18.335 Problem Set 4 Solutions

Problem 1 (15 points)

Trefethen, problem 27.5. The basic answer here is that their *is* a big roundoff error, but it is in the direction of the eigenvector we want, so we don't care (since overall scale factors are irrelevant to eigenvector computations).

In finite precision, instead of $w = A^{-1}v$, we will get $\tilde{w} = w + \delta w$ where $\delta w = -(A + \delta A)^{-1}\delta A w$ (from the formula on page 95), where $\delta A = O(\varepsilon_{\text{machine}})\|A\|$ is the backwards error. [Note that we cannot use $\delta w \approx -A^{-1}\delta A w$, which neglects the $\delta A\delta w$ terms, because in this case δw is not small.] The key point, however, is to show that δw is mostly parallel to q_1 , the eigenvector corresponding to the smallest-magnitude eigenvalue λ_1 (it is given that all other eigenvalues have magnitude $\geq |\lambda_2| \gg |\lambda_1|$). Since w is also mostly parallel to q_1 , this will mean that $\tilde{w}/\|\tilde{w}\|_2 \approx q_1 \approx w/\|w\|_2$.

First, exactly as in our analysis of the power method, note that $w = A^{-1}v = \alpha_1q_1[1 + O(\lambda_1/\lambda_2)]$, since A^{-1} amplifies the q_1 component of v by $1/|\lambda_1|$ which is much bigger than the inverse of all the other eigenvalues. Thus, $w/||w||_2 = q_1[\pm 1 + O(\lambda_1/\lambda_2)]$, where the ± 1 is an arbitrary phase (or $e^{i\phi}$ if we are talking about complex vectors).

Second, if we Taylor-expand $(A + \delta A)^{-1}$ in powers of δA , i.e. in powers of $\varepsilon_{\text{machine}}$, we obtain: $(A + \delta A)^{-1} = A^{-1} - A^{-1} \delta A A^{-1} + O(\varepsilon_{\text{machine}}^2)$. Since all of the terms in this expansion are multiplied on the *left* by A^{-1} , when multiplied by *any* vector they will again amplify the q_1 component much more than any other component. In particular, the vector $\delta A w$ is a vector in a random direction (since δA comes from roundoff and is essentially random) and hence will have some nonzero q_1 component. Thus, $\delta w = -(A + \delta A)^{-1} \delta A w = \beta_1 q_1 [1 + O(\lambda_1/\lambda_2)]$ for some constant β_1 .

Putting these things together, we see that $\tilde{w} = (\alpha_1 + \beta_1)q_1[1 + O(\lambda_1/\lambda_2)]$, and hence $\tilde{w}/\|\tilde{w}\|_2 = q_1[\pm 1 + O(\lambda_1/\lambda_2)] = \frac{w}{\|\tilde{w}\|_2}[\pm 1 + O(\lambda_1/\lambda_2)]$. Q.E.D.

Problem 2 (5+5+5+5 pts):

Trefethen, problem 33.2:

(a) In this case, the q_{n+1} vector is multiplied by a zero row in \tilde{H}_n , and we can simplify 33.13 to $AQ_n = Q_nH_n$. If we consider the full Hessenberg reduction, $H = Q^*AQ$, it must have a "block Schur" form:

$$H = \left(\begin{array}{cc} H_n & B \\ 0 & H' \end{array}\right),$$

where H' is an $(m-n) \times (m-n)$ upper-Hessenberg matrix and $B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times (m-n)}$. (It is *not* necessarily the case that B = 0; this is only true if A is Hermitian.)

- (b) Q_n is a basis for \mathcal{K}_n , so any vector $x \in \mathcal{K}_n$ can be written as $x = Q_n y$ for some $y \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Hence, from above, $Ax = AQ_n y = Q_n H_n y = Q_n (H_n y) \in \mathcal{K}_n$. Q.E.D.
- (c) The (n+1) basis vector, A^nb , is equal to $A(A^{n-1}b)$ where $A^{n-1}b \in \mathcal{K}_n$. Hence, from above, $A^nb \in \mathcal{K}_n$ and thus $\mathcal{K}_{n+1} = \mathcal{K}_n$. By induction, $\mathcal{K}_{\ell} = \mathcal{K}_n$ for $\ell \geq n$.
- (d) If $H_n y = \lambda y$, then $AQ_n y = Q_n H_n y = \lambda Q_n y$, and hence λ is an eigenvalue of A with eigenvector $Q_n y$.
- (e) If A is nonsingular, then H_n is nonsingular (if it had a zero eigenvalue, A would too from above). Hence, noting that b is proportional to the first column of Q_n , we have:

$$x = A^{-1}b = A^{-1}Q_ne_1\|b\| = A^{-1}Q_nH_nH_n^{-1}e_1\|b\| = A^{-1}AQ_nH_n^{-1}e_1\|b\| = Q_nH_n^{-1}e_1\|b\| \in \mathcal{K}_n.$$
 Q.E.D.

¹Write $(A + \delta A)^{-1} = [A(I + A^{-1}\delta A)]^{-1} = (I + A^{-1}\delta A)^{-1}A^{-1} \approx (I - A^{-1}\delta A)A^{-1} = A^{-1} - A^{-1}\delta AA^{-1}$. Another approach is to let $B = (A + \delta A)^{-1} = B_0 + B_1 + \cdots$ where B_k is the k-th order term in δA , collect terms order-by-order in $I = (B_0 + B_1 + \cdots)(A + \delta A) = B_0A + (B_0\delta A + B_1A) + \cdots$, and you immediately find that $B_0 = A^{-1}$, $B_1 = -B_0\delta AA^{-1} = -A^{-1}\delta AA^{-1}$, and so on.