QUIC Protocol Performance Across Starlink-Class LEO Satellite Networks

Starlink and similar Low Earth Orbit (LEO) satellite constellations are revolutionizing satellite internet access with significantly improved performance compared to traditional geostationary satellite networks. This report evaluates how the QUIC protocol performs across these next-generation satellite networks, examining performance characteristics, challenges, optimization strategies, and future directions.

LEO Satellite Network Characteristics

Architecture and Performance Profile

Starlink, as the most prominent LEO constellation, operates thousands of satellites at altitudes of approximately 550km. Unlike traditional geostationary satellites, LEO satellites orbit much closer to Earth, enabling significantly reduced latency. SpaceX has reported achieving median latencies as low as 33ms in the United States during peak usage hours, with a goal of stable 20ms median latency[4].

The constellation architecture is complex, with Starlink specifically having:

- 24 orbital planes at 53° inclination[2]
- Speeds up to 1 Gbit/s with latencies between 25-35ms[2]
- Ku/Ka band communication between satellites and ground stations[2]
- Inter-satellite links (ISLs) in newer generation satellites to maintain connectivity without nearby ground stations[2]

Download throughput measurements from independent researchers show Starlink providing between 100-250 Mbps median speeds, with maximum throughput reaching as high as 386 Mbps in some tests[11].

Unique Challenges for Transport Protocols

LEO satellite networks present several distinctive challenges for transport protocols:

1. **Frequent Handovers**: Starlink employs a globally time-synchronized controller that manages handovers between satellites approximately every 15 seconds, specifically at the 12-27-42-57 seconds of each minute[12]. This predictable pattern creates periodic latency spikes and potential packet loss.

2. **High Jitter**: Measurements reveal highly variable latency (jitter) that can confuse congestion control algorithms[6]. This variability comes from multiple sources, including satellite movement, beam switching, and ground segment routing changes.

3. **Micro-drops and Packet Loss**: Brief periods of packet loss occur regularly, particularly during handover events[6][12]. These "micro-drops" can trigger congestion avoidance mechanisms in transport protocols even when actual network congestion isn't present.

4. **Variable Path Characteristics**: Network conditions change rapidly as satellites move and connections shift between ground stations[4]. The physical propagation delays, fronthaul scheduling latency, and ground network layout all contribute to varying connection characteristics[4].

QUIC Protocol Performance on Starlink

Baseline Performance

QUIC (Quick UDP Internet Connection) was designed to address many transport layer inefficiencies, making it potentially well-suited for challenging network environments like LEO satellite constellations.

Research evaluating QUIC over Starlink shows promising results. Michel et al. conducted extensive measurements comparing QUIC and TCP performance on Starlink and found that QUIC delivers substantial performance advantages[15]. Their experiments indicate that QUIC handles the inherent variability of satellite links more effectively than TCP in most scenarios.

Measurements confirmed that QUIC achieves comparable throughput to TCP while maintaining lower latency under load. For download operations, QUIC connections maintained a median RTT of approximately 50ms, while uploads showed a slightly higher median RTT of about 66ms[15].

Comparative Protocol Analysis

When comparing QUIC with TCP variants over LEO satellite networks:

1. **Latency and Connection Establishment**: QUIC's 0-RTT connection establishment provides significant advantages in high-latency environments, reducing initial connection times compared to TCP[16]. This is particularly beneficial for web browsing over satellite links.

2. **Congestion Control Behavior**: Different congestion control algorithms show varying performance over LEO satellite links. Studies indicate that loss-based algorithms like CUBIC perform poorly due to misinterpreting handover-related packet loss as congestion[6][12].

3. **Web Browsing Performance**: QUIC demonstrates superior performance for web browsing applications over Starlink connections. Page load time measurements show QUIC consistently outperforming both standard TCP and TCP with ECN across various network conditions[16]. The CDF (Cumulative Distribution Function) of webpage load times shows QUIC having a steeper rise at smaller page load times, indicating better overall efficiency[16].

Optimization Strategies for QUIC over LEO Satellites

Handover-Aware Congestion Control

Researchers have identified that standard congestion control algorithms struggle with the periodic handover patterns in Starlink networks. Kamel et al. proposed "StarQUIC," an approach that leverages Starlink's predictable handover patterns to improve QUIC performance[12].

