

Lab 9 Report

To begin, I've included the code I used to structure the testing at the bottom of the document (because it's a bit long). Also, I've included a screenshot of my output. To generate this output yourself, simply run the program and the table will be printed to the console (which looks better if you make the console wide).

Looking at the results for these two data structures, it's clear that the min heap is quicker to build and, for the most part, quicker to operate on. There are very few examples where the min-max heap was quicker to perform the same operations on as the min heap. Part of this result stems from the fact that the min-max heap data structure is more bloated. In order to maintain the min-max property the min-max heap must execute more instructions every time an operation is carried out than the min heap. This is evident in the timing results. This is also the case for the build-time on both structures. Since the min-max heap must check if it needs to bubbleUpMin, or bubbleUpMax, or trickleDownMin, etc., there's more working being done relative to the min heap.

With that said, there's still significance to having a min-max heap. With a min-max heap, it's much easier to extract the largest value (deleteMax), which we did not test here. Arguably the time spread would be a bit more equal if we did test this function though, because in order to find the largest value in a min heap, you'd have to search the entire array, which would take $O(n)$ time, whereas finding the largest value in the min-max heap takes $O(1)$ time. In the case of deleteMin, both structures are nearly identical except for the fact that the min-max heap must do a few extra checks to make sure it sends the last value in the heap up properly in bubbleUp.

All in all, this output was as expected with these data structures, and the lab itself was very informative. As always, any questions/concerns can be directed to me at jayofferdahl@ku.edu, and I've included a README.txt file with program specific instructions.

Size (n)	Srand	Build-Time	NumOps	Op Time (s)	Build-Time	NumOps	Op Time (s)
100000	2349	0.004196	12643	0.007027	0.006102	12643	0.013081
100000	2349	0.007568	18896	0.013488	0.009919	18896	0.015134
100000	2349	0.005623	28638	0.014243	0.012954	28638	0.023306
100000	2349	0.008662	30386	0.015999	0.013561	30386	0.028431
100000	2349	0.006630	14937	0.008188	0.013352	14937	0.012944
100000	2349	0.010699	10795	0.002941	0.009177	10795	0.011239
100000	2349	0.006960	39973	0.010861	0.007541	39973	0.015893
100000	2349	0.003847	27589	0.007498	0.006088	27589	0.010822
200000	8829	0.007219	18493	0.005451	0.012212	18493	0.007845
200000	8829	0.007312	9157	0.002751	0.012294	9157	0.003952
200000	8829	0.007302	12046	0.003556	0.012326	12046	0.005068
200000	8829	0.007252	48879	0.014301	0.012142	48879	0.020491
200000	8829	0.007254	44422	0.012906	0.012189	44422	0.018720
200000	8829	0.007292	31540	0.019364	0.025497	31540	0.027671
200000	8829	0.011343	36699	0.022423	0.023327	36699	0.017974
200000	8829	0.007288	32529	0.009682	0.012705	32529	0.014152
400000	1019	0.014489	31788	0.009980	0.024312	31788	0.014441
400000	1019	0.014449	17123	0.005478	0.024351	17123	0.012221
400000	1019	0.014875	5352	0.001764	0.024628	5352	0.002528
400000	1019	0.014475	24127	0.007652	0.024432	24127	0.011075
400000	1019	0.014469	30556	0.009587	0.024355	30556	0.014053
400000	1019	0.014581	667	0.000244	0.029406	667	0.000340
400000	1019	0.030787	2128	0.000811	0.052402	2128	0.001021
400000	1019	0.029271	1457	0.001066	0.037859	1457	0.000844

How I structured the testing:

- 3 Rounds of 8 tests each (3 different data sizes, 8 tests for each one)
 - Set the seed, fill both arrays with the same data
 - Time building the min heap
 - Time building the min-max heap
 - Calculate the number of operations
 - Reset the seed, perform and time the operations on the min heap
 - Reset the seed, perform and time the operations on the min-max heap
 - Repeat until done
- Output the final table

```
for(int i = 0; i < 3; i++) {
    int currentSize = dataSizes[i];
    int seed = seeds[i], row, ops, val;
    srand(seeds[i]);

    // Do eight tests for this data size
    for(int j = 1; j <= 8; j++) {
        row = j + i * 8;
        arrSize1 = arrSize2 = 0;

        // Fill both arrays with the same data
        fillBoth(row, arr1, arr2, &arrSize1, &arrSize2, currentSize);
        output[row][0] = std::to_string(currentSize);
        output[row][1] = std::to_string(seed);

        // Build the min heap
        tim->start();
        min->buildHeap(arrSize1, arr1);
        duration = tim->stop();
        sprintf(buffer, "%5.6f", duration);
        output[row][2] = buffer;

        // Build the minmax heap
        tim->start();
        minmax->buildHeap(arrSize2, arr2);
        duration = tim->stop();
        sprintf(buffer, "%5.6f", duration);
        output[row][5] = buffer;

        // Calculate the number of operations to perform
        ops = rand() % 50000 + 1;
        output[row][3] = std::to_string(ops);
        output[row][6] = std::to_string(ops);

        // Reset the random number generator
```

```
    srand(seeds[i]);

    tim->start();
    for(int k = 0; k < ops; k++) {
        if((double) rand() / RAND_MAX < 0.5)
            min->deleteMin(&arrSize1, arr1);
        else {
            val = rand() % (currentSize * 2 + 1) - currentSize;
            min->insert(val, &arrSize1, arr1);
        }
    }
    duration = tim->stop();

    sprintf(buffer, "%5.6f", duration);
    output[row][4] = buffer;

    // Reset the random number generator
    srand(seeds[i]);

    tim->start();
    for(int k = 0; k < ops; k++) {
        if((double) rand() / RAND_MAX < 0.5)
            minmax->deleteMin(&arrSize2, arr2);
        else {
            val = rand() % (currentSize * 2 + 1) - currentSize;
            minmax->insert(val, &arrSize2, arr2);
        }
    }
    duration = tim->stop();

    sprintf(buffer, "%5.6f", duration);
    output[row][7] = buffer;
}
}
```