish population in Nicaragua. In this locale, two types of cichlids live in the same geographic location; however, they have come to have different morphologies that allow them to eat various food sources.



**Figure 11.19** Cichlid fish from Lake Apoyeque, Nicaragua, show evidence of sympatric speciation. Lake Apoyeque, a crater lake, is 1800 years old, but genetic evidence indicates that the lake was populated only 100 years ago by a single population of cichlid fish. Nevertheless, two populations with distinct morphologies and diets now exist in the lake, and scientists believe these populations may be in an early stage of speciation.

Finally, a well-documented example of ongoing sympatric speciation occurred in the apple maggot fly, *Rhagoletis pomonella*, which arose as an isolated population sometime after the introduction of the apple into North America. The native population of flies fed on hawthorn species and is host-specific: it only infests hawthorn trees. Importantly, it also uses the trees as a location to meet for mating. It is hypothesized that either through mutation or a behavioral mistake, flies jumped hosts and met and mated in apple trees, subsequently laying their eggs in apple fruit. The offspring matured and kept their preference for the apple trees effectively dividing the original population into two new populations separated by host species, not by geography. The host jump took place in the nineteenth century, but there are now measurable differences between the two populations of fly. It seems likely that host specificity of parasites in general is a common cause of sympatric speciation.

## 11.5 | Common Misconceptions about Evolution

By the end of this section, you will be able to:

- Identify common misconceptions about evolution
- Identify common criticisms of evolution

Although the theory of evolution initially generated some controversy, by 20 years after the publication of *On the Origin of Species* it was almost universally accepted by biologists, particularly younger biologists. Nevertheless, the theory of evolution is a difficult concept and misconceptions about how it works abound. In addition, there are those that reject it as an explanation for the diversity of life.



This **website** (<http://openstaxcollege.org/l/misconception2>) addresses some of the main misconceptions associated with the theory of evolution.

## Evolution Is Just a Theory

Critics of the theory of evolution dismiss its importance by purposefully confounding the everyday usage of the word “theory” with the way scientists use the word. In science, a “theory” is understood to be a concept that has been extensively tested and supported over time. We have a theory of the atom, a theory of gravity, and the theory of relativity, each of which describes what scientists understand to be facts about the world. In the same way, the theory of evolution describes facts about the living world. As such, a theory in science has survived significant efforts to discredit it by scientists, who are naturally skeptical. While theories can sometimes be overturned or revised, this does not lessen their weight but simply reflects the constantly evolving state of scientific knowledge. In contrast, a “theory” in common vernacular means a guess or suggested explanation for something. This meaning is more akin to the concept of a “hypothesis” used by scientists, which is a tentative explanation for something that is proposed to either be supported or disproved. When critics of evolution say evolution is “just a theory,” they are implying that there is little evidence supporting it and that it is still in the process of being rigorously tested. This is a mischaracterization. If this were the case, geneticist Theodosius Dobzhansky would not have said that “nothing in biology makes sense, except in the light of evolution.”<sup>[6]</sup>

## Individuals Evolve

An individual is born with the genes it has—these do not change as the individual ages. Therefore, an individual cannot evolve or adapt through natural selection. Evolution is the change in genetic composition of a population over time, specifically over generations, resulting from differential reproduction of individuals with certain alleles. Individuals do change over their lifetime, but this is called development; it involves changes programmed by the set of genes the individual acquired at birth in coordination with the individual’s environment. When thinking about the evolution of a characteristic, it is probably best to think about the change of the average value of the characteristic in the population over time. For example, when natural selection leads to bill-size change in medium ground finches in the Galápagos, this does not mean that individual bills on the finches are changing. If one measures the average bill size among all individuals in the population at one time, and then measures the average bill size in the population several years later after there has been a strong selective pressure, this average value may be different as a result of evolution. Although some individuals may survive from the first time to the second, those individuals will still have the same bill size. However, there may be enough new individuals with different bill sizes to change the average bill size.

## Evolution Explains the Origin of Life

It is a common misunderstanding that evolution includes an explanation of life’s origins. Conversely, some of the theory’s critics complain that it cannot explain the origin of life. The theory does not try to explain the origin of life. The theory of evolution explains how populations change over time and how life diversifies—the origin of species. It does not shed light on the beginnings of life including the origins of the first cells, which is how life is defined. The mechanisms of the origin of life on Earth are a particularly difficult problem because it occurred a very long time ago, over a very long time, and presumably just occurred once. Importantly, biologists believe that the presence of life on Earth precludes the possibility that the events that led to life on Earth can be repeated because the intermediate stages would immediately become food for existing living things. The early stages of life included the formation of organic molecules such as carbohydrates, amino acids, or nucleotides. If these were formed from inorganic precursors today, they would simply be broken down by living things. The early stages of life also probably included more complex aggregations of molecules into enclosed structures with an internal environment, a boundary layer of some form, and the external environment. Such structures, if they were formed now, would be quickly consumed or broken down by living organisms.

6. Theodosius Dobzhansky. “Biology, Molecular and Organismic.” *American Zoologist* 4, no. 4 (1964): 449.

However, once a mechanism of inheritance was in place in the form of a molecule like DNA or RNA, either within a cell or within a pre-cell, these entities would be subject to the principle of natural selection. More effective reproducers would increase in frequency at the expense of inefficient reproducers. So while evolution does not explain the origin of life, it may have something to say about some of the processes operating once pre-living entities acquired certain properties.

## Organisms Evolve on Purpose

Statements such as “organisms evolve in response to a change in an environment,” are quite common. There are two easy misunderstandings possible with such a statement. First of all, the statement must not be understood to mean that individual organisms evolve, as was discussed above. The statement is shorthand for “a population evolves in response to a changing environment.” However, a second misunderstanding may arise by interpreting the statement to mean that the evolution is somehow intentional. A changed environment results in some individuals in the population, those with particular phenotypes, benefiting and, therefore, producing proportionately more offspring than other phenotypes. This results in change in the population if the characters are genetically determined.

It is also important to understand that the variation that natural selection works on is already in a population and does not arise in response to an environmental change. For example, applying antibiotics to a population of bacteria will, over time, select for a population of bacteria that are resistant to antibiotics. The resistance, which is caused by a gene, did not arise by mutation because of the application of the antibiotic. The gene for resistance was already present in the gene pool of the bacteria, likely at a low frequency. The antibiotic, which kills the bacterial cells without the resistance gene, strongly selects for individuals that are resistant, since these would be the only ones that survived and divided. Experiments have demonstrated that mutations for antibiotic resistance do not arise as a result of antibiotic application.

In a larger sense, evolution is also not goal directed. Species do not become “better” over time; they simply track their changing environment with adaptations that maximize their reproduction in a particular environment at a particular time. Evolution has no goal of making faster, bigger, more complex, or even smarter species. This kind of language is common in popular literature. Certain organisms, ourselves included, are described as the “pinnacle” of evolution, or “perfected” by evolution. What characteristics evolve in a species are a function of the variation present and the environment, both of which are constantly changing in a non-directional way. What trait is fit in one environment at one time may well be fatal at some point in the future. This holds equally well for a species of insect as it does the human species.

## Evolution Is Controversial among Scientists

The theory of evolution was controversial when it was first proposed in 1859, yet within 20 years virtually every working biologist had accepted evolution as the explanation for the diversity of life. The rate of acceptance was extraordinarily rapid, partly because Darwin had amassed an impressive body of evidence. The early controversies involved both scientific arguments against the theory and the arguments of religious leaders. It was the arguments of the biologists that were resolved after a short time, while the arguments of religious leaders have persisted to this day.

The theory of evolution replaced the predominant theory at the time that species had all been specially created within relatively recent history. Despite the prevalence of this theory, it was becoming increasingly clear to naturalists during the nineteenth century that it could no longer explain many observations of geology and the living world. The persuasiveness of the theory of evolution to these naturalists lay in its ability to explain these phenomena, and it continues to hold extraordinary explanatory power to this day. Its continued rejection by some religious leaders results from its replacement of special creation, a tenet of their religious belief. These leaders cannot accept the replacement of special creation by a mechanistic process that excludes the actions of a deity as an explanation for the diversity of life including the origins of the human species. It should be noted, however, that most of the major denominations in the United States have statements supporting the acceptance of evidence for evolution as compatible with their theologies.

