

**Department of Physics and Astronomy  
University of Heidelberg**

Bachelor Thesis in Physics  
submitted by

**Jonathan Förste**

born in Potsdam (Germany)

**2015**

# **Something with dipole traps and AC-Stark-Shift**

This Bachelor Thesis has been carried out by Jonathan Förste at the  
Physikalisches Institut Heidelberg  
under the supervision of  
Prof. Selim Jochim

# Contents

|       |  |    |
|-------|--|----|
| 1     | Theory                                       | 5  |
| 1.1   | The Hamiltonian . . . . .                    | 5  |
| 1.2   | Lithium Level-structure . . . . .            | 5  |
| 1.3   | AC-Stark-Shift . . . . .                     | 8  |
| 1.3.1 | Lorentz Oscillator Model . . . . .           | 8  |
| 1.3.2 | Energy-Shift in Pertubation Theory . . . . . | 9  |
| 1.4   | Magnetic Field . . . . .                     | 10 |



# 1 Theory

To understand the function of an optical dipole-trap one has to understand how far detuned light, more specifically the electric field component, interacts with a neutral atom. There are several models providing different levels of accuracy for the respective application. The atom can be treated rather classically by regarding it as a harmonic oscillator driven by an electric field. It can be treated semiclassically by considering the quantum nature and structure of the atom while still approximate the incoming light as electromagnetic waves. In this model the problem can be solved in second-order perturbation theory. The third approach is treating the atom quantum mechanically as well as the light, now consisting of quantised photons interacting with the atom. For this thesis only the first two approaches are relevant.

## 1.1 The Hamiltonian

The problem of interaction between an atom, laser-light and in the case of this experiment also magnetic fields is represented by different parts in the total hamiltonian. The basis for all calculations is the atomic hamiltonian of the regardest Lithium-atoms  $H_A$ . The atom-light-interaction is represented by the Hamiltonian of the light itself  $H_L$  and the interaction Hamiltonian  $H_{AL}$  while the last contribution is the magnetic field Hamiltonian  $H_M$ .

$$H = H_A + H_L + H_{AL} + H_M \quad (1)$$

## 1.2 Lithium Level-structure

The atomic hamiltonian  $H_A$  corresponds to the energy of the atomic species that is used in our experiment, which is Lithium-6. Lithium is a fermionic alkali-metal, whose electronic structure is mostly dependent of its one valence electron [2]. In this approximation, the *central-field approximation*, the two other bound electrons on the most inner level only contribute to the central electric field, that is supposed to be spherically symmetric. Thus the calculation can follow the well understood model of hydrogen. Nevertheless the real Lithium-atom has a substructure arising from interaction of the electronic spin and the spin of the core with the angular momentum of the orbit respectively. These effects result in the fine- and hyperfine-structure that break the degeneracy of the levels with same quantum number  $n$  and different angular-momentum-quantum-number  $l$ . In the fine-structure picture this results in the total angular momentum  $J = L \pm S$  and the respective projections onto the different spacial axis  $m_j = j, j-1, \dots, -j+1, j$ , while in the hyperfine-structure the total angular momentum  $J$  and the spin of the core  $I$  couple to the new total angular momentum  $F = J \pm I$  with their

## 1 Theory

respective projections  $m_F = F, F - 1, \dots, -F + 1, F$  that result in a even finer splitting of the lines as indicated schematically in the following figure.

| Central-Field         | Fine Structure           | Hyperfine Structure           |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|
| ${}^2P$<br>$\ell = 1$ | ${}^2P_{3/2}$<br>$j=3/2$ | $f=1/2$<br>$f=3/2$<br>$f=5/2$ |
|                       | ${}^2P_{1/2}$<br>$j=1/2$ | $f=3/2$<br>$f=1/2$            |
| ${}^2S$<br>$\ell = 0$ | ${}^2S_{1/2}$<br>$j=1/2$ | $f=3/2$<br>$f=1/2$            |

Figure 1.1: Extract of the level structure for Lithium-6 [2]

Although the hyperfine splitting is highly relevant for the experiment itself, it is sufficient for the calculation of the Stark-Shift to only consider the fine-structure regime. Aspecially at high-strength magnetic fields, the hyperfine Paschen-Back-Effect leads to degeneracy of the different hyperfine states and the total angular momentum  $J$  is a "good quantum-number" again. In the low-field-regime the corrections due to hyperfine-splitting are small, because of the little energy-differences compared to the relevant transitions between the levels in the fine-structure-regime and the considered large detuning of the dipole-trap-light. Hence also there this picture is sufficient.

