

# Hebb’s Vision: The Structural Underpinnings of Hebbian Assemblies

J Wagner-Carena<sup>1,2,3</sup>, S Kate<sup>\*1,5</sup>, T Riordan<sup>\*1</sup>, R Abbasi-Asl<sup>1,4</sup>, J Aman<sup>1</sup>, A Amster<sup>1</sup>, AL Bodor<sup>1</sup>, D Brittain<sup>1</sup>, JA Buchanan<sup>1</sup>, MA Buice<sup>1</sup>, DJ Bumbarger<sup>1</sup>, F Collman<sup>1</sup>, NM da Costa<sup>1</sup>, DJ Denman<sup>1</sup>, SEJ de Vries<sup>1</sup>, E Joyce<sup>1</sup>, D Kapner<sup>1</sup>, CW King<sup>1</sup>, JD Larkin<sup>1</sup>, J Lecoq<sup>1</sup>, D Liu<sup>1</sup>, G Mahalingam<sup>1</sup>, D Millman<sup>1</sup>, J Mölter<sup>6</sup>, C Morrison<sup>1</sup>, RC Reid<sup>1</sup>, CM Schneider-Mizell<sup>1</sup>, S Daniel<sup>1</sup>, S Suckow<sup>1</sup>, KT Takasaki<sup>1</sup>, M Takeno<sup>1</sup>, R Torres<sup>1</sup>, D Vumbaco<sup>1</sup>, J Waters<sup>1</sup>, DG Wyrick<sup>1</sup>, W Yin<sup>1</sup>, J Zhuang<sup>1</sup>, S Mihalas<sup>†1</sup>, and S Berteau<sup>†1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Allen Institute for Brain Science, Seattle, WA, USA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Molecular and Cellular Biology, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, USA

<sup>3</sup>Neuroscience Graduate Program, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA

<sup>4</sup>Department of Neurology, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA

<sup>5</sup>Department of Biomedical Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA, USA

<sup>6</sup>Department of Mathematics, School of Computation, Information and Technology, Technical University of Munich, Germany

## 1 Abstract

In 1949, Donald Hebb proposed that groups of neurons that activate in a stereotypical manner form the organizational building blocks of perception, cognition, and behavior. He theorized that repeated activations induce the structural changes needed to group neurons in such assemblies. Despite Hebb’s enduring influence, testing his predictions at relevant scales has been technically challenging. Here, we test the theory using a novel, large-scale dataset featuring in vivo calcium fluorescence imaging of neural activity with postmortem electron microscopy (EM) for detailed reconstruction of neurons from the same volume of mouse visual neocortex. A coregistration process matches EM-reconstructed neurons to their recorded fluorescence traces. From these traces, we extract cell assemblies from higher-order correlations in neural activity. We then show that these assemblies exhibit key Hebbian properties, including more reliable responses to repeated natural movie inputs than size-matched random ensembles and superior decoding of visual stimuli. Using co-registration to probe structural correlates, we find that neurons that participate in assemblies are significantly more integrated into the structural network than those that do not. Contrary to Hebb’s original prediction, we do not observe a marked increase in the strength of monosynaptic excitatory connections between cells participating in the same assembly. However, we find significantly stronger indirect feed-forward inhibitory connections targeting cells in other assemblies. Intuitively, the delineation of assemblies can be realized either by internal excitation or external inhibition. Our findings support the latter mechanism. These results show the utility of assemblies in perception and provide a structural underpinning. They lay the foundation for future studies looking at the utility of assemblies in cognition and behavior, as well as the mechanisms for the formation and maintenance of such assemblies.

---

\*These individuals contributed equally to this work as second authors

†These individuals contributed equally to this work as senior authors

## 2 Rationale

While Hebb’s seminal postulation of activity-dependent strengthening of synaptic connections — often paraphrased as ‘neurons that fire together, wire together’ — gained widespread acceptance with the discovery of long-term potentiation, a critical aspect of his hypothesis remained untested. Specifically, Hebb proposed that cellular assemblies serve as building blocks of neural circuitry, linking brain structure to circuit computations that drive perception. This hypothesis has eluded direct validation for decades.

