

2020-Summer-CSE-5321-002-Software Testing  
 Prof. John Robb  
 Homework 5  
 ID - 1001559386, Student - Jay Denton

### Problem 1:

#### 1. Test case table snapshot

Test Case Number	Inputs			Expected Outputs		MCDC
	cruiseEngaged	speed (mph)	distance (ft)	emerBrake	pulseCount	
1	TRUE	50.0	35.0	TRUE	15	Statement 9 & 10 TFT
2	TRUE	50.0	35.1	FALSE	15	Statement 9 TFF
3	TRUE	50.1	35.1	TRUE	10	Statement 9 & 10 TTF
4	FALSE	50.1	35.1	FALSE	0	Statement 9 FTF
5	FALSE	50.0	35.0	FALSE	0	Statement 9 FFT
6	FALSE	50.1	35.0	FALSE	0	Statement 10 FTT
7	TRUE	50.1	35.0	TRUE	5	Statement 10 TTT
8	TRUE	0.0	35.0	TRUE	15	speed Extreme value
9	TRUE	135.0	35.0	TRUE	5	speed Extreme value
10	TRUE	50.1	0.0	TRUE	5	distance Extreme value
11	TRUE	50.1	1,000.0	TRUE	10	distance Extreme value

#### 2. JUnit pass indicator (green bar expanded)

#### 3. JaCoCo statement green source line annotations (not summary)

The screenshot shows an IDE with two main panels. The left panel displays the JUnit test results for 'Homework5.Problem1ClassTest'. It shows 11 tests passed, with a green bar indicating the success. The right panel shows the source code of 'Problem1Class.java' with JaCoCo coverage annotations. The code includes methods for setting emergency brake, getting pulse count, and setting auto-disengage. The annotations show that the tests cover various branches and statements, with green bars indicating full coverage for many lines.

```

1 package Homework5;
2
3 public class Problem1Class {
4
5     int pulseCount;
6     boolean emerBrake;
7
8     public void emerBrakeFunction (boolean cruiseEngaged, double speed, double distance) {
9         emerBrake = (cruiseEngaged && (speed>50.0 || distance<=35.0));
10        pulseCount = (cruiseEngaged) ? (speed>50.0 ? (distance<=35.0 ? 5 : 10) : 15) : 0;
11    }
12
13    public int getPulseCount() {
14        return pulseCount;
15    }
16
17    public void setPulseCount(int timer) {
18        this.pulseCount = timer;
19    }
20
21    public boolean isEmerBrake() {
22        return emerBrake;
23    }
24
25    public void setAutoDisengage(boolean autoDisengage) {
26        this.emerBrake = autoDisengage;
27    }
28 }
  
```

(Not caring about setters.)

#### 4. PIT coverage annotation for the source method under test (all green lines)

##### **Mutations**

9 1. changed conditional boundary → KILLED  
 2. changed conditional boundary → KILLED  
 3. Substituted 50.0 with 1.0 → KILLED  
 4. Substituted 35.0 with 1.0 → KILLED  
 5. Substituted 1 with 0 → KILLED  
 6. Substituted 0 with 1 → KILLED  
 7. negated conditional → KILLED  
 8. negated conditional → KILLED  
 9. negated conditional → KILLED  
 10. removed conditional - replaced equality check with false → KILLED  
 11. removed conditional - replaced equality check with true → KILLED  
 12. removed conditional - replaced comparison check with false → KILLED  
 13. removed conditional - replaced comparison check with false → KILLED  
 14. removed conditional - replaced comparison check with true → KILLED  
 15. removed conditional - replaced comparison check with true → KILLED  
 16. Removed assignment to member variable emerBrake → KILLED  
  
 1. changed conditional boundary → KILLED  
 2. changed conditional boundary → KILLED  
 3. Substituted 50.0 with 1.0 → KILLED  
 4. Substituted 35.0 with 1.0 → KILLED  
 5. Substituted 5 with 6 → KILLED  
 6. Substituted 10 with 11 → KILLED  
 7. Substituted 15 with 16 → KILLED  
 8. Substituted 0 with 1 → KILLED  
 9. negated conditional → KILLED  
10 10. negated conditional → KILLED  
 11. negated conditional → KILLED  
 12. removed conditional - replaced equality check with false → KILLED  
 13. removed conditional - replaced equality check with true → KILLED  
 14. removed conditional - replaced comparison check with false → KILLED  
 15. removed conditional - replaced comparison check with false → KILLED  
 16. removed conditional - replaced comparison check with true → KILLED  
 17. removed conditional - replaced comparison check with true → KILLED  
 18. Removed assignment to member variable pulseCount → KILLED  
  
14 1. replaced return of integer sized value with (x == 0 ? 1 : 0) → KILLED  
18 1. Removed assignment to member variable pulseCount → NO\_COVERAGE  
22 1. replaced return of integer sized value with (x == 0 ? 1 : 0) → KILLED  
26 1. Removed assignment to member variable emerBrake → NO\_COVERAGE

(Not caring about setters.)

