# Notes for MATH 561 Differential Geometry

Zhan Cheng

Spring 2025

# 1 Lecture 1

### 1.1 Felix Klein's Erlangen-Nürnberg Theorem

Let (X, G) be a pair where:

- $\bullet$  X is a set, and
- G is a group with operation  $\cdot$ .

A group G is defined as a set with:

- 1. A binary operation  $G \times G \to G$ ,  $\forall g_1, g_2, g_3 \in G$ ,  $(g_1 \cdot g_2) \cdot g_3 = g_1 \cdot (g_2 \cdot g_3)$ .
- 2. An identity element  $e \in G$  such that  $g \cdot e = g = e \cdot g$ ,  $\forall g \in G$ .
- 3. An inverse  $g^{-1} \in G$  for every  $g \in G$ , satisfying  $g \cdot g^{-1} = g^{-1} \cdot g = e$ .

**Lemma 1.1.** The group  $(G, \cdot)$  satisfies:

- 1. The identity element is unique.
- 2. The inverse  $g^{-1}$  is unique for each  $g \in G$ .

*Proof.* 1. Assume there are two identity elements  $e_1$  and  $e_2$ . By the definition of the identity element:

$$e_1 = e_1 \cdot e_2 = e_2.$$

Hence, the identity element is unique.

2. Let  $g \in G$  and suppose g has two inverses  $g_1$  and  $g_2$ . By the definition of the inverse:

$$g_1 = g_1 \cdot e = g_1 \cdot (g \cdot g_2) = (g_1 \cdot g) \cdot g_2 = e \cdot g_2 = g_2.$$

Therefore, the inverse of q is unique.

### 1.2 Transformation Group

**Definition 1.1** (Transformation Group). A group G is called a transformation group on X if G is a set of bijections  $\phi: X \to X$  and the group multiplication is given by the function composition.

# Example 1: Euclidean Isometries

Let  $X = \mathbb{R}^n$  with the Euclidean distance  $d_0(x, y) = ||x - y||$ . A Euclidean isometry is a mapping  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  such that:

$$d_0(\phi(x), \phi(y)) = d_0(x, y), \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

The group of isometries of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is:

$$\operatorname{Isom}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{ \phi \mid \phi(x) = Ax + b, b \in \mathbb{R}^n, A \in O(n) \}.$$

Here:

- 1. O(n) is the set of all  $n \times n$  orthogonal matrices, i.e.,  $A^T A = I$ .
- 2.  $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is a translation vector.

# Question

Why do Euclidean isometries use orthogonal matrices?

### Answer

Orthogonal matrices preserve the length of vectors and angles. For  $\phi(x) = Ax + b$ ,  $d_0(\phi(x), \phi(y)) = ||A(x-y)|| = ||x-y||$ , which holds only if  $A^{\top}A = I$ . Geometrically, orthogonal matrices represent rotations and reflections.

# Example

1. Rotation Matrix:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{bmatrix}.$$

This rotates vectors by angle  $\theta$  around the origin.

2. Reflection Matrix:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This reflects vectors across the x-axis.

### **Example 2: Affine Transformations**

Affine transformations extend Euclidean isometries by allowing general linear mappings and translations. An affine transformation  $\phi$  is defined as:

$$\phi(x) = Ax + b, \quad A \in GL(n, \mathbb{R}), b \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where:

- 1.  $A \in GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ , the group of all invertible  $n \times n$  matrices.
- 2.  $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is a translation vector.

# Question

Why must the matrix in an affine transformation be invertible?

### Answer

Invertibility ensures:

- 1. No collapse to lower dimensions (e.g., projecting  $\mathbb{R}^2$  to a line).
- 2. Bijectivity: every point has a unique preimage, enabling the inverse transformation:

$$\phi^{-1}(x) = A^{-1}(x - b).$$

# Example

1. Non-invertible matrix:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This projects all points onto the x-axis, losing information about the y-coordinate.

2. Invertible matrix:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This preserves the full dimensionality of the space.

