Number Theory and Cryptology

Jayadev Naram

April 17, 2023

Part I

Number Theory

Definition 1 (Binary Operation). A binary operation on a set S is a function from $S \times S$ to S.

Eg: $A: \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$, i.e, $(a,b) \mapsto a+b$

Definition 2 (Domain). A domain is triple $(D, +, \cdot)$, where |D| > 1 and + and \cdot are two operations on D such that :

- i) a + b = b + a and $a \cdot b = b \cdot a, \forall a, b \in D$
- $ii) \ (a+b)+c=a+(b+c) \ and \ (a\cdot b)\cdot c=a\cdot (b\cdot c), \forall \, a,b,c\in D$
- $iii) \exists 0, 1 \in D, a + 0 = a \text{ and } a \cdot 1 = a, \forall a \in D$
- iv) $a \cdot (b+c) = a \cdot b + a \cdot c, \forall a, b, c \in D$
- $v) \ \forall a \in D, \exists \ a', \ a + a' = 0$
- vi) $a \cdot b = 0 \implies either a = 0 \text{ or } b = 0$

Eg: $(\mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot)$ and $(\mathbb{R}[X], +, \cdot)$, where $\mathbb{R}[X]$ is the Set of real polynomials

Definition 3 (Field). If every non-zero elements of a domain D has an inverse, i.e, units are $D - \{0\}$, then D is called a field.

Division Algorithm

Theorem 1. Let $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $b \in \mathbb{N}$. Then \exists unique $q,r \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$a = bq + r$$
, $0 \le r < b$

Proof. If a=0 (trivial). Let's prove for $a\in\mathbb{N}$ by induction. If a=1, take r=1 and q=0 (Base Case). Assume the statement is true $\forall n\in\mathbb{N}, n< a$, then we prove the statement for a. If $a\geq b$ then a-b< a. Then by induction, we have

$$a-b = qb+r, \ 0 \le r < b \implies a = (q+1)b+r$$

If a < b, then take q = 0 and r = a. Hence the theorem is proved for $a \in \mathbb{N}$. Now let $a \in \mathbb{Z}_-$. Then $-a \in \mathbb{N}$.

$$\exists q \text{ and } r, -a = bq + r, 0 \le r < b$$

$$\implies a = (-q)b + (-r)$$

$$\implies a = (-q - 1)b + (b - r), \text{ where } 0 < b - r < b$$

This ends the existence proof.

Now we prove the uniqueness. Let (q, r) and (q', r') be two pairs that satisfy the theorem. Then,

$$a = bq + r, \ 0 \le r < b$$

 $a = bq' + r', \ 0 \le r' < b$

WLOG, assume $r' \geq r$, then

$$\implies 0 \le r' - r < b$$

$$\implies bq + r = bq' + r'$$

$$\implies b(q - q') = r' - r$$

$$\implies b \mid (r' - r)$$

$$\implies r' = r \text{ and } q' = q \qquad \text{(since } r' - r < b\text{)}$$

This completes the uniqueness proof.

Lemma 2 (Modified Division Algorithm). Let $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $b \in \mathbb{N}$. Then \exists unique $q,r \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$a = bq + r, \, |r| \le \frac{b}{2}$$

Theorem 3. Let $a(X), b(X) \in \mathbb{R}[X]$. Then $\exists q(X), r(X) \in \mathbb{R}[X]$ such that

$$a(X) = b(X)q(X) + r(X)$$
, either $r(X) = 0$ or $deg(r(X)) < deg(b(X))$

Proof. Proof by induction on deg(a(X)). If deg(a(X)) < deg(b(X)), then take q(X) = 0 and r(X) = a(X). If deg(b(X)) = 0, i.e, $b(X) = b_0$, then take $q(X) = b_0^{-1}a(X)$ and r(X) = 0.

