

Notes for MATH 561 Differential Geometry

Zhan Cheng

Spring 2025

1 Lecture 1

1.1 *Felix Klein's Erlangen-Nürnberg Theorem*

Let (X, G) be a pair where:

- X is a set, and
- G is a group with operation \cdot .

A group G is defined as a set with:

1. A binary operation $G \times G \rightarrow G$, $\forall g_1, g_2, g_3 \in G$, $(g_1 \cdot g_2) \cdot g_3 = g_1 \cdot (g_2 \cdot g_3)$.
2. An identity element $e \in G$ such that $g \cdot e = g = e \cdot g$, $\forall g \in G$.
3. An inverse $g^{-1} \in G$ for every $g \in G$, satisfying $g \cdot g^{-1} = g^{-1} \cdot g = e$.

Lemma 1.1. *The group (G, \cdot) satisfies:*

1. *The identity element is unique.*
2. *The inverse g^{-1} is unique for each $g \in G$.*

Proof. 1. Assume there are two identity elements e_1 and e_2 . By the definition of the identity element:

$$e_1 = e_1 \cdot e_2 = e_2.$$

Hence, the identity element is unique.

2. Let $g \in G$ and suppose g has two inverses g_1 and g_2 . By the definition of the inverse:

$$g_1 = g_1 \cdot e = g_1 \cdot (g \cdot g_2) = (g_1 \cdot g) \cdot g_2 = e \cdot g_2 = g_2.$$

Therefore, the inverse of g is unique.

□

1.2 *Transformation Group*

Definition 1.1 (Transformation Group). *A group G is called a transformation group on X if G is a set of bijections $\phi : X \rightarrow X$ and the group multiplication is given by the function composition.*

Example 1: Euclidean Isometries

Let $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ with the Euclidean distance $d_0(x, y) = \|x - y\|$. A Euclidean isometry is a mapping $\phi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ such that:

$$d_0(\phi(x), \phi(y)) = d_0(x, y), \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

The group of isometries of \mathbb{R}^n is:

$$\text{Isom}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{\phi \mid \phi(x) = Ax + b, b \in \mathbb{R}^n, A \in O(n)\}.$$

Here:

1. $O(n)$ is the set of all $n \times n$ orthogonal matrices, i.e., $A^T A = I$.
2. $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is a translation vector.

Question

Why do Euclidean isometries use orthogonal matrices?

Answer

Orthogonal matrices preserve the length of vectors and angles. For $\phi(x) = Ax + b$, $d_0(\phi(x), \phi(y)) = \|A(x - y)\| = \|x - y\|$, which holds only if $A^T A = I$. Geometrically, orthogonal matrices represent rotations and reflections.

Example

1. Rotation Matrix:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{bmatrix}.$$

This rotates vectors by angle θ around the origin.

2. Reflection Matrix:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This reflects vectors across the x -axis.

Example 2: Affine Transformations

Affine transformations extend Euclidean isometries by allowing general linear mappings and translations. An affine transformation ϕ is defined as:

$$\phi(x) = Ax + b, \quad A \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R}), b \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where:

1. $A \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$, the group of all invertible $n \times n$ matrices.
2. $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is a translation vector.

Question

Why must the matrix in an affine transformation be invertible?

Answer

Invertibility ensures:

1. No collapse to lower dimensions (e.g., projecting \mathbb{R}^2 to a line).
2. Bijectivity: every point has a unique preimage, enabling the inverse transformation:

$$\phi^{-1}(x) = A^{-1}(x - b).$$

Example

1. Non-invertible matrix:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This projects all points onto the x -axis, losing information about the y -coordinate.

2. Invertible matrix:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This preserves the full dimensionality of the space.

1.3 Metric Space

Definition 1.2 (Metric Space). A metric space is a pair (X, d) where X is a set and $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a distance function satisfying:

1. $d(p, q) \geq 0$, and $d(p, q) = 0 \iff p = q, \forall p, q \in X$.
2. $d(p, q) = d(q, p), \forall p, q \in X$.
3. $d(p, q) \leq d(p, w) + d(w, q), \forall p, q, w \in X$.

Lemma 1.2. For (\mathbb{R}^n, d_0) , $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, Two True, Force the Third:

1. K is compact.
2. K is sequentially compact.
3. K is bounded and closed.

Question

What is compactness, sequential compactness, and the relationship with bounded and closed sets?

Answer

1. Compactness: Every open cover has a finite subcover. In \mathbb{R}^n , compactness \iff bounded and closed.
2. Sequential Compactness: Every sequence in the set has a convergent subsequence within the set.
3. Bounded and Closed: A set is compact in \mathbb{R}^n if it satisfies these properties.

Example

1. $[0, 1]$: - Compact: Yes (bounded and closed). - Sequentially compact: Yes.
2. $(0, 1)$: - Compact: No (not closed). - Sequentially compact: No (e.g., sequence $x_n = \frac{1}{n}$ converges to 0, which is not in $(0, 1)$).
3. \mathbb{R} : - Compact: No (not bounded).

1.4 Arc Length

Definition (Arc Length): Let (X, d) be a metric space and $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ be a curve. Then the arc length of γ is:

$$L(\gamma) = \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \sum_{j=1}^k d(\gamma(t_{j-1}), \gamma(t_j)),$$

where $0 = t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_{k-1} < t_k = 1$ and $\{t_j\}_{j=0}^k$ is a partition of $[0, 1]$. If $L(\gamma) < \infty$, the curve γ is called *rectifiable*.

Question

Why does the definition of arc length use sup instead of inf?

Answer

Arc length is defined as the supremum over all possible partitions of the sum of distances between consecutive points on a curve. Using sup ensures that finer partitions can better approximate the true curve length. If inf were used, coarse partitions might underestimate the length, failing to capture the curve's geometry.

Example

For the parabola $\gamma(t) = (t, t^2), t \in [0, 1]$:

- Actual arc length: $L(\gamma) > 1.478$.
- Coarse partition (e.g., $t_0 = 0, t_1 = 1$): Straight-line length $\sqrt{2} \approx 1.414$, which underestimates the true length.

1.5 Length Metric

Definition (Length Metric): Let (X, d) be a metric space, the length metric $d^\#(p, q)$ is defined as:

$$d^\#(p, q) = \inf L(\gamma),$$

where $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ is a rectifiable curve connecting p and q .

Question

Why does the length metric use inf?

Answer

The length metric $d^\#(p, q)$ is defined as the infimum of arc lengths over all curves connecting p and q . This ensures that the metric captures the shortest possible distance, avoiding unnecessary detours or longer paths.

Example

1. In \mathbb{R}^2 , the length metric $d^\#(p, q)$ equals the Euclidean distance $d(p, q)$ because the straight line minimizes the length.
2. On a sphere, $d^\#(p, q)$ corresponds to the length of the shortest great circle arc between p and q .

2 Lecture 2

2.1 Curve

Definition (Curve): A mapping $\gamma : I \rightarrow X$, where $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is an interval, is said to be a **curve** if γ is continuous.