#### 5. The time stamp is at the bottom of the JUnit&JaCoco snapshot.

## Problem 2:

### 1. Test case table snapshot

Test Case Number	Input			Output Previous Box number (absolute)	Basis Path	Comments
	Box In Car Number	RailRoad Car number	Shipment Number			
1	1	1	1	4,000	8-10-14-15-21	Stmt 8 TTF stmt 14 TT
2	1	1	5	4,000	8-14-15-21	Stmt 8 FTF stmt 14 TT
3	2	1	50	1	8-14-17-19-21	Stmt 8 FFT stmt 14 FT stmt 17 no loop
4	2	1	10	1	8-10-14-17-19-21	Stmt 8 FTT stmt 14 FT stmt 17 no loop
5	1	3	1	800	8-10-14-17-18-17-18-17-19-21	Stmt 8 TTF stmt 14 TF stmt 17 loop 2 times
6	1	3	10	800	8-10-14-17-18-17-18-17-19-21	Stmt 8 FTT stmt 14 TF stmt 17 loop 2 times
7	1	3	5	780	8-14-17-18-17-18-17-19-21	Stmt 8 FTF stmt 14 TF stmt 17 loop 2 times
8	1	3	50	780	8-14-17-18-17-18-17-19-21	Stmt 8 FFT stmt 14 TF stmt 17 loop 2 times
9	360	10	1	3,999	8-10-14-17-18(loop 9 times)-17-19-21	Add the 360 boxes and access the max schedule 2
10	360	10	5	3,999	8-14-17-18(loop 9 times)-17-19-22	Add the 360 boxes and access the max schedule 1
11	1	2	0	380	-	Extreme values
12	1	2	4000	380	-	Extreme values

Statement 8 has the logical expression:  $(\text{shipmentNum} \% 5 \neq 0) \parallel ((\text{shipmentNum} \% 25 \neq 0) \&\& (\text{shipmentNum} \% 10 == 0))$

Which can be considered as “a + bc”, which has the MCDC solution: TTF, FTF, FFT, FTT

TTF -  $\text{shipmentNum} \% 5$  is non-zero,  $\%25$  is non-zero,  $\%10$  is non-zero, thus, shipmentNum can be 1.

FTF -  $\text{shipmentNum} \% 5$  is zero,  $\%25$  is non-zero,  $\%10$  is non-zero, thus, shipmentNum can be 5.

FFT -  $\text{shipmentNum} \% 5$  is zero,  $\%25$  is zero,  $\%10$  is zero, thus, shipmentNum can be 50.

FTT -  $\text{shipmentNum} \% 5$  is zero,  $\%25$  is non-zero,  $\%10$  is zero, thus, shipmentNum can be 10.

Statement 14 has the logical expression:  $(\text{boxInCarNum} == 1) \&\& (\text{rrCarNum} == 1)$ , which has the MCDC: FT, TF, TT

### 2. JUnit pass indicator (green bar expanded)

### 3. JaCoCo statement green source line annotations (not summary)

The screenshot displays an IDE with two main panes. The left pane shows the JUnit test results for 'Homework5.Problem2ClassTest'. It indicates that 12 out of 12 tests passed, with 0 errors and 0 failures. The test results are listed as follows:

- [0] 1,1,1,4000,8-10-14-15-21, Stmt 8 TTF stmt 14 TT (test) (0.000 s)
- [1] 2,1,1,5,4000,8-14-15-21, Stmt 8 FTF stmt 14 TT (test) (0.000 s)
- [2] 3,2,1,50,1,8-14-17-19-21, Stmt 8 FFT stmt 14 FT stmt 17 no loop (test) (0.000 s)
- [3] 4,2,1,10,1,8-10-14-17-19-21, Stmt 8 FTT stmt 14 FT stmt 17 no loop (test) (0.000 s)
- [4] 5,1,3,1,800,8-10-14-17-18-17-19-21, Stmt 8 TTF stmt 14 TF stmt 17 loop 2 times (test) (0.000 s)
- [5] 6,1,3,10,800,8-10-14-17-18-17-19-21, Stmt 8 FTT stmt 14 TF stmt 17 loop 2 times (test) (0.000 s)
- [6] 7,1,3,5,780,8-14-17-18-17-19-21, Stmt 8 FTF stmt 14 TF stmt 17 loop 2 times (test) (0.000 s)
- [7] 8,1,3,50,780,8-14-17-18-17-19-21, Stmt 8 FFT stmt 14 TF stmt 17 loop 2 times (test) (0.000 s)
- [8] 9,360,10,1,3999,8-10-14-17-18(loop 9 times)-17-19-21, Add the 360 boxes and access the max schedule 2 (test) (0.000 s)
- [9] 10,360,10,5,3999,8-14-17-18(loop 9 times)-17-19-22, Add the 360 boxes and access the max schedule 1 (test) (0.000 s)
- [10] 11,1,2,0,380,-, Extreme values (test) (0.002 s)
- [11] 12,1,2,4000,380,-, Extreme values (test) (0.001 s)