Now assume deg(b(X)) > 0 and $deg(a(X)) \ge deg(b(X))$ and also assume the theorem is true $\forall h(X) \in \mathbb{R}[X], \ deg(h(X)) < deg(a(X)).$

Then if deg(a(X)) = m and deg(b(X)) = n,

$$\implies a(X) = a_0 + a_1 X + \dots + a_m X^m$$
and $b(X) = b_0 + b_1 X + \dots + b_n X^n$, $(m > n)$

Now consider the polynomial $g(X) = a(X) - b_n^{-1} a_m X^{m-n} b(X)$. It can be easily verified that deg(g(X)) < m. Then,

$$\exists q(X), r(X) \in \mathbb{R}[X], g(X) = b(X)q(X) + r(X),$$

$$where \ r(X) = 0 \ or \ deg(r(X)) \le deg(b(X))$$

$$\implies a(X) - b_n^{-1} a_m X^{m-n} b(X) = b(X)q(X) + r(X)$$

$$\implies a(X) - b_n \quad a_m X \qquad b(X) = b(X)q(X) + r(X)$$

$$\implies a(X) = b(X)(q(X) + b_n^{-1}a_m X^{m-n}) + r(X),$$

where
$$r(X) = 0$$
 or $deg(r(X)) \le deg(b(X)))$

Definition 4 (Unit). The multiplicatively invertible elements in a domain are called units of a domain.

Eg: Units in $\mathbb{Z} = \{\pm 1\}$ and Units in $\mathbb{R}[X] = \{c \mid c \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}\}$

Definition 5 (Prime). a is prime if $a = uv \implies either u \text{ or } v \text{ is a unit, but not both.}$

Definition 6 (Associate). b is an associate of a if $a \mid b$ and $b \mid a$ or equivalently a = ub, where u is a unit.

Theorem 4. If x is a prime and u is a unit, then ux is also a prime.

Proof. Suppose ux = st. Since u is a unit, $x = (u^{-1}s)t$. But we know, x is a prime, then either of $u^{-1}s$ or t is a unit. If t is unit, proof is completed. Else $u^{-1}s$ must be a unit. We know that the product of two units is again a unit. So is $uu^{-1}s$, i.e, s is a unit.

Definition 7 (Greatest Common Divisor). d is said to be gcd of a and b if $d \mid a$ and $d \mid b$ and every common divisor c of a and b must divide d, i.e, if $c \mid a$ and $c \mid b$, then $c \mid d$. It is written as d = (a,b).

Remark. If d is a gcd a and b and then an associate of d is also a gcd of a and b, i.e, if u is a unit, then d = (a,b) = ud.

Definition 8. If a and $b \in \mathbb{Z}$, then we define

$$a\mathbb{Z} + b\mathbb{Z} = \{ax + by \mid x, y \in \mathbb{Z}\}\$$

Remark. It can be seen that $a, b \in a\mathbb{Z} + b\mathbb{Z}$ and if s_1 and $s_2 \in a\mathbb{Z} + b\mathbb{Z}$ then $s_1x + s_2y \in a\mathbb{Z} + b\mathbb{Z}$, $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore $a\mathbb{Z} + b\mathbb{Z} \cap \mathbb{N} \neq \emptyset$.

Theorem 5. If $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $\exists d \in \mathbb{Z}, a\mathbb{Z} + b\mathbb{Z} = d\mathbb{Z}$, where d = (a, b).

Proof. We first prove the existence of such a d. Since $a\mathbb{Z} + b\mathbb{Z} \cap \mathbb{N} \neq \emptyset$, let d be is least natural number in $a\mathbb{Z} + b\mathbb{Z}$. Then $d\mathbb{Z} \subseteq a\mathbb{Z} + b\mathbb{Z}$. Now let $s \in a\mathbb{Z} + b\mathbb{Z}$, then by division algorithm on \mathbb{Z} ,

$$\begin{split} \exists\,q,r\in\mathbb{Z},s&=qd+r,0\,\leq r< d.\\ \Longrightarrow\,r&=s-qd\in\mathbb{Z}\\ \Longrightarrow\,r&=0,\,i.e,\,\,s&=qd\\ \Longrightarrow\,a\mathbb{Z}+b\mathbb{Z}\subseteq d\mathbb{Z}\\ Therefore,\,\,a\mathbb{Z}+b\mathbb{Z}&=d\mathbb{Z}. \end{split}$$

