Linearizing the GPE to obtain a wave-like equation

Jay Mehta, Liam Farell, Duncan O'Dell Department of Physics & Astronomy, McMaster University

July 30, 2024

1 Introduction & the linearization scheme

Goal: In the following notes, we will attempt to review the derivation of the acoustic metric (a more general case of an effective metric is considered in [1]) (i.e., a wave-like equation) for a BEC system and show that their dynamics (with appropriate approximations) can closely replicate a massless scalar field in a curved spacetime.

1.1 Mean-field approximation of the Gross-Pitaevskii equation

In the dilute gas approximation, a Bose gas is identified by a quantum field $\hat{\Psi}(t,x)^1$ such that

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \hat{\Psi}}{\partial t} = \left(\frac{-\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \mathbb{V}_{ext} + g\hat{\Psi}^{\dagger}\hat{\Psi}\right)\hat{\Psi}.$$
 (1)

Here, $g = \frac{4\pi\hbar^2 a}{m}$ parameterises the strength of the interactions amongst bosons in the gas; with a being the s-wave scattering amplitude and m being the atomic mass.

Now following [2] as usual, the natural ansatz for studying such a system is Bogoliubov's mean-field approach, in which case the field operator $\hat{\Psi}(t,x)$ can be separated into a (macroscopic) condensate term ψ_0 and a small perturbation term ψ_1 ,

$$\hat{\Psi} = \psi_0 + \epsilon \psi_1; \tag{2}$$

where, $\psi_0 \equiv \langle \hat{\Psi} \rangle$ is often called the wave function of the condensate and plays the role of an order parameter. Notice that ϵ is introduced here as a smallness parameter for bookkeeping purposes.

Inserting (2) into (1) yields two equations; one for each terms ψ_0 (of leading order ϵ^0) and ψ_1 (of order ϵ^1). We will deal with the equation containing ϵ^1 terms later, by

¹For simplicity, we only consider 1+1 spacetime dimensions in what follows.

linearizing the fluctuations around the background field $\psi_0(t,x)$. For the leading order ϵ^0 , we obtain:

$$i\hbar \,\partial_t \psi_0(t,x) = \left(\frac{-\hbar^2}{2m}\partial_x^2 + \mathbb{V}_{ext}(t,x) + g|\psi_0(t,x)|^2\right)\psi_0(t,x). \tag{3}$$

Equation (3) is the Gross-Pitaevskii equation [3] describing the dynamics of the order parameter. This equation is closed (decoupled) because all fluctuations are of order ϵ and thus do not appear in this leading-order analysis.

Consider the Madelung representation [4] for the wave function of the condensate

$$\psi_0(t,x) = \sqrt{n_0(t,x)} \exp\left\{\frac{i}{\hbar}\theta_0(t,x)\right\},\tag{4}$$

and define an irrorational and inviscid velocity flow of the condensate by

$$v_0(t,x) = \frac{\partial_x \theta_0(t,x)}{m}. (5)$$

By virtue of (4), we can rewrite (3) as follows:

$$\partial_t n_0 + \frac{1}{m} \partial_x \left(n_0 \, \partial_x \theta_0 \right) = 0, \tag{6}$$

$$\partial_t \theta_0 + \left(\frac{(\partial_x \theta_0)^2}{2m} + \mathbb{V}_{ext} + g n_0 - \frac{\hbar^2}{4m} \left(\frac{\partial_x^2 n_0}{n_0} - \frac{(\partial_x n_0)^2}{2n_0^2} \right) \right) = 0.$$
 (7)

In fact, the equation of continuity (6) and the equation (7) for the phase² provide a closed set of coupled equations, exactly equivalent to the original Gross-Pitaevskii equation (3).