The nature of the arguments against evolution by religious leaders has evolved over time. One current argument is that the theory is still controversial among biologists. This claim is simply not true. The number of working scientists who reject the theory of evolution, or question its validity and say so, is small. A Pew Research poll in 2009 found that 97 percent of the 2500 scientists polled believe species evolve.<sup>7</sup> The support for the theory is reflected in signed statements from many scientific societies such as the American Association for the Advancement of Science, which includes working scientists as members. Many of the scientists that reject or question the theory of evolution are non-biologists, such as engineers, physicians, and chemists. There are no experimental results or research programs that contradict the theory. There are no papers published in peer-reviewed scientific journals that appear to refute the theory. The latter observation might be considered a consequence of suppression of dissent, but it must be remembered that scientists are skeptics and that there is a long history of published reports that challenged scientific orthodoxy in unpopular ways. Examples include the endosymbiotic theory of eukaryotic origins, the theory of group selection, the microbial cause of stomach ulcers, the

7. Pew Research Center for the People & the Press, *Public Praises Science; Scientists Fault Public, Media* (Washington, DC, 2009), 37.

asteroid-impact theory of the Cretaceous extinction, and the theory of plate tectonics. Research with evidence and ideas with scientific merit are considered by the scientific community. Research that does not meet these standards is rejected.

## Other Theories Should Be Taught

A common argument from some religious leaders is that alternative theories to evolution should be taught in public schools. Critics of evolution use this strategy to create uncertainty about the validity of the theory without offering actual evidence. In fact, there are no viable alternative scientific theories to evolution. The last such theory, proposed by Lamarck in the nineteenth century, was replaced by the theory of natural selection. A single exception was a research program in the Soviet Union based on Lamarck's theory during the early twentieth century that set that country's agricultural research back decades. Special creation is not a viable alternative scientific theory because it is not a scientific theory, since it relies on an untestable explanation. Intelligent design, despite the claims of its proponents, is also not a scientific explanation. This is because intelligent design posits the existence of an unknown designer of living organisms and their systems. Whether the designer is unknown or supernatural, it is a cause that cannot be measured; therefore, it is not a scientific explanation. There are two reasons not to teach nonscientific theories. First, these explanations for the diversity of life lack scientific usefulness because they do not, and cannot, give rise to research programs that promote our understanding of the natural world. Experiments cannot test non-material explanations for natural phenomena. For this reason, teaching these explanations as science in public schools is not in the public interest. Second, in the United States, it is illegal to teach them as science because the U.S. Supreme Court and lower courts have ruled that the teaching of religious belief, such as special creation or intelligent design, violates the establishment clause of the First Amendment of the U.S. Constitution, which prohibits government sponsorship of a particular religion.

The theory of evolution and science in general is, by definition, silent on the existence or non-existence of the spiritual world. Science is only able to study and know the material world. Individual biologists have sometimes been vocal atheists, but it is equally true that there are many deeply religious biologists. Nothing in biology precludes the existence of a god, indeed biology as a science has nothing to say about it. The individual biologist is free to reconcile her or his personal and scientific knowledge as they see fit. The Voices for Evolution project (<http://ncse.com/voices>), developed through the National Center for Science Education, works to gather the diversity of perspectives on evolution to advocate it being taught in public schools.

## KEY TERMS

**adaptation** a heritable trait or behavior in an organism that aids in its survival in its present environment

**adaptive radiation** a speciation when one species radiates out to form several other species

**allopatric speciation** a speciation that occurs via a geographic separation

**analogous structure** a structure that is similar because of evolution in response to similar selection pressures resulting in convergent evolution, not similar because of descent from a common ancestor

**bottleneck effect** the magnification of genetic drift as a result of natural events or catastrophes

**convergent evolution** an evolution that results in similar forms on different species

**dispersal** an allopatric speciation that occurs when a few members of a species move to a new geographical area

**divergent evolution** an evolution that results in different forms in two species with a common ancestor

**founder effect** a magnification of genetic drift in a small population that migrates away from a large parent population carrying with it an unrepresentative set of alleles

**gene flow** the flow of alleles in and out of a population due to the migration of individuals or gametes

**gene pool** all of the alleles carried by all of the individuals in the population

**genetic drift** the effect of chance on a population's gene pool

**homologous structure** a structure that is similar because of descent from a common ancestor

**inheritance of acquired characteristics** a phrase that describes the mechanism of evolution proposed by Lamarck in which traits acquired by individuals through use or disuse could be passed on to their offspring thus leading to evolutionary change in the population

**macroevolution** a broader scale of evolutionary changes seen over paleontological time

**microevolution** the changes in a population's genetic structure (i.e., allele frequency)

**migration** the movement of individuals of a population to a new location; in population genetics it refers to the movement of individuals and their alleles from one population to another, potentially changing allele frequencies in both the old and the new population

**modern synthesis** the overarching evolutionary paradigm that took shape by the 1940s and is generally accepted today

**natural selection** the greater relative survival and reproduction of individuals in a population that have favorable heritable traits, leading to evolutionary change

**population genetics** the study of how selective forces change the allele frequencies in a population over time

**speciation** a formation of a new species

**sympatric speciation** a speciation that occurs in the same geographic space

**variation** the variety of alleles in a population

**vestigial structure** a physical structure present in an organism but that has no apparent function and appears to be from a functional structure in a distant ancestor

**vicariance** an allopatric speciation that occurs when something in the environment separates organisms of the same species into separate groups

## CHAPTER SUMMARY

### 11.1 Discovering How Populations Change

Evolution by natural selection arises from three conditions: individuals within a species vary, some of those variations are heritable, and organisms have more offspring than resources can support. The consequence is that individuals with relatively advantageous variations will be more likely to survive and have higher reproductive rates than those individuals with different traits. The advantageous traits will be passed on to offspring in greater proportion. Thus, the trait will have higher representation in the next and subsequent generations leading to genetic change in the population.

The modern synthesis of evolutionary theory grew out of the reconciliation of Darwin's, Wallace's, and Mendel's thoughts on evolution and heredity. Population genetics is a theoretical framework for describing evolutionary change in populations through the change in allele frequencies. Population genetics defines evolution as a change in allele frequency over generations. In the absence of evolutionary forces allele frequencies will not change in a population; this is known as Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium principle. However, in all populations, mutation, natural selection, genetic drift, and migration act to change allele frequencies.

### 11.2 Mechanisms of Evolution

There are four factors that can change the allele frequencies of a population. Natural selection works by selecting for alleles that confer beneficial traits or behaviors, while selecting against those for deleterious qualities. Mutations introduce new alleles into a population. Genetic drift stems from the chance occurrence that some individuals have more offspring than others and results in changes in allele frequencies that are random in direction. When individuals leave or join the population, allele frequencies can change as a result of gene flow.

### 11.3 Evidence of Evolution

The evidence for evolution is found at all levels of organization in living things and in the extinct species we know about through fossils. Fossils provide evidence for the evolutionary change through now extinct forms that led to modern species. For example, there is a rich fossil record that shows the evolutionary transitions from horse ancestors to modern horses that document intermediate forms and a gradual adaptation to changing ecosystems. The anatomy of species and the embryological development of that anatomy reveal common structures in divergent lineages that have been modified over time by evolution. The geographical distribution of living species reflects the origins of species in particular geographic locations and the history of continental movements. The structures of molecules, like anatomical structures, reflect the relationships of living species and match patterns of similarity expected from descent with modification.

### 11.4 Speciation

Speciation occurs along two main pathways: geographic separation (allopatric speciation) and through mechanisms that occur within a shared habitat (sympatric speciation). Both pathways force reproductive isolation between populations. Sympatric speciation can occur through errors in meiosis that form gametes with extra chromosomes, called polyploidy. Autopolyploidy occurs within a single species, whereas allopolyploidy occurs because of a mating between closely related species. Once the populations are isolated, evolutionary divergence can take place leading to the evolution of reproductive isolating traits that prevent interbreeding should the two populations come together again. The reduced viability of hybrid offspring after a period of isolation is expected to select for stronger inherent isolating mechanisms.