Now we prove that d = (a, b). Since $a, b \in a\mathbb{Z} + b\mathbb{Z}$, $d \mid a$ and $d \mid b$. But $d \in a\mathbb{Z} + b\mathbb{Z}$, so d = ax + by for some $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$. Suppose $c \mid a$ and $c \mid b$, then $a = a_1c$ and $b = b_1c$. Then $d = c(xa_1 + yb_1)$, implies $c \mid d$.

Corollary 5.1. If $a \mid bc \ and \ (a, b) = 1$, then $a \mid c$.

Theorem 6. \mathbb{Z} is a UFD (Unique factorization Domain), i.e, every non-zero, non-unit can be written as product of primes and this factorization is unique upto order and association, i.e, if n is a non-zero, non-unit in \mathbb{Z} , and $n = p_1p_2\cdots p_r = q_1q_2\cdots q_s$, where p_i 's and q_i 's are primes, then r = s and every p_i is an associate of some q_j and vice versa.

Proof. The exitence of such factorization can be proved by using stroing induction for non-negative integers and using this result, we can multiply by a -1 (unit) and show it's true for negative integers as well.

Now, we prove the uniqueness by induction. Suppose n is a non-zero, non-unit.

Suppose
$$n = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r = q_1 q_2 \cdots q_s$$
.

If r = 1 (Base Case), then $n = p_1 = q_1 q_2 \cdots q_s$. But p_1 is a prime, therefore, s = 1 and $n = p_1 = uq_1$, where u is a unit. Assume the statement is true $\forall a \in \mathbb{N}, a < n$. Now we prove the statement for n.

$$p_r \mid n, i.e, p_r \mid q_1(q_2 \cdots q_s).$$

If $(p_r, q_1) = 1 \implies p_r \mid q_2(q_3 \cdots q_s)$

This way, we get some q_j which is an associate of p_r . WLOG, we can assume p_r is an associate of q_s , i.e, $up_r = q_s$.

$$\implies p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r - u q_1 q_2 \cdots q_{s-1} p_r = 0$$

$$\implies p_r (p_2 \cdots p_{r-1} - u q_1 q_2 \cdots q_{s-1}) = 0$$

$$\implies p_2 \cdots p_{r-1} = u q_1 q_2 \cdots q_{s-1} < n$$

Definition 9 $(\mathbb{Z}[\omega])$. $\mathbb{Z}[\omega] = \{a + b\omega \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subset \mathbb{C}$, where $\omega = \frac{-1 \pm i\sqrt{3}}{2}$. and $N(\alpha) = \alpha \bar{\alpha}$.

Remark. If $\alpha = a + b\omega$, then

$$N(a + b\omega) = (a + b\omega)(\overline{a + b\omega})$$
$$= (a + b\omega)(a + b\omega^{2})$$
$$= a^{2} - ab + b^{2}$$
$$= \frac{(2a - b)^{2} + 3b^{2}}{4}$$

Remark. The only element whose norm is 0 is 0.

Proposition. $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ is a unit iff $N(\alpha) = 1$.

Proof. Suppose $N(\alpha) = 1$, then $\alpha \overline{\alpha} = 1$. Therefore α is a unit in $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$. Conversely, suppose α is a unit $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$.

$$\begin{split} \exists \alpha' \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega], \alpha \alpha' &= 1 \\ \implies N(\alpha \alpha') &= 1 \\ \implies N(\alpha)N(\alpha') &= 1 \\ \implies N(\alpha) &= 1 \qquad (since, \ N(\alpha) \in \mathbb{N}, \forall \ \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega]). \end{split}$$

Theorem 7. The units in $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ are ± 1 , $\pm \omega$, $\pm \omega^2$.

