

| Database                  | Model                         | Primary Query Language(s)             | Strength   |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Neo4j                     | Property Graph                | Cypher                                | Developer ergonomics, ecosystem, knowledge graphs.     |
| Oracle Graph              | Property Graph / RDF in RDBMS | SQL/PGQ, SPARQL                       | Enterprise RDBMS features + graph.                     |
| RushDB                    | Property Graph (zero-config)  | JSON search API (Neo4j underpinnings) | Instant graph from JSON/CSV; rapid prototyping.        |
| TigerGraph                | Distributed Property Graph    | GSQL                                  | Massive parallel analytics, real-time recommendations. |
| JanusGraph                | Property Graph on backends    | Gremlin (TinkerPop)                   | Portability & backend flexibility.                     |
| RedisGraph                | In-memory Property Graph      | Cypher (subset)                       | Ultra-low latency, Redis integration.                  |
| Dgraph                    | Native distributed graph      | GraphQL / DQL                         | GraphQL-first APIs & scale.                            |
| Neptune, ArangoDB, Nebula | Mixed                         | SPARQL / Gremlin / AQL / nGQL         | Managed AWS service, multi-model, distributed graph.   |

Vishal Mysore

# Graph Databases & Query Languages in 2025 — A Practical Guide

6 min read · 17 hours ago



Vishal Mysore

Follow



Listen



Share



More

*Neo4j, Oracle Graph, RushDB, TigerGraph, and other leading graph systems compared for architects and developers*

Applications that need relationship-aware intelligence (recommendations, fraud detection, knowledge graphs, graph-native ML, transit systems) increasingly rely on graph databases. But not all graph stores are the same: they differ in data model, scaling architecture, query language, analytic capabilities, and ecosystem. This article summarizes the state of several major graph databases and the query languages they expose — with practical guidance on when to use which.

. . .

## Summary

- **Neo4j** — Best for developer productivity, property-graph modeling, rich ecosystem and Cypher query language.
- **Oracle Graph / Oracle Database (Property Graphs + SQL/PGQ)** — Enterprise-grade, brings graph capabilities into a converged RDBMS with SQL/PGQ support for property graphs; great if you need strong transactional RDBMS features plus graph.
- **RushDB** — New “zero-config” / instant graph DB built on Neo4j that auto-normalizes JSON/CSV to a property graph; targets fast developer onboarding for AI/modern apps. Good for prototypes and teams that want minimal schema work.
- **TigerGraph** — Designed for large-scale graph analytics; its proprietary **GSQL** is a high-level, Turing-complete, SQL-like language optimized for parallel graph algorithms. Use it for heavy analytics and production recommendations.
- **Gremlin (TinkerPop) / JanusGraph** — Gremlin is a graph traversal language supported by JanusGraph and many systems — good when portability across backends is required.
- **Dgraph, RedisGraph, Nebula, ArangoDB, Amazon Neptune** — each provides distinctive tradeoffs (native GraphQL or DQL, in-memory speed, multi-model, SPARQL/Gremlin support). Pick by API preferences and scale needs.

. . .

## What to compare when choosing a graph database

1. **Data model:** property graph vs RDF (semantic web) vs multi-model
2. **Query language:** expressiveness, standardization, learning curve (Cypher, GSQL, Gremlin, SPARQL, AQL, DQL)
3. **Scale & performance:** single-node vs distributed & parallel analytics

4. **Analytics & graph algorithms:** builtin traversal, path-finding, centrality, community detection
5. **Operational needs:** ACID, backups, cloud offering, enterprise support
6. **Ecosystem:** drivers, ORMs, visualization, integrations with ML/LLMs

. . .

## Deep dives: systems & languages

### Neo4j — Cypher (declarative property-graph)

**What it is:** Industry-leading property-graph DB focused on developer ergonomics, ACID transactions, and an expressive declarative graph query language called **Cypher**. Neo4j emphasizes pattern matching and readable queries.