### 11.5 Common Misconceptions about Evolution

The theory of evolution is a difficult concept and misconceptions abound. The factual nature of evolution is often challenged by wrongly associating the scientific meaning of a theory with the vernacular meaning. Evolution is sometimes mistakenly interpreted to mean that individuals evolve, when in fact only populations can evolve as their gene frequencies change over time. Evolution is often assumed to explain the origin of life, which it does not speak to. It is often spoken in goal-directed terms by which organisms change through intention, and selection operates on mutations present in a population that have not arisen in response to a particular environmental stress. Evolution is often characterized as being controversial among scientists; however, it is accepted by the vast majority of working scientists. Critics of evolution often argue that alternative theories to evolution should be taught in public schools; however, there are no viable alternative scientific theories to evolution. The alternative religious beliefs should not be taught as science because it cannot be proven, and in the United States it is unconstitutional. Science is silent on the question of the existence of a god while scientists are able to reconcile religious belief and scientific knowledge.

## ART CONNECTION QUESTIONS

**1.** **Figure 11.7** Do you think genetic drift would happen more quickly on an island or on the mainland?

## REVIEW QUESTIONS

**2.** Which scientific concept did Charles Darwin and Alfred Wallace independently discover?

- a. mutation
- b. natural selection
- c. overbreeding
- d. sexual reproduction

**3.** Which of the following situations will lead to natural selection?

- a. The seeds of two plants land near each other and one grows larger than the other.
- b. Two types of fish eat the same kind of food, and one is better able to gather food than the other.
- c. Male lions compete for the right to mate with females, with only one possible winner.
- d. all of the above

**4.** What is the difference between micro- and macroevolution?

- a. Microevolution describes the evolution of small organisms, such as insects, while macroevolution describes the evolution of large organisms, like people and elephants.
- b. Microevolution describes the evolution of microscopic entities, such as molecules and proteins, while macroevolution describes the evolution of whole organisms.
- c. Microevolution describes the evolution of populations, while macroevolution describes the emergence of new species over long periods of time.
- d. Microevolution describes the evolution of organisms over their lifetimes, while macroevolution describes the evolution of organisms over multiple generations.

**5.** Population genetics is the study of \_\_\_\_\_.

- a. how allele frequencies in a population change over time
- b. populations of cells in an individual
- c. the rate of population growth
- d. how genes affect embryological development

**6.** Galápagos medium ground finches are found on Santa Cruz and San Cristóbal islands, which are separated by about 100 km of ocean. Occasionally, individuals from either island fly to the other island to stay. This can alter the allele frequencies of the population through which of the following mechanisms?

- a. natural selection
- b. genetic drift
- c. gene flow
- d. mutation

**7.** In which of the following pairs do both evolutionary processes introduce new genetic variation into a population?

- a. natural selection and genetic drift
- b. mutation and gene flow
- c. natural selection and gene flow
- d. gene flow and genetic drift

**8.** The wing of a bird and the arm of a human are examples of \_\_\_\_\_.

- a. vestigial structures
- b. molecular structures
- c. homologous structures
- d. analogous structures

**9.** The fact that DNA sequences are more similar in more closely related organisms is evidence of what?

- a. optimal design in organisms
- b. adaptation
- c. mutation
- d. descent with modification

**10.** Which situation would most likely lead to allopatric speciation?

- a. A flood causes the formation of a new lake.
- b. A storm causes several large trees to fall down.
- c. A mutation causes a new trait to develop.
- d. An injury causes an organism to seek out a new food source.

**11.** What is the main difference between dispersal and vicariance?

- a. One leads to allopatric speciation, whereas the other leads to sympatric speciation.
- b. One involves the movement of the organism, whereas the other involves a change in the environment.
- c. One depends on a genetic mutation occurring, whereas the other does not.
- d. One involves closely related organisms, whereas the other involves only individuals of the same species.

**12.** Which variable increases the likelihood of allopatric speciation taking place more quickly?

- a. lower rate of mutation
- b. longer distance between divided groups
- c. increased instances of hybrid formation
- d. equivalent numbers of individuals in each population

**13.** The word “theory” in theory of evolution is best replaced by \_\_\_\_\_.

- a. fact
- b. hypothesis

- c. idea
  - d. alternate explanation
- 14.** Why are alternative scientific theories to evolution not taught in public school?
- a. more theories would confuse students
  - b. there are no viable scientific alternatives
  - c. it is against the law
  - d. alternative scientific theories are suppressed by the science establishment

## CRITICAL THINKING QUESTIONS

- 15.** If a person scatters a handful of plant seeds from one species in an area, how would natural selection work in this situation?
- 16.** Explain the Hardy-Weinberg principle of equilibrium.
- 17.** Describe natural selection and give an example of natural selection at work in a population.
- 18.** Why do scientists consider vestigial structures evidence for evolution?
- 19.** Why do island chains provide ideal conditions for adaptive radiation to occur?
- 20.** Two species of fish had recently undergone sympatric speciation. The males of each species had a different coloring through which females could identify and choose a partner from her own species. After some time, pollution made the lake so cloudy it was hard for females to distinguish colors. What might take place in this situation?
- 21.** How does the scientific meaning of “theory” differ from the common, everyday meaning of the word?
- 22.** Explain why the statement that a monkey is more evolved than a mouse is incorrect.

that extends the 3' end, so a primer is synthesized and extended. Thus, the ends are protected. **16** The cell controls which protein is expressed, and to what level that protein is expressed, in the cell. Prokaryotic cells alter the transcription rate to turn genes on or off. This method will increase or decrease protein levels in response to what is needed by the cell. Eukaryotic cells change the accessibility (epigenetic), transcription, or translation of a gene. This will alter the amount of RNA, and the lifespan of the RNA, to alter the amount of protein that exists. Eukaryotic cells also change the protein's translation to increase or decrease its overall levels. Eukaryotic organisms are much more complex and can manipulate protein levels by changing many stages in the process.

## Chapter 10

**1** **Figure 10.7** Because even though the original cell came from a Scottish Blackface sheep and the surrogate mother was a Scottish Blackface, the DNA came from a Finn-Dorset. **2** B **4** A **6** C **8** D **10** The polymerase chain reaction is used to quickly produce many copies of a specific segment of DNA when only one or a very few copies are originally present. The benefit of PCR is that there are many instances in which we would like to know something about a sample of DNA when only very small amounts are available. PCR allows us to increase the number of DNA molecules so that other tests, such as sequencing, can be performed with it. **12** Genome mapping helps researchers to study disease-causing genes in humans. It also helps to identify traits of organisms that can be used in applications such as cleaning up pollution.

## Chapter 11

**1** **Figure 11.7** Genetic drift is likely to occur more rapidly on an island, where smaller populations are expected to occur. **2** B **4** C **6** C **8** C **10** A **12** B **14** B **15** The plants that can best use the resources of the area, including competing with other individuals for those resources, will produce more seeds themselves and those traits that allowed them to better use the resources will increase in the population of the next generation. **17** The theory of natural selection stems from the observation that some individuals in a population survive longer and have more offspring than others, thus passing on more of their genes to the next generation. For example, a big, powerful male gorilla is much more likely than a smaller, weaker gorilla to become the population's silverback, the pack's leader who mates far more than the other males of the group. The pack leader will, therefore, father more offspring, who share half of his genes, and are thus likely to also grow bigger and stronger like their father. Over time, the genes for bigger size will increase in frequency in the population, and the population will, as a result, grow larger on average. **19** Organisms of one species can arrive to an island together and then disperse throughout the chain, each settling into different niches, exploiting different food resources and, evolving independently with little gene flow between different islands. **21** In science, a theory is a thoroughly tested and verified set of explanations for a body of observations of nature. It is the strongest form of knowledge in science. In contrast, a theory in common usage can mean a guess or speculation about something, meaning that the knowledge implied by the theory may be very weak.

## Chapter 12

**1** **Figure 12.3** Cats and dogs are part of the same group at five levels: both are in the domain Eukarya, the kingdom Animalia, the phylum Chordata, the class Mammalia, and the order Carnivora. **3** C **5** D **7** B **9** A **11** B **13** The phylogenetic tree shows the order in which evolutionary events took place and in what order certain characteristics and organisms evolved in relation to others. It does not generally indicate time durations. **15** Dolphins are mammals and fish are not, which means that their evolutionary paths (phylogenies) are quite separate. Dolphins probably adapted to have a similar body plan after returning to an aquatic lifestyle, and therefore this trait is probably analogous. **17** The biologist looks at the state of the character in an outgroup, an organism that is outside the clade for which the phylogeny is being developed. The polarity of the character change is from the state of the character in the outgroup to the second state.