The key innovation in StarQUIC is a congestion window freeze mechanism that prevents misinterpreting handover-related packet losses as network congestion[12]. By recognizing when packet loss coincides with expected handover times, the algorithm avoids unnecessary congestion window reductions.

This approach has demonstrated impressive results:

- Up to 35% improvement in completion time for file transfers[12]
- Effective with both loss-based and delay-based congestion control algorithms[12]
- Applicable to both real-world Starlink connections and emulated environments[12]

Optimized Congestion Control Algorithms

Research indicates that BBR-based congestion control algorithms generally outperform loss-based alternatives like CUBIC on LEO satellite networks[6][7]. BBR's delay-based approach is less sensitive to packet loss unrelated to congestion, making it better suited to the characteristics of satellite links.

However, even BBR requires modifications for optimal performance on LEO satellite networks. The highly variable jitter and periodic loss patterns can confuse BBR's bandwidth estimation mechanisms[6]. Researchers are exploring tailored variants of BBR specifically designed for satellite environments.

The European Space Agency's QUICoS project aims to develop enhancements to QUIC's congestion control, flow control, and retransmission mechanisms specifically for satellite networks[3]. This project draws inspiration from TCP variants that have demonstrated good performance over satellite links (TCP Cubic, TCP BBR, TCP Wave) to create a satellite-optimized QUIC implementation[3].

Multipath QUIC for LEO Satellite Networks

Multipath Extensions

Multipath QUIC represents a promising enhancement for LEO satellite networks. The IETF has been working on standardizing multipath extensions for QUIC, with the latest draft (draft-ietf-quic-multipath-14) published in April 2025[8][13].

Multipath QUIC enables:

- Simultaneous use of multiple network paths for a single connection[8]
- Improved reliability through path redundancy[10]
- Better utilization of available bandwidth across different access technologies[10]

This capability is particularly valuable for LEO satellite networks where multiple satellites may be visible simultaneously, or where hybrid ground/satellite connectivity options exist.

Benefits for Satellite Networks

For Starlink-class networks, multipath QUIC could provide several key benefits:

1. **Smoother Handovers**: By maintaining connections through multiple satellites simultaneously, the impact of individual satellite handovers could be significantly reduced.

2. **Higher Aggregated Bandwidth**: Combining satellite connectivity with other available networks (like terrestrial cellular or fixed broadband) could enhance overall performance.

3. **Improved Reliability**: Packet loss on a single path wouldn't necessarily impact the entire connection, improving overall reliability in challenging environments.

The potential of multipath QUIC for satellite networks has led to significant research interest, with organizations like the "Multipath QUIC" project exploring implementations and optimizations[10].

Conclusion

QUIC shows significant promise for LEO satellite networks like Starlink, offering better performance than traditional TCP in many scenarios. Its design characteristics-including faster connection establishment, improved congestion control, and better loss recovery-address many of the challenges inherent in these dynamic network environments.

The predictable handover patterns in Starlink networks create both challenges and opportunities for optimization. Techniques like handover-aware congestion control can lead to substantial performance improvements, with research demonstrating up to 35% faster completion times for data transfers.

Looking forward, the continued evolution of multipath QUIC and satellite-specific protocol optimizations will likely further enhance performance. As LEO satellite constellations continue to expand their coverage and capabilities, protocol-level optimizations will play an increasingly important role in delivering reliable, high-performance internet access through these next-generation satellite networks.

Future research should focus on:

1. Integration of cross-layer information to further enhance transport protocol performance

2. Adapting QUIC for varying satellite constellation architectures beyond Starlink

3. Standardizing satellite-specific QUIC extensions while maintaining compatibility with terrestrial networks

4. Developing congestion control algorithms specifically designed for the unique characteristics of LEO satellite networks

Sources

[1] A first look at starlink performance - ACM Digital Library https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.1145/3517745.3561416

[2] [PDF] LEOs and QUIC - The Networking Channel https://networkingchannel.eu/wp-content/uploads/2022/01/QUIC-LEOsat.pdf

[3] QUICoS | ESA CSC - European Space Agency https://connectivity.esa.int/projects/quicos

[4] [PDF] IMPROVING STARLINK'S LATENCY https://www.starlink.com/public-files/StarlinkLatency.pdf

[5] StarQUIC: Tuning Congestion Control Algorithms for QUIC over LEO ... https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.1145/3697253.3697271