The important quantities arising from the model, relevant for the later-on calculation of the AC-Stark-Shifts are of course the energy-eigenvalues, i.e. the different levels with their respective detuning as well as the overlap of the wavefunctions for each energy-level, otherwise called the dipole-transition-matrix-element, that determines how strongly the different levels are coupled. As seen later on, for the explicit calculation only the so called reduced matrix elements are needed, whose numerical values are listed below in atomic units for the relevant states.

| Transition            | Matrix-element [ a.u.] | Resonance [ nm] |
|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------------|
| $2s_{1/2} - 2p_{1/2}$ | 3.3169                 | 670.791         |
| $2s_{1/2} - 2p_{3/2}$ | 4.6909                 | 670.776         |
| $2s_{1/2} - 3p_{1/2}$ | 0.183                  | 323.2657        |
| $2s_{1/2} - 3p_{3/2}$ | 0.259                  | 323.2657        |
| $2s_{1/2} - 4p_{1/2}$ | 0.160                  | 274.1203        |
| $2s_{1/2} - 4p_{3/2}$ | 0.226                  | 274.1203        |
| $2s_{1/2} - 5p_{1/2}$ | 0.1198                 | 256.2312        |
| $2s_{1/2} - 5p_{3/2}$ | 0.169                  | 256.2312        |
| $2s_{1/2} - 6p_{1/2}$ | 0.0925                 | 247.5061        |
| $2s_{1/2} - 6p_{3/2}$ | 0.131                  | 247.5061        |
| $2s_{1/2} - 7p_{1/2}$ | 0.0737                 | 242.5426        |
| $2s_{1/2} - 7p_{3/2}$ | 0.1042                 | 242.5426        |
| $2p_{1/2} - 3s_{1/2}$ | 3.4403                 | 812.645         |
| $2p_{1/2} - 4s_{1/2}$ | 0.9167                 | 497.175         |
| $2p_{1/2} - 5s_{1/2}$ | 0.4929                 | 427.313         |
| $2p_{1/2} - 6s_{1/2}$ | 0.3268                 | 398.554         |
| $2p_{1/2} - 7s_{1/2}$ | 0.2397                 | 383.564         |
| $2p_{1/2} - 3d_{3/2}$ | 2.2658                 | 610.366         |
| $2p_{1/2} - 3d_{5/2}$ | 6.7975                 | 670.776         |
| $2p_{1/2} - 4d_{3/2}$ | 0.8627                 | 460.283         |
| $2p_{1/2} - 4d_{5/2}$ | 2.5882                 | 460.289         |
| $2p_{1/2} - 5d_{3/2}$ | 0.5015                 | 413.262         |
| $2p_{1/2} - 5d_{5/2}$ | 1.5045                 | 413.262         |
| $2p_{1/2} - 6d_{3/2}$ | 0.3435                 | 391.535         |
| $2p_{1/2} - 6d_{5/2}$ | 1.0306                 | 391.535         |
| $2p_{1/2} - 7d_{3/2}$ | 0.2565                 | 379.507         |
| $2p_{1/2} - 7d_{5/2}$ | 0.7696                 | 379.507         |

Figure 1.2: Reduced Dipole-Transition-Matrix-Elements [4] in a.u. and the respective detuning [1], i.e. the resonance-energy for the relevant levels:  $1s2s_{1/2}$  and  $1s2p_{3/2}$

### 1.3 AC-Stark-Shift

The AC-Stark-Shift arises from the interaction of electric fields with the regarded atom. Before treating this problem quantum-mechanically in terms of our Hamiltonian (1.1), we look at the problem in a classical model, that already results in a formula, that is very accurate in certain systems.

