

Q<sub>1</sub>

(1) CFG :  $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$

(2) CFG accepts a given word is decidable

Proof:

In this CFG, redness is decidable, redness it accepts every word of length 3 that begin with a, so redness is given a finite language. it knows that there are a finite length. Therefore, the redness can be described by a DFA or regular expression. Regular expression and DFA ~~decide~~ decide regular ~~languages~~ languages, which are subset of decidable languages. <sup>redness</sup> ~~can~~ <sup>also</sup> can be accepted or rejected by TM.

In conclusion, redness is decidable.

Q<sub>2</sub>:

In this CFG, extreme redness is undecidable, which is accepts every word that begin with a.

① ~~CFG~~ CFG:  $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$

② ~~The~~ The language of given CFG is  $\Sigma^*$  is undecidable

Proof:

First: In this statement we know that the CFG is extremely redness is accepts every word begin with a.

$\therefore$  Extremely red accepts:  $T: \{a, \Sigma^*\}$   
Otherwise:  $F: \{b, \Sigma^*, \epsilon\}$

$\therefore$  CFG:  $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$

$\therefore \{a, b, \epsilon\}$  are all subsets of  $\Sigma^*$ .

Then:

- ① we can assume extremely redness is decidable
- ② Then <sup>① implies</sup>  $\Sigma^*$  is True
- ③ <sup>then ②</sup> implies  $\Sigma^*$  is decidable

But we know that language of a given CFG is  $\Sigma^*$  is undecidable, so it's <sup>contradiction</sup> ~~conflict~~ with  $\Sigma^*$  is decidable.

In Conclusion Contradiction!

So, extreme redness is undecidable.