

Problem 1

Calculate the value of the uncertainty (i.e. $\Delta x \Delta p$) for a coherent (Glauber) state with parameter α . Compare this with the uncertainty of the ground state of the harmonic oscillator, and with uncertainty of the first excited state of the harmonic oscillator.

the harmonic oscillator potential: $V(x) = \frac{1}{2} m \omega^2 x^2$

$$\text{so, } H\psi = \left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{1}{2} m \omega^2 x^2 \right) \psi = E \psi$$

we have annihilation operator: $a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2m\omega\hbar}} (m\omega x + ip)$

creation operator: $a^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2m\omega\hbar}} (m\omega x - ip)$

so x and p can be expressed by a and a^\dagger :

$$x = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} (a + a^\dagger), \quad p = -i\sqrt{\frac{m\omega\hbar}{2}} (a - a^\dagger)$$

$$aa^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2m\omega\hbar}} (m\omega x + ip) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2m\omega\hbar}} (m\omega x - ip) = \frac{1}{2m\omega\hbar} [(m\omega x)^2 - m\omega x ip + ip m\omega x + p^2]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2m\omega\hbar} [(m\omega x)^2 - m\omega x ip + ip m\omega x + p^2]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2m\omega\hbar} [(m\omega x)^2 + p^2 + m\omega i [p, x]]$$

$$a^\dagger a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2m\omega\hbar}} (m\omega x - ip) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2m\omega\hbar}} (m\omega x + ip)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2m\omega\hbar} [(m\omega x)^2 + p^2 - m\omega i [p, x]]$$

$$[p, x] = -i\hbar, \text{ so } [a, a^\dagger] = aa^\dagger - a^\dagger a = 1$$

define: $N = a^\dagger a$ occupation/particle number operator.

$$\text{so } [N, a^\dagger] = a^\dagger, \quad [N, a] = -a.$$

$$x = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} (a + a^\dagger), \quad p = -i\sqrt{\frac{m\omega\hbar}{2}} (a - a^\dagger)$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle x \rangle = \langle \psi_n | x | \psi_n \rangle \propto \langle \psi_n | a + a^\dagger | \psi_n \rangle \propto \langle \psi_n | \psi_{n-1} \rangle + \langle \psi_n | \psi_{n+1} \rangle = 0$$

$$\langle x^2 \rangle = \langle \psi_n | x^2 | \psi_n \rangle = \frac{\hbar}{2m\omega} \langle \psi_n | a^2 + aa^\dagger + a^\dagger a + (a^\dagger)^2 | \psi_n \rangle$$

because $[a, a^\dagger] = aa^\dagger - a^\dagger a = 1$. so $aa^\dagger = 1 + a^\dagger a$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x^2 \rangle &= \frac{\hbar}{2m\omega} \langle \psi_n | aa^\dagger + a^\dagger a | \psi_n \rangle = \frac{\hbar}{2m\omega} \langle \psi_n | 2a^\dagger a + 1 | \psi_n \rangle = \frac{\hbar}{2m\omega} \langle \psi_n | 2N + 1 | \psi_n \rangle \\ &= \frac{\hbar}{m\omega} \langle \psi_n | N | \psi_n \rangle + \frac{\hbar}{2m\omega} = \frac{\hbar}{m\omega} (n + \frac{1}{2}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{so } \Delta x^2 = \langle x^2 \rangle - \langle x \rangle^2 = \frac{\hbar}{m\omega} (n + \frac{1}{2})$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle p \rangle = \langle \psi_n | p | \psi_n \rangle \propto \langle \psi_n | a - a^\dagger | \psi_n \rangle \propto \langle \psi_n | \psi_{n-1} \rangle - \langle \psi_n | \psi_{n+1} \rangle = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle p^2 \rangle &= \langle \psi_n | p^2 | \psi_n \rangle \propto -\frac{m\omega\hbar}{2} \langle \psi_n | a^2 - aa^\dagger - a^\dagger a + (a^\dagger)^2 | \psi_n \rangle \\ &= -\frac{m\omega\hbar}{2} \langle \psi_n | -2N - 1 | \psi_n \rangle \\ &= m\omega\hbar (n + \frac{1}{2}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Delta p^2 = \langle p^2 \rangle - \langle p \rangle^2 = m\omega\hbar (n + \frac{1}{2})$$

$$\text{so } \Delta x \Delta p = \hbar (n + \frac{1}{2}) \geq \frac{\hbar}{2}$$

for ground state ($n=0$). $|0\rangle$: $\Delta x \Delta p = \frac{\hbar}{2}$

for first excited state $|1\rangle$: $\Delta x \Delta p = \frac{3}{2}\hbar$



Problem 2

Explain how a device which upon input of one of two non-orthogonal quantum states $|\psi\rangle$ or $|\phi\rangle$ correctly identified the state, could be used to build a device which cloned the states $|\psi\rangle$ and $|\phi\rangle$, in violation of the no-cloning theorem. Conversely, explain how a device for cloning could be used to distinguish non-orthogonal quantum states.

If there is a device that can correctly identify the state, then we could find the properties assigned to both states, and clone the two states as required. Conversely, if we have a cloning device that can be used to generate multiple replicas of the two states, then we may apply POVM theory with measurement operators $\{E_1 = |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|, E_2 = |\phi\rangle\langle\phi|, E_3 = I - E_1 - E_2\}$.