## Chapter 13

**1** **Figure 13.6** A **2** B **4** D **6** C **8** D **10** C **12** C **14** Antibiotics kill bacteria that are sensitive to them; thus, only the resistant ones will survive. These resistant bacteria will reproduce, and therefore, after a while, there will be only resistant bacteria, making it more difficult to treat the diseases they may cause in humans. **16** Eukaryote cells arose through endosymbiotic events that gave rise to energy-producing organelles within the eukaryotic cells, such as mitochondria and plastids. The nuclear genome of eukaryotes is related most closely to the Archaea, so it may have been an early archaean that engulfed a bacterial cell that evolved into a mitochondrion. Mitochondria appear to have originated from an alpha-proteobacterium, whereas chloroplasts originated from a cyanobacterium. There is also evidence of secondary endosymbiotic events. Other cell components may have resulted from endosymbiotic events. **18** The trypanosomes that cause this disease are capable of expressing a glycoprotein coat with a different molecular structure with each generation. Because the immune system must respond to specific antigens to raise a meaningful defense, the changing nature of trypanosome antigens prevents the immune system from ever clearing this infection. Massive trypanosome infection eventually leads to host organ failure and death.

## Chapter 14

**1** **Figure 14.19** B. The diploid zygote forms after the pollen tube has finished forming so that the male generative nucleus (sperm) can fuse with the female egg. **3** A **5** A **7** D **9** A **11** A **13** The sporangium of plants protects the spores from drying out. Apical

# INDEX

## A

absorption spectrum, 124, 132  
 abyssal zone, 556, 563  
 acellular, 450, 472  
 acetyl CoA, 104, 113  
 acid, 51  
 Acid rain, 547  
 acid rain, 563  
 Acids, 38  
 acoelomate, 395  
 acoelomates, 360  
 Actinopterygii, 387, 395  
 action potential, 432, 440  
 activation energy, 97, 113  
 active immunity, 461, 472  
 active site, 98, 113  
 Active transport, 81  
 active transport, 85  
 adaptation, 253, 270  
 Adaptive immunity, 460  
 adaptive immunity, 472  
 adaptive radiation, 264, 270  
 adhesion, 37, 51  
 adrenal gland, 440  
 adrenal glands, 423  
 Age structure, 512  
 age structure, 525  
 algal bloom, 560, 563  
 allele, 194  
 alleles, 178  
 allergy, 469, 472  
 Allopatric speciation, 262  
 allopatric speciation, 270  
 allosteric inhibition, 100, 113  
 alternation of generations, 155, 170  
 alternative RNA splicing, 219, 220  
 alveoli, 415  
 alveolus, 440  
 amino acid, 51  
 Amino acids, 46  
 amniote, 395  
 amniotes, 389  
 amoebocyte, 395  
 Amoebocytes, 362  
 Amoebozoa, 306, 319  
 Amphibia, 388, 395  
 ampulla of Lorenzini, 395  
 ampullae of Lorenzini, 387  
 amygdala, 437, 440  
 amylase, 409, 440  
 anabolic, 93, 113

anaerobic, 292, 319  
 anaerobic cellular respiration, 113  
 analogous structure, 270, 283, 288  
 analogous structures, 253  
 anaphase, 140, 149  
 aneuploid, 165, 170  
 anion, 51  
 anions, 31  
 anneal, 245  
 annealing, 229  
 Annelida, 378, 395  
 anoxic, 292, 319  
 anther, 344, 351  
 Anthophyta, 347, 351  
 Anthropoids, 393  
 anthropoids, 395  
 antibody, 461, 472  
 antigen, 460, 472  
 antigen-presenting cell (APC), 462, 472  
 Anura, 388, 395  
 anus, 411, 440  
 aorta, 417, 440  
 apex consumer, 563  
 apex consumers, 531  
 aphotic zone, 555, 563  
 apical meristem, 329, 351  
 Apoda, 388, 395  
 apoptosis, 453, 472  
 appendicular skeleton, 428, 440  
 applied science, 22, 24  
 Archaeplastida, 306, 319  
 Arctic tundra, 553  
 arctic tundra, 563  
 Arteries, 419  
 artery, 440  
 Arthropoda, 371, 395  
 Ascomycota, 314, 319  
 Asexual reproduction, 478  
 asexual reproduction, 495  
 Asymmetrical, 358  
 asymmetrical, 395  
 atom, 9, 24  
 atomic number, 28, 51  
 ATP, 102, 113  
 ATP synthase, 107, 113  
 atrium, 417, 440  
 attenuation, 455, 472  
 auditory ossicles, 427, 440  
 autoantibody, 470, 472  
 Autoimmunity, 470  
 autoimmunity, 472  
 autonomic nervous system, 437, 440

autosome, 170  
 autosomes, 165  
 autotroph, 118, 132, 563  
 autotrophs, 535  
 axial skeleton, 426, 440  
 axon, 433, 440

**B**

B cell, 472  
 B cells, 460  
 Basal angiosperms, 348  
 basal angiosperms, 351  
 basal ganglia, 436, 440  
 base, 51  
 bases, 38  
 Basic science, 22  
 basic science, 24  
 Basidiomycota, 314  
 basidiomycota, 319  
 benthic realm, 555, 563  
 bicuspid valve, 417, 440  
 Bilateral symmetry, 359  
 bilateral symmetry, 395  
 Bile, 410  
 bile, 440  
 binary fission, 145, 149  
 binomial nomenclature, 276, 288  
 biodiversity, 568, 590  
 biodiversity hotspot, 586, 590  
 bioenergetics, 92, 113  
 biofilm, 294, 319  
 biogeochemical cycle, 537, 563  
 Biology, 5  
 biology, 24  
 Biomagnification, 536  
 biomagnification, 563  
 biomarker, 243, 245  
 biome, 531, 563  
 bioremediation, 301, 319  
 biosphere, 12, 24  
 Biotechnology, 225  
 biotechnology, 245  
 birth rate, 505, 525  
 Black Death, 297, 319  
 blastocyst, 483, 495  
 body plan, 356, 395  
 bolus, 409, 440  
 bones, 391  
 boreal forest, 552, 563  
 bottleneck effect, 256, 270  
 botulism, 299, 319  
 brachiation, 393, 395  
 brainstem, 437, 440  
 branch point, 279, 288

bronchi, 415, 440  
 bronchiole, 440  
 bronchioles, 415  
 budding, 363, 395, 495  
 Budding, 479  
 buffer, 51  
 Buffers, 38  
 bulbourethral gland, 486, 495  
 Bush meat, 578  
 bush meat, 590

**C**

caecilian, 395  
 Caecilians, 389  
 Calvin cycle, 127, 132  
 calyx, 344, 351  
 canopy, 548, 563  
 capillaries, 419  
 capillary, 440  
 capsid, 451, 472  
 capsule, 295, 319  
 carbohydrate, 51  
 Carbohydrates, 40  
 carbon fixation, 127, 132  
 cardiac cycle, 418, 440  
 Cardiac muscle tissue, 430  
 cardiac muscle tissue, 440  
 carpel, 344, 351  
 carrying capacity, 505, 525  
 cartilaginous joint, 440  
 Cartilaginous joints, 428  
 catabolic, 93, 113  
 cation, 51  
 cations, 31  
 cell, 10, 24  
 cell cycle, 137, 149  
 cell cycle checkpoints, 142, 149  
 cell plate, 140, 149  
 cell wall, 69, 85  
 cell-mediated immune response, 460, 472  
 Cellulose, 41  
 cellulose, 51  
 central nervous system (CNS), 435, 440  
 central vacuole, 70, 85  
 centriole, 149  
 centrioles, 138  
 Cephalochordata, 383, 395  
 cephalothorax, 373, 395  
 cerebellum, 437, 441  
 cerebral cortex, 435, 441  
 cerebrospinal fluid (CSF), 435, 441  
 chaeta, 395