Lemma 2.1. *If (X, d) is a metric space, then $(X, d^\#)$ is also a metric space.*

Theorem 2.1. *(\mathbb{R}^n, d_0) is a connected and complete metric space (and is also path-connected).*

2.2 Connectedness

Definition (Connectedness): A metric space (X, d) is said to be **connected** if X does not admit any separation:

$$X = A \cup B, \quad A, B \neq \emptyset, \quad A \cap B = \emptyset, \quad \text{with } A, B \text{ both open.}$$

Definition (Path Connectedness): A metric space X is said to be **path connected** if $\forall p, q \in X$, there exists a continuous curve γ connecting p and q .

Lemma 2.2. *Path connected implies connected.*

2.3 Parameterization by Arc Length

Let $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a C^1 -curve. Denote:

- $\gamma'(t)$: tangent vector at t ,
- $\|\gamma'(t)\|$: speed at t .

The length of γ is given by:

$$L(\gamma) = \int_0^1 \|\gamma'(t)\| dt.$$

Define the arc length function:

$$s(t) = \int_0^t \|\gamma'(\tau)\| d\tau, \quad s'(t) = \|\gamma'(t)\| \geq 0.$$

Definition (Parameterization by Arc Length): Let $\gamma : [0, L(\gamma)] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ and $L(\gamma|_{[0,s]}) = s$.

Lemma 2.3. $\|\gamma'(s)\| = 1$.

Lemma 2.4. Any C^1 curve meets a constant speed parameterization.

2.4 Coincidence of Distance Structures

Proposition 2.1. The two distance structures d_0 and $d_0^\#$ coincide on (\mathbb{R}^n, d_0) , where $d_0^\#$ is realized by $\|p - q\|$.

Proof. Let $\gamma_0 : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a smooth curve connecting p and q , with constant speed v . We define the variation of a curve γ_0 as:

$$V(t, s) = \gamma_0(t) + s\psi(t),$$

where $-\epsilon \leq s \leq \epsilon$, ψ is a smooth function with $\psi(0) = \psi(1) = 0$.

Thus, $V(0, s) = p$, $V(1, s) = q$, and $L(s) = L(V(\cdot, s)) = \int_0^1 \|\partial_t V(t, s)\| dt$.

We compute $L'(0)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} L'(0) &= \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} \int_0^1 \|\partial_t V(t, s)\| dt \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \Big|_{s=0} \|\partial_t V(t, s)\| dt \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \langle \partial_t V(t, s), \partial_t V(t, s) \rangle^{1/2}}{\|\partial_t V(t, s)\|} \Big|_{s=0} dt \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{1}{2} \langle \partial_t V(t, s), \partial_t V(t, s) \rangle^{-1/2} \cdot 2 \left\langle \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial s \partial t}, \partial_t V(t, s) \right\rangle \Big|_{s=0} dt \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{\langle \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial s \partial t}, \partial_t V(t, s) \rangle}{\|\partial_t V(t, s)\|} \Big|_{s=0} dt \\ &= \int_0^1 v^{-1} \langle \psi'(t), \gamma_0'(t) \rangle dt \\ &= v^{-1} \langle \psi(t), \gamma_0'(t) \rangle \Big|_0^1 - v^{-1} \int_0^1 \langle \psi(t), \gamma_0''(t) \rangle dt \\ &= -v^{-1} \int_0^1 \langle \psi(t), \gamma_0''(t) \rangle dt, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that $\psi(0) = \psi(1) = 0$ to eliminate the boundary terms.

Thus, combining these results, we find that the variation $L'(0)$ vanishes when γ_0 is a straight line connecting p and q , since in this case $\gamma_0''(t) = 0$ for all t . This implies that the shortest path connecting p and q in the distance structure $d_0^\#$ is realized by the Euclidean norm $\|p - q\|$.

Finally, since d_0 also corresponds to the Euclidean distance, it follows that d_0 and $d_0^\#$ coincide on (\mathbb{R}^n, d_0) . \square

3 Lecture 3

3.1 Length Space

Definition (Length Space): A metric space (X, d) is called a **length space** if $d^\# = d$.

Theorem 3.1. (\mathbb{R}^n, d_0) is a length space. In other words, for all $p, q \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have $d_0(p, q) = d_0^\#(p, q)$. Moreover, the shortest curve connecting p and q is the line segment pq .

Remark:

$$\begin{aligned} d_0(p, q) &\leq d_0^\#(p, q) \leq \inf\{\ell(\gamma) \mid \gamma \text{ is a smooth curve connecting } p, q\} = d_0(p, q) \\ &\Rightarrow d_0(p, q) = d(p, q). \end{aligned}$$

3.2 Isometry

Definition (Isometry): A function $F : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is called an **isometry** if F preserves distances:

$$d_0(F(x), F(y)) = d_0(x, y), \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Lemma 3.1. If $F(x) = Ax + b$, where $A \in O(n)$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then F is an isometry.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} [d_0(F(x), F(y))]^2 &= \|Ax - Ay\|^2 \\ &= \langle A(x - y), A(x - y) \rangle \\ &= \langle A^T A(x - y), x - y \rangle \\ &= \|x - y\|^2 = [d_0(x, y)]^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $d_0(F(x), F(y)) = d_0(x, y)$. \square

Lemma 3.2. 1. The set of all Euclidean isometries is a group with respect to composition, denoted by $\text{Isom}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

2. The set of all affine mappings $x \rightarrow Ax + b$, where $A \in O(n)$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$, is also a group with respect to composition.

Definition (Isometry): More generally, given two metric spaces (X, d_X) and (Y, d_Y) , a function $F : X \rightarrow Y$ is called an *isometry* if:

1. It is an **isometric embedding**:

$$d_Y(F(p), F(q)) = d_X(p, q), \quad \forall p, q \in X.$$

2. F is subjective.

Lemma 3.3. *The following are equivalent:*

1. $F : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is an isometry and $F(0) = 0$.
2. $F : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ preserves inner products $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$.

Proof. We prove (2) \Rightarrow (1) here.

$$\begin{aligned} [d_0(F(x), F(y))]^2 &= \|F(x) - F(y)\|^2 \\ &= \|F(x)\|^2 + \|F(y)\|^2 - 2\langle F(x), F(y) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Also, by

$$\|F(0)\|^2 = \langle F(0), F(0) \rangle = \langle 0, 0 \rangle = 0,$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|F(x)\|^2 &= [d_0(F(x), F(0))]^2 = \langle F(x), F(0) \rangle = \|x\|^2, \\ \|F(y)\|^2 &= \|y\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\langle F(x), F(y) \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle,$$

we prove that

$$d_0(F(x), F(y)) = d_0(x, y).$$

□

Proposition 3.1. *If $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^n$ is an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{R}^n and $F : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is an isometry satisfying:*

1. $F(0) = 0$.
2. $F(e_j) = e_j, \quad \forall 1 \leq j \leq n$.