If we measure E_1 , so the state is bound to be $|\psi\rangle$, if we measure E_2 , then the state is $|\phi\rangle$. Since we have many replicas, it's likely to get outcomes of either E_1 or E_2 .

for instance, $|\uparrow_z\rangle$ and $|\uparrow_y\rangle$ are non-orthogonal.

$$\hat{E}_1 = \frac{|\downarrow_z\rangle\langle\downarrow_z|}{1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}} \quad \hat{E}_2 = \frac{|\downarrow_y\rangle\langle\downarrow_y|}{1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}} \quad \hat{E}_3 = \hat{I} - \hat{E}_1 - \hat{E}_2 = \hat{I} - \frac{|\downarrow_z\rangle\langle\downarrow_z|}{1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}} - \frac{|\downarrow_y\rangle\langle\downarrow_y|}{1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}}$$

$$\hat{E}_1 = \frac{|\downarrow_z\rangle\langle\downarrow_z|}{1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}} \quad \text{if } \hat{E}_1 \text{ is the result, so it can't be } |\uparrow_z\rangle. \text{ another possibility is } |\uparrow_y\rangle.$$

$$\hat{E}_2 = \frac{|\downarrow_y\rangle\langle\downarrow_y|}{1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}} \quad \text{if } \hat{E}_2 \text{ is the result, so it can't be } |\uparrow_y\rangle. \text{ another possibility is } |\uparrow_z\rangle.$$

\hat{E}_1, \hat{E}_2 are deterministic.

Problem 3

(a) Show that the average value of the observable $\sigma_v \sigma_u$ for a two-qubit system in the state $|S\rangle$ is $-\vec{v} \cdot \vec{u}$.

(b) Calculate the average value of the same observable for the state $|T_0\rangle$. (Hint: you can utilize the fact that $|S\rangle\langle S| + |T_0\rangle\langle T_0| = |\uparrow\downarrow\rangle\langle\uparrow\downarrow| + |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle\langle\downarrow\uparrow|$)

$$(a). \quad |S\rangle = |0,0\rangle = \frac{|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle - |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$$

the density matrix for the quantum state is $\rho = |S\rangle\langle S|$

$$\begin{aligned} |S\rangle &= \frac{|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle - |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\uparrow\rangle|\downarrow\rangle - |\downarrow\rangle|\uparrow\rangle) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{so } \rho = |S\rangle\langle S| = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

if $v \neq u$. for example. $v=y$. $u=z$.

$$\sigma_v \sigma_u = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & i \\ i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -i & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

the average value of $\sigma_v \sigma_u$ is given by $\text{Tr}\{\rho \sigma_v \sigma_u\} = 0 = -\vec{v} \cdot \vec{u}$

if $v=u$. for example. $v=u=z$

$$\sigma_v \sigma_u = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{Tr}\{\rho \sigma_v \sigma_u\} = \text{Tr} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = -2 = -\vec{v} \cdot \vec{u}$$

it's the same with other scenarios.

$$b) |T_0\rangle = |10\rangle = \frac{(\uparrow\downarrow + \downarrow\uparrow)}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\uparrow\rangle \otimes |\downarrow\rangle + |\downarrow\rangle \otimes |\uparrow\rangle)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{so } \rho = |T_0\rangle\langle T_0| = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

if $v \neq u$. for example. $v=y$. $u=z$.

$$\sigma_v \sigma_u = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & i \\ i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -i & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 & i \\ i & 0 & 0 & i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

the average value of $\sigma_v \sigma_u$ is given by $\text{Tr} \{ \rho \sigma_v \sigma_u \} = 0$

if $v=u$. for example. $v=u=z$

$$\sigma_v \sigma_u = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{Tr} \{ \rho \sigma_v \sigma_u \} = \text{Tr} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = -2$$

if $v=u=x$

$$\sigma_v \sigma_u = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{Tr} \{ \rho \sigma_v \sigma_u \} = \text{Tr} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 2$$

if $v=u=y$

$$\sigma_v \sigma_u = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{Tr} \{ \rho \sigma_v \sigma_u \} = \text{Tr} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 2$$

Problem 4

Suppose someone at a node on a quantum network receives quantum state from a set $|\psi_1\rangle, \dots, |\psi_m\rangle$ of linearly independent states. Construct a POVM $\{E_1, E_2, \dots, E_{m+1}\}$ such that if outcome E_i occurs, $1 \leq i \leq m$, then it is known with certainty that the state arriving at the node is state $|\psi_i\rangle$. The POVM must be such that $\langle\psi_i|E_i|\psi_i\rangle > 0$ for each i .

according to measurement theory, we need to find a series of POVM operators,

such that $\text{Tr}(|\psi_i\rangle\langle\psi_i|E_j) = 0$

for $1 \leq i \leq m$, and $j \neq i$, $E_{m+1} = \mathbb{1} - \sum_{i=1}^m E_i$

for $1 \leq i \leq m$. E_i are constructed by a projective measurement

$E_j = (\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_{ji} |\psi_i\rangle)(\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_{ji} \langle\psi_i|)^{\dagger}$, so we have $\sum_i \alpha_{ji} \psi_{ik}^* = \delta_{jk}$

where $\psi_{ik} = \langle\psi_i|\psi_k\rangle$ and $\alpha_{jik} = \mathbb{1}$.

so POVM $\{E_1, E_2, \dots, E_{m+1}\}$ can be constructed by $\hat{\alpha}$.