chaetae, 379  
 channel, 561, 563  
 chaparral, 550, 563  
 chelicerae, 373, 395  
 chemical bond, 51  
 chemical bonds, 31  
 chemical diversity, 569, 590  
 chemiosmosis, 107, 113  
 chemoautotroph, 563  
 chemoautotrophs, 535  
 chiasmata, 158, 170  
 chitin, 41, 51, 370, 395  
 chlorophyll, 120, 132  
 chlorophyll a, 124, 132  
 chlorophyll b, 124, 132  
 chloroplast, 85, 120, 132  
 Chloroplasts, 69  
 choanocyte, 362, 395  
 Chondrichthyes, 386, 395  
 Chordata, 382, 395  
 Chromalveolata, 306, 319  
 chromosome inversion, 168, 170  
 chyme, 410, 441  
 chytridiomycosis, 580, 590  
 Chytridiomycota, 314, 319  
 cilia, 64  
 cilium, 85  
 citric acid cycle, 105, 113  
 clade, 288  
 clades, 285  
 cladistics, 285, 288  
 class, 276, 288  
 cleavage furrow, 140, 149  
 climax community, 524, 525  
 clitellum, 380, 395  
 clitoris, 487, 495  
 cloning, 228, 245  
 closed circulatory system, 417, 441  
 club moss, 351  
 club mosses, 335  
 Cnidaria, 363, 395  
 cnidocyte, 395  
 cnidocytes, 363  
 codominance, 186, 194  
 codon, 214, 220  
 coelom, 360, 395  
 cohesion, 36, 51  
 colon, 411, 441  
 commensalism, 302, 319  
 community, 12, 24  
 competitive exclusion principle, 518, 525  
 competitive inhibition, 99, 113  
 complement system, 459, 472  
 complete digestive system, 370, 396  
 concentration gradient, 77, 85  
 cone, 351  
 cones, 339  
 conifer, 351  
 Conifers, 341  
 conjugation, 296, 319  
 Continuous variation, 174  
 continuous variation, 194  
 control, 20, 24  
 convergent evolution, 253, 270  
 coral reef, 563  
 Coral reefs, 557  
 corolla, 344, 351  
 corpus callosum, 435, 441  
 corpus luteum, 487, 495  
 cotyledon, 351  
 cotyledons, 347  
 covalent bond, 32, 51  
 craniate, 396  
 craniates, 385  
 Crocodylia, 390, 396  
 crossing over, 158, 170  
 cryptofauna, 558, 563  
 ctenidia, 375, 396  
 cutaneous respiration, 388, 396  
 cyanobacteria, 292, 319  
 cycad, 351  
 Cycads, 341  
 cytokine, 457, 472  
 Cytokinesis, 140  
 cytokinesis, 149  
 cytopathic, 453, 472  
 cytoplasm, 63, 85  
 cytoskeleton, 63, 85  
 cytosol, 63, 85  
 cytotoxic T lymphocyte (Tc), 472

**D**

dead zone, 544, 563  
 death rate, 505, 525  
 Deductive reasoning, 19  
 deductive reasoning, 24  
 demography, 500, 525  
 denaturation, 46, 51  
 dendrite, 441  
 Dendrites, 432  
 dendritic cell, 462, 472  
 density-dependent, 508  
 density-dependent regulation, 525  
 density-independent, 508

density-independent regulation, **525**  
 deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA), **49, 51**  
 deoxyribose, **200, 220**  
 depolarization, **432, 441**  
 Descriptive, **19**  
 descriptive science, **24**  
 desmosome, **85**  
 desmosomes, **72**  
 detrital food web, **534, 563**  
 Deuteromycota, **319**  
 deuterostome, **396**  
 Deuterostomes, **360**  
 diaphragm, **415, 441**  
 diastole, **418, 441**  
 dicot, **351**  
 dicots, **348**  
 Diffusion, **77**  
 diffusion, **85**  
 dihybrid, **183, 194**  
 dioecious, **371, 396**  
 diphyodont, **396**  
 diphyodonts, **392**  
 diploblast, **396**  
 diploblasts, **359**  
 diploid, **136, 149**  
 diploid-dominant, **155, 170**  
 Diplontic, **327**  
 diplontic, **351**  
 disaccharide, **51**  
 Disaccharides, **41**  
 discontinuous variation, **174, 194**  
 dispersal, **263, 270**  
 divergent evolution, **253, 270**  
 DNA ligase, **205, 220**  
 DNA polymerase, **205, 220**  
 domain, **288**  
 domains, **276**  
 Dominant, **177**  
 dominant, **194**  
 dorsal hollow nerve cord, **382, 396**  
 double helix, **201, 220**  
 down feather, **396**  
 down feathers, **391**  
 down-regulation, **422, 441**

**E**

Echinodermata, **380, 396**  
 ecosystem, **12, 24, 530, 563**  
 ecosystem diversity, **569, 590**  
 ecosystem services, **560, 563**  
 ectotherm, **441**

ectotherms, **404**  
 effector cell, **472**  
 effector cells, **464**  
 electrocardiogram (ECG), **419, 441**  
 electrochemical gradient, **81, 85**  
 electromagnetic spectrum, **123, 132**  
 electron, **28, 51**  
 electron transfer, **31, 51**  
 electron transport chain, **105, 113**  
 element, **51**  
 elements, **28**  
 Emergent vegetation, **562**  
 emergent vegetation, **563**  
 Endemic species, **571**  
 endemic species, **590**  
 endergonic, **113**  
 endergonic reactions, **96**  
 endocrine gland, **441**  
 endocrine glands, **421**  
 Endocytosis, **82**  
 endocytosis, **85**  
 endomembrane system, **64, 85**  
 endoplasmic reticulum (ER), **65, 85**  
 endosymbiosis, **319**  
 endosymbiotic theory, **303**  
 endotherm, **404, 441**  
 environmental disturbance, **525**  
 environmental disturbances, **523**  
 enzyme, **51, 113**  
 Enzymes, **45**  
 enzymes, **97**  
 epidemic, **319**  
 epidemics, **297**  
 epidermis, **364, 396**  
 epigenetic, **216, 220**  
 epistasis, **192, 194**  
 Equilibrium, **531**  
 equilibrium, **563**  
 esophagus, **408, 441**  
 essential nutrient, **441**  
 essential nutrients, **413**  
 estrogen, **491, 495**  
 Estuaries, **559**  
 estuary, **563**  
 eucoelomate, **396**  
 eucoelomates, **360**  
 eudicots, **347, 351**  
 eukaryote, **24**  
 eukaryotes, **10**  
 eukaryotic cell, **60, 85**  
 euploid, **165, 170**

eutherian mammal, **396**  
 Eutherian mammals, **393**  
 eutrophication, **542, 564**  
 evaporation, **35, 51**  
 evolution, **12, 24**  
 Excavata, **306, 319**  
 exergonic, **113**  
 exergonic reactions, **96**  
 exocrine gland, **441**  
 Exocrine glands, **421**  
 Exocytosis, **83**  
 exocytosis, **85**  
 exon, **220**  
 exons, **212**  
 Exotic species, **579**  
 exotic species, **590**  
 exponential growth, **504, 525**  
 external fertilization, **481, 495**  
 extinction, **570, 590**  
 extinction rate, **590**  
 extinction rates, **584**  
 extracellular digestion, **365, 396**  
 extracellular matrix, **70, 85**  
 extremophile, **319**  
 extremophiles, **294**

**F**

F<sub>1</sub>, **175, 194**  
 F<sub>2</sub>, **175, 194**  
 facilitated transport, **78, 85**  
 fallout, **546, 564**  
 falsifiable, **20, 24**  
 family, **276, 288**  
 fat, **43, 51**  
 Feedback inhibition, **102**  
 feedback inhibition, **113**  
 fermentation, **108, 113**  
 fern, **351**  
 ferns, **336**  
 fertilization, **157, 170**  
 fibrous joint, **441**  
 fibrous joints, **428**  
 filament, **344, 351**  
 Fission, **478**  
 fission, **495**  
 Flagella, **64**  
 flagellum, **85**  
 fluid mosaic model, **74, 85**  
 follicle stimulating hormone (FSH), **490, 495**  
 food chain, **531, 564**  
 food web, **533, 564**  
 foodborne disease, **299, 319**  
 Foundation species, **521**  
 foundation species, **525**

founder effect, 257, 270  
 fragmentation, 363, 396, 495  
 Fragmentation, 479  
 frog, 396  
 Frogs, 389  
 frontal lobe, 436, 441  
 FtsZ, 147, 149