# 1.3 Metric Space

**Definition 1.2** (Metric Space). A metric space is a pair (X, d) where X is a set and  $d: X \times X \to [0, \infty)$  is a distance function satisfying:

- 1.  $d(p,q) \ge 0$ , and  $d(p,q) = 0 \iff p = q, \forall p, q \in X$ .
- 2.  $d(p,q) = d(q,p), \forall p, q \in X$ .
- 3.  $d(p,q) \le d(p,w) + d(w,q), \forall p,q,w \in X$ .

**Lemma 1.2.** For  $(\mathbb{R}^n, d_0)$ ,  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , Two True, Force the Third:

- 1. K is compact.
- 2. K is sequentially compact.
- 3. K is bounded and closed.

### Question

What is compactness, sequential compactness, and the relationship with bounded and closed sets?

### Answer

- 1. Compactness: Every open cover has a finite subcover. In  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , compactness  $\iff$  bounded and closed.
- 2. Sequential Compactness: Every sequence in the set has a convergent subsequence within the set.
- 3. Bounded and Closed: A set is compact in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  if it satisfies these properties.

# Example

- 1. [0,1]: Compact: Yes (bounded and closed). Sequentially compact: Yes.
- 2. (0,1): Compact: No (not closed). Sequentially compact: No (e.g., sequence  $x_n = \frac{1}{n}$  converges to 0, which is not in (0,1)).
- 3.  $\mathbb{R}$ : Compact: No (not bounded).

### 1.4 Arc Length

**Definition (Arc Length):** Let (X, d) be a metric space and  $\gamma : [0, 1] \to X$  be a curve. Then the arc length of  $\gamma$  is:

$$L(\gamma) = \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \sum_{j=1}^k d(\gamma(t_{j-1}), \gamma(t_j)),$$

where  $0 = t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_{k-1} < t_k = 1$  and  $\{t_j\}_{j=0}^k$  is a partition of [0,1]. If  $L(\gamma) < \infty$ , the curve  $\gamma$  is called *rectifiable*.

### Question

Why does the definition of arc length use sup instead of inf?

### Answer

Arc length is defined as the supremum over all possible partitions of the sum of distances between consecutive points on a curve. Using sup ensures that finer partitions can better approximate the true curve length. If inf were used, coarse partitions might underestimate the length, failing to capture the curve's geometry.

### Example

For the parabola  $\gamma(t) = (t, t^2), t \in [0, 1]$ :

- Actual arc length:  $L(\gamma) > 1.478$ .
- Coarse partition (e.g.,  $t_0=0, t_1=1$ ): Straight-line length  $\sqrt{2}\approx 1.414$ , which underestimates the true length.

### 1.5 Length Metric

**Definition (Length Metric):** Let (X, d) be a metric space, the length metric  $d^{\#}(p, q)$  is defined as:

$$d^{\#}(p,q) = \inf L(\gamma),$$

where  $\gamma:[0,1]\to X$  is a rectifiable curve connecting p and q.

## Question

Why does the length metric use inf?

#### Answer

The length metric  $d^{\#}(p,q)$  is defined as the infimum of arc lengths over all curves connecting p and q. This ensures that the metric captures the shortest possible distance, avoiding unnecessary detours or longer paths.

# Example

- 1. In  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , the length metric  $d^{\#}(p,q)$  equals the Euclidean distance d(p,q) because the straight line minimizes the length.
- 2. On a sphere,  $d^{\#}(p,q)$  corresponds to the length of the shortest great circle arc between p and q.

### 2 Lecture 2

### 2.1 Curve

**Definition (Curve):** A mapping  $\gamma: I \to X$ , where  $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is an interval, is said to be a **curve** if  $\gamma$  is continuous.

**Lemma 2.1.** If (X, d) is a metric space, then  $(X, d^{\#})$  is also a metric space.

**Theorem 2.1.**  $(\mathbb{R}^n, d_0)$  is a connected and complete metric space (and is also path-connected).

#### 2.2 Connectedness

**Definition (Connectedness):** A metric space (X, d) is said to be **connected** if X does not admit any separation:

$$X = A \cup B$$
,  $A, B \neq \emptyset$ ,  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ , with  $A, B$  both open.