The right pane shows the source code for 'Problem2Class.java'. The code is annotated with green bars indicating coverage. The annotations are as follows:

- Line 8: `if ((shipmentNum % 5 != 0) || ((shipmentNum % 25 != 0) && (shipmentNum % 10 == 0)))`
- Line 14: `if ((boxInCarNum == 1) && (rrCarNum == 1))`
- Line 17: `for (int i=0; i<rrCarNum-1; i++)`
- Line 21: `return boxSum;`

The incorrect part of the code is provided by the Professor.

```

5 public int calcPrevBoxNumber (int boxInCarNum, int rrCarNum, int shipmentNum) {
6   int BoxesperRRCars[] = { 380, 400, 420, 430, 380, 400, 430, 420, 380};
7   int boxSum=0;
8   if ((shipmentNum % 5 != 0) || ((shipmentNum % 25 != 0) && (shipmentNum % 10 == 0)))
9   {
10    BoxesperRRCars[1] = 420;
11    BoxesperRRCars[2] = 400;
12  }

```



#### 4. PIT coverage annotation for the source method under test (all green lines)

Mutations	
1.	Substituted 9 with 10 → SURVIVED
2.	Substituted 0 with 1 → KILLED
3.	Substituted 380 with 381 → KILLED
4.	Substituted 1 with 0 → KILLED
5.	Substituted 400 with 401 → KILLED
6.	Substituted 2 with 3 → KILLED
7.	Substituted 420 with 421 → KILLED
8.	Substituted 3 with 4 → KILLED
9.	Substituted 430 with 431 → KILLED
10.	Substituted 4 with 5 → KILLED
11.	Substituted 380 with 381 → KILLED
12.	Substituted 5 with 6 → KILLED
13.	Substituted 400 with 401 → KILLED
14.	Substituted 6 with 7 → KILLED
15.	Substituted 430 with 431 → KILLED
16.	Substituted 7 with 8 → KILLED
17.	Substituted 420 with 421 → KILLED
18.	Substituted 8 with 9 → KILLED
19.	Substituted 380 with 381 → KILLED
1.	Substituted 0 with 1 → KILLED
1.	Substituted 5 with 6 → KILLED
2.	Substituted 25 with 26 → KILLED
3.	Substituted 10 with 11 → KILLED
4.	Replaced integer modulus with multiplication → KILLED
5.	Replaced integer modulus with multiplication → KILLED
6.	Replaced integer modulus with multiplication → KILLED
7.	negated conditional → KILLED
8.	negated conditional → KILLED
9.	negated conditional → KILLED
10.	removed conditional - replaced equality check with false → KILLED
11.	removed conditional - replaced equality check with false → KILLED
12.	removed conditional - replaced equality check with false → KILLED
13.	removed conditional - replaced equality check with true → KILLED
14.	removed conditional - replaced equality check with true → KILLED
15.	removed conditional - replaced equality check with true → KILLED
1.	Substituted 1 with 0 → KILLED
2.	Substituted 420 with 421 → KILLED
1.	Substituted 2 with 3 → KILLED
2.	Substituted 400 with 401 → KILLED
1.	Substituted 1 with 0 → KILLED
2.	Substituted 1 with 0 → KILLED
3.	negated conditional → KILLED
4.	negated conditional → KILLED
5.	removed conditional - replaced equality check with false → KILLED
6.	removed conditional - replaced equality check with false → KILLED
7.	removed conditional - replaced equality check with true → KILLED
8.	removed conditional - replaced equality check with true → KILLED
1.	Substituted 4000 with 4001 → KILLED
1.	changed conditional boundary → KILLED
2.	Changed increment from 1 to -1 → KILLED
3.	Substituted 0 with 1 → KILLED
4.	Substituted 1 with 0 → KILLED
5.	Replaced integer subtraction with addition → KILLED
6.	negated conditional → KILLED
7.	removed conditional - replaced comparison check with false → KILLED
8.	removed conditional - replaced comparison check with true → KILLED
9.	Removed increment 1 → TIMED_OUT
1.	Replaced integer addition with subtraction → KILLED
1.	Substituted 1 with 0 → KILLED
2.	Replaced integer subtraction with addition → KILLED
3.	Replaced integer addition with subtraction → KILLED
1.	replaced return of integer sized value with (x == 0 ? 1 : 0) → KILLED

