

Research Proposal Title

IZZMINHAL AKMAL BIN NORHISYAM 242UC240JF 25%

CHOW YING TONG 242UC244NK 25%

CHOONG JIA XUEN 242UC244K1 25%

LIM YU XUAN 251UC18043 25%

September 20, 2025

Executive Summary

This includes the problem statement, objectives, research methodology, expected output and significance of output in a summary form.

Keywords: keyword1, keyword2

1 Introduction

The field of artificial intelligence (AI) is experiencing a major boom, best captured by the exponential growth in the computational resources required to train the latest, top-notch models. Sevilla and Roldán (2024) report that the amount of training compute increased by 4.4 times annually between February 2022 and May 2024. The widespread use of AI in companies across various industries is accompanied by an ever-increasing computational demand for training AI models. According to Maslej et al. (2025), 78% of businesses used AI in at least one business function, up from 55% in 2023 (p. 262).

Modern AI's increased processing capacity depends on millions of physical servers that are driven by enormous data centers located all over the world. These advanced infrastructures greatly increase the carbon footprint of the AI landscape, despite helping to enable training and powering the most recent AI models. Embodied carbon, or the emissions released during the manufacturing of hardware components, accounts for the largest portion of AI's total carbon emissions, as noted by Wu et al. (2022) in their research (p. 5).

Other than the manufacturing stage, another overlooked aspect when it comes to evaluating the carbon emissions of AI is the end-of-life phase for the same hardware components. Nowadays, especially with the cycle of accelerated hardware obsolescence, the hardware devices that can no longer accommodate the increasing computational demands eventually results in electronic waste (e-waste).

2 Problem Statement

The Root Problem

The obsolescence of hardware that are no longer able to meet the computational demands of AI creates a global e-waste crisis, which is not adequately addressed by existing research and current industry practices. The scale of the problem, and the potential solutions to it are still unclear.

Research Gaps

Through analyzing multiple research works, several research gaps can be identified:

1. **Lack of Long-Term E-Waste Forecasting:** Although Wang et al. (2024) present a foundational model that forecasts e-waste, its scope is limited to only the year 2030. Infrastructure policy planning and developing standard industry practices for dealing with obsolete devices are usually long-term efforts, a forecast that extends to at least 2035 is necessary.
2. **Ethical and Regulatory Gaps:** As highlighted by Zhuk (2023), there is an ethical and legal grey area when it comes to handling e-waste. The toxic components of e-waste from developed countries usually end up in the landfills of developing nations that do not have the capacity or recycling infrastructure to dispose of the e-waste properly. There is a need to revamp existing disposal policies to address this issue.
3. **Absence of Quantified Solutions:** Although Wang et al. (2024) found circular economy strategies for hardware devices to be useful in reducing e-waste by 58%, the precise implementation methods for circular economy is unclear and not well-documented.

Analysis and Comparison of Possible Research Problems

Based on the research gaps identified, there are several research problems that arise:

1. **The Strategic Planning Problem:** Without accurate, long-term projections of AI-driven e-waste after 2030, governments and businesses are unable to make strategic, well-informed decisions regarding the development of sustainable hardware, the allocation of resources for waste management, and future recycling infrastructure. As a result, society is not ready for the full impact of the e-waste wave that is anticipated to occur in the next ten to fifteen years.
2. **The Environmental Injustice Problem:** There is a serious environmental injustice issue as a result of the lack of clear international regulations and moral standards for the disposal of AI e-waste. The harmful effects of the AI revolution are disproportionately felt by developing countries, which have not profited from the advancement of the technology and are now dealing with chronic soil contamination, water pollution, and public health emergencies.
3. **The Implementation Issue:** Although the AI sector is aware of the concepts of circular economy, there is a serious implementation issue. Businesses are reluctant to invest in strategies like hardware lifespan extension or module reuse because there are no standardized models or metrics to assess their efficacy and economic feasibility. This inaction perpetuates the linear "take-make-dispose" model, directly contributing to the growing e-waste crisis.

Despite the enormous global significance of problem (2), solving the fundamental problems of international law and trade policy would necessitate a scope that goes well beyond this computer science research project. Similarly, the highly proprietary nature of hardware life cycle data and the absence of standardized measurement models hinder a thorough investigation, even though Problem (3) is crucial for the AI landscape.

Selection of A Research Problem

After careful consideration, Problem (1) is selected as the main focus for this research proposal for the reasons as follows:

- **Relevance and Significance:** An essential first step is a forecast that lasts until at least 2035. It offers the information required to spur action on the other two issues. It is challenging to generate political will for new regulations (Problem 2) or encourage industry investment in innovative solutions (Problem 3) without a clear understanding of the actual scope of the upcoming crisis.
- **Feasibility:** This research can directly expand on the Computational Power-driven Material Flow Analysis (CP-MFA) model that Wang et al. (2024) have already proposed. With the methodology already in place, this research can concentrate on increasing its predictive capacity by adding fresh data and honing the hypotheses over a longer period of time.

- **Measurable Outcome:** A precise, quantifiable, and useful forecast will be the research's output. Policymakers, business executives, and other researchers in the field will find this concrete outcome to be a useful contribution.

3 Research Questions, Hypotheses and Objectives

Minimum of two and maximum of four for each of the research questions, hypotheses, and research objectives. You can use numbering/bullet point to list them out.

4 Literature Review

This section contains analytic discussion of the related research papers.

5 Research Methodology

This section contains a description about the steps involved in research methodology, which also includes the metrics to be used for evaluating the proposed method along with the brief description of the techniques/models/methods/algorithms to be used.

You can use table/figure/image/graph in the report. All figures must have titles.

6 Research Activities and Milestones

Use a flowchart for the research activities and a Gantt chart for the research schedules.

7 Expected Results and Impact

In this section, discussion will be on novel theories/findings/knowledge and the impact on society, nation and/or economy.

References

- Maslej, N., Fattorini, L., Perrault, R., Gil, Y., Parli, V., Kariuki, N., Capstick, E., Reuel, A., Brynjolfsson, E., Etchemendy, J., Ligett, K., Lyons, T., Manyika, J., Niebles, J. C., Shoham, Y., Wald, R., Walsh, T., Hamrah, A., Santarlasci, L., ... Oak, S. (2025, April). *The ai index 2025 annual report* (tech. rep.). Institute for Human-Centered AI, Stanford University. Stanford, CA. https://hai.stanford.edu/assets/files/hai_ai_index_report_2025.pdf
- Sevilla, J., & Roldán, E. (2024). Training compute of frontier ai models grows by 4-5x per year [Accessed: 2025-09-19]. <https://epoch.ai/blog/training-compute-of-frontier-ai-models-grows-by-4-5x-per-year>
- Wang, P., Zhang, L.-Y., Tzachor, A., & Chen, W.-Q. (2024). E-waste challenges of generative artificial intelligence. *Nature Computational Science*, 4. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s43588-024-00712-6>
- Wu, C.-J., Raghavendra, R., Gupta, U., Acun, B., Ardalani, N., Maeng, K., Chang, G., Behram, F. A., Huang, J., Bai, C., Gschwind, M., Gupta, A., Ott, M., Melnikov, A., Candido, S., Brooks, D., Chauhan, G., Lee, B., Lee, H.-H. S., ... Hazelwood, K. (2022). Sustainable ai: Environmental implications, challenges and opportunities. *Proceedings of Machine Learning and Systems*, 4, 795–813.
- Zhuk, V. (2023). Artificial intelligence impact on the environment: Hidden ecological costs and ethical-legal issues. *Journal of Digital Technologies and Law*, 1(3), 659–677.