Then F must be the identity.

Proof. For any $x = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j e_j$, we want to show:

$$\langle F(x), e_j \rangle = \langle x, e_j \rangle, \quad \forall 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

By Lemma 3.3, F preserves inner products:

$$\langle F(x), e_j \rangle = \langle F(x), F(e_j) \rangle = \langle x, e_j \rangle.$$

□

Theorem 3.2. *The following are equivalent:*

1. $F : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is an isometry.
2. F is an affine mapping, where $F(x) = Ax + b$, where $A \in O(n)$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$

Proof. We prove (1) \Rightarrow (2) here since (2) \Rightarrow (1) is proved by Lemma 3.1.

Define $b = F(0) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and set $\hat{F}(x) = F(x) - b$ so that $\hat{F}(0) = 0$. Let $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^n$ be an orthonormal basis. Define: $\hat{e}_j = \hat{F}(e_j)$, $\forall 1 \leq j \leq n$.

Since $\{\hat{e}_j\}$ is an orthonormal basis, there exists a unique $\hat{G} \in O(n)$ such that:

$$\hat{G}(\hat{e}_j) = e_j, \quad \forall 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

Thus, the mapping $\hat{G} \circ \hat{F} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ preserves the origin and satisfies:

$$\hat{G} \circ \hat{F}(e_j) = e_j \quad \forall 1 \leq j \leq n,$$

which implies that

$$\hat{G} \circ \hat{F} = \text{Id}$$

Therefore, $F(x) = \hat{G}^{-1}(x) + b$. □

3.3 Local Geometry of Euclidean Curves

Definition (Regular): A smooth curve $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ has a **regular** parameterization if:

$$\gamma'(t) \neq 0.$$

Let $\gamma : (0, l) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be parameterized by arc length:

$$\|\gamma'(s)\| = 1, \quad \forall s \in (0, l).$$

We denote $T(s) = \gamma'(s)$, $N(s) \equiv \{\text{Unit vector in the direction of } \gamma''(s)\}$.

Definition (Curvature): The **curvature** of a curve is:

$$\kappa(s) = \|T'(s)\| = \|\gamma''(s)\|, \text{ where } \gamma''(s) = \kappa(s)N(s).$$

Example:

The curve $\gamma(s) = (\cos(s), \sin(s))$ has a constant curvature $\kappa = 1$.

4 Lecture 4

Lemma 4.1. A regular curve $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ with $F : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, $F \in \text{Isom}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have

$$\forall t_0 \in [0, 1] \quad \left\| \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=t_0} (F \circ \gamma) \right\| = \left\| \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=t_0} \gamma \right\|$$

Proof. Let $\bar{\gamma} = F \circ \gamma$, we compute

$$\frac{d\bar{\gamma}}{dt} \Big|_{t=t_0} = \text{DF} \left(\frac{d\gamma}{dt} \Big|_{t=t_0} \right), \text{ where DF is the Jacobian matrix of } F,$$

given by

$$DF = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial t_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial t_n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_n}{\partial t_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_n}{\partial t_n} \end{bmatrix}$$

Then

$$LHS = \|\text{DF}(\gamma')\| = \|A\gamma'\| = \|\gamma'\| = RHS,$$

where $F(x) = Ax + b$, $A \in O(n)$, $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$. □

Note that Lemma 4.1 actually tells us that isometry doesn't change the curvature in \mathbb{R}^n .

Lemma 4.2. *Let $\gamma : [0, l] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ has an arc-length parameter s , with an isometry $F : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, $F \in \text{Isom}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then*

$$\forall 0 \leq s \leq l \quad \kappa(\tilde{\gamma}(s)) = \kappa(\gamma(s)), \quad \text{where } \tilde{\gamma} = F \circ \gamma$$

Proof.

$$\kappa(\tilde{\gamma}(s)) = \left\| \frac{d}{ds} \frac{d}{ds} (F \circ \gamma) \right\| = \left\| \frac{d}{ds} \bar{T}(s) \right\| = \left\| \frac{d}{ds} (\text{DF}(\gamma'(s))) \right\| = \|A\gamma''(s)\| = \|\gamma''(s)\|$$

□

Let $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a regular curve, then $\gamma(s)$ can be written as $\gamma(t(s))$. Thus,

$$\frac{d\gamma}{ds} = \left(\frac{ds}{dt} \right) \frac{d\gamma}{dt} = \frac{1}{\|\gamma'(t)\|} \frac{d\gamma}{dt}.$$

We note that

$$s(t) = \int_0^t \|\gamma'(\tau)\| d\tau \Rightarrow \frac{ds}{dt} = \|\gamma'(t)\|.$$

Also,

$$\frac{d^2\gamma}{ds^2} = \frac{d}{ds} \left(\frac{\gamma'(t)}{\|\gamma'(t)\|} \right) = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\gamma'(t)}{\|\gamma'(t)\|} \right) \frac{dt}{ds} = \frac{1}{\|\gamma'(t)\|} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\gamma'(t)}{\|\gamma'(t)\|} \right),$$

which implies that

$$\kappa(\gamma(s)) = \frac{\left\| \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\gamma'(t)}{\|\gamma'(t)\|} \right) \right\|}{\|\gamma'(t)\|}$$

4.1 Regular Curves in \mathbb{R}^3

Let $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ be a regular curve, having an arc-length parameter s . As mentioned above, we denote $T(s) = \gamma'(s)$, $\frac{dT}{ds} = \|T'(s)\| = \|\gamma''(s)\|$, where $\gamma''(s) = \kappa(s)N(s)$.

Definition (Wedge product): The **Wedge product** between vector $u = (u_1, u_2, u_3)$ and $v = (v_1, v_2, v_3)$ is given by :

$$u \wedge v = \begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{i} & \mathbf{j} & \mathbf{k} \\ u_1 & u_2 & u_3 \\ v_1 & v_2 & v_3 \end{vmatrix}$$

We denote that $\beta(s) = T(s) \wedge N(s)$. Since $\|\gamma'(s)\| = 1$ by definition, then

$$0 \equiv \frac{d}{ds} \langle \gamma', \gamma' \rangle = 2 \langle \gamma'', \gamma' \rangle,$$

which implies that $\gamma'' \perp \gamma'$. Here $N \perp T$.

We can naturally give out that $\{T, N, \beta\}$ is an orthogonal basis on \mathbb{R}^3 . Moreover,

$$T \wedge N = \beta, N \wedge \beta = T, \beta \wedge T = N.$$

This is known as **Frenet Frame**.