**Definition (Path Connectedness):** A metric space X is said to be **path connected** if  $\forall p, q \in X$ , there exists a continuous curve  $\gamma$  connecting p and q.

Lemma 2.2. Path connected implies connected.

### 2.3 Parameterization by Arc Length

Let  $\gamma:[0,1]\to\mathbb{R}^n$  be a  $C^1$ -curve. Denote:

- $\gamma'(t)$ : tangent vector at t,
- $\|\gamma'(t)\|$ : speed at t.

The length of  $\gamma$  is given by:

$$L(\gamma) = \int_0^1 \|\gamma'(t)\| dt.$$

Define the arc length function:

$$s(t) = \int_0^t \|\gamma'(\tau)\| d\tau, \quad s'(t) = \|\gamma'(t)\| \ge 0.$$

**Definition (Parameterization by Arc Length):** Let  $\gamma:[0,L(\gamma)]\to\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $L(\gamma\Big|_{[0,s]})=s.$ 

**Lemma 2.3.**  $\|\gamma'(s)\| = 1$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** Any  $C^1$  curve meets a constant speed parameterization.

### 2.4 Coincidence of Distance Structures

**Proposition 2.1.** The two distance structures  $d_0$  and  $d_0^\#$  coincide on  $(\mathbb{R}^n, d_0)$ , where  $d_0^\#$  is realized by ||p-q||.

*Proof.* Let  $\gamma_0 : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}^n$  be a smooth curve connecting p and q, with constant speed v. We define the variation of a curve  $\gamma_0$  as:

$$V(t,s) = \gamma_0(t) + s\psi(t),$$

where  $-\epsilon \leq s \leq \epsilon$ ,  $\psi$  is a smooth function with  $\psi(0) = \psi(1) = 0$ . Thus, V(0,s) = p, V(1,s) = q, and  $L(s) = L(V(\cdot,s)) = \int_0^1 \|\partial_t V(t,s)\| dt$ . We compute L'(0) as follows:

$$L'(0) = \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} \int_0^1 \|\partial_t V(t,s)\| dt$$

$$= \int_0^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \Big|_{s=0} \|\partial_t V(t,s)\| dt$$

$$= \int_0^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \langle \partial_t V(t,s), \partial_t V(t,s) \rangle^{1/2} \Big|_{s=0} dt$$

$$= \int_0^1 \frac{1}{2} \langle \partial_t V(t,s), \partial_t V(t,s) \rangle^{-1/2} \cdot 2 \langle \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial s \partial t}, \partial_t V(t,s) \rangle \Big|_{s=0} dt$$

$$= \int_0^1 \frac{\langle \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial s \partial t}, \partial_t V(t,s) \rangle}{\|\partial_t V(t,s)\|} \Big|_{s=0} dt$$

$$= \int_0^1 v^{-1} \langle \psi'(t), \gamma'_0(t) \rangle dt$$

$$= v^{-1} \langle \psi(t), \gamma'_0(t) \rangle \Big|_0^1 - v^{-1} \int_0^1 \langle \psi(t), \gamma''_0(t) \rangle dt$$

$$= -v^{-1} \int_0^1 \langle \psi(t), \gamma''_0(t) \rangle dt,$$

where we used the fact that  $\psi(0) = \psi(1) = 0$  to eliminate the boundary terms.

Thus, combining these results, we find that the variation L'(0) vanishes when  $\gamma_0$  is a straight line connecting p and q, since in this case  $\gamma_0''(t) = 0$  for all t. This implies that the shortest path connecting p and q in the distance structure  $d_0^\#$  is realized by the Euclidean norm ||p-q||.

Finally, since  $d_0$  also corresponds to the Euclidean distance, it follows that  $d_0$  and  $d_0^\#$  coincide on  $(\mathbb{R}^n, d_0)$ .