The exception acceptable: Statement 6 - one case of "Substituted 9 with 10 → SURVIVED"

5. The time stamp is at the bottom of the JUnit&JaCoco snapshot.

### Problem 3:

#### 1. Test case table snapshot

Test Case	Current State	Next State	Inputs				Exp Outputs			
			D	G	P	Z	B	I	T	X
1	Start	OFF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	OFF	OFF	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
3	OFF	OFF	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	OFF	U	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1
5	OFF	L	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0
6	U	OFF	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
7	U	U	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
8	U	U	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1
9	U	X5	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	2
10	X5	X5	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	2
11	X5	X5	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	2
12	X5	N	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	2
13	X5	X10	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	3
14	N	N	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	2
15	N	N	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	2
16	N	N	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	2
17	N	X5	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	2
18	X10	X10	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	3
19	X10	X10	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	3
20	X10	X10	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	3
21	X10	U	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1
22	L	L	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
23	L	L	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0
24	L	L	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0
25	L	OFF	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0

#### 2. Code modifications

There are several lines I have changed from the original file:

line 22, change "X=3" to "X=2"

line 25, change "nextState=state.L" to "nextState=state.OFF"

line 27, change "X=2" to "X=1"

line 28, change "if (G==1 || B==1)" to "if (G==1 || P==1)"

line 29, change "nextState=state.U" to "nextState=state.X5"

line 34, change "B=1;T=1;T=0;X=2;" to "B=1;I=1;T=0;X=2;"

line 35, change "if (P==1)" to "if (Z==1)"

line 36, change "B=1;T=0;T=0;X=1;nextState=state.OFF;" to "B=1;I=0;T=0;X=1;nextState=state.U;"

line 38, change "B=1;T=0;T=0;X=3;" to "B=1;I=0;T=0;X=3;"

line 42, change "B=1;T=1;T=0;X=2;" to "B=1;I=1;T=0;X=2;"

line 46, change "B=1;I=0;I=1;X=0;" to "B=1;I=0;T=1;X=0;"

And also, in each case, I added "break;" at the end.

- JUnit pass indicator (green bar expanded)
- JaCoCo statement green source line annotations (not summary)
- The time stamp is at the bottom of the JUnit&JaCoco snapshot.

The screenshot displays an IDE interface with two main panels. The left panel shows the JUnit test results for 'Homework5.Problem3ClassTest'. The right panel shows the source code for 'Problem3Class.java' and 'Problem3ClassTest.java'.

**JUnit Test Results (Left Panel):**

- Package Explorer: JUnit
- Finished after 0.065 seconds
- Runs: 25/25 | Errors: 0 | Failures: 0
- Homework5.Problem3ClassTest [Runner: JUnit 4] (0.033 s)
  - test (0.033 s)
    - [0] 1,Start,OFF,0,0,0,0,0,0,0 (test) (0.000 s)
    - [1] 2,OFF,OFF,0,0,0,1,0,0,0 (test) (0.000 s)
    - [2] 3,OFF,OFF,1,0,0,0,0,0,0 (test) (0.000 s)
    - [3] 4,OFF,U,0,0,1,0,1,0,0,1 (test) (0.002 s)
    - [4] 5,OFF,L,0,1,0,0,1,0,1,0 (test) (0.002 s)
    - [5] 6,U,OFF,0,0,1,0,0,0,0 (test) (0.001 s)
    - [6] 7,U,U,1,0,0,0,1,0,0,1 (test) (0.002 s)
    - [7] 8,U,U,0,1,0,0,1,0,0,1 (test) (0.002 s)
    - [8] 9,U,X5,0,0,0,1,1,0,0,2 (test) (0.002 s)
    - [9] 10,X5,X5,0,1,0,0,1,0,0,2 (test) (0.002 s)
    - [10] 11,X5,X5,0,0,1,0,1,0,0,2 (test) (0.001 s)
    - [11] 12,X5,N,1,0,0,0,1,1,0,2 (test) (0.001 s)
    - [12] 13,X5,X10,0,0,0,1,1,0,0,3 (test) (0.002 s)
    - [13] 14,N,N,0,1,0,0,1,1,0,2 (test) (0.001 s)
    - [14] 15,N,N,0,0,1,0,1,1,0,2 (test) (0.001 s)
    - [15] 16,N,N,0,0,0,1,1,1,0,2 (test) (0.003 s)
    - [16] 17,N,X5,1,0,0,0,1,0,0,2 (test) (0.000 s)
    - [17] 18,X10,X10,1,0,0,0,1,0,0,3 (test) (0.001 s)
    - [18] 19,X10,X10,0,1,0,0,1,0,0,3 (test) (0.001 s)
    - [19] 20,X10,X10,0,0,1,0,1,0,0,3 (test) (0.001 s)
    - [20] 21,X10,U,0,0,0,1,1,0,0,1 (test) (0.004 s)
    - [21] 22,L,L,1,0,0,0,1,0,1,0 (test) (0.001 s)
    - [22] 23,L,L,0,1,0,0,1,0,1,0 (test) (0.001 s)
    - [23] 24,L,L,0,0,0,1,1,0,1,0 (test) (0.001 s)
    - [24] 25,L,OFF,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,0 (test) (0.001 s)

