

EBUS3030 Assignment 2

Stavros Karmaniolos c3160280@uon.edu.au

Jay Rovacsek c3146220@uon.edu.au

Jacob Litherland c3263482@uon.edu.au

Edward Lonsdale c3252144@uon.edu.au

October 25, 2018

Contents

| | | |
|----------|--|-----------|
| 1 | Assignment Overview & Requirements | 2 |
| 2 | Executive Summary | 4 |
| 2.1 | Datamart Business Rules | 5 |
| 3 | Data Model | 6 |
| 3.1 | Database Schema | 6 |
| 3.2 | EER Diagram | 7 |
| 4 | Data Load Process (ETL/ELT) | 8 |
| 4.1 | Quality Assurance Processes | 9 |
| 4.2 | Assumptions and Reasoning | 10 |
| 4.2.1 | Item | 10 |
| 4.2.2 | ReceiptItem | 10 |
| 4.2.3 | Receipt | 10 |
| 4.2.4 | Staff | 10 |
| 4.2.5 | Customer | 10 |
| 4.2.6 | Office | 10 |
| 5 | Base Analysis | 11 |
| 5.1 | Notes on Analysis | 11 |
| 5.2 | Raw Results | 11 |
| 5.2.1 | Total Revenue Per Store | 11 |
| 5.2.2 | Total Number of Sales per Staff Member | 12 |
| 5.2.3 | Total Items Sold | 13 |
| 5.2.4 | Discounted Sales Ratio | 14 |
| 5.2.5 | Total Sales Value per Staff Member | 15 |
| 5.2.6 | Average Value Per Sale | 16 |
| 5.3 | Total Items and Revenue per Store | 17 |
| 5.4 | Unique Customers Per Store | 18 |
| 5.5 | Item Popularity | 19 |
| 5.6 | Worst Performing Item | 20 |
| 6 | Conclusion and Recommendations | 21 |
| | References | 22 |
| 7 | Appendix | 23 |
| 7.1 | C# Code Excerpt: Cleaning | 23 |
| 7.2 | C# Code Excerpt: SQL Generation | 26 |

1 Assignment Overview & Requirements

EBUS3030 – Assignment 2

Business Intelligence - EBUS3030 Assignment 2

Assignment Outcomes

This assignment requires multiple outputs to be created to exhibit your understanding of business intelligence/data analysis through an example ‘real world’ question that is comparable to what you may be asked of you as you become an IT professional.

Key outcomes to be delivered are: Data Modelling/ETL to get the data in a usable format, Output of your analysis, Report summarising your findings and a presentation to the class of your work. The presentation is expected to concentrate more on your findings/recommendations as if it were a situation where you are presenting the response to the CEO.

Assignment Question

The CEO of ‘BIA Inc’ had been speaking to the Sales Executive and had heard about some recent work you had completed and thought you might be able to assist them with a problem they have.

“I’ve heard that you helped the Sales Exec recently with understanding more about our Newcastle site. I would now like your help to get a handle on the whole business. As you are aware, the Newcastle office is just 1 of 10 sites we have across the country. Unfortunately, sales in some items have dropped across the country in recent years and we are currently running at a loss.

We need to consider consolidating our company offices. We need to reduce costs for the longevity of the company as a whole. I need you to get some numbers together around the performance of our 10 offices, so that I can factor this information into any decision regarding which office (or offices) we might consider closing.

I would like a summary of recent numbers and some trend analysis as well please. It would be great if you could also project sales for the next 12 months for each office as well. It would be helpful if you could indicate the 3 most popular and 3 least popular items in each of our stores, as well as the worst performing items for the company as a whole.

As you can imagine, this is a very sensitive topic so, as part of your response I want you to provide the justification as to which office we may close. Our decision will upset some people and I want to make sure we have all the background information on hand. If you can provide a Ranking of offices based on your analysis that would be wonderful.

.... I believe you started to bring together a data store of this information from the Newcastle Office, can you expand that and load all of the sales information for all offices and complete your analysis.
”

Assignment Deliverables

Using the data file provided in Excel and notes about the data (*Assignment 2 – data.xlsx*), you are required to complete the following elements as part of the assignment.

- Data Model/Data Load Process
 - Provide an overview of the data model & ETL process completed to get the data ready for analysis
 - Ensure you record any assumptions you have made as part of this component and your reasoning behind the assumption.
- Analysis including any predictive work undertaken
 - Provide the SQL and raw output of your base analysis
 - Provide workings of the predictive work you completed for the trending & prediction on future sales.
 - Ensure you record any assumptions you have made as part of this component and your reasoning behind the assumption (this includes answers to any relevant questions put to management (your lecturer) during workshops.