## G

Go phase, 141, 149  
 G1 phase, 137, 149  
 G2 phase, 138, 149  
 gallbladder, 411, 441  
 gametangia, 327  
 gametangium, 351  
 gamete, 149  
 gametes, 136  
 gametophyte, 170, 327, 351  
 gametophytes, 157  
 gap junction, 85  
 Gap junctions, 72  
 gastrodermis, 364, 396  
 gastrovascular cavity, 365, 396  
 gastrulation, 484, 495  
 Gel electrophoresis, 226  
 gel electrophoresis, 245  
 gemmule, 396  
 gemmules, 363  
 gene, 149  
 gene expression, 216, 220  
 gene flow, 257, 270  
 gene pool, 254, 270  
 Gene therapy, 233  
 gene therapy, 245  
 genes, 136  
 genetic code, 214, 220  
 Genetic diversity, 569  
 genetic diversity, 590  
 genetic drift, 255, 270  
 genetic engineering, 232, 245  
 genetic map, 236, 245  
 genetic testing, 245  
 genetically modified organism, 232  
 genetically modified organism (GMO), 245  
 genome, 136, 149  
 genomics, 236, 245  
 genotype, 178, 194  
 genus, 276, 288  
 germ cell, 170  
 germ cells, 155  
 germ layer, 396  
 germ layers, 359  
 gestation, 493, 495

gestation period, 493, 495  
 gingkophyte, 351  
 ginkgophyte, 342  
 glia, 432, 441  
 Glomeromycota, 314, 319  
 Glycogen, 41  
 glycogen, 51  
 Glycolysis, 103  
 glycolysis, 113  
 glycoprotein, 451, 472  
 gnathostome, 396  
 Gnathostomes, 386  
 gnetophyte, 351  
 Gnetophytes, 342  
 Golgi apparatus, 66, 86  
 gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH), 490, 495  
 Gram-negative, 295, 319  
 Gram-positive, 295, 319  
 granum, 121, 132  
 grazing food web, 534, 564  
 gross primary productivity, 535, 564  
 gymnosperm, 351  
 Gymnosperms, 339  
 gynoecium, 344, 351

## H

habitat heterogeneity, 572, 590  
 hagfish, 396  
 Hagfishes, 385  
 haplodiploitic, 327, 351  
 haploid, 136, 149  
 haploid-dominant, 155, 170  
 Haplontic, 327  
 haplontic, 351  
 heat energy, 94, 113  
 helicase, 205, 220  
 helper T lymphocyte (T<sub>H</sub>), 472  
 hemizygous, 189, 194  
 hemocoel, 371, 396  
 herbaceous, 349, 351  
 Hermaphroditism, 480  
 hermaphroditism, 495  
 heterodont teeth, 392, 396  
 heterosporous, 327, 351  
 heterotroph, 132  
 Heterotrophs, 118  
 heterozygous, 179, 194  
 hippocampus, 436, 441  
 homeostasis, 8, 24  
 homologous chromosomes, 136, 149  
 homologous structure, 270  
 homologous structures, 253

homosporous, 327, 351  
 homozygous, 178, 194  
 hormone, 51, 441  
 hormone receptors, 421  
 Hormones, 45, 421  
 hornwort, 351  
 hornworts, 333  
 horsetail, 351  
 Horsetails, 335  
 host, 519, 525  
 human beta chorionic gonadotropin ( $\beta$ -HCG), 493, 495  
 humoral immune response, 460, 472  
 hybridization, 194  
 hybridizations, 175  
 hydrogen bond, 33, 51  
 hydrophilic, 34, 52  
 hydrophobic, 34, 52  
 hydrosphere, 537, 564  
 hydrothermal vent, 293, 319  
 hyoid bone, 427, 441  
 hypersensitivity, 469, 472  
 hypertonic, 79, 86  
 hypha, 312, 319  
 hypothalamus, 437, 441  
 hypothesis, 18, 24  
 hypothesis-based science, 19, 24  
 hypotonic, 79, 86

## I

immune tolerance, 468, 473  
 Immunodeficiency, 469  
 immunodeficiency, 473  
 incomplete dominance, 186, 194  
 Inductive reasoning, 18  
 inductive reasoning, 24  
 inferior vena cava, 417, 441  
 inflammation, 457, 473  
 inheritance of acquired characteristics, 250, 270  
 inhibin, 491, 495  
 Innate immunity, 456  
 innate immunity, 473  
 inner cell mass, 483, 495  
 interferon, 457, 473  
 interkinesis, 161, 170  
 internal fertilization, 481, 495  
 interphase, 137, 149  
 interstitial cell of Leydig, 495  
 interstitial cells of Leydig, 485  
 interstitial fluid, 406, 441  
 intertidal zone, 555, 564

intracellular, 421  
intracellular digestion, 362, 396  
intracellular hormone receptor, 441  
intraspecific competition, 506, 525  
intron, 220  
introns, 212  
ion, 31, 52  
ionic bond, 32, 52  
Island biogeography, 521  
island biogeography, 525  
isotonic, 80, 86  
isotope, 52  
Isotopes, 29

## J

J-shaped growth curve, 505, 525  
joint, 428, 442

## K

K-selected species, 510, 525  
karyogram, 164, 170  
karyotype, 164, 170  
keystone species, 522, 525  
kidney, 442  
kidneys, 406  
kinetic energy, 95, 113  
kinetochore, 140, 149  
kingdom, 276, 288

## L

labia majora, 487, 495  
labia minora, 487, 495  
lagging strand, 205, 220  
lamprey, 396  
Lampreys, 386  
lancelet, 396  
Lancelets, 384  
large intestine, 411, 442  
larynx, 415, 442  
lateral, 387  
lateral line, 397  
law of dominance, 179, 194  
law of independent assortment, 183, 194  
law of segregation, 181, 194  
leading strand, 205, 220  
lichen, 319  
Lichens, 317  
life cycle, 170  
life cycles, 154  
life science, 24

life sciences, 18  
life table, 525  
life tables, 500  
light-dependent reaction, 132  
light-dependent reactions, 121  
limbic system, 437, 442  
line, 387  
linkage, 191, 194  
Lipids, 42  
lipids, 52  
litmus, 37  
litmus paper, 52  
liver, 411, 442  
liverwort, 352  
Liverworts, 333  
locus, 136, 149  
logistic growth, 505, 525  
Lophotrochozoa, 374, 397  
luteinizing hormone (LH), 490, 495  
Lymph, 466  
lymph, 473  
lymphocyte, 458, 473  
lysosome, 86  
lysosomes, 66

## M

macroevolution, 254, 270  
macromolecule, 24, 52  
macromolecules, 9, 39  
macrophage, 457, 473  
madreporite, 381, 397  
major histocompatibility class (MHC) I, 473  
major histocompatibility class (MHC) I molecules, 458  
major histocompatibility class (MHC) II molecule, 473  
mammal, 397  
Mammals, 392  
mammary gland, 397  
Mammary glands, 392  
mantle, 375, 397  
mark and recapture, 501, 525  
marsupial, 397  
Marsupials, 392  
mass number, 28, 52  
mast cell, 473  
Mast cells, 457  
Matter, 28  
matter, 52  
maximum parsimony, 287, 288  
medusa, 364, 397  
megasporocyte, 339, 352  
meiosis, 154, 170