Rigorously testing Hebb’s predictions at the level of both neuronal firing and synaptic circuit connectivity has posed significant technical challenges. Multiple studies<sup>1,2,3</sup> have examined the role of cell assembly activity in behavior and cognition, but extracellular electrophysiology and calcium fluorescence imaging alone lack the ability to elucidate connectivity patterns reliably. Other studies<sup>4,5</sup> have examined the details of synaptic connectivity, but in order to validate Hebb’s theory, the synaptic scale must be bridged from structural to functional. Several studies<sup>6,7,8</sup> emerging from the lab of Thomas Mrsic-Flogel have bridged the structural and functional aspects of Hebb’s theory. These combined in vivo calcium imaging and in vitro whole-cell recording. Unfortunately, traditional electrophysiological methods are difficult to apply at scale, so even when many neurons were recorded, the sets of simultaneously recorded cells remained very small. The largest of the above examples<sup>7</sup> features 283 total cells but can examine sets of connections only within groups of two to six cells. These small sets primarily reveal low-order (e.g., monosynaptic) interactions, leaving a 75-year gap at precisely the scope needed to test Hebb’s theory.

The persistence of this gap in understanding is akin to the decades-long search for the Higgs boson, where only recently was theory met with direct evidence after analyzing petabytes of data at the Large Hadron Collider and confirming the proposed origin of mass of all fundamental particles in nature. We hereby present the first study ever to examine Hebb’s assembly theory in a manner that bridges structural and functional aspects at scale to allow examination of higher-order connectivity and correlations. Our study leverages one of the largest multimodal datasets from the murine visual neocortex ever produced. We employ similarity graph clustering (SGC) - previously developed by other groups<sup>9</sup> but yet to be applied to large-scale cortical data - to extract assemblies through higher-order correlations in fluorescence activity, moving beyond traditional low-order pairwise correlation methods to capture complex interactions in the cell population. This is paired with higher-order graph-theoretic analysis of a connectomic network of 852 cells, of which 80 are coregistered to their fluorescence data, bridging one of the largest proofread connectomes ever produced to corresponding activity data.

Our findings uncover evidence of assemblies’ role in the processing and decoding of complex stimuli, consistent with Hebb’s functional postulates. However, the structural underpinnings of these assemblies diverge from his original prediction of strong excitatory connections within each group<sup>10</sup>. Instead, we observe significantly stronger inhibitory chains between assemblies. Such targeted inhibition has been hypothesized to play a crucial role in the delineation of assemblies<sup>11,12</sup>. Our findings offer compelling evidence in support of this hypothesis and mark the first demonstration of inhibition as a mechanism underlying the organization of Hebbian assemblies.

In addition to these insights, we observe a subset of neurons that do not belong to any assembly. These unaffiliated cells exhibit significantly lower betweenness centrality, a graph-theoretical measure of network integration, than their assembly-associated counterparts. The functional role of these unaligned neurons within cortical circuits remains an open question, but they potentially align well with Carandini’s<sup>13,14</sup> proposed distinction between ‘choristers’ and ‘soloists’ in neurons of the primary visual neocortex.

Hebbian assemblies as a fundamental functional unit of information processing hold great scientific potential<sup>15</sup>. Beyond characterizing the relationship between connectivity and activity of these assemblies, we provide strong evidence of the reliability of their response to visual stimuli and their representation of stimulus-specific decodable information. Thus, these findings underscore the potential of assembly activations to be useful in generating perceptions and behavior and open the door for the rigorous application of cell assemblies in a broad range of neuroscientific, psychophysical, physiological, psychological, and even psychiatric inquiries into perception, cognition, and behavior.