**Query language: Cypher** — declarative, SQL-like for graphs; now part of GQL discussions and supported by openCypher.

Example:

```
MATCH (p:Patient)-[:HAS_DIAGNOSIS]->(d:Disease {name: "Diabetes"})
RETURN p.name, d.name;
```

**When to choose Neo4j:** Rapid development, tight tooling (Bloom, Aura cloud, drivers for many languages), strong community, and use cases like knowledge graphs, fraud detection, product graphs.

. . .

### Oracle Graph / Property Graphs in Oracle Database — SQL/PGQ & converged platform

**What it is:** Oracle exposes graph capabilities inside Oracle Database (including “property graphs”) and supports **SQL/PGQ** (SQL Property Graph Queries — an ISO/IEC graph query extension) and SPARQL for RDF. This lets you use native SQL tools and enterprise features (security, backup, scale) together with graph analytics.

## Query languages supported:

- **SQL/PGQ** — SQL extension for property graph queries (standardizing ways to express graph traversals using SQL)
- **PGQL** on some Oracle tooling / SPARQL for RDF where applicable. Example (conceptual SQL/PGQ):

```
SELECT *  
FROM MATCH (p:Person)-[r:FRIEND_OF*1..2]->(q:Person)  
WHERE p.id = 'U123';
```

**When to choose Oracle Graph:** Existing Oracle footprint, need enterprise database features plus graph querying and analytics without deploying a separate graph DB.

. . .

## **RushDB — Zero-config / Instant Graph (developer-first)**

**What it is:** RushDB is an emergent open-source/ commercial project that promises a zero-config, instant graph database experience: push JSON/CSV, and RushDB auto-normalizes into a labeled meta property graph. It's built with Neo4j under the hood (or integrates with it) and targets fast development for AI applications.

Documentation and vendor pages emphasize no-schema ingestion and a JSON search API.

**Query surface:** RushDB exposes a JSON-centric query/search API (developer-friendly), rather than forcing learning a new graph DSL. Good for teams that want graph benefits without upfront modeling. Example use-case: rapid prototyping of RAG/LLM knowledge graphs from JSON datasets.

**When to choose RushDB:** Rapid prototyping, projects where incoming data is heterogeneous JSON and you want immediate graph affordances without schema design.

. . .

## TigerGraph — GSQL (parallel analytics)

**What it is:** TigerGraph is designed for **large-scale, distributed** graph analytics with built-in parallelism and performance optimizations for multi-hop traversals and graph algorithms. It targets production analytics, real-time recommendations, and ML feature extraction.

**Query language: GSQL** — SQL-like, Turing-complete language built for expressing iterative graph algorithms, with strong support for bulk-synchronous parallel execution. Example (snippet concept):

```
CREATE QUERY shortestPath(VERTEX<user> start, VERTEX<user> end) {  
  /* GSQL algorithmic logic */  
}
```

**When to choose TigerGraph:** You need industrial-scale analytics, real-time recommendations, or to run complex graph algorithms in production with low latency.

. . .

## JanusGraph / Apache TinkerPop — Gremlin (traversal language)

**What it is:** JanusGraph is an open-source distributed graph DB that often sits on scalable storage backends (Cassandra, HBase). It implements Apache TinkerPop stack so applications can use **Gremlin** — a traversal-based, imperative query API that runs across multiple graph engines.

**Query language: Gremlin** — a path/traversal DSL (imperative style) that's portable across engines that implement TinkerPop.

Example:

```
g.V().hasLabel('person').has('name','Alice').out('knows').values('name')
```

**When to choose Gremlin/JanusGraph:** Need portability across multiple storage backends, or an imperative traversal API for complex traversals and programmatic graph processing.

. . .

## **RedisGraph — Cypher-like in-memory graph**

**What it is:** RedisGraph embeds graph capabilities into Redis using a compact, adjacency-matrix style representation for high-performance in-memory queries. It supports a subset of Cypher. Good for extremely low-latency lookups and transient graph workloads.

**When to choose RedisGraph:** Ultra-low latency, ephemeral graphs, or when you already use Redis and need fast graph ops.

. . .