[6] [PDF] Starlink Protocol Performance - IETF https://www.ietf.org/slides/slides-iepg-starlink-protocol-performance-00.pdf

[7] QUIC Congestion Control Algorithm characteristics in mixed satellite ... https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.1016/j.comcom.2024.07.013

[8] draft-ietf-quic-multipath-14 - Multipath Extension for QUIC https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/draft-ietf-quic-multipath/

[9] LENS: A LEO Satellite Network Measurement Dataset https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.1145/3625468.3652170

[10] Multipath QUIC https://multipath-quic.org

[11] Fact checking Starlink's performance figures - APNIC Blog https://blog.apnic.net/2022/11/28/fact-checking-starlinks-performance-figures/

[12] [PDF] Tuning Congestion Control Algorithms for QUIC over LEO Satellite ... https://onlineacademiccommunity.uvic.ca/starlink/wp-content/uploads/sites/8876/2024/09/leonet24-victor.pdf

[13] draft-ietf-quic-multipath-12 https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/draft-ietf-quic-multipath

[14] Realistic assessment of transport protocols performance over LEO ... https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S138912862300453X

[15] [PDF] A First Look at Starlink Performance - DIAL@UCLouvain https://dial.uclouvain.be/pr/boreal/object/boreal:265896/datastream/PDF_01/view

[16] [PDF] Performance Analysis of QUIC Protocol in Integrated Satellites and ... https://people.computing.clemson.edu/~jmarty/projects/LEO-BroadbandAccess/TCP-Quic-Issues/PerfofQuicoverLEOLink.pdf

[17] What is Starlink? Everything You Need to Know - TechTarget https://www.techtarget.com/whatis/definition/Starlink

[18] [PDF] Performance Analysis of QUIC Protocol in Integrated Satellites and ... https://people.computing.clemson.edu/~jmarty/projects/LEO-BroadbandAccess/TCP-Quic-Issues/PerfofQuicoverLEOLink.pdf

[19] Enhancing data transfer performance in LEO satellite networks http://umu.diva-portal.org/smash/record.jsf?pid=diva2%3A1873710

[20] QUIC Congestion Control Algorithm characteristics in mixed satellite ... https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0140366424002512

[21] Starlink Speed: How Much Faster Is Elon's Satellite Internet in 2023 ... https://www.pcmag.com/news/starlink-speed-tests-2023-vs-2022

[22] Starlink: All you need to know about Elon Musk's satellite-based ... https://www.deccanherald.com/technology/gadgets/starlink-all-you-need-to-know-about-elon-musks-satellite-based-internet-service-3445050

[23] A transport protocol's view of Starlink - APNIC Blog https://blog.apnic.net/2024/05/17/a-transport-protocols-view-of-starlink/

[24] Improving QUIC Slow Start Behavior in Satellite Networks with ... https://digital.wpi.edu/show/4q77fw49b

[25] The Starlink team has been focused on improving network ... - Reddit https://www.reddit.com/r/spacex/comments/1ba7ev1/the_starlink_team_has_been_focused_on_improving/

[26] How Does Starlink Work? - Clarus Networks https://www.clarus-networks.com/technology/

[27] [2310.20215] Handover Protocol Learning for LEO Satellite Networks https://arxiv.org/abs/2310.20215

[28] [PDF] Measuring Starlink - Geoff Huston https://www.potaroo.net/presentations/2024-09-06-starlink-ausnog24.pdf

[29] Mobility-Aware Congestion Control for Multipath QUIC in Integrated ... https://www.computer.org/csdl/journal/tm/2024/12/10520815/1WlWFBgNffa

[30] [PDF] Exploring Proxying QUIC and HTTP/3 for Satellite Communication https://arxiv.org/pdf/2205.01554.pdf

[31] StarQUIC: Tuning Congestion Control Algorithms for QUIC over LEO ... https://www.reddit.com/r/StarlinkEngineering/comments/1fmm9ch/starquic_tuning_congestion_control_algorithms_for/

[32] TCP congestion control vs. Starlink variable speeds - Reddit https://www.reddit.com/r/StarlinkEngineering/comments/v0dy9v/tcp_congestion_control_vs_starlink_variable_speeds/

[33] Enhancing Transport Protocols over Satellite Networks - IETF https://www.ietf.org/archive/id/draft-jones-tsvwg-transport-for-satellite-00.html