Theorem 8. There is no element in $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ with norm 2.

Theorem 9. The only elements in $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ with norm 3 are $\pm \pi$, $\pm \pi \omega$, $\pm \pi \omega^2$, where $\pi = 1 - \omega$.

Theorem 10. $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ is a Euclidean Domain, i.e,

$$\forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega], \ \beta \neq 0, \ \exists \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega], \alpha = \beta \gamma + \delta, N(\delta) < N(\beta).$$

Proof. Let $\alpha = a + b\omega$, $\beta = c + d\omega$, $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$, $\beta \neq 0$, then $c, d \neq 0$.

Case i) Let d = 0. Then by Modified Division Algorithm, we have

$$a = cq_1 + r_1, \qquad (q_1, r_1 \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } |r_1| \le \frac{c}{2})$$

$$b = cq_2 + r_2, \qquad (q_2, r_2 \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } |r_2| \le \frac{c}{2})$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \alpha = a + b\omega = c(q_1 + q_2\omega) + (r_1 + r_2\omega)$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad N(\delta) = N(r_1 + r_2\omega)$$

$$= r_1^2 - r_1r_2 + r_2^2$$

$$\le |r_1|^2 + |r_1||r_2| + |r_2|^2$$

$$= \frac{c^2}{4} + \frac{c^2}{4} + \frac{c^2}{4}$$

$$= \frac{3c^2}{4} < c^2 = N(b) = N(\beta)$$

Case ii) If $d \neq 0$, consider $\alpha' = \alpha \overline{\beta}$, $\beta' = \beta \overline{\beta}$, then $\beta' \in \mathbb{Z}$, then by Case i),

$$\exists \gamma', \delta' \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega], \alpha' = \beta'\gamma' + \delta', N(\delta') < N(\beta') = (N(\beta))^2.$$
Let $\delta = \alpha - \beta\gamma$, then $\delta \overline{\beta} = \alpha \overline{\beta} - \beta \overline{\beta}\gamma = \delta'.N(\delta\beta') = N(\delta') < (N(\beta))^2$

$$\implies N(\delta)N(\beta) < (N(\beta))^2$$

$$\implies N(\delta) < N(\beta).$$

Theorem 11. If $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$, then $\exists \delta \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega], \alpha \mathbb{Z}[\omega] + \beta \mathbb{Z}[\omega] = \delta \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$, where $\delta = (\alpha, \beta)$.

Definition 10. If $a, b, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $m \neq 0$, we say that ais congruent to b modulo m if $m \mid b - a$. This relation is written $a \equiv b$ (m).

Definition 11 $(\mathbb{Z}_n, +_n, \cdot_n)$. -FILL IN-

Theorem 12. If $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n - \{0\}$ is a unit iff (a, n) = 1.

Proof. Let $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n - \{0\}$ be a unit. Then $\exists a' \in \mathbb{Z}_n - \{0\}$, such that $a \cdot_n a' = 1$, i.e, $\exists q, aa' = qn + 1$.

$$\implies (a, n) = 1.$$

5

Now let (a, n) = 1, then $\exists u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$, au + nv = 1. By Division Algorithm, $\exists q, r, such that u = qn + r, r \in \mathbb{Z}_n$.

$$\implies a(qn+r) + nv = 1$$

$$\implies ar = n(-aq - v) + 1$$

$$\implies a \cdot_n r = 1 \quad (Since, \ a, r \in \mathbb{Z}_n).$$

Therefore, a is a unit in \mathbb{Z}_n .

Definition 12. We define U_n to be the set of all units in \mathbb{Z}_n and $\phi(n)$ to be the cardinality of U_n , where ϕ_n is called Euler totient function, i.e.

$$U_n = \{a \in \mathbb{Z}_n - \{0\} \mid (a, n) = 1\}, \ \phi(n) = |U_n|.$$

We define $\phi(1) = 1$.