1.2 Linearizing fluctuations around the background equations

Now let's linearize the equations of motion (6) and (7) around some assumed background $(n_0(t, x), \theta_0(t, x))$ in the following sense:

$$n_0(t,x) \mapsto n_0(t,x) + \epsilon n_1(t,x) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2),$$
 (8a)

$$\theta_0(t,x) \mapsto \theta_0(t,x) + \epsilon \,\theta_1(t,x) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2).$$
 (8b)

$$m \partial_t v_0 + \partial_x \left(\frac{1}{2} m v_0^2 + \mathbb{V}_{ext} + g n_0 - \frac{\hbar^2}{4m} \left(\frac{\partial_x^2 n_0}{n_0} - \frac{(\partial_x n_0)^2}{2n_0^2} \right) \right) = 0.$$

²Equation (7) can also be expressed in terms of the parameter $v_0(t,x)$ by,

Substituting (8) into (4) and expanding in powers of ϵ gives,

$$\psi_0 \mapsto \psi_0 + \epsilon \exp\left\{\frac{i}{\hbar}\theta_0\right\} \left(\frac{n_1}{2\sqrt{n_0}} + \frac{i\sqrt{n_0}}{\hbar}\theta_1\right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2).$$
 (9)

This linearization scheme naturally defines a representation of the perturbation term $\psi_1(t,x)$ introduced earlier in (2). Explicitly:

$$\psi_1 = \exp\left\{\frac{i}{\hbar}\theta_0\right\} \left(\frac{n_1}{2\sqrt{n_0}} + \frac{i\sqrt{n_0}}{\hbar}\theta_1\right). \tag{10}$$

To derive a closed set of coupled equations for these linearized perturbations, we substitute (8) into (6) and (7); Or alternatively, use the representation (9) in the original Gross-Pitaevskii equation. In both cases, we will retain terms only of the order ϵ^1 .

At this linear order ϵ^1 , we get:

$$\partial_t n_1 + \frac{1}{m} \partial_x \left(n_0 \, \partial_x \theta_1 + n_1 \, \partial_x \theta_0 \right) = 0, \tag{11}$$

$$\partial_t \theta_1 + \frac{(\partial_x \theta_0)(\partial_x \theta_1)}{m} + g n_1 - \frac{\hbar^2}{4m} \hat{\mathfrak{D}}_2 n_1 = 0.$$
 (12)

Here $\hat{\mathfrak{D}}_2$ represents a second-order differential operator defined as,

$$\hat{\mathfrak{D}}_2 n_1 \equiv \frac{1}{n_0} (\partial_x^2 n_1) - \frac{\partial_x n_0}{n_0^2} (\partial_x n_1) - \frac{\partial_x^2 n_0}{n_0^2} n_1 + \frac{(\partial_x n_0)^2}{n_0^3} n_1.$$
(13)

2 Derivation of a wave-like equation

From the equations (11) and (12), it is possible to derive a wave-like equation that describes the propagation of the linearized phase $\theta_1(t, x)$. To see that, we must first isolate for n_1 from (12) to get,

$$n_1 = -\left(g - \frac{\hbar^2}{4m}\,\hat{\mathfrak{D}}_2\right)^{-1} \left(\partial_t + v_0(t,x)\,\partial_x\right)\theta_1. \tag{14}$$

Now substituting (14) into (11) yields (modulo an overall sign):

$$-\partial_{t} \left[\left(g - \frac{\hbar^{2}}{4m} \, \hat{\mathfrak{D}}_{2} \right)^{-1} \left(\partial_{t} + v_{0}(t, x) \, \partial_{x} \right) \theta_{1} \right] + \frac{1}{m} \partial_{x} \left[n_{0} \, \partial_{x} \theta_{1} - \left(\partial_{x} \theta_{0} \right) \left(g - \frac{\hbar^{2}}{4m} \, \hat{\mathfrak{D}}_{2} \right)^{-1} \left(\partial_{t} + v_{0}(t, x) \, \partial_{x} \right) \theta_{1} \right] = 0.$$
 (15)