## References

- [1] R. Kiani, H. Esteky, K. Mirpour, and K. Tanaka, “Object Category Structure in Response Patterns of Neuronal Population in Monkey Inferior Temporal Cortex,” *Journal of Neurophysiology*, vol. 97, pp. 4296–4309, June 2007. Publisher: American Physiological Society.
- [2] S. Fujisawa, A. Amarasingham, M. T. Harrison, and G. Buzsáki, “Behavior-dependent short-term assembly dynamics in the medial prefrontal cortex,” *Nature Neuroscience*, vol. 11, pp. 823–833, July 2008. Publisher: Nature Publishing Group.
- [3] E. M. Meyers, D. J. Freedman, G. Kreiman, E. K. Miller, and T. Poggio, “Dynamic Population Coding of Category Information in Inferior Temporal and Prefrontal Cortex,” *Journal of Neurophysiology*, vol. 100, pp. 1407–1419, Sept. 2008. Publisher: American Physiological Society.
- [4] H. Markram, J. Lübke, M. Frotscher, and B. Sakmann, “Regulation of Synaptic Efficacy by Coincidence of Postsynaptic APs and EPSPs,” *Science*, vol. 275, pp. 213–215, Jan. 1997. Publisher: American Association for the Advancement of Science.
- [5] G.-q. Bi and M.-m. Poo, “Synaptic Modifications in Cultured Hippocampal Neurons: Dependence on Spike Timing, Synaptic Strength, and Postsynaptic Cell Type,” *Journal of Neuroscience*, vol. 18, pp. 10464–10472, Dec. 1998. Publisher: Society for Neuroscience Section: ARTICLE.
- [6] H. Ko, S. B. Hofer, B. Pichler, K. A. Buchanan, P. J. Sjöström, and T. D. Mrsic-Flogel, “Functional specificity of local synaptic connections in neocortical networks,” *Nature*, vol. 473, pp. 87–91, May 2011. Publisher: Nature Publishing Group.
- [7] H. Ko, L. Cossell, C. Baragli, J. Antolik, C. Clopath, S. B. Hofer, and T. D. Mrsic-Flogel, “The emergence of functional microcircuits in visual cortex,” *Nature*, vol. 496, pp. 96–100, Apr. 2013. Publisher: Nature Publishing Group.
- [8] L. Cossell, M. F. Iacaruso, D. R. Muir, R. Houlton, E. N. Sader, H. Ko, S. B. Hofer, and T. D. Mrsic-Flogel, “Functional organization of excitatory synaptic strength in primary visual cortex,” *Nature*, vol. 518, pp. 399–403, Feb. 2015. Publisher: Nature Publishing Group.
- [9] J. Mölter, L. Avitan, and G. J. Goodhill, “Detecting neural assemblies in calcium imaging data,” *BMC biology*, vol. 16, p. 143, Nov. 2018.
- [10] D. Hebb, *The Organization of Behavior*. New York: John Wiley & Sons, 1949.
- [11] D. O. Hebb, “The semiautonomous process: Its nature and nurture,” *American Psychologist*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 16–27, 1963. Place: US Publisher: American Psychological Association.
- [12] G. Buzsáki, “Neural Syntax: Cell Assemblies, Synapsembles, and Readers,” *Neuron*, vol. 68, pp. 362–385, Nov. 2010.
- [13] M. Okun, N. A. Steinmetz, L. Cossell, M. F. Iacaruso, H. Ko, P. Barthó, T. Moore, S. B. Hofer, T. D. Mrsic-Flogel, M. Carandini, and K. D. Harris, “Diverse coupling of neurons to populations in sensory cortex,” *Nature*, vol. 521, pp. 511–515, May 2015. Publisher: Nature Publishing Group.
- [14] L. Bachatene, V. Bharmauria, S. Cattani, N. Chanauria, J. Rouat, and S. Molotchnikoff, “Electrophysiological and firing properties of neurons: Categorizing soloists and choristers in primary visual cortex,” *Neuroscience Letters*, vol. 604, pp. 103–108, Sept. 2015.
- [15] H. Eichenbaum, “Barlow versus Hebb: When is it time to abandon the notion of feature detectors and adopt the cell assembly as the unit of cognition?,” *Neuroscience Letters*, vol. 680, pp. 88–93, July 2018.