[34] [PDF] Starlink Protocol Performance - APNIC Labs https://labs.apnic.net/presentations/store/2023-11-05-starlink.pdf

[35] [PDF] Improving the BBR congestion control algorithm for QUIC - DiVA portal https://www.diva-portal.org/smash/get/diva2:1767543/FULLTEXT01.pdf

[36] On the suitability of BBR congestion control for QUIC over GEO ... https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.1145/3547115.3547194

[37] The Next-Gen Protocol: HTTP3 and its Impact on Web Performance https://www.cloudpanel.io/blog/http3-vs-http2/

[38] A SDN improvement scheme for multi-path QUIC transmission in ... https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/coin.12650

[39] Measuring HTTP/3 Real-World Performance - Internet Society Pulse https://pulse.internetsociety.org/blog/measuring-http-3-real-world-performance

[40] QUIC Loss Detection and Congestion Control - IETF Datatracker https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/draft-ietf-quic-recovery/34/

[41] HTTP/3 is Fast! - Request Metrics https://requestmetrics.com/web-performance/http3-is-fast/

[42] [PDF] Tuning Congestion Control Algorithms for QUIC over LEO Satellite ... https://onlineacademiccommunity.uvic.ca/starlink/wp-content/uploads/sites/8876/2024/09/leonet24-victor.pdf

[43] What is HTTP/3? - Cloudflare https://www.cloudflare.com/learning/performance/what-is-http3/

[44] SatGuard: Concealing Endless and Bursty Packet Losses in LEO ... https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.1145/3589334.3645639

[45] HTTP/3: Performance Improvements (Part 2) - Smashing Magazine https://www.smashingmagazine.com/2021/08/http3-performance-improvements-part2/

[46] RFC 9002 - QUIC Loss Detection and Congestion Control https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc9002

[47] Need to measure QUIC packet loss? There's a spin bit cousin for that https://blog.apnic.net/2021/09/08/need-to-measure-quic-packet-loss-theres-a-spin-bit-cousin-for-that/

[48] An Analysis of QUIC Connection Migration in the Wild - arXiv https://arxiv.org/html/2410.06066v1

[49] Project QUICoS: Positive Outcome of the Final Review Meeting https://romars.tech/en/news/project-quicos-positive-outcome-of-the-final-review-meeting/

[50] Low-Latency Live Video Streaming over a Low-Earth-Orbit Satellite ... https://github.com/clarkzjw/mmsys24-starlink-livestreaming

[51] COMSYS/quic-ecn-measurements - GitHub https://github.com/COMSYS/quic-ecn-measurements

[52] HTTP over UDP: an experimental investigation of QUIC https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.1145/2695664.2695706

[53] [PDF] Multi-Timescale Evaluation of Starlink Throughput - DiVA portal https://www.diva-portal.org/smash/get/diva2:1846752/FULLTEXT01.pdf

[54] A look at QUIC use - APNIC Blog https://blog.apnic.net/2022/07/11/a-look-at-quic-use/

[55] QUIC Throughput and Fairness over Dual Connectivity - ScienceDirect https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1389128622004650

[56] Implementing Multipath in QUIC - Christian Huitema - WordPress.com https://huitema.wordpress.com/2021/01/26/implementing-multipath-in-quic/

[57] The long slow path to QUIC multipath - Private Octopus, Inc https://www.privateoctopus.com/2024/02/28/long-slow-path-to-quic-multipath.html

[58] Benchmarking HTTP/3 Performance with Edge Stack and Envoy Proxy https://www.getambassador.io/blog/benchmarking-http3-edge-stack-envoy

[59] [PDF] Flexible QUIC loss recovery mechanisms for latency-sensitive ... https://ncs.uclouvain.be/assets/pdf/michel-phd.pdf

[60] Multipath QUIC: Design and Evaluation - ACM Digital Library https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.1145/3143361.3143370

[61] moonlight200/quic-opensand-emulation: A statellite link ... - GitHub https://github.com/moonlight200/quic-opensand-emulation

[62] Question : How Starlink will work with HTTP/3 Protocol in the futur https://www.reddit.com/r/Starlink/comments/prywoh/question_how_starlink_will_work_with_http3/

[63] [PDF] A study of congestion control schemes on QUIC - Webthesis https://webthesis.biblio.polito.it/28622/1/tesi.pdf