## **Dgraph — DQL / GraphQL-native**

**What it is:** Dgraph is a distributed native graph database that exposes GraphQL as a first-class API and also its proprietary DQL (previously GraphQL+). It targets developers who prefer GraphQL for graph queries and APIs.

**When to choose Dgraph:** You want GraphQL-native graph APIs with horizontal scaling and developer-friendly schemas.

. . .

## **Other notable engines & languages**

- **Amazon Neptune:** Managed graph service supporting SPARQL (RDF) and Gremlin (property graph). Good for AWS-native workloads.
- **ArangoDB:** Multi-model DB (document + graph) using AQL (Arango Query Language).
- **NebulaGraph:** Distributed graph DB with nGQL (Cypher-like). [NebulaGraph](#)

. . .

## Quick comparison table

| Database                  | Model                         | Primary Query Language(s)             | Strength   |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Neo4j                     | Property Graph                | Cypher                                | Developer ergonomics, ecosystem, knowledge graphs.     |
| Oracle Graph              | Property Graph / RDF in RDBMS | SQL/PGQ, SPARQL                       | Enterprise RDBMS features + graph.                     |
| RushDB                    | Property Graph (zero-config)  | JSON search API (Neo4j underpinnings) | Instant graph from JSON/CSV; rapid prototyping.        |
| TigerGraph                | Distributed Property Graph    | GSQL                                  | Massive parallel analytics, real-time recommendations. |
| JanusGraph                | Property Graph on backends    | Gremlin (TinkerPop)                   | Portability & backend flexibility.                     |
| RedisGraph                | In-memory Property Graph      | Cypher (subset)                       | Ultra-low latency, Redis integration.                  |
| Dgraph                    | Native distributed graph      | GraphQL / DQL                         | GraphQL-first APIs & scale.                            |
| Neptune, ArangoDB, Nebula | Mixed                         | SPARQL / Gremlin / AQL / nGQL         | Managed AWS service, multi-model, distributed graph.   |

Vishal Mysore

. . .

## Practical examples — short snippets

### Cypher (Neo4j):

```
CREATE (a:Person {name:'Alice'}),(b:Person {name:'Bob'}),
(a)-[:KNOWS]->(b);
MATCH (a:Person {name:'Alice'})-[:KNOWS]->(b) RETURN b.name;
```

### Gremlin (JanusGraph/TinkerPop):

```
g.addV('person').property('name','Alice')
g.V().has('name','Alice').out('knows').values('name')
```

### GSQL (TigerGraph) — conceptual:

```
CREATE QUERY getFriends(VERTEX<person> p) FOR GRAPH MyGraph {
  start = {p};
  res = SELECT t FROM start:s - (knows:e) -> :t;
  PRINT res;
}
```

## SPARQL (RDF example):

```
SELECT ?person WHERE {
  ?person a ex:Person .
  ?person ex:knows ex:Bob .
}
```

## DQL / GraphQL (Dgraph):

```
{
  queryPerson(func: eq(name, "Alice")) {
    name
    friend { name }
  }
}
```

## How query language affects development & portability

- **Declarative (Cypher, SPARQL, AQL):** Easier to read and maintain; good for business queries and analytics.
- **Traversal/Imperative (Gremlin):** More programmatic, flexible for complex traversals and streaming-like graph processing.
- **Turing-complete (GSQL):** Enables writing complex algorithms directly inside the DB — useful for advanced analytics but ties you to the vendor.
- **GraphQL/DQL:** Great for API-first teams that want a single programmable interface.



If portability matters, prefer **TinkerPop/Gremlin** or standard approaches (SPARQL for RDF). If productivity is key, **Cypher** and **GraphQL** approaches win.

. . .

## Recommendations by use-case

- **Developer prototyping, knowledge graphs, RAG for LLMs:** Neo4j (Cypher) or RushDB for zero-config ingestion and fast turn-up.
- **Large-scale real-time recommendations / heavy graph ML pipelines:** TigerGraph (GSQL) or a distributed system with strong parallelism.
- **Enterprise transactional + graph analytics inside existing RDBMS:** Oracle Graph (SQL/PGQ) to avoid moving data out of the database.
- **Cloud-native, managed option on AWS:** Amazon Neptune (SPARQL + Gremlin).
- **Graph APIs + front-end teams using GraphQL:** Dgraph or ArangoDB.  
Hypermode
- **Ultra-low-latency in-memory needs:** RedisGraph.