Remark. If n = p, p is prime, then every element is relatively prime to p, i.e, $U_p = \mathbb{Z}_p - \{0\} = \{1, 2, \dots, p-1\}$. And also $(\mathbb{Z}_p, +_p, \cdot_p)$ is a field. If $n = p^t$, $\phi(n) = p^{t-1}(p-1)$. If n = pq, $\phi(n) = (p-1)(q-1)$.

Theorem 13 (Euler's Theorem). If (a, n) = 1, then $a^{\phi(n)} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$.

Proof. Let's prove it for elements in U_n first and then for any element in general. Let $U_n = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{\phi(n)}\}$ and let $a \in U_n$. Then,

$$a \cdot_n U_n = \{a \cdot_n a_1, a \cdot_n a_2, \cdots, a \cdot_n a_{\phi(n)}\} \subseteq U_n$$

Claim. All elements of $a \cdot_n U_n$ are distinct, i.e, $a \cdot_n U_n = U_n$. We prove this by contradiction. Assume, $a \cdot_n a_i = a \cdot_n a_j$, such that $i \neq j$. Then $a^{-1} \cdot_n a \cdot_n a_i = a^{-1} \cdot_n a \cdot_n a_j$, hence $a_i = a_j$. Therefore, $a \cdot_n U_n = U_n$.

$$\implies \prod_{i=1}^{\phi(n)} a \cdot_n a_i = \prod_{j=1}^{\phi(n)} a_j$$

$$\implies a^{\phi(n)} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{\phi(n)} a_i \right) = \prod_{j=1}^{\phi(n)} a_j$$

$$\implies a^{\phi(n)} b = b, \text{ where } b = \prod_{i=1}^{\phi(n)} a_i \in U_n$$

$$\implies a^{\phi(n)} = 1 \text{ in } (\mathbb{Z}_n, +_n, \cdot_n).$$

Now, let's prove the theorem for any $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, such that (a, n) = 1. By Division Algorithm, $\exists q, r$, such that $a = qn + r, r \in \mathbb{Z}_n$. Since (a, n) = 1, we have (r, n) = 1.

$$\Rightarrow a^{\phi}(n) = (qn+r)^{\phi(n)}$$

$$= r^{\phi(n)} + {\phi(n) \choose 1} (nq) + \dots + (nq)^{\phi(n)}$$

$$= r^{\phi(n)} + nk$$

$$\Rightarrow a^{\phi}(n) - 1 = r^{\phi(n)} - 1 + nk$$

$$But \ n \mid r^{\phi(n)} - 1, \ then \ n \mid a^{\phi(n)} - 1$$

$$\Rightarrow a^{\phi(n)} \equiv 1 \ (mod \ n)$$

Notation: $\mathbb{Z}_p^{\ x} = \mathbb{Z}_p - \{0\}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_p^{\ x^2}$ to be set of elements in $\mathbb{Z}_p^{\ x}$ which are square. Here p is a prime.

Proposition. $|\mathbb{Z}_p^{x^2}| = \frac{p-1}{2}$, therefore $\exists u \in \mathbb{Z}_p^x$ which is a non-square. Then $u\mathbb{Z}_p^{x^2}$ will be the set of all non-square in \mathbb{Z}_p^x .

Proof. First, we prove that $|\mathbb{Z}_p^{x^2}| = \frac{p-1}{2}$. Consider the following mapping:

$$\mathbb{Z}_p^x \mapsto \mathbb{Z}_p^{x^2}$$

$$x \mapsto x^2$$

$$\implies p - x \mapsto (p - x)^2 = p^2 - 2px + x^2 = x^2 + pk$$

$$\implies p - x \mapsto x^2 \text{ in } (\mathbb{Z}_p, +_p, \cdot_p)$$

Therefore this mapping is a 2-1 mapping and hence $|\mathbb{Z}_p^{\ x^2}| = \frac{p-1}{2}$. There are $\frac{p-1}{2}$ non-square elements in $\mathbb{Z}_p^{\ x}$. Let u be a non-square. Then consider the following mapping:

$$\mathbb{Z}_p^{x^2} \mapsto u\mathbb{Z}_p^{x^2}$$
$$x^2 \mapsto ux^2$$

We prove that this mapping is bijective. It is enough to show that all the elements in $u\mathbb{Z}_p^{\ x^2}$ are distinct and non-squares. Consider two elements $ux^2, uy^2 \in u\mathbb{Z}_p^{\ x^2}$.