Theorem 4.1. Let $\{T, N, \beta\}$ be the Frenet frame at $\gamma(s)$, then

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dT}{ds} = \kappa N \\ \frac{dN}{ds} = -\tau\beta - \kappa T \\ \frac{d\beta}{ds} = \tau N \end{cases}$$

Here τ is called the torsion of γ , given by $\beta'(s) = \tau(s)N(s)$. Moreover, it can be written as

$$\begin{bmatrix} T \\ N \\ \beta \end{bmatrix}' = \Phi \begin{bmatrix} T \\ N \\ \beta \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$\Phi = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \kappa & 0 \\ -\kappa & 0 & -\tau \\ 0 & \tau & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Proof. Firstly, $\frac{dT}{ds} = \kappa N$ is proved by definition. Since $\langle N, N \rangle = 1$, we have

$$0 \equiv \frac{d}{ds} \langle N, N \rangle = 2 \langle \frac{dN}{ds}, N \rangle \Rightarrow \frac{dN}{ds} \perp N \Rightarrow \frac{dN}{ds} \in \text{Span}\{\beta, T\}.$$

Since $\beta = T \wedge N$, then

$$\frac{d\beta}{ds} = T'(s) \wedge N(s) + T(s) \wedge N'(s) = T(s) \wedge N'(s) \Rightarrow \frac{d\beta}{ds} \perp T \Rightarrow \frac{d\beta}{ds} \in \text{Span}\{\beta, N\}.$$

Also,

$$0 \equiv \frac{d}{ds} \langle \beta, \beta \rangle = 2 \langle \frac{d\beta}{ds}, \beta \rangle \Rightarrow \frac{d\beta}{ds} \perp \beta$$

Thus,

$$\frac{d\beta}{ds} \parallel N,$$

and we write $\beta'(s) = \tau(s)N(s)$.

Additionally,

$$\frac{dN}{ds} = \frac{d\beta}{ds} \wedge T + \beta \wedge \frac{dT}{ds} = \tau N \wedge T + \beta \wedge \kappa N = -\tau\beta - \kappa T.$$

□

5 Lecture 5

5.1 Multi-variable Calculus: A quick review

Consider the following functions:

$$f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \quad \gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$$

we have

1. $F \circ \gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$
2. $DF : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ (Jacobi matrix)

Additionally, given a simple example with $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, its differential is given by $df = f'(x)dx$, and $f(x)$ can be written as

$$f(x) = f(x_0) + f'(x_0)(x - x_0) + O(|x - x_0|^2).$$

Consider a regular curve $\gamma(t) = (t, f(t))$, $\gamma'(t) = (1, f'(t))$, we can quickly write out the tangent line at $(t_0, f(t_0))$:

- Passing through $(t_0, f(t_0))$
- Velocity at t_0 is $(1, f'(t_0))$

And the length is given by

$$L(t) = (t, f'(t_0)(t - t_0) + f(t_0)).$$

5.2 Fundamental Theorem of Regular Curves

Theorem 5.1. *Let $A(s)$ be an $(n \times n)$ -matrix, and each entry is continuous on interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$. Consider the system:*

$$\frac{d}{ds} \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{pmatrix} = A(s) \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad s \in I.$$

Then,

1. *Given any $(v_1, \dots, v_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, there exists a unique solution*

$$V(s) = (V_1(s), \dots, V_n(s))$$

such that

$$V(0) = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$$

2. *The set S of all solutions of the equation above is a vector space of n dimensions, so that*

$$\Phi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow S, \quad (v_1, \dots, v_n) \mapsto (V_1(s), \dots, V_n(s))$$

is an isomorphism.

Theorem 5.2. *Given two continuous functions $\kappa(s)$, $\tau(s)$, $s \in I$, then there exists a unique regular curve*

$$\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3,$$

(up to a Euclidean isometry) such that $\gamma(s)$ has curvature $\kappa(s)$ and torsion $\tau(s)$.

Proof. Let $\gamma(s)$ be a regular curve parameterized by arc-length s , meaning that $\|\gamma'(s)\| = 1$. The Frenet frame consists of $\{T, N, \beta\}$, which satisfy the Frenet equations:

$$\begin{bmatrix} T \\ N \\ \beta \end{bmatrix}' = \Phi \begin{bmatrix} T \\ N \\ \beta \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$\Phi = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \kappa & 0 \\ -\kappa & 0 & -\tau \\ 0 & \tau & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Given $\kappa(s)$ and $\tau(s)$, we need to construct a frame $\{T, N, \beta\}$ satisfying the Frenet system. This system is a first-order linear ODE for the orthonormal frame:

$$\frac{d}{ds}Y(s) = A(s)Y(s), \quad \text{where } Y(s) = \begin{bmatrix} T(s) \\ N(s) \\ \beta(s) \end{bmatrix}, \quad A(s) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \kappa(s) & 0 \\ -\kappa(s) & 0 & \tau(s) \\ 0 & -\tau(s) & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

By the existence and uniqueness theorem for linear ODEs, for any given initial orthonormal frame (T_0, N_0, β_0) at s_0 , there exists a unique solution $(T(s), N(s), \beta(s))$ satisfying this system.

Since $T(s) = \gamma'(s)$, the curve $\gamma(s)$ itself can be recovered by integrating:

$$\gamma(s) = \gamma(s_0) + \int_{s_0}^s T(u) du.$$

Thus, given an initial point $\gamma(s_0)$ and an initial Frenet frame (T_0, N_0, β_0) , we can uniquely reconstruct the curve $\gamma(s)$.

Suppose there exist two different curves $\gamma(s)$ and $\bar{\gamma}(s)$ with the same curvature $\kappa(s)$ and torsion $\tau(s)$. The system governed by $A(s)$ has a total of 9 degrees of freedom. However, specifying the initial position $\gamma(s_0)$ reduces the freedom by 3 dimensions, the unit tangent vector $T(s_0)$ by 2 dimensions, and the principal normal vector $N(s_0)$ by 1 dimension. Consequently, a unique Euclidean isometry $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ exists such that

$$\varphi(\gamma(s_0)) = \bar{\gamma}(s_0), \quad \varphi(T_0, N_0, \beta_0) = (\bar{T}_0, \bar{N}_0, \bar{\beta}_0).$$

This implies that any two solutions differing only by a Euclidean transformation must be identical up to an isometry.

Thus, we have proven that for given functions $\kappa(s)$ and $\tau(s)$, there exists a unique regular curve up to a Euclidean isometry. \square

6 Lecture 6

Corollary 6.1. *Any curve in \mathbb{R}^3 with constant curvature and constant torsion must be either a circle ($\tau \equiv 0$) or a helix ($\tau \equiv \text{constant} > 0$).*

Definition (Tangent Curve): Given a curve $\gamma : [0, L] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, the tangent line at $\gamma(t_0)$ is the unique line

$$l_{t_0}(t) = \gamma'(t_0)(t - t_0) + \gamma(t_0) \quad l'_{t_0}(t) = \gamma'(t_0).$$

Fix $\varepsilon > 0$, and let $s_0 \in [0, L]$. Define

$$\gamma_{\varepsilon, s_0}(s) = \frac{\gamma(\varepsilon s + s_0) - \gamma(s_0)}{\varepsilon},$$

which satisfies:

1. $\gamma_{\varepsilon, s_0}(0) = 0$.
2. $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \gamma_{\varepsilon, s_0}(s) = \gamma'(s_0)s$.