. . .

## Caveats & vendor lock-in

- Vendor-specific languages (GSQL, nGQL) can offer great capabilities but increase lock-in. If you value portability, prefer **open standards** (SPARQL, Gremlin, openCypher/GQL-compliant syntax).
- Newer tools like **RushDB** aim to reduce schema friction — a tradeoff exists between convenience and control/observability.

. . .

## Final thoughts — matching language to problem

Pick the graph database based on:

1. **Your query patterns** (path traversals? analytical algorithms? ad-hoc queries?)

2. **Scale & latency needs** (single-node vs distributed)
3. **Ecosystem fit** (cloud provider, existing RDBMS, drivers for your stack)
4. **Long-term portability or openness** (standards vs proprietary features)

If you're building knowledge graphs to ground LLMs and reduce hallucination, start with Neo4j or RushDB for fast ingestion and Cypher-led querying; for production-grade analytics at scale consider TigerGraph or a distributed graph with Gremlin-compatible tooling for portability.



Follow

## Written by Vishal Mysore

755 followers · 4 following

Holder of multiple patents in AI and software engineering. Passionate about building scalable systems, optimizing performance, & driving AI-powered innovation.

### No responses yet



Bgerby

What are your thoughts?

### More from Vishal Mysore


 Vishal Mysore

## What is BMAD-METHOD™? A Simple Guide to the Future of AI-Driven Development

The BMAD-METHOD™ (Breakthrough Method of Agile AI-Driven Development) framework offers a surprisingly simple, yet highly innovative...

Sep 8  187  2



 Vishal Mysore

## GitHub Spec Kit vs BMAD-Method: A Comprehensive Comparison : Part 1

GitHub's open-source Spec Kit is a toolkit for spec-driven development that provides a structured process to bring specification-first...

Sep 15 🙋 69 💬 4



Vishal Mysore

## Comprehensive Guide to Spec-Driven Development Kiro, GitHub Spec Kit, and BMAD-METHOD

What is Spec-Driven Development (SDD)?

Sep 30 🙋 16






Vishal Mysore

## RAG 2.0 : Advanced Chunking Strategies with Examples.

Text chunking is a critical preprocessing step in RAG Based Large Language Model (LLM) applications. While basic strategies like...

Oct 2  24



See all from Vishal Mysore

## Recommended from Medium




In Medialesson by Marius Schröder

## JSON vs TOON—A new era of structured input?

Why structure matters more than ever

Nov 3  163  9




 In AI Software Engineer by Joe Njenga

## Anthropic Just Solved AI Agent Bloat—150K Tokens Down to 2K (Code Execution With MCP)

Anthropic just released smartest way to build scalable AI agents, cutting token use by 98%, shift from tool calling to MCP code execution

★ 4d ago 🖱️ 417 💬 33



 Vishal Mysore

## BMAD-METHOD™ Universal Agent Framework: Expansion Packs That Transform AI Into Any Domain

The BMAD-METHOD™ Universal Agent Framework with Expansion Packs is redefining how AI frameworks scale across industries. Unlike traditional...

Sep 23 🙌 8



CodeOrbit

## I Built a RAG System for 100,000 Documents—Here's the Architecture

My production system crashed at 2 AM because I underestimated vector databases.



Nov 1 🙌 583 💬 15





In Towards AI by Devashish Datt Mamgain

## Pseudo-Knowledge Graphs for Better RAG

Retrieval-Augmented Generation (RAG) was supposed to give Large Language Models perfect memory: ask a question, fetch the exact facts, and...

Oct 30 🖱️ 35



Arvind Kumar

## Building a Ticketing System: Concurrency, Locks, and Race Conditions

What happens when 100,000 fans try to book the same concert ticket at exactly 10:00 AM? Let's design a ticketing system that prevents...



Oct 30 🖱️ 616 💬 8



See more recommendations