If
$$ux^2 = uy^2$$

 $\implies u^{-1}ux^2 = u^{-1}uy^2$ (since \mathbb{Z}_p is a field)
 $\implies x^2 = y^2$

This shows that all the elements of $u\mathbb{Z}_p^{\ x^2}$. Now we show that elements of $u\mathbb{Z}_p^{\ x^2}$ are all the non-square elements in $\mathbb{Z}_p^{\ x}$. Suppose some element in $u\mathbb{Z}_p^{\ x^2}$ is a square, i.e,

$$\implies ux^2 = y^2$$

$$\implies ux^2x^{-2} = y^2x^{-2}$$

$$\implies u = (yx^{-1})^2 \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{x^2}$$

But u is a non-square, which is a contradiction. Therefore, this mapping is not just a bijection, but none of the elements in one set belongs to other. Hence, $u\mathbb{Z}_p^{\ x^2}$ is the set of all non-squares in $\mathbb{Z}_p^{\ x}$.

Remark. From the above proposition it can be concluded that

$$\mathbb{Z}_p^{\ x} = u\mathbb{Z}_p^{\ x^2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p^{\ x^2}.$$

Definition 13. We define a mapping such that,

$$\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}_n$$
$$x \mapsto \bar{x}, \bar{x} = x \pmod{n}$$

Theorem 14. The following properties hold for $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$:

$$i) \ \overline{x+y} = \bar{x} +_n \bar{y}$$
 $ii) \ \overline{xy} = \bar{x} \cdot_n \bar{y}$

Define the following mapping from $\mathbb{Z}_{mn} \to \mathbb{Z}_n$ in the similar way as above. Then the following properties hold:

$$i) \ \overline{x +_{mn} y} = \bar{x} +_n \bar{y} \qquad \qquad ii) \ \overline{x \cdot_{mn} y} = \bar{x} \cdot_n \bar{y}$$

Theorem 15 (Chinese Remainder Theorem). Suppose (m, n) = 1. Let $\bar{x} = x \pmod{m}$ and $\bar{x} = x \pmod{n}$, $x \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then the following mapping is bijection which preserves operation:

$$\mathbb{Z}_{mn} \to \mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_n$$
$$x \mapsto (\bar{x}, \bar{\bar{x}})$$

Proof. Since the sets are finite, by pigeon hole principle, it is enough to show the mapping $f: \mathbb{Z}_{mn} \to \mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_n, x \mapsto (\bar{x}, \bar{x})$ is onto for it to be bojective, i.e, if $\forall (u,v) \in \mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_n \exists x \in \mathbb{Z}_{mn}$, such that $\bar{x} = x \pmod{m}$ and $\bar{x} = x \pmod{n}$. We will first show that $\exists x \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying the above conditions. Since (m,n) = 1, $\exists M, N \in \mathbb{Z}$, Mm + nN = 1. Let x = mMv + nNu, then $x - u = mMv + (nN-1)u = mM(v-u) = mq \implies x = mq+u \implies \bar{x} = u$. Similarly, $\bar{x} = v$. So $\exists x \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\bar{x} = u, \bar{x} = v$, then by division algorithm, $\exists r \in \mathbb{Z}_{mn}, q, x = mnq + r$. Notice that $u = \bar{x} = \overline{mnq} + r = \overline{mnq} + m$ $\bar{r} = \bar{r}$ and similarly $\bar{r} = v$. Therefore,

$$\exists x \in \mathbb{Z}, \ \bar{x} = x \pmod{m} \ and \ \bar{\bar{x}} = x \pmod{n}.$$