Here if γ is smooth, then

$$\|\gamma'_{\varepsilon, s_0}(s)\| = 1 \quad \kappa(\gamma_{\varepsilon, s_0}(s)) = \varepsilon \kappa(\gamma(\varepsilon s + s_0)).$$

Then we define the **Tangent Curve** of γ at $s = s_0$ as

$$\gamma_{s_0}(s) \equiv \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \gamma_{\varepsilon, s_0}(s) + \gamma(s_0).$$

Definition (Asymptotic Curve): Beyond local behavior, we consider the asymptotic limit as $s \rightarrow \infty$. For any $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, we define

$$\gamma_{\varepsilon^{-1}, s_0}(s) \equiv \frac{\gamma(\varepsilon^{-1}s + s_0) - \gamma(s_0)}{\varepsilon^{-1}},$$

where $\gamma_{\varepsilon^{-1}, s_0}(0) = 0$, and if γ is smooth, then $\|\gamma'_{\varepsilon^{-1}, s_0}(s)\| = 1$.

The limiting curve:

$$\gamma_{\infty}(s) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \gamma_{\varepsilon^{-1}, s_0}(s) + \gamma(s_0)$$

is called the **asymptotic curve** of γ centered at $\gamma(s_0)$. Here

$$\|\gamma'_{\varepsilon^{-1}, s_0}(s)\| = \varepsilon^{-1} \kappa(\gamma(\varepsilon^{-1}s + s_0)).$$

If the curvature satisfies:

$$\kappa(\gamma(s)) = o(s),$$

then the asymptotic curve γ_{∞} has curvature equal to zero when $s \neq 0$, which is ensured by

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \kappa(\gamma_{\varepsilon^{-1}, s_0}(s)) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\kappa(\gamma(\varepsilon^{-1}s + s_0))}{\varepsilon} = 0$$

7 Lecture 7

Lemma 7.1. *If a rectifiable curve γ realizes $d_0(x, y)$, then it must be $\gamma = \overline{xy}$.*

Proof. Suppose $\exists t_0 \in (0, 1)$ s.t. $\gamma(t_0) \notin \overline{xy}$, then

$$d_0(x, \gamma(t_0)) = L(\gamma|_{[0, t_0]})$$

$$d_0(\gamma(t_0), y) = L(\gamma|_{[t_0, 1]})$$

Taking the sum,

$$d_0(x, y) < d_0(x, \gamma(t_0)) + d_0(\gamma(t_0), y) = L(\gamma|_{[0, t_0]}) + L(\gamma|_{[t_0, 1]}) = L(\gamma) = d_0(x, y)$$

which is a contradiction. □

Definition (Riemannian patch): Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be open and connected. A function $g : U \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is said to be a **Riemannian structure (metric)** on U if

1. $\forall x \in U, g_x$ is an inner product with $g_x(u, v) = \langle u, G_x v \rangle$

2. G_x is smooth on U .

Here $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the space of all bilinear functions on \mathbb{R}^n . In this situation, (U, g) is called a **Riemannian patch**.

Consider a function $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is open and connected, and $\Gamma(f) \equiv \{(x, f(x)) : x \in U\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$. Let $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow U$ be a curve

$$\hat{\gamma}(t) \equiv (\gamma(t), f(\gamma(t))) \in \Gamma(f).$$

Compute $L(\hat{\gamma})$ and $\frac{d\hat{\gamma}}{dt}$:

$$L(\hat{\gamma}) = \int |\hat{\gamma}'(t)| dt, \quad \frac{d\hat{\gamma}}{dt} = \left(\frac{d\gamma}{dt}, \langle \nabla f, \frac{d\gamma}{dt} \rangle \right).$$

Then

$$\left\langle \frac{d\hat{\gamma}}{dt}, \frac{d\hat{\gamma}}{dt} \right\rangle = \left\| \frac{d\gamma}{dt} \right\|^2 + \langle \nabla f, \frac{d\gamma}{dt} \rangle \langle \nabla f, \frac{d\gamma}{dt} \rangle$$

We define

$$g_x(u, v) \equiv \langle u, v \rangle + \langle \nabla f, u \rangle \langle \nabla f, v \rangle,$$

then $\forall x \in U, g_x$ is an inner product.

Recall: Given any orthonormal basis of \mathbb{R}^n , any inner product uniquely corresponds to a positive definite matrix.

$$g_x(u, v) \equiv \langle u, G_x v \rangle,$$

where G_x is a positive definite matrix, satisfying

1. $G_x^T = G_x$

2. $x^T G_x x > 0, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$

Example: Consider Riemannian patch $(B_1(0), g_k)$, we have

$$G_k(x) = \frac{4}{(1 + k|x|^2)^2} \text{Id}_{n \times n}$$

Specifically, if $k = -1$, consider

$$\gamma(t) = tv, \quad \|v\|_g = 1, \quad \gamma'(t) = v.$$

Then g_{-1} is given by

$$g_{-1}(\gamma'(t), \gamma'(t)) = g_{\gamma(t)}(v, v) = \langle v, G_{\gamma(t)} v \rangle = \frac{4v}{(1 - \|\gamma(t)\|^2)^2} = \frac{4}{(1 - \|tv\|^2)^2}.$$

Thus,

$$L(\gamma_{[0, r]}) = \int_0^r \left(\frac{4}{(1 - t^2)^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} dt = \ln \left(\frac{1 + r}{1 - r} \right)$$

8 Lecture 8

8.1 Length structure on a Riemannian patch

Consider a Riemannian patch (U, g) , where $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is a domain, and we have

1. $\forall x \in U, g_x(u, v) = \langle u, G_x v \rangle$
2. g_x is smooth in $x \Leftrightarrow G_x$ is smooth on U .

$\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow U$. For a C^1 -curve $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow U$, we define its arc length and length metric by

1. $L_g(\gamma) = \int_0^1 (g_{\gamma(t)}(\gamma'(t), \gamma'(t)))^{\frac{1}{2}} dt$
2. $d_g(x, y) \equiv \inf\{L_g(\gamma) : \gamma \text{ is a piecewise } C^1 \text{ curve connecting } x, y\}$

Theorem 8.1. (U, g) is a Riemannian patch $\Rightarrow (U, d_g)$ is a metric space.

Proof. We only prove $d_g(x, y) = 0 \Rightarrow x = y$ here.