#### 1.3.1 Lorentz Oscillator Model

Classically the problem of light-matter-interaction can be described in terms of an atomic dipole moment induced by an oscillating electric field, following [6]. The atom is hereby described as a damped harmonic oscillator driven by the field. Intuitively one can think of the electrons and the core being pulled away from each other by the electric force, resulting in an oscillation against each other. The potential arising from these conditions is the following<sup>1</sup>:

$$U = -\frac{1}{2} \langle \mathbf{p} \mathbf{E} \rangle \quad (2)$$

Here  $\mathbf{p}$  is the induced dipole moment,  $\mathbf{E}$  the electric field. The time-average is introduced since the electric field in an electro-magnetic wave is oscillating very fast and the atom “feels” an effective potential averaged over the oscillation. If we only consider the real part of  $\mathbf{p} \mathbf{E} \propto \cos^2$ , the time average is  $\langle \mathbf{p} \mathbf{E} \rangle = 1/2 \text{Re}(\alpha) E^2$ . One can rewrite (2) in terms of the Intensity  $I = 1/2 \epsilon_0 c E^2$ :

$$U = -\frac{1}{2 \epsilon_0 c} \text{Re}(\alpha) I \quad (3)$$

To calculate the exact potential one has to obtain a value for  $\alpha$ . In this classical description an electron is considered bound to the core elastically with the oscillation eigenfrequency  $\omega_0$

---

<sup>1</sup>The force on a dipole is given by [5]:

$$\mathbf{F} = (\mathbf{p} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{E}$$

We know that the following holds:

$$\nabla(\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{E}) = \mathbf{p} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{E}) + \mathbf{E} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{p}) + (\mathbf{p} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{E} + (\mathbf{E} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{p}$$

We consider the trap to consist of a retro-reflected laserbeam, that is in phase, so the B-field-component of the electromagnetic wave is considered to be 0 as well as  $\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\partial \mathbf{B} / \partial t = 0$ . In our case the dipole-moment is not constant but  $\mathbf{p} = \alpha \mathbf{E}$  and thus becomes:

$$\nabla(\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{E}) = \alpha \mathbf{E} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{E}) + \alpha \mathbf{E} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{E}) + (\alpha \mathbf{E} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{E} + \alpha (\mathbf{E} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{E}$$

which becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla(\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{E}) &= 2\alpha (\mathbf{E} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{E} = 2(\mathbf{p} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{E} \\ \Rightarrow \mathbf{F} &= \frac{1}{2} \nabla(\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{E}) \end{aligned}$$

To get the corresponding potential one has to integrate the force. For a rapidly oscillating field we further have to take the time average to get an effective value for the trapping potential.

$$U = -\frac{1}{2} \langle \mathbf{p} \mathbf{E} \rangle$$



corresponding to the optical transition frequency. In our practical case that is the frequency of the Lithium-D2-Line. In a real atom, there are of course multiple resonances, corresponding to multiple states of the electron, thus this is approximation yields only for certain cases in which the system can also be regarded as a quantummechanical two-state-system. We will later see, that while this approach leads to a very accurate calculation for the Lithium ground state, it can not at all be applied to the calculation of the trapping potential for the first excited state, since there exists no single dominant transition, but multiple resonances, all contributing to the respective energy-shift, in contrast to the groundstate.

The polarizability now can be calculated by solving the equation of motion of a driven and damped harmonic oscillator.

$$\ddot{x} + \Gamma_\omega \dot{x} + \omega_0^2 x = -\frac{eE(t)}{m_e} \quad (4)$$

for that the solution can be calculated using basic tools for differential-equation-solving. The result is then:

$$\alpha = \frac{e^2}{m_e} \frac{1}{\omega_0^2 - \omega^2 - i\omega\Gamma_\omega} \quad (5)$$

With

$$\Gamma_\omega = \frac{e^2\omega^2}{6\pi\epsilon_0 m_e c^3} \quad (6)$$

We can substitute  $e^2/m_e = 6\pi\epsilon_0 c^3 \Gamma_\omega / \omega^2$  and define the on-resonance damping rate  $\Gamma := (\omega_0/\omega)^2 \Gamma_\omega$  which results in the form:

$$\alpha = 6\pi\epsilon_0 c^3 \frac{\Gamma/\omega_0^2}{\omega_0^2 - \omega^2 - i(\omega^3/\omega_0^2)\Gamma} \quad (7)$$