We know,

$$i) \ \overline{x +_{mn} y} = \bar{x} +_{m} \bar{y}$$

$$ii) \ \overline{x +_{mn} y} = \bar{x} \cdot_{m} \bar{y}$$

$$ii) \ \overline{x +_{mn} y} = \bar{x} \cdot_{m} \bar{y}$$

$$ii) \ \overline{x \cdot_{mn} y} = \bar{x} \cdot_{n} \bar{y}$$

$$f(x +_{mn} y) = (\overline{x +_{mn} y}, \overline{\overline{x +_{mn} y}})$$
$$= (\overline{x} +_{m} \overline{y}, \overline{x} +_{n} \overline{y})$$
$$= (\overline{x}, \overline{x}) + (\overline{y}, \overline{y})$$

$$= f(x) + f(y).$$

Similarly, $f(x \cdot_{mn} y) = f(x) \times f(y)$. Here addition(+) and mutliplication(×) are component-wise. Therefore, f is onto and hence bijective(???).

Theorem 16. *If* (m, n) = 1, *then* $\phi(m) = \phi(m)\phi(n)$.

Proof. First we show that under this bijection mapping defined above the elements of U_{mn} group map bijetively to the elements of $U_m \times U_n$, i.e,

- i) if $x \in U_{mn}$, then $\bar{x} \in U_m$ and $\bar{x} \in U_n$. If $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{mn}$, then (x, mn) = 1, i.e, ax + bmn = 1, this implies (x, m) = 1 and (x, n) = 1, i.e, $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ and $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_n$.
- ii) if $\bar{x} \in U_m$ and $\bar{x} \in U_n$, then $x \in U_{mn}$. Then $\exists u \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ and $v \in \mathbb{Z}_n$, such that $\bar{x} \cdot_m u = 1$ and $\bar{x} \cdot_n v = 1$. Since f is onto, $\exists y \in \mathbb{Z}_{mn}$, $\bar{y} = u$ and $\bar{y} = v$. Then $\overline{x} \cdot_{mn} \overline{y} = \bar{x} \cdot_m \overline{y} = 1$ and $\overline{\overline{x} \cdot_{mn} y} = \bar{x} \cdot_m \overline{y} = 1$. Therefore, $f(x \cdot_{mn} y) = (\bar{1}, \bar{1}) = f(1)$. Since f is one-to-one, $x \cdot_{mn} y = 1$, i.e, $x \in U_{mn}$.

Therefore,

$$U_{mn} \leftrightarrow U_m \times U_n$$

$$\implies |U_{mn}| = |U_m||U_n|$$

$$\implies \phi(mn) = \phi(m)\phi(n).$$

Theorem 17. Some important facts from group theory that are used later.

- i) If order of an element in the group is equal to order of the group, then the group is cyclic.
- ii) Let (G,*) be a finite group and (H,*) be a subgroup of G, then $|H| \mid |G|$.
- iii) In a finite group the order of an element must divide the order of the group.
- iv) If $a \in G$, (G,*) be a finite group, then $a^{|G|} = e$.
- v) If $a \in G$, (G,*) be a finite group and if $a^i = e$, then $o(a) \mid i$.
- vi) If $a \in G$, (G,*) be a finite group, then $o(a^i) = \frac{o(a)}{(i,o(a))}$ and $o(a^i) = o(a)$ iff (i,o(a)) = 1. Therefore, there are $\phi(n)$ generators in a cyclic group of order n.