Claim 1: If $d_g(x, y) = 0$, then $y \in B_\varepsilon(x, g_0)$, where ε_0 is the number that satisfies $\bar{B}_{\varepsilon_0}^{g_0}(x, g_0)$ is compact in U . Suppose not, $y \notin B_\varepsilon(x, g_0)$, $d_g(y, x) \geq \varepsilon$, then $\forall \gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow U$ with $\gamma(0) = x, \gamma(1) = y$ s.t. $L_0(\gamma) \geq \varepsilon$. Suppose $\gamma \subseteq B_\varepsilon(x, g_0)$, then

$$L_g(\gamma) \geq \lambda_0 L_0(\gamma) \geq \lambda_0 \varepsilon.$$

Suppose $\gamma \not\subseteq B_\varepsilon(x, g_0)$, then

$$L_g(\gamma) \geq \lambda_0 L_0(\gamma|_{[0, t_0]}) \geq \lambda_0 \varepsilon.$$

Both of the cases imply that

$$d_g(x, y) \geq \lambda_0 \varepsilon > 0 \Rightarrow \text{Contradiction.}$$

Thus, If $d_g(x, y) = 0$, then $y \in B_\varepsilon(x, g_0)$.

Claim 2: Inside $\bar{B}_\varepsilon(x, g_0)$, g is equivalent to g_0 , that is,

$$\forall w \in B_\varepsilon(x, g_0) \quad \exists \Lambda_0 > \lambda_0 > 0 \quad \text{s.t. } \lambda_0 d_0(x, w) \leq d_g(x, w) \leq \Lambda_0 d_0(x, w).$$

Since g_w is continuous in $w \in U$, and $\bar{B}_\varepsilon(x, g_0)$ is compact in U , we have

$$\forall w \in B_\varepsilon(x, g_0), u, v \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad \exists \Lambda_0 > \lambda_0 > 0 \quad \text{s.t. } \lambda_0 g_0(u, v) \leq g_w(u, v) \leq \Lambda_0 g_0(u, v).$$

Consider a curve $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow U$ with $\gamma(0) = x, \gamma(1) = w \in B_\varepsilon(x, g_0)$. Suppose $\gamma \subseteq B_\varepsilon(x, g_0)$, then

$$L_g(\gamma) = \int_0^1 \sqrt{g_{\gamma(t)}(\gamma'(t), \gamma'(t))} dt \geq \lambda_0 \int_0^1 \|\gamma'(t)\| dt = \lambda_0 L_0(\gamma).$$

Also, suppose $\gamma \not\subseteq B_\varepsilon(x, g_0)$, then define

$$t_0 \equiv \min\{t \in [0, 1], \gamma(t) \notin B_\varepsilon(x, g_0)\},$$

which implies $\forall 0 \leq t \leq t_0, \gamma(t) \in B_\varepsilon(x, g_0)$. Thus

$$L_g(\gamma) \geq \int_0^{t_0} \sqrt{g_{\gamma(t)}(\gamma'(t), \gamma'(t))} dt \geq \lambda_0 \int_0^{t_0} \|\gamma'(t)\| dt = \lambda_0 L_0(\gamma_{[0, t_0]}) \geq \varepsilon$$

Since $d_g(x, w) = \inf\{L_g(\gamma) : \gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow U \text{ connects } x, w\}$, by both cases discussed above, we finally have

$$d_g(x, w) \geq \lambda_0 d_0(x, w).$$

Additionally, we have

$$d_0(x, w) = L_0(\overline{xw}) \geq \Lambda_0^{-1} L_g(\overline{xw}) \geq \Lambda_0^{-1} d_g(x, w).$$

Finally, we prove that

$$\forall w \in B_\varepsilon(x, g_0) \quad \exists \Lambda_0 > \lambda_0 > 0 \quad \text{s.t.} \lambda_0 d_0(x, w) \leq d_g(x, w) \leq \Lambda_0 d_0(x, w).$$

By claim 1 and claim 2, we can prove that $d_g(x, y) = 0 \Rightarrow d_0(x, y) = 0 \Rightarrow x = y$. \square

Definition (Normal Geodesic): $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow U$ is called a **Normal Geodesic** if

$$\forall t \in (a, b), \exists \varepsilon > 0, \text{ s.t. } \forall t_1, t_2 \in (t - \varepsilon, t + \varepsilon),$$

we have

$$d_g(\gamma(t_1), \gamma(t_2)) = |t_1 - t_2|,$$

which is equivalent to

$$d_g(\gamma(t_1), \gamma(t_2)) = L_g(\gamma_{[t_1, t_2]}).$$

Definition (Minimal Geodesic): $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow U$ is called a **Minimal Geodesic** if

$$L_g(\gamma) = d_g(\gamma(a), \gamma(b)) = |b - a|.$$

9 Lecture 9

Lemma 9.1. (U, d_g) is a length metric space, i.e. $d_g = d_g^\#$.

Proof. We define $d_g(p, q) \equiv \inf\{L(\gamma) | \gamma \in \mathcal{C}_{p,q}\}$ and $d_g^\# \equiv \inf\{L(\gamma) | \gamma \in \mathcal{R}_{p,q}\}$, where

$$\mathcal{C}_{p,q} \equiv \text{All piecewise } C^1 \text{ curves connecting } p, q,$$

$$\mathcal{R}_{p,q} \equiv \text{All rectifiable curves connecting } p, q.$$

Then $\mathcal{C}_{p,q} \subseteq \mathcal{R}_{p,q}$ since there are more elements in $\mathcal{C}_{p,q}$, from which we get $d_g(p, q) \geq d_g^\#(p, q)$. Also by definition, $d_g(p, q) \leq d_g^\#(p, q)$. Finally, we obtain $d_g(p, q) = d_g^\#(p, q)$. \square

Definition (Lower Semi-continuity) : A function $f(x)$ has a **Lower Semi-continuity** in x_0 if

$$f(x_0) \leq \liminf_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x).$$

Lemma 9.2. Let $\gamma_j : [0, 1] \rightarrow U$ be rectifiable curves that pointwise converge to $\gamma_\infty : [0, 1] \rightarrow U$, then

$$L(\gamma_\infty) \leq \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} L(\gamma_j).$$

Proof. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$, s.t. there is a partition $p = \{0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_N = 1\}$ of $[0, 1]$, s.t.

$$\sum_{k=1}^N d_g(\gamma_\infty(t_k), \gamma_\infty(t_{k-1})) \geq L(\gamma_\infty) - \varepsilon.$$

For any $1 \leq k \leq N$, $\exists M_k = M_k(\varepsilon) > 0$, s.t.

$$d_g(\gamma_\infty(t_k), \gamma_j(t_k)) \leq \varepsilon \quad \forall j \geq M_k.$$

Take $M_0 = \max_{1 \leq k \leq N} M_k$, then $\forall j \geq M_0(\varepsilon)$,

$$d_g(\gamma_\infty(t_k), \gamma_j(t_k)) \leq \varepsilon \quad \forall 1 \leq k \leq N.$$

Thus

$$\sum_{k=1}^N d_g(\gamma_\infty(t_k), \gamma_\infty(t_{k-1})) \leq N\varepsilon + \sum_{k=1}^N d_g(\gamma_j(t_k), \gamma_j(t_{k-1})).$$

We further get

$$L(\gamma_\infty) \leq (N+1)\varepsilon + \sum_{k=1}^N d_g(\gamma_j(t_k), \gamma_j(t_{k-1})) = (N+1)\varepsilon + L(\gamma_j).$$

