Assignment: Problem Reduction Kearns

**Definition**: Given a Graph G = (V, E), define the complement graph of G,  $\bar{G}$ , to be  $\bar{G} = (V, \bar{E})$  where  $\bar{E}$  is the complement set of edges. That is (v,w) is in  $\bar{E}$  if and only if  $(v,w) \notin E$ 

**Theorem**: Given G, the complement graph of G,  $\bar{G}$  can be constructed in polynomial time.

Proof: To construct  $\bar{G}$ , construct a copy of V (linear time) and then construct  $\bar{E}$  by constructing all possible edges of between vertices in V (effectively constructing the complete graph on the vertices V. This can be done in quadratic time. Then traverse the adjacency list of E and delete those edges from the from the complete set of edges just constructed. This again can be done in at worse  $O(n^2)$  time. This leaves you with the set of edges of  $\bar{E}$ . Thus the whole procedure can be done in polynomial time.

**Theorem**: C is a vertex cover in a graph G = (V, E) if and only if the set of vertices V-C is a clique in the complement graph of G.

## 1. Proof: C a vertex cover implies that V-C is a clique

Suppose V-C is not a clique in  $\bar{G}$ .

- $\rightarrow$  Then two vertices in V-C are **not** connected by an edge in  $\bar{E}$ , thus  $(v,w) \notin \bar{E}$ .
- $\rightarrow$   $(v,w) \in E$
- → But v and w are in V-C, thus v, w are not in C, thus C is not a vertex cover since it does not cover (v,w)

Therefore C a vertex cover implies that V-C is a clique.

(since we have proven its contrapositive which is logically equivalent)

## 2. Proof: V-C is a clique implies C a vertex cover

Suppose C is not a vertex cover for G

- $\rightarrow$  Then there is an edge  $(v,w) \in E$  where neither v nor w is in C
- $\rightarrow$  Since v, w  $\notin$  C that implies that both v and w are in V-C
- $\rightarrow$  But (v,w) in E means that (v, w)  $\notin \overline{E}$
- → This contradicts that V-C is a clique

Therefore V-C is a clique implies C a vertex cover

(since we have proven its contrapositive which is logically equivalent)

Theorem: Min Vertex cover and Max Clique are polynomial reducible to each other. (Thus if you can solve one problem in polynomial time you can solve the other problem in polynomial time.)

Proof: Since getting the complement graph takes only polynomial time, the transformation from G to  $\bar{G}$  is polynomial time.

Suppose you can solve Max Clique in polynomial time. Write this max clique as a complement of some set of vertices C. that is V-C. Then C must be the smallest vertex cover in G. (If there is a smaller vertex cover, say C', then V-C' would be a larger clique than V-C.)

A similar argument works to show that if you can solve Min Vertex cover in polynomial time you can solve Max Clique in polynomial time.

## Submit via PolyLearn the solution to the following problem

Show that the following two problems are polynomially reducible to each other.

- (ii) Determine, for a given graph G = (V,E) and a positive integer  $m \le |V|$ , whether there is a **vertex cover** of size m or less for G (A vertex cover of size m for a graph G = (V,E) is a subset  $V' \subseteq V$  such that |V'| = m and, for each edge  $(u,v) \in E$  at least one of u and v belongs to V'.)
- (iii) Determine, for a given graph G = (V,E) and a positive integer  $m \le |V|$ , whether G contains an **independent set** of size m or more. (An independent set of size m for a graph G = (V,E) is a subset  $V' \subseteq V$  such that |V'| = m and for all  $u, v \in V'$  vertices u and v are not adjacent in G)