Lemma 18. $\sum_{d|n} \phi(d) = n \ \forall \ n \in \mathbb{N}.$

Proof. Define $f(n) = \sum_{d|n} \phi(d)$. We show that if (m,n) = 1, then f(mn) = f(m)f(n). Let $m = p_1^{e_1}p_2^{e_2}\cdots p_r^{e_r}$ and $n = q_1^{e_1}q_2^{e_2}\cdots q_s^{e_s}$. If $d \mid mn$, then $d = (p_1^{l_1}p_2^{l_2}\cdots p_r^{l_r})(q_1^{r_1}q_2^{r_2}\cdots q_s^{r_s}) = d_1d_2$, such that $(d,m) = d_1$ and $(d,n) = d_2$. Now,

$$f(mn) = \sum_{d|mn} \phi(d) = \sum_{d_1|m, d_2|n} \phi(d_1 d_2) = \sum_{d_1|m, d_2|n} \phi(d_1) \phi(d_2)$$
$$= \sum_{d_1|m} \phi(d_1) \sum_{d_2|n} \phi(d_2) = f(m) f(n)$$

Let n be a non-zero, such that n > 1. Let $n = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_r^{e_r}$, where p_i 's are distinct primes.

$$\begin{split} f(p_1^{e_1}) &= \sum_{d \mid p_1^{e_1}} \phi(d) = \phi(1) + \phi(p_1) + \phi(p_1^2) + \dots + \phi(p_1^{e_1}) \\ &= 1 + p_1 + \dots + p_1^{e_1 - 1}(p_1 - 1) = p_1^{e_1} \end{split}$$
 Then,
$$f(n) = f(p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_r^{e_r}) = f(p_1^{e_1}) f(p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_r^{e_r}) \\ &= p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_r^{e_r} = n = \sum_{d \mid n} \phi(d). \end{split}$$

Theorem 19. Let $(\mathbb{F}, +, \cdot)$ be a field and G a finite subgroup of $(\mathbb{F} - \{0\}, \cdot)$, then G is a cyclic group.

Proof. Given that $G \subseteq (\mathbb{F} - \{0\}, \cdot)$ is finite, i.e, $|G| < \infty$. Let |G| = n. If $d \mid n$ define G_d as the set containing all the elements in G of order d. We have $G = \coprod_{d \mid n} G_d$, then $|G| = \sum_{d \mid n} |G_d|$. If $G_d = \phi$, then $|G_d| = 0$. Suppose $|G_d| \neq 0$, let $a \in G_d$, then o(a) = d.

Consider $H = \{1, a, a^2, \dots, a^{d-1}\}$, $a^d = 1$. Then $X^d - 1$ is a polynomial in $\mathbb{F}[X]$. Notice that all the elements of H are the roots of the polynomial and these are the only roots of $X^d - 1$ in \mathbb{F} . Therefore, $G_d \subseteq H$. Notice that the number of elements in H of order d are $\phi(d)$, since $o(a^i) = o(a) = d$ iff (i, d) = 1, then $|G_d| = \phi(d)$. Hence, $G_d = \phi(d)$ or 0.

We know, $\sum_{d|n} \phi(d) = n$ and $n = \sum_{d|n} |G_d|$, hence $|G_d|$ is never 0, i.e, G_d is never empty $\forall d \mid n$. In particular, $G_n \neq \phi$, therefore there exists an element of order n. Hence, G is cyclic.

Corollary 19.1. $(\mathbb{Z}_p - \{0\}, \cdot_p)$ is cyclic group in $F = (\mathbb{Z}_p - \{0\}, +, \cdot_p)$.

Definition 14 (Legendre Symbol). Let $c \in \mathbb{Z}$, p is an odd prime. Then we define:

$${c \choose p} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } p \mid c \\ 1, & \text{if } \exists \ x \in Z, \ x^2 \equiv c \pmod{p} \\ -1, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Theorem 20. The properties of Legendre Symbol are listed below:

- i) If $a \equiv b \pmod{p}$, then $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{b}{p}\right)$.
- $ii) \left(\frac{xy}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{x}{p}\right)\left(\frac{y}{p}\right).$
- iii) $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = \bar{a}^{\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)}$, where $\bar{a} = a \pmod{p}$.
- iv)