Finally,

$$L(\gamma_\infty) \leq \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} L(\gamma_j) + (N+1)\varepsilon \Rightarrow L(\gamma_\infty) \leq \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} L(\gamma_j).$$

□

10 Lecture 10

Lemma 10.1. Let (X, d) be a length space. Given $p, q \in X$, if one can find a sequence of rectifiable curves $\gamma_j : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$, s.t.

$$1. L(\gamma_j) \rightarrow d(p, q),$$

$$2. \text{ Assume } \{\gamma_j\} \text{ pointwise converges to } \gamma_\infty : [0, 1] \rightarrow X \text{ that connects } p, q,$$

then γ_∞ is a minimal geodesic s.t.

$$L(\gamma_\infty) = d(p, q).$$

Proof.

$$d(p, q) \leq L(\gamma_\infty) \leq \lim_j L(\gamma_j) = d(p, q).$$

□

Definition (Q_0 -Lipschitz curve): In metric space (X, d) , a curve $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ is Q_0 -Lipschitz curve if

$$\forall t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1], \quad d(\gamma(t_1), \gamma(t_2)) \leq Q_0 |t_1 - t_2|.$$

Lemma 10.2 (Arzela-Ascoli theorem). *Let (X, d) be a compact metric space, and $\gamma_j : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ be a sequence of Q_0 -Lipschitz curves. Then there exists a sequence $\{\gamma_{j_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^+}$ that converges uniformly to some Q_0 -Lipschitz curve γ_∞ , i.e.*

$$\sup_{t \in [0, 1]} d(\gamma_{j_k}(t), \gamma_\infty(t)) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Lemma 10.3. *If (U, g) is a Riemannian patch, then*

$$\overline{B_r(p)} = \{q \in U \mid d_g(q, p) \leq r\}$$

Proof. We can easily verify that $\overline{B_r(p)} \subseteq \text{R.H.S.}$ Denote $S_r(p) = \{y \in U : d_g(y, p) = r\}$, then we will show $\forall y \in S_r(p), y \in \overline{B_r(p)}$. Suppose not, then $\exists y \in S_r(p), \varepsilon_0 > 0$, s.t.

$$B_{\varepsilon_0}(y) \cap B_r(p) = \emptyset.$$

For $j \rightarrow \infty$, $\exists \gamma_j : [0, 1] \rightarrow U$ connecting y, p , s.t.

$$L(\gamma_j) \leq r + j^{-1},$$

where $\gamma_j(0) = y, \gamma_j(1) = p$. Let $t_0 \in [0, 1]$ satisfying

$$L_g(\gamma_j|_{[0, t_0]}) = \frac{\varepsilon_0}{10},$$

then

$$d_g(\gamma_j(0), \gamma_j(t_0)) \leq \frac{\varepsilon_0}{10} < \varepsilon_0 \Rightarrow \gamma_j(t_0) \in B_{\varepsilon_0}(y).$$

Also,

$$L_g(\gamma_j|_{[t_0, 1]}) = L_g(\gamma_j) - L_g(\gamma_j|_{[0, t_0]}) \leq r + j^{-1} - \frac{\varepsilon_0}{10} < r,$$

we get

$$d_g(\gamma_j(1), \gamma_j(t_0)) \leq L_g(\gamma_j|_{[t_0, 1]}) < r \Rightarrow \gamma_j(t_0) \in B_r(p).$$

We get a contradiction here, which implies that $\forall y \in S_r(p), y \in \overline{B_r(p)}$. We further prove this lemma by $\overline{B_r(p)} = \text{R.H.S.}$ □

Lemma 10.4. *If (U, g) is a Riemannian patch, then $\forall x \in U, \exists \varepsilon = \varepsilon_x > 0$, s.t. $\overline{B_\varepsilon(x)}$ is compact in U .*

Proposition 10.1. *If (U, g) is a Riemannian patch, assume $\overline{B_R(p)}$ is compact in U , then $\forall x \in \overline{B_R(p)}$, there exists a minimal geodesic $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \overline{B_R(p)}$ with $\gamma(0) = p, \gamma(1) = x$.*

Proof. Letting $r = d_g(x, p) < R$, then $\exists \delta > 0$, s.t. $r \leq R - \delta$.

Given a minimizing sequence $\{\gamma_j : [0, 1] \rightarrow U\}$ with constant speed, s.t. $L_g(\gamma_j) \rightarrow d_g(x, p)$. Then for j sufficiently large, we have

$$\|\gamma_j'\|_g \leq 2d_g(x, p),$$

and

$$\gamma_j \subset \overline{B_R(p)} : \quad \forall t \in [0, 1], d_g(p, \gamma_j(t)) \leq L(\gamma_j|_{[0, t]}) \leq L(\gamma_j) \leq r + j^{-1} < R.$$

Thus, γ_j converges uniformly to γ_∞ . □

11 Lektion 11

Lemma 11.1. (X, d) is compact if and only if (X, d) is sequentially compact.

Lemma 11.2. If $\overline{B_r(p)}$ is compact, then $\exists \varepsilon > 0$ that satisfies $\overline{B_{r+\varepsilon}(p)}$ is compact.

Proof. Since $\overline{B_r(p)} = B_r(p) \cup S_r(p)$, and $S_r(p) \equiv \{x \in \overline{B_r(p)} : d_g(x, p) = r\}$, then both $S_r(p)$ and $B_r(p)$ are compact.

Consider $\mathcal{O} \equiv \{B_{\varepsilon_y}(y) : \overline{B_{\varepsilon_y}(y)}$ is compact, $y \in S_r(p)\}$, since $B_r(p)$ is compact, then $\exists \{B_{\varepsilon_i}(y_i)\}_{i=1}^N \subset \mathcal{O}$ that covers $S_r(p)$. Take $\varepsilon_0 = \min_{1 \leq i \leq N} \varepsilon_i > 0$, let $\varepsilon = \frac{\varepsilon_0}{100}$.