**Source Code (Right Panel):**

**Problem3Class.java**

```

1 package Homework5;
2
3 public class Problem3Class {
4
5     public enum state {Start,OFF,U,X5,X10,N,L};
6
7     private state nextState;
8     private int B,I,T,X;
9
10    public void operateBinoculars(state currentState, int D, int G, int P, int Z) {
11        switch (currentState) {
12            case Start: {B=0;I=0;T=0;X=0;nextState=state.OFF;}
13                break;
14            case OFF: {if (P==1)
15                    {B=1;I=0;T=0;X=1;nextState=state.U;}
16                }
17            else {if (G==1)
18                    {B=1;I=0;T=1;X=0;nextState=state.L;}
19                }
20            else {B=0;I=0;T=0;X=0;nextState=state.OFF;}
21        }
22        break;
23    case U: {if (Z==1)
24            {B=1;I=0;T=0;X=2;nextState=state.X5;}
25        }
26    else {if (P==1)
27            {B=0;I=0;T=0;X=0;nextState=state.OFF;}
28        }
29    else {B=1;I=0;T=0;X=1;nextState=state.U;}
30    break;
31    case X5: {if (G==1 || P==1)
32            {B=1;I=0;T=0;X=2;nextState=state.X5;}
33        }
34    else {if (Z==1)
35            {B=1;I=0;T=0;X=3;nextState=state.X10;}
36        }
37    else {B=1;I=1;T=0;X=2;nextState=state.N;}
38    break;
39    case X10: {if (Z==1)
40            {B=1;I=0;T=0;X=1;nextState=state.U;}
41        }
42    else {B=1;I=0;T=0;X=3;nextState=state.X10;}
43    break;
44    case N: {if (D==1)
45            {B=1;I=0;T=0;X=2;nextState=state.X5;}
46        }
47    else {B=1;I=1;T=0;X=2;nextState=state.N;}
48    break;
49    case L: {if (P==1)
50            {B=0;I=0;T=0;X=0;nextState=state.OFF;}
51        }
52    else {B=1;I=0;T=1;X=0;nextState=state.L;}
53    break;
54    }
55    }
56    public state getNextState() {
57        return nextState;
58    }
59 }

```

**Problem3ClassTest.java**

```

1 package Homework5;
2
3 public class Problem3ClassTest {
4
5     // Test cases for operateBinoculars
6
7     // ... (test cases 1-25) ...
8
9     // Test cases for getNextState
10    // ... (test cases 26-50) ...
11 }

```

**Bottom Panel:**

- Problems @ Javadoc Declaration Console PIT Mutations PIT Summary
- Problem3ClassTest (1) (Aug 12, 2020 11:14:10 PM)