meiosis I, 157, 170  
Meiosis II, 157  
meiosis II, 170  
membrane potential, 442  
memory cell, 464, 473  
meninges, 435, 442  
menstrual cycle, 491, 495  
mesoglea, 364, 397  
mesohyl, 362, 397  
mesophyll, 120, 132  
metabolism, 92, 114  
Metagenomics, 240  
metagenomics, 245  
metamerism, 379, 397  
metaphase, 140, 149  
metaphase plate, 140, 149  
MHC class II molecule, 461  
microbial mat, 293, 320  
microevolution, 254, 270  
microscope, 56, 86  
microsporocyte, 352  
microsporocytes, 339  
migration, 255, 270  
mimicry, 516, 525  
mineral, 442  
Minerals, 413  
mismatch repair, 208, 220  
Mitochondria, 68  
mitochondria, 86  
mitosis, 138, 149  
mitotic, 137, 138  
mitotic phase, 149  
mitotic spindle, 149  
model organism, 245  
model organisms, 238  
model system, 174, 194  
modern synthesis, 254, 270  
mold, 320  
molds, 313  
molecular systematics, 284, 288  
molecule, 9, 24  
Mollusca, 374, 397  
monocot, 352  
monocots, 347  
monocyte, 457, 473  
monoecious, 363, 397  
monohybrid, 180, 194  
monophyletic group, 285, 288  
monosaccharide, 52  
Monosaccharides, 40  
monosomy, 165, 170  
monotreme, 397  
monotremes, 392  
mortality rate, 502, 525  
moss, 352  
mosses, 334

mRNA, 210, 220  
 MRSA, 320  
 mutation, 209, 220  
 mutualism, 519, 525  
 mycelium, 312, 320  
 Mycorrhiza, 316  
 mycorrhiza, 320  
 mycoses, 315  
 mycosis, 320  
 myelin sheath, 433, 442  
 myofibril, 442  
 myofibrils, 430  
 myofilament, 442  
 myofilaments, 431  
 Myxini, 385, 397

## N

nacre, 376, 397  
 nasal cavity, 415, 442  
 natural killer (NK) cell, 458, 473  
 natural science, 24  
 natural sciences, 18  
 Natural selection, 251  
 natural selection, 270  
 nematocyst, 397  
 nematocysts, 363  
 Nematoda, 370, 397  
 nephron, 442  
 nephrons, 407  
 neritic zone, 556, 564  
 Net primary productivity, 535  
 net primary productivity, 564  
 neuron, 442  
 neurons, 432  
 neutron, 52  
 Neutrons, 28  
 neutrophil, 458, 473  
 nitrogenous base, 200, 220  
 non-renewable resource, 541, 564  
 noncompetitive inhibition, 100, 114  
 nondisjunction, 164, 170  
 nonpolar covalent bond, 52  
 Nonpolar covalent bonds, 32  
 nontemplate strand, 211, 220  
 nonvascular plant, 352  
 nonvascular plants, 331  
 notochord, 382, 397  
 nuclear envelope, 65, 86  
 nucleic acid, 52  
 nucleic acids, 49  
 nucleolus, 65, 86  
 nucleotide, 52

nucleotide excision repair, 208, 220  
 nucleotides, 49  
 nucleus, 28, 52, 65, 86

## O

occipital lobe, 436, 442  
 oceanic zone, 556, 564  
 octet rule, 31, 52  
 oil, 52  
 oils, 44  
 Okazaki fragments, 205, 220  
 oncogene, 150  
 oncogenes, 143  
 one-child policy, 513, 525  
 oogenesis, 488, 495  
 open circulatory system, 442  
 Open circulatory systems, 417  
 Opisthokonta, 306, 320  
 oral cavity, 409, 442  
 order, 276, 288  
 organ, 24  
 organ system, 10, 24  
 organelle, 24, 86  
 organelles, 10, 60  
 organism, 24  
 Organisms, 10  
 organogenesis, 484, 496  
 Organs, 10  
 origin, 145, 150  
 osculum, 362, 397  
 osmolarity, 79, 86  
 Osmoregulation, 406  
 osmoregulation, 442  
 Osmosis, 79  
 osmosis, 86  
 osmotic balance, 406, 442  
 Osteichthyes, 387, 397  
 ostracoderm, 397  
 ostracoderms, 385  
 ovarian cycle, 491, 496  
 ovary, 344, 352  
 oviduct, 496  
 oviducts, 487  
 oviparity, 482, 496  
 ovoviparity, 482, 496  
 ovulation, 492, 496  
 oxidative phosphorylation, 105, 114

## P

P, 175, 194  
 pancreas, 411, 423, 442  
 pandemic, 320  
 pandemics, 297

paper, 37  
 parasite, 320, 519, 525  
 parasites, 305  
 parasympathetic nervous system, 439, 442  
 parathyroid gland, 442  
 parathyroid glands, 423  
 parietal lobe, 436, 442  
 Parthenogenesis, 480  
 parthenogenesis, 496  
 passive immune, 461  
 passive immunity, 473  
 Passive transport, 77  
 passive transport, 86  
 pathogen, 296, 320  
 pectoral girdle, 428, 442  
 peer-reviewed article, 24  
 Peer-reviewed articles, 23  
 pelagic realm, 555, 564  
 pellicle, 320  
 pellicles, 305  
 pelvic girdle, 428, 442  
 penis, 485, 496  
 pepsin, 410, 442  
 peptidoglycan, 295, 320  
 periodic table of elements, 29, 52  
 peripheral nervous system (PNS), 437, 442  
 peristalsis, 408, 442  
 permafrost, 553, 564  
 peroxisome, 86  
 Peroxisomes, 68  
 petal, 352  
 Petals, 344  
 Petromyzontidae, 386, 397  
 pH scale, 37, 52  
 Phagocytosis, 83  
 phagocytosis, 86  
 Pharmacogenomics, 240  
 pharmacogenomics, 245  
 pharyngeal slit, 397  
 Pharyngeal slits, 382  
 pharynx, 415, 442  
 phase, 137  
 phenotype, 178, 194  
 phloem, 334, 352  
 phosphate group, 200, 220  
 phospholipid, 52  
 Phospholipids, 45  
 photic zone, 555, 564  
 photoautotroph, 132, 564  
 photoautotrophs, 118, 535  
 photon, 124, 132  
 photosystem, 124, 132  
 phototroph, 320

phototrophs, 292  
phylogenetic tree, 14, 24, 279, 288  
phylogeny, 276, 288  
phylum, 276, 288  
physical map, 245  
Physical maps, 236  
physical science, 24  
physical sciences, 18  
pigment, 120, 132  
pinocytosis, 83, 86  
pioneer species, 524, 526  
pistil, 344, 352  
pituitary gland, 422, 443  
placenta, 493, 496  
planktivore, 564  
planktivores, 558  
plasma membrane, 63, 86  
plasmid, 228, 245  
plasmodesma, 86  
Plasmodesmata, 71  
plastid, 303, 320  
pneumatic, 391  
pneumatic bone, 397  
polar covalent bond, 32, 52  
Polymerase chain reaction (PCR), 227  
polymerase chain reaction (PCR), 245  
polyp, 364, 397  
polypeptide, 46, 52  
polyploid, 167, 170  
polysaccharide, 41, 52  
population, 12, 24  
population density, 500, 526  
population genetics, 254, 270  
population size, 500, 526  
Porifera, 361, 397  
post-anal tail, 383, 397  
post-transcriptional, 217, 220  
post-translational, 217, 220  
potential energy, 95, 114  
primary bronchi, 415  
primary bronchus, 443  
primary consumer, 564  
primary consumers, 531  
primary immune response, 464, 473  
primary succession, 523, 526  
Primates, 393, 397  
primer, 205, 221  
producer, 564  
producers, 531  
progesterone, 491, 496  
prokaryote, 24  
Prokaryotes, 10

prokaryotic cell, 59, 86  
prometaphase, 139, 150  
promoter, 210, 221  
prophase, 139, 150  
Prosimians, 393  
prosimians, 398  
prostate gland, 486, 496  
protein, 52  
protein signature, 243, 245  
Proteins, 45  
proteomics, 243, 245  
proto-oncogene, 150  
proto-oncogenes, 143  
proton, 28, 52  
protostome, 398  
Protostomes, 360  
pseudocoelomate, 398  
pseudocoelomates, 360  
pseudopeptidoglycan, 296, 320  
pulmonary circulation, 417, 443  
Punnett square, 180, 194