Take any $q \in \overline{B_{r+\varepsilon}(p)}$ with $r < d_g(p, q) \leq r + \varepsilon$, then for any sufficient large j , $\exists C^1$ -curves connecting q, p s.t.

$$r < L_g(r) < r + \varepsilon + j^{-1}.$$

Let $t_0 \equiv \inf\{t \in [0, 1] : \gamma(t) \notin \overline{B_r(p)}\}$, then $\gamma(t_0) \in S_r(p)$, $L_g(\gamma|_{[0, t_0]}) \geq d_g(p, \gamma(t_0)) = r$, and

$$d_g(q, \gamma(t_0)) \leq L_g(\gamma|_{[t_0, 1]}) \leq L_g(\gamma) - L_g(\gamma|_{[0, t_0]}) < \varepsilon + j^{-1} < \varepsilon_0.$$

Thus

$$d_g(q, \gamma(t_0)) < \varepsilon_0.$$

□

Lemma 11.3. Suppose $\forall j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $f_j : \mathbb{Z}_+ \rightarrow X$ is a mapping. Then there exists a subsequence $\{S(l)\}_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ of $\{j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ s.t. $\{f_{S(l)}(n)\}_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ converges for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

Proof. Fix $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, there exists a subsequence $\{j_k(l)\}_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ of $\{j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ s.t.

$$\{f_{j_1(l)}(1)\}_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_+}, \{f_{j_2(l)}(2)\}_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_+}, \dots, \{f_{j_k(l)}(k)\}_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \text{ converges.}$$

Let $S(l) \equiv j_l(l)$, then $\{f_{S(l)}(n)\}_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ converges $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, and

$$S(l) \subseteq \{j_1(1), j_2(2), \dots, j_{n-1}(n-1)\} \cup \{j_n(l)\}_{l \geq n}.$$

□

Lemma 11.4. If $\forall r < R$, $\overline{B_r(p)}$ is compact, then $\overline{B_R(p)}$ is compact.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon_k = 2^{-k}$, $k \rightarrow \infty$, then $\overline{B_{R-\varepsilon_k}(p)}$ is compact.

Taking $\{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \subset \overline{B_R(p)}$ with $d_g(x_k, p) > R - \varepsilon_k$, we observe that $B_{3\varepsilon_k}(x_k) \cap B_{R-\varepsilon_k}(p) \neq \emptyset$. For any fixed $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $\exists \{y_j^{(k)}\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \subset B_{3\varepsilon_k}(x_k) \cap B_{R-\varepsilon_k}(p)$, which implies that there exists a subsequence $\{S(l)\}_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ s.t. $\{y_{S(l)}^{(k)}\}_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ converges $\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. For sufficient large k_0 s.t. $2^{-k_0} < \frac{\varepsilon}{10}$, we have

$$d(x_{S(l)}, x_{S(m)}) \leq d(x_{S(l)}, y_{S(l)}^{(k_0)}) + d(y_{S(l)}^{(k_0)}, y_{S(m)}^{(k_0)}) + d(y_{S(m)}^{(k_0)}, x_{S(m)}) < \frac{3\varepsilon}{10}.$$

□

Theorem 11.1 (Hopf-Rinow). Let (U, g) be a Riemannian patch, where (U, d_g) is complete, then

1. Any bounded, closed subset is compact

2. $\forall p, q \in U$, there exists a minimal geodesic connecting p, q .

Proof. The key is to prove (1), since (2) can be obtained by (1). We only need to verify that any $\overline{B_R(p)}$ is compact. Our idea is to assume that $\overline{B_r(p)}$ is compact, then for some $\varepsilon > 0$, $\overline{B_{r+\varepsilon}(p)}$ is compact.

Let $\bar{r} \equiv \{r \leq R | \overline{B_r(p)} \text{ is compact in } U\}$, then $\overline{B_{\bar{r}}(p)}$ is compact and $\bar{r} = R$ (otherwise, $\overline{B_{\bar{r}+\varepsilon}(p)}$ is compact). By the lemmas above, we prove the theorem. \square

12 Lection 12

Let $\gamma : [0, l] \rightarrow U$ be a smooth minimal geodesic connecting $p = \gamma(0), q = \gamma(l)$, which is parameterized by arc length. We define $V(t, s) : [0, l] \times [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon] \rightarrow U$ to be a smooth variation of γ with $V(0, s) = p, V(l, s) = q$ and $V(t, 0) = \gamma(t)$. We write $X(t) = \frac{\partial V}{\partial s}|_{s=0}$ as the variation vector field.

Our technical goal is to compute the equation that a smooth geodesic satisfies. We denote $\gamma_s(t) = V(t, s), \gamma'_s(t) = \frac{\partial V}{\partial t}$, then $L_s = L_g(\gamma_s) = \int_0^l g_{\gamma_s(t)}(\frac{\partial V}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial V}{\partial t})^{\frac{1}{2}} dt$.

If $\gamma = \gamma_0$ is a smooth geodesic, then

$$\frac{d}{ds}|_{s=0} L_s = 0,$$

that is,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s}|_{s=0} \int_0^l g_{\gamma_s(t)}(\frac{\partial V}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial V}{\partial t})^{\frac{1}{2}} dt = 0.$$

We denote $Q = g_{\gamma_s(t)}(\frac{\partial V}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial V}{\partial t}) = \langle \frac{\partial V}{\partial t}, G_{\gamma_s(t)}(\frac{\partial V}{\partial t}) \rangle$, then we rewrite the equation as

$$\int_0^l \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial s}|_{s=0} Q dt = 0.$$

We compute

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s} Q = \langle \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial s \partial t}, G_{\gamma_s(t)}(\frac{\partial V}{\partial t}) \rangle + \langle \frac{\partial V}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial}{\partial s} (G_{\gamma_s(t)}(\frac{\partial V}{\partial t})) \rangle.$$

We need to further simplify the $\frac{\partial}{\partial s} (G_{\gamma_s(t)}(\frac{\partial V}{\partial t}))$, which is given by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s} (G_{\gamma_s(t)}(\frac{\partial V}{\partial t})) = (\frac{\partial}{\partial s} G_{\gamma_s(t)}) \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + G_{\gamma_s(t)}(\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial s \partial t}).$$

Take a closer look of $G_{\gamma_s(t)}$, $G = (g_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$, each g_{ij} is a smooth function on \mathbb{R}^n . Given a curve $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, $G_\gamma(t) = (g_{ij}(\gamma(t)))_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$, where $G_\gamma(t) : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, then

$$\frac{d}{dt} G_\gamma(t) = \begin{bmatrix} DG_1(\gamma'(t)) \\ DG_2(\gamma'(t)) \\ DG_3(\gamma'(t)) \\ \vdots \\ DG_n(\gamma'(t)) \end{bmatrix},$$

where $G_i \equiv$ the i -th row of $g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$. Thus,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s} G_{\gamma_s(t)} = DG_{\gamma_s(t)}\left(\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial s}\right)\right),$$

and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left(G_{\gamma_s(t)} \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} \right) \right) = \left(DG_{\gamma_s(t)} \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial s} \right) \right) \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + G_{\gamma_s(t)} \left(\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial s \partial t} \right).$$

Thus,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \Big|_{s=0} Q = 2g(X'(t), \gamma'(t)) + g(\gamma'(t), G_\gamma G_\gamma^{-1}(DG_\gamma(X))(\gamma'(t))).$$

Finally, we write our initial expression as

$$\int_0^l (g(X'(t), \gamma'(t)) + g(\Gamma(\gamma'(t), X(t)), \gamma'(t))) dt,$$

where $\Gamma(\gamma', X) = \frac{1}{2} G_\gamma^{-1}(DG_\gamma(X))(\gamma'(t))$.

We could further simplify the expression as

$$\int_0^l g_{\gamma(t)}(\nabla_{\gamma'(t)}^* X(t), \gamma'(t)) dt.$$