2.1 Quasi-classical approximation

At this point, the most straightforward approximation (namely, "quasi-classical" approximation, as in [1]) is to simply neglect the terms containing $\hat{\mathfrak{D}}_2$. This is justified in the sense that terms containing $\hat{\mathfrak{D}}_2$ is always multiplied by \hbar^2 . This exhibits its *truly* quantum nature and hence it shall be suitably small compared to other macroscopic parameters. Within this approximation, equation (15) simplifies to:

$$\partial_t^2 \theta_1 + \left(-c_s^2 + v_0^2 \right) \partial_x^2 \theta_1 + 2v_0 \partial_t \partial_x \theta_1 + \left(\partial_t v_0 \frac{\partial_x \theta_1}{m} + \partial_x v_0 \left(\partial_t + 2v_0 \partial_x \right) \theta_1 \right) = 0, \quad (16)$$

where, $c_s \equiv \sqrt{gn_0/m}$ is the so-called speed of sound.

Here, the fourth and fifth terms contain either $\partial_t v_0(t,x)$ or $\partial_x v_0(t,x)$; so if we additionally assume that the gradients of the velocity flow of the condensate (i.e., $\partial_\mu v_0(t,x)$) are negligible compared to the gradients of θ_1 , then they disappear; and we are left with a **wave equation** containing only second derivatives in both space and time! Explicitly:

$$\partial_t^2 \theta_1 + (-c_s^2 + v_0^2) \,\partial_x^2 \theta_1 + 2v_0 \,\partial_t \partial_x \theta_1 = 0. \tag{17}$$

2.2 In case of slowly varying density and velocity flow of the condensate

Let's now consider an interesting case where the aforementioned approximation does not hold. In the quasi-classical (hydrodynamical) regime, we completely neglected the terms containing $\hat{\mathfrak{D}}_2$; however, if we keep those terms with an additional assumption that the density $n_0(t,x)$ and the velocity flow $v_0(t,x)$ of the condensate is slowly varying compared to the perturbation, the differential operator $\hat{\mathfrak{D}}_2$ (cf. equation (13)) can be identified as,

$$\hat{\mathfrak{D}}_2 n_1 \equiv \frac{1}{n_0} (\partial_x^2 n_1). \tag{18}$$

Notice the last three terms in (13) are dropped. To this end, incorporating (18) in (12), we rewrite equation (11) and (12) more conveniently as follows:

$$\left(\partial_t + v_0 \partial_x\right) n_1 + \frac{n_0}{m} \partial_x^2 \theta_1 = 0, \tag{19}$$

$$(\partial_t + v_0 \partial_x) \theta_1 + g \left(1 - \frac{\xi^2}{4} \partial_x^2 \right) n_1 = 0; \tag{20}$$

where, $\xi(t,x) = \hbar/mc_s = \hbar/\sqrt{gmn_0(t,x)}$ is the characteristic healing length. Now, to get a closed from differential equation for θ_1 , apply $(\partial_t + v_0 \partial_x)$ on (20) and use (19) to

obtain,

$$\left(\partial_t + v_0 \partial_x\right)^2 \theta_1 = c_s^2 \partial_x^2 \left(1 - \frac{\xi^2}{4} \partial_x^2\right) \theta_1. \tag{21}$$

Defining $\bar{k}(t,x) = 2/\xi(t,x)$, equation (21) becomes,

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v_0(t, x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^2 \theta_1(t, x) = c_s^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\bar{k}^2(t, x)} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\right) \theta_1(t, x).$$
(22)

References

- [1] Carlos Barcelo, Stefano Liberati, and Matt Visser. "Analogue gravity from Bose-Einstein condensates". In: Classical and Quantum Gravity 18.6 (2001), p. 1137.
- [2] N Bogoliubov. "On the theory of superfluidity". In: J. Phys 11.1 (1947), p. 23.
- [3] Lev Pitaevskii and Sandro Stringari. Bose-Einstein condensation and superfluidity. Vol. 164. Oxford University Press, 2016.
- [4] Erwin Madelung. "Quantum theory in hydrodynamical form". In: z. Phys 40 (1927), p. 322.