We now can plug the real part of this expression in (2) and obtain the very known formula:

$$U_{dip}(\mathbf{r}) = -\frac{3\pi c^2}{2\omega_0^3} \left( \frac{\Gamma}{\omega_0 - \omega} + \frac{\Gamma}{\omega_0 + \omega} \right) I(\mathbf{r}) \quad (8)$$

In this case, the damping parameter  $\Gamma$  corresponds to the linewidth of the optical transition, which numerical value is  $\Gamma = 5.8724$  MHz for the considered D2-Line [2].

### 1.3.2 Energy-Shift in Perturbation Theory

After this classical treatment, we come back to calculating the same effect quantum-mechanically. For calculating the energy-shift for the first excited state, this is the only way to get a trustworthy result since in contrast to the ground state of Lithium it can not be approximated by a two-level-system with only one transition. Therefore we have to solve the problem more precisely.

The interaction of the atom with laser-light is described by the two additional parts in the original hamiltonian (1.1)  $H_L + H_{AL}$ . The light-hamiltonian  $H_L$  is hereby negligible, because the regarded laser-light of the used dipole-trap is farly detuned from the relevant transition resonances. Therefore the only relevant part of this set of terms is the interaction-hamiltonian,

which depends on the dipole-operator  $\mu$  and the electric-field-operator  $E$  and has the following form:  $H_{AL} = -\mu E = -e\mathbf{r}E$ . It can be treated as a small perturbation of the atomic hamiltonian, thus in the relevant second-order perturbation-theory the energy-shift is the following [6]:

$$\Delta W = \sum_{k \neq j} \frac{|\langle j | H_{AL} | k \rangle|^2}{W_k - W_j} \quad (9)$$

Considering the problem in the fine-structure-regime this can be expressed in terms of  $J$  and  $m_J$  and with the explicit form of  $H_{AL}$  this becomes[3]:

$$\Delta W_{Jm_J} = -e^2 \sum_{k \neq j} \sum_{m_k} \frac{\langle j | \mathbf{r} E | k \rangle \langle k | \mathbf{r} E | j \rangle}{W_k - W_j} \quad (10)$$

## 1.4 Magnetic Field

Within the experiment, the regarded atoms are exposed to strong magnetic fields. Therefore, additionally the Zeeman-Effect moves the respective levels contributing to the AC-Stark-Shift. In this approach we simply regard both effects as independent perturbations to the basic Hamiltonian. At strong magnetic fields, as used in the experiment, the Paschen-Back-Effect for hyperfine states dominates and instead of  $F$  and  $m_F$ , the quantum numbers  $J$  and  $m_J$  are still “good”. Therefore the formula for the shift of each state is given by:

$$\Delta E = g_J m_J \hbar \gamma B \quad (11)$$

With  $\gamma = e/(2m_e)$  and  $g_J$  being the Landé-factor. The results are new values of energy-difference i.e. detuning for the respective transitions that now have a different contribution to the AC-Stark-Effect. Doing that

# Bibliography

- [1] A. Kramida et al. NIST Atomic Spectra Database (ver. 5.2), [Online]. Available: <http://physics.nist.gov/asd> [2015, May 11]. National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD. 2014.
- [2] Michael E. Gehm. “Properties of Lithium-6”. In: (2003).
- [3] W. R. Johnson. “Scalar and Tensor Polarizabilities of Atoms”. In: (2009).
- [4] U. I. Safronova M. S. Safronova and Charles W. Clark. “Magic wavelengths for optical cooling and trapping of lithium”. In: (2012).
- [5] Ted Pudlik. May 8, 2015. URL: <http://physics.stackexchange.com/questions/38343/why-is-there-a-factor-of-1-2-in-the-interaction-energy-of-an-induced-dipole-with>.
- [6] Matthias Weidemüller Rudolf Grimm and Yurii B. Ovchinnikov. “Optical dipole traps for neutral atoms”. In: (1999).