## Q

quadrat, 501, 526  
quiescent, 150

## R

*r*-selected species, 510, 526  
radial symmetry, 358, 398  
radioactive isotope, 52  
radioactive isotopes, 29  
radula, 374, 398  
receptor-mediated endocytosis, 83, 86  
Recessive, 177  
recessive, 195  
reciprocal cross, 177, 195  
recombinant, 158, 170  
recombinant DNA, 230, 245  
recombinant protein, 245  
recombinant proteins, 230  
recombination, 191, 195  
rectum, 411, 443  
reduction division, 162, 170  
Relative species abundance, 521  
relative species abundance, 526  
renal artery, 407, 443  
renal vein, 407, 443  
replication fork, 221  
replication forks, 205  
Reproductive cloning, 230  
reproductive cloning, 245  
resilience, 531  
resilience (ecological), 564

resistance, 531  
resistance (ecological), 564  
restriction enzyme, 245  
restriction enzymes, 229  
reverse genetics, 232, 245  
Rhizaria, 306, 320  
ribonucleic acid (RNA), 49, 52  
ribosome, 86  
Ribosomes, 68  
RNA polymerase, 211, 221  
rooted, 279, 288  
rough endoplasmic reticulum (RER), 65, 86  
rRNA, 213, 221

## S

S phase, 138, 150  
S-shaped curve, 505  
S-shaped growth curve, 526  
salamander, 398  
salamanders, 388  
salivary gland, 443  
salivary glands, 409  
saprobe, 320  
saprobes, 310  
sarcolemma, 430, 443  
sarcomere, 431, 443  
Sarcopterygii, 387, 398  
saturated fatty acid, 52  
Saturated fatty acids, 44  
savanna, 564  
Savannas, 549  
Science, 17  
science, 19, 25  
scientific law, 25  
scientific laws, 18  
scientific method, 18, 25  
scientific theory, 18, 25  
scrotum, 485, 496  
sebaceous gland, 398  
Sebaceous glands, 392  
secondary consumer, 564  
Secondary consumers, 531  
secondary immune response, 465, 473  
secondary plant compound, 590  
secondary plant compounds, 572  
secondary succession, 523, 526  
selectively permeable, 77, 86  
Semen, 485  
semen, 496  
semiconservative replication, 205, 221  
seminal vesicle, 496

seminal vesicles, 486  
 seminiferous tubule, 496  
 seminiferous tubules, 485  
 sensory-somatic nervous system, 437, 443  
 sepal, 352  
 sepals, 344  
 septum, 145, 150, 313, 320  
 Sertoli cell, 496  
 Sertoli cells, 485  
 set point, 404, 443  
 sex determination, 481, 496  
 sexual reproduction, 478, 496  
 shared ancestral character, 286, 288  
 shared derived character, 286, 288  
 sister taxa, 279, 288  
 Skeletal muscle tissue, 430  
 skeletal muscle tissue, 443  
 skull, 427, 443  
 small intestine, 410, 443  
 smooth endoplasmic reticulum (SER), 66, 86  
 Smooth muscle tissue, 430  
 smooth muscle tissue, 443  
 solute, 79, 86  
 solvent, 36, 53  
 somatic cell, 157, 170  
 source water, 561, 564  
 speciation, 262, 270  
 species, 276, 288  
 species distribution pattern, 501, 526  
 Species richness, 520  
 species richness, 526  
 species-area relationship, 584, 590  
 spermatogenesis, 488, 496  
 Sphenodontia, 391, 398  
 spicule, 398  
 spicules, 362  
 spinal cord, 443  
 spindle, 138  
 spiracle, 398  
 spiracles, 371  
 splicing, 212, 221  
 spongocoel, 362, 398  
 sporangia, 327  
 sporangium, 352  
 sporophyll, 352  
 sporophylls, 335  
 sporophyte, 157, 170, 327, 352  
 Squamata, 391, 398  
 stamen, 352  
 stamens, 344

Starch, 41  
 starch, 53  
 start codon, 214, 221  
 stereoscopic vision, 393, 398  
 steroid, 53  
 steroids, 45  
 stigma, 344, 352  
 stoma, 132  
 stomach, 410, 443  
 stomata, 120  
 stop codon, 221  
 stop codons, 214  
 Strobili, 335  
 strobili, 352  
 stroma, 121, 132  
 stromatolite, 293, 320  
 style, 344, 352  
 subduction, 541, 564  
 substrate, 114  
 substrates, 98  
 subtropical desert, 564  
 Subtropical deserts, 549  
 sudoriferous gland, 398  
 Sudoriferous glands, 392  
 superior vena cava, 417, 443  
 surface tension, 36, 53  
 survivorship curve, 503, 526  
 swim bladder, 387, 398  
 sympathetic nervous system, 438, 443  
 Sympatric speciation, 262  
 sympatric speciation, 270  
 synapse, 443  
 synapses, 432  
 synapsis, 158, 170  
 synaptic cleft, 435, 443  
 syngamy, 327, 352  
 Synovial joints, 428  
 synovial joints, 443  
 systematics, 276, 288  
 systemic circulation, 417, 443  
 systole, 418, 443

## T

T cell, 473  
 T cells, 460  
 tadpole, 389, 398  
 taxon, 276, 288  
 Taxonomy, 276  
 taxonomy, 288  
 telomerase, 206, 221  
 telomere, 221  
 telomeres, 206  
 telophase, 140, 150  
 temperate forest, 564

Temperate forests, 552  
 temperate grassland, 565  
 Temperate grasslands, 551  
 Temperature, 35  
 temperature, 53  
 template strand, 211, 221  
 temporal lobe, 436, 443  
 tertiary consumer, 565  
 Tertiary consumers, 531  
 test cross, 181, 195  
 testes, 485, 496  
 Testosterone, 490  
 testosterone, 496  
 Testudines, 391, 398  
 tetrad, 171  
 tetrads, 158  
*Tetrapod*, 383  
 tetrapod, 398  
 thalamus, 437, 443  
 thallus, 312, 320  
 Thermodynamics, 93  
 thermodynamics, 114  
 thoracic cage, 428, 444  
 threshold of excitation, 432, 444  
 thylakoid, 132  
 thylakoids, 120  
 thymus, 424, 444  
 thyroid gland, 423, 444  
 tight junction, 72, 87  
 tissue, 25  
 tissues, 10  
 Tonicity, 79  
 tonicity, 87  
 trachea, 398, 415, 444  
 tracheae, 371  
 tragedy of the commons, 578, 590  
 trait, 176, 195  
 trans-fat, 44, 53  
 transcription bubble, 210, 221  
 transduction, 296, 320  
 transformation, 296, 320  
 transgenic, 232, 245  
 Transgenic, 235  
 translocation, 171  
 translocations, 164  
 tricuspid valve, 417, 444  
 triglyceride, 53  
 triglycerides, 43  
 triploblast, 398  
 triploblasts, 359  
 trisomy, 165, 171  
 tRNA, 221  
 tRNAs, 213  
 trophic level, 531, 565  
 trophoblast, 483, 496

tropical rainforest, 565  
Tropical rainforests, 548  
tumor suppressor gene, 150  
Tumor suppressor genes, 144  
tunicate, 398  
tunicates, 383

## U

unified cell theory, 59, 87  
unsaturated fatty acid, 44, 53  
up-regulation, 422, 444  
ureter, 407, 444  
urethra, 407, 444  
urinary bladder, 407, 444  
Urochordata, 383, 398  
Urodela, 388, 398  
uterus, 487, 496

## V

vaccine, 455, 473  
vacuole, 87  
vacuoles, 67  
vagina, 487, 496  
van der Waals interaction, 53  
van der Waals interactions, 33  
variable, 20, 25  
variation, 252, 270  
vascular plant, 352  
Vascular plants, 331  
vein, 444  
Veins, 420  
ventricle, 417, 444  
vertebral column, 382, 398, 428, 444  
vesicle, 87  
Vesicles, 67  
vestigial structure, 270  
vestigial structures, 259  
vicariance, 263, 270  
viral envelope, 451, 473  
virion, 451, 473  
vitamin, 444  
Vitamins, 413  
viviparity, 482, 496

## W

water vascular system, 380, 398  
wavelength, 123, 132  
wetland, 565  
Wetlands, 562  
whisk fern, 352  
whisk ferns, 336  
white blood cell, 457, 473  
white-nose syndrome, 580, 590

Whole genome sequencing, 238  
whole genome sequencing, 245  
wild type, 187, 195

## X

X inactivation, 166, 171  
X-linked, 188, 195  
Xylem, 334  
xylem, 352

## Y

yeast, 320  
yeasts, 312

## Z

zero population growth, 505, 526  
zona pellucida, 483, 496  
Zygomycota, 314, 320