## Problem 4:

### 1. Test case table snapshot

Test Case Number	Inputs				Exp Out return	Basis Path	MCDC
	cart	validCode	validDigitalCoupon	loyaltyCard			
1	\$850.00	FALSE	TRUE	TRUE	\$920.13	9-10-26	Statement 26 TFF
2	\$999.99	FALSE	TRUE	TRUE	\$1,028.36	9-12-13-26	Statement 26 TFF
3	\$1,250.00	FALSE	TRUE	TRUE	\$1,217.81	9-12-15-16-26	Statement 26 TFF
4	\$1,499.99	FALSE	TRUE	TRUE	\$1,380.18	9-12-15-18-19-26	Statement 26 TFF
5	\$2,500.00	FALSE	TRUE	TRUE	\$2,165.00	9-12-15-18-21-22-26	Statement 26 TFF
6	\$2,500.01	FALSE	TRUE	TRUE	\$2,029.70	9-12-15-18-21-24-26	Statement 26 TFF
7	\$850.01	FALSE	TRUE	TRUE	\$874.13	BV	Statement 26 TFF
8	\$1,000.00	FALSE	TRUE	TRUE	\$974.25	BV	Statement 26 TFF
9	\$1,250.01	FALSE	TRUE	TRUE	\$1,150.17	BV	Statement 26 TFF
10	\$1,500.00	FALSE	TRUE	TRUE	\$1,299.00	BV	Statement 26 TFF
11	\$0.00	FALSE	FALSE	TRUE	\$0.00	Extreme Value	-
12	\$20,000.00	FALSE	FALSE	TRUE	\$21,650.00	Extreme Value	-
13	\$2,500.00	TRUE	FALSE	TRUE	\$2,165.00		-Statement 26 FTF
14	\$2,500.00	FALSE	FALSE	TRUE	\$2,706.25		-Statement 26 TTF
15	\$2,500.00	TRUE	TRUE	FALSE	\$2,706.25		-Statement 26 FFT

Statement 26 has the logical expression:  $(\neg \text{validCode} \ \&\& \ \neg \text{validDigitalCoupon}) \ || \ \neg \text{loyaltyCard}$  with the formation of “ $ab + c$ ”, thus has the masking MCDC solution: TFF, FTF, TTF, FFT.

Notice, a is T, validCode is F; b is T, validDigitalCoupon is F; c is T, loyaltyCard is F; vice versa.

### 2. JUnit pass indicator (green bar expanded)

### 3. JaCoCo statement green source line annotations (not summary)

The screenshot displays an IDE with the following components:

- Package Explorer:** Shows the project structure with 'Homework5' and 'Problem4ClassTest'.
- JUnit Results:** A green bar indicates that all 15 tests passed. The list of tests includes:
  - test (0.011 s)
  - [0] 1,850.00,FALSE,TRUE,TRUE,920.13,9-10-26,Statement 26 TFF (test) (0.000 s)
  - [1] 2,999.99,FALSE,TRUE,TRUE,1028.36,9-12-13-26,Statement 26 TFF (test) (0.000 s)
  - [2] 3,1250.00,FALSE,TRUE,TRUE,1217.81,9-12-15-16-26,Statement 26 TFF (test) (0.001 s)
  - [3] 4,1499.99,FALSE,TRUE,TRUE,1380.18,9-12-15-18-19-26,Statement 26 TFF (test) (0.000 s)
  - [4] 5,2500.00,FALSE,TRUE,TRUE,2165.00,9-12-15-18-21-22-26,Statement 26 TFF (test) (0.000 s)
  - [5] 6,2500.01,FALSE,TRUE,TRUE,2029.70,9-12-15-18-21-24-26,Statement 26 TFF (test) (0.000 s)
  - [6] 7,850.01,FALSE,TRUE,TRUE,874.13,BV,Statement 26 TFF (test) (0.000 s)
  - [7] 8,1000.00,FALSE,TRUE,TRUE,974.25,BV,Statement 26 TFF (test) (0.001 s)
  - [8] 9,1250.01,FALSE,TRUE,TRUE,1150.17,BV,Statement 26 TFF (test) (0.001 s)
  - [9] 10,1500.00,FALSE,TRUE,TRUE,1299.00,BV,Statement 26 TFF (test) (0.002 s)
  - [10] 11,0.00,FALSE,FALSE,TRUE,0.00,Extreme Value,-(test) (0.001 s)
  - [11] 12,20000.00,FALSE,FALSE,TRUE,21650.00,Extreme Value,-(test) (0.002 s)
  - [12] 13,2500.00,TRUE,FALSE,TRUE,2165.00,-,Statement 26 FTF (test) (0.001 s)
  - [13] 14,2500.00,FALSE,FALSE,TRUE,2706.25,-,Statement 26 TTF (test) (0.001 s)
  - [14] 15,2500.00,TRUE,TRUE,FALSE,2706.25,-,Statement 26 FFT (test) (0.001 s)
- Source Code:** The 'Problem4Class.java' file is open, showing the `calcCart` method. Green bars on the left margin indicate 100% statement coverage for all lines of code.
- Console:** Shows the output of the tests, confirming all passed.
- Bottom Bar:** Displays 'Problem4ClassTest (1) (Aug 13, 2020 12:01:23 AM)'.

