

# Zumobot case study

Javier Reyes

Hari Kumar Venkatesh

29.6.2017

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>System analysis</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Mathematical development</b>	<b>2</b>
2.1	First approach - Sum of forces . . . . .	2
2.1.1	Dynamic behavior . . . . .	2
2.1.2	Actuator system . . . . .	4
2.2	Second approach - Euler-Lagrange . . . . .	4
<b>3</b>	<b>System model</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Regulator</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Implementation</b>	<b>4</b>

## Introduction

One of the most used study cases in Control Theory is the Inverted Pendulum analysis, as it presents an unstable open-loop characteristic but is also possible to stabilize it on a closed-loop configuration.

Here we present the analysis of the Zumo 32U4, a small robot available in the market. The Zumo 32U4 robot is a complete, versatile robot controlled by an Arduino-compatible ATmega32U4 microcontroller. The Zumo 32U4 robot can be programmed from a computer using any operating system that supports the Arduino environment.

TODO: Complete

## 1 System analysis

An inverted pendulum can be represented as a rigid body pendulum connected to a cart moving on a horizontal axis. Controlling the stability of the Zumo 32U4 robot can be compared to balancing the inverted pendulum on the moving cart.

TODO: Complete

## 2 Mathematical development

Through the numerous literature is possible to find several approaches to obtain a model for the Inverted Pendulum.

To fully model the dynamic behavior of the Zumo robot, we need to consider the equations that govern the movement as rigid body.

TODO: Complete

### 2.1 First approach - Sum of forces

The first approach considered here is described in [1].

#### 2.1.1 Dynamic behavior

The equations of motion are obtained from the sum of forces in the cart for the horizontal direction.

$$F - b \cdot \dot{x} - N = M \cdot \ddot{x} \quad (1)$$

Now considering the pendulum itself, the force applied in the horizontal direction due to the momentum of the pendulum is determined as:

$$\tau = r \cdot F = I \cdot \ddot{\theta} \quad (2)$$

Given the fact that the moment of inertia of a pendulum of mass  $m$  is defined as  $I = m \cdot L^2$ , the previous equation can be rewritten as:

$$F = \frac{I \cdot \ddot{\theta}}{r} = \frac{m \cdot l^2 \cdot \ddot{\theta}}{l} = m \cdot l \cdot \ddot{\theta} \quad (3)$$

Obtaining the component of the force defined in 3 in the horizontal direction:

$$F = m \cdot l \cdot \ddot{\theta} \cdot \cos \theta \quad (4)$$

Now, the component of the centripetal force acting on the pendulum is similar to the one in 3, but the horizontal component of this force is:

$$F = m \cdot l \cdot \dot{\theta}^2 \cdot \sin \theta \quad (5)$$

Summing the defined forces present in the horizontal direction of the pendulum in 4 and 5, we obtain the following expression:

$$N = m \cdot \ddot{x} + m \cdot l \cdot \ddot{\theta} \cdot \cos \theta - m \cdot l \cdot \dot{\theta}^2 \cdot \sin \theta \quad (6)$$

Now we can substitute 6 into 1, we obtain the first equation of motion:

$$F = (M + m)\ddot{x} + b \cdot \dot{x} + m \cdot l \cdot \ddot{\theta} \cdot \cos \theta - m \cdot l \cdot \dot{\theta}^2 \cdot \sin \theta \quad (7)$$

To get the second equation of motion, we sum the forces perpendicular to the pendulum. The vertical components of this forces are considered here to get:

$$P \cdot \sin \theta + N \cdot \cos \theta - m \cdot g \cdot \sin \theta = m \cdot l \cdot \ddot{\theta} + m \cdot \ddot{x} \cdot \cos \theta \quad (8)$$

To get rid of the  $P$  and  $N$  terms, sum the moments around the center of gravity of the pendulum:

$$-P \cdot l \cdot \sin \theta - N \cdot l \cdot \cos \theta = I \cdot \ddot{\theta} \quad (9)$$

Summing up the equations 8 and 9, we obtain the second equation of movement:

$$(I + m \cdot l^2)\ddot{\theta} + m \cdot g \cdot l \cdot \sin \theta = -m \cdot l \cdot \ddot{x} \cdot \cos \theta \quad (10)$$

The obtained equations are non-linear, so they are linearized around the operating point, defined as the top vertical position or  $\pi rad$  from the stable equilibrium position. We need also to define a small angle deviation from the top vertical position, so that  $\theta = \pi + \phi$ .

Under this circumstances, we can deduce that  $\cos \theta \approx -1$ ,  $\sin \theta \approx -\phi$ , and  $\dot{\theta}^2 \approx 0$ . Applying this relations into our movement equations, we obtain:

$$F = (M + m)\ddot{x} + b \cdot \dot{x} - m \cdot l \cdot \ddot{\phi} \quad (11)$$

$$(I + m \cdot l^2)\ddot{\phi} - m \cdot g \cdot l \cdot \phi = m \cdot l \cdot \ddot{x} \quad (12)$$

To obtain the transfer function of the linearized system of equations analytically, we perform the Laplace transform of the system equations:

$$F(s) = (M + m)s^2 \cdot X(s) + b \cdot s \cdot X(s) - m \cdot l \cdot s^2 \cdot \Phi(s) \quad (13)$$

$$(I + m \cdot l^2)s^2 \cdot \Phi(s) - m \cdot g \cdot l \cdot \Phi(s) = m \cdot l \cdot s^2 \cdot X(s) \quad (14)$$

To unify the equations, we solve 13 for  $X(s)$  and then replace it into 14, obtaining:

$$\frac{\Phi(s)}{F(s)} = \frac{m \cdot l \cdot s}{q \cdot s^3 + b(l + m \cdot l^2)s^2 - m \cdot g \cdot l(M + m)s - b \cdot m \cdot g \cdot l} \quad (15)$$

Where:

$$q = (M + m)(l + m \cdot l^2) - (m \cdot l)^2 \quad (16)$$

Assuming a coefficient of friction as zero, we can represent the equation as:

$$\frac{\Phi(s)}{F(s)} = \frac{K_p}{\frac{s^2}{A_p^2} - 1} \quad (17)$$

With:

$$K_p = \frac{1}{(M + m)g}; A_p = \pm \sqrt{\frac{(M + m)m \cdot g \cdot l}{(M + m)(l + m \cdot l^2) - (m \cdot l)^2}} \quad (18)$$

### 2.1.2 Actuator system

TODO: Complete

## 2.2 Second approach - Euler-Lagrange

TODO: Complete

## 3 System model

Text of the section.

## 4 Regulator

Text of the section.

## 5 Implementation

Text of the section.

## List of Figures

## List of Tables

## References

- [1] K. Sultan, *The Inverted Pendulum, Analysis, Design and Implementation*. IEEE, 2003.
- [2] K. Lundberg, “The inverted pendulum system,” 2002.
- [3] F. Jeremic, “Derivation of equations of motion for inverted pendulum problem,” 2012.
- [4] M. Hasan, C. Saha, M. M. Rahman, M. R. I. Sarker, and S. K. Aditya, “Balancing of an inverted pendulum using pd controller,” 2012.
- [5] A. Castro, “Modeling and dynamic analysis of a two wheeled inverted pendulum,” 2012.
- [6] H. Hellman and H. Sunnerman, “Two-wheeled self-balancing robot,” 2015.