#### 4. PIT coverage annotation for the source method under test (all green lines)

##### Mutations

```

9  1. changed conditional boundary → KILLED
   2. Substituted 850.0 with 1.0 → KILLED
   3. negated conditional → KILLED
   4. removed conditional - replaced comparison check with false → KILLED
   5. removed conditional - replaced comparison check with true → KILLED
10 1. Substituted 0.0 with 1.0 → KILLED
   1. changed conditional boundary → KILLED
   2. Substituted 1000.0 with 1.0 → KILLED
12 3. negated conditional → KILLED
   4. removed conditional - replaced comparison check with false → KILLED
   5. removed conditional - replaced comparison check with true → KILLED
13 1. Substituted 0.05 with 1.0 → KILLED
   1. changed conditional boundary → KILLED
   2. Substituted 1250.0 with 1.0 → KILLED
15 3. negated conditional → KILLED
   4. removed conditional - replaced comparison check with false → KILLED
   5. removed conditional - replaced comparison check with true → KILLED
16 1. Substituted 0.1 with 1.0 → KILLED
   1. changed conditional boundary → KILLED
   2. Substituted 1500.0 with 1.0 → KILLED
18 3. negated conditional → KILLED
   4. removed conditional - replaced comparison check with false → KILLED
   5. removed conditional - replaced comparison check with true → KILLED
19 1. Substituted 0.15 with 1.0 → KILLED
   1. changed conditional boundary → KILLED
   2. Substituted 2500.0 with 1.0 → KILLED
21 3. negated conditional → KILLED
   4. removed conditional - replaced comparison check with false → KILLED
   5. removed conditional - replaced comparison check with true → KILLED
22 1. Substituted 0.2 with 1.0 → KILLED
24 1. Substituted 0.25 with 1.0 → KILLED
   1. Substituted 1.0825 with 1.0 → KILLED
   2. Substituted 1.0 with 2.0 → KILLED
   3. Substituted 1.0825 with 1.0 → KILLED
   4. Replaced double multiplication with division → KILLED
   5. Replaced double subtraction with addition → KILLED
   6. Replaced double multiplication with division → KILLED
   7. Replaced double multiplication with division → KILLED
   8. negated conditional → KILLED
26 9. negated conditional → KILLED
   10. negated conditional → KILLED
   11. removed conditional - replaced equality check with false → KILLED
   12. removed conditional - replaced equality check with false → KILLED
   13. removed conditional - replaced equality check with false → KILLED
   14. removed conditional - replaced equality check with true → KILLED
   15. removed conditional - replaced equality check with true → KILLED
   16. removed conditional - replaced equality check with true → KILLED
   17. replaced return of double value with -(x + 1) for Homework5/Problem4Class::calcCart → KILLED

```

#### 5. The time stamp is at the bottom of the JUnit&JaCoco snapshot.



## Problem 5:

### 1. Test case table snapshot

Test Case Number	Inputs				Exp Out return	Basis Path	MCDC
	cart	loyaltyCard	validCode	validDigitalCoupon			
1	\$850.00	TRUE	TRUE	FALSE	\$920.13	10-11-12-28	Statement 10 TFT
2	\$999.99	TRUE	TRUE	FALSE	\$1,028.36	10-11-14-15-28	-
3	\$1,250.00	TRUE	TRUE	FALSE	\$1,217.81	10-11-14-17-18-28	-
4	\$1,499.99	TRUE	TRUE	FALSE	\$1,380.18	10-11-14-17-20-21-28	-
5	\$2,500.00	TRUE	TRUE	FALSE	\$2,165.00	10-11-14-17-20-23-24-28	-
6	\$2,500.01	TRUE	TRUE	FALSE	\$2,029.70	10-11-14-17-20-23-26-28	-
7	\$850.01	TRUE	TRUE	FALSE	\$874.13	BV	-
8	\$1,000.00	TRUE	TRUE	FALSE	\$974.25	BV	-
9	\$1,250.01	TRUE	TRUE	FALSE	\$1,150.17	BV	-
10	\$1,500.00	TRUE	TRUE	FALSE	\$1,299.00	BV	-
11	\$0.00	TRUE	TRUE	FALSE	\$0.00	Extreme Value	-
12	\$20,000.00	TRUE	TRUE	FALSE	\$16,237.50	Extreme Value	-
13	\$2,500.00	TRUE	FALSE	TRUE	\$2,165.00		-Statement 10 FTT
14	\$2,500.00	TRUE	FALSE	FALSE	\$2,706.25		-Statement 10 FFT
15	\$2,500.00	FALSE	FALSE	TRUE	\$2,706.25		-Statement 10 TFF
16	\$2,500.00	FALSE	TRUE	FALSE	\$2,706.25		-Statement 10 TTF

Statement 10 has the logical expression:  $((\text{validCode} \parallel \text{validDigitalCoupon}) \&\& \text{loyaltyCard})$  with the formation of “ $(a+b)c = ac + ab$ ”, thus has the MCDC solution: TFT, FTT, FFT, FTF, TFF.

Notice, in the test case table, the order of validCode, validDigitalCoupon and loyaltyCard is not exact as “a,b,c”.

### 2. JUnit pass indicator (green bar expanded)

### 3. JaCoCo statement green source line annotations (not summary)

The screenshot shows an IDE with two main panels. The left panel displays the JUnit test results for 'Homework5.Problem5ClassTest'. It shows 16 tests passed, with a green bar indicating the success of the test suite. The right panel shows the source code of 'Problem5Class.java' with JaCoCo coverage annotations. The code includes a package declaration, a class definition, and a method 'calcCart' that calculates a discount based on various inputs. The annotations show that the code is covered by the tests, with green bars indicating the execution of specific lines.

```

package Homework5;

public class Problem5Class {

    public double calcCart (Problem5ServerData data, boolean loyaltyCard, boolean validCode, boolean validDigitalCoupon) {

        double discount=0, cart;
        cart=data.getCart();

        if ((validCode || validDigitalCoupon) && loyaltyCard) {
            if (cart <= 850.0)
                discount = 0.0;
            else
                if (cart < 1_000.0)
                    discount = 0.05;
                else
                    if (cart <= 1_250.0)
                        discount = 0.10;
                    else
                        if (cart < 1_500.0)
                            discount = 0.15;
                        else
                            if (cart <= 2_500.0)
                                discount = 0.2;
                            else
                                discount = 0.25;
                }
            }
        return (cart * (1-discount) * 1.0825);
    }
}

```

#### 4. PIT coverage annotation for the source method under test (all green lines)

Mutations	
<a href="#">7</a>	1. Substituted 0.0 with 1.0 → KILLED
<a href="#">8</a>	1. removed call to Homework5/Problem5ServerData::getCart → KILLED
	1. negated conditional → KILLED
	2. negated conditional → KILLED
	3. negated conditional → KILLED
	4. removed conditional - replaced equality check with false → KILLED
<a href="#">10</a>	5. removed conditional - replaced equality check with false → KILLED
	6. removed conditional - replaced equality check with false → KILLED
	7. removed conditional - replaced equality check with true → KILLED
	8. removed conditional - replaced equality check with true → KILLED
	9. removed conditional - replaced equality check with true → KILLED
	1. changed conditional boundary → KILLED
	2. Substituted 850.0 with 1.0 → KILLED
<a href="#">11</a>	3. negated conditional → KILLED
	4. removed conditional - replaced comparison check with false → KILLED
	5. removed conditional - replaced comparison check with true → KILLED
<a href="#">12</a>	1. Substituted 0.0 with 1.0 → KILLED
	1. changed conditional boundary → KILLED
	2. Substituted 1000.0 with 1.0 → KILLED
<a href="#">14</a>	3. negated conditional → KILLED
	4. removed conditional - replaced comparison check with false → KILLED
	5. removed conditional - replaced comparison check with true → KILLED
<a href="#">15</a>	1. Substituted 0.05 with 1.0 → KILLED
	1. changed conditional boundary → KILLED
	2. Substituted 1250.0 with 1.0 → KILLED
<a href="#">17</a>	3. negated conditional → KILLED
	4. removed conditional - replaced comparison check with false → KILLED
	5. removed conditional - replaced comparison check with true → KILLED
<a href="#">18</a>	1. Substituted 0.1 with 1.0 → KILLED
	1. changed conditional boundary → KILLED
	2. Substituted 1500.0 with 1.0 → KILLED
<a href="#">20</a>	3. negated conditional → KILLED
	4. removed conditional - replaced comparison check with false → KILLED
	5. removed conditional - replaced comparison check with true → KILLED
<a href="#">21</a>	1. Substituted 0.15 with 1.0 → KILLED
	1. changed conditional boundary → KILLED
	2. Substituted 2500.0 with 1.0 → KILLED
<a href="#">23</a>	3. negated conditional → KILLED
	4. removed conditional - replaced comparison check with false → KILLED
	5. removed conditional - replaced comparison check with true → KILLED
<a href="#">24</a>	1. Substituted 0.2 with 1.0 → KILLED
<a href="#">26</a>	1. Substituted 0.25 with 1.0 → KILLED
	1. Substituted 1.0 with 2.0 → KILLED
	2. Substituted 1.0825 with 1.0 → KILLED
<a href="#">28</a>	3. Replaced double subtraction with addition → KILLED
	4. Replaced double multiplication with division → KILLED
	5. Replaced double multiplication with division → KILLED
	6. replaced return of double value with -(x + 1) for Homework5/Problem5Class::calcCart → KILLED

#### 5. The time stamp is at the bottom of the JUnit&JaCoco snapshot.