EBUS3030 – Assignment 2

- Executive Summary & Presentation in response to business question.
 - Provide an Executive report and Dashboard
 - Your Executive report should include a short Executive brief/summary that presents a clear concise response back to the CEO question about possible downsizing of operations including evidence/justification.
 - A dashboard should allow quick comparisons between the sites to be undertaken as well as contain at least one element of 'predictive' analysis
 - Present the material as if it is to be consumed in a formal boardroom meeting
 - All members of the team need to participate in a (15 – 20 minute) presentation to be given as part of the lab in Week 11.
 - Please be aware that any of the company Executive may ask questions as you present your findings.

NB: As part of your response, you should also specifically include any assumptions/external information you have made/used throughout the process as well as any quality processes/checking you have completed or limitations you discovered.

Breakup of assignment Marks (total course mark for assignment = Assignment Part B submission (28% + Presentation Two (7%) = 35%).

| Assignment Component | Percentage Allocation |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|
| Data Model/ETL | 10% |
| Core Analysis | 50% |
| Executive Summary/Evidence | 25% |
| Dashboard | 15% |
| | 100% |

Key Documents Required & Format

You are required to upload all files in a single zip file (including any presentation items for the team delivery within the lab) via blackboard to the Assignment Two drop folder by 12 noon, Thursday 25th October. You will also be required to submit a paper copy of your report at the beginning of the presentation workshop (make sure this is printed well before the workshop and has a group Assessment Cover sheet signed by ALL team members).

NB: Only 1 load per team only but it should contain all of the deliverable items.

Your data model should include a printout of an ER diagram using the notation described in lectures. It should also include a printout of your SQL schema showing Primary and foreign keys, as well as all attributes.

Your presentation is worth 7% of the course mark. It should simulate presenting the report to management. You should time your presentation to be between 12-15 minutes with 5-10 minutes for questions. Your presentation should include a demonstration of your dashboard, results and recommendations from your analysis. Your presentation marks will contain components for organisation, comprehension of presented results, and timing.

2 Executive Summary

This report was created for, and to address the concerns of, the CEO of BIA Incorporated. The purpose of the report is to provide insight into the methodology employed by our team in our analysis and logical reasoning for making the recommendations listed through the document and in the presentation. Citing declining sales of certain items in recent years and the fact that the company is currently operating at a financial loss, our team understood the need to reduce costs to ensure the longevity of the company as a whole. To achieve this, we used tools and frameworks including SQL Server Management Studio (SSMS), PowerBI, SQL and C# scripts. To ensure this longevity is sustained, the team first considered the sales data set provided by the company and the slightly modified business rules, when compared to our last joint project with the company. We created scripts and programmed solutions to deal with instances of broken data and to ensure that as the data is loaded into our database model, it adheres to the rules provided by the business. We considered specifically the changed discount rate which was different to the rate in use by the company at the time of our last project.

After the data had been correctly loaded, we could begin the analysis. The findings of this process suggested that, based on revenue, the highest performing store was Wagga Wagga with \$1,807,157.30 in revenue, whereas the store which performed the worst was Wollongong with \$891,871.68 in revenue, \$42,395.79 behind the second worst performing store, Dubbo. This analysis was performed after discounts were applied to better reflect the actual monetary value gained by the company. Overall, we found that customers are not loyal to any particular store. With the store with the highest amount of unique customers, Wagga Wagga with 600 only 44 ahead of Wollongong, the store with the lowest amount of unique customers with 556. For each store, we considered the best and worst performing items overall. The findings of this can be outlined in the report. We found that there is no significant discrepancy of any specific item across the company as a whole. The 'Drill Bit (6mm)' had an item sales count of 19,481, the second worst performing item was the 'Ruler' with 19,613 sales.

Next we considered total number of sales and total items sold by individual employees to find whether individual stores had either more sales or higher performing employees. We found that the highest performing employee was Mr. Samuel Anderson, who is located at the Sydney store. Mr. Anderson achieved a sales count of 645 overall sales and 4005 items sold. The second placed employee was Mr. Devin Brown who achieve 628 overall sales with 3796 items sold. Our next consideration was the discounted sales rate per employee. We found that the employees with the most discounted sales were situated at the Dubbo store, one of the lowest performing locations overall. Ms. Haley Taylor had 263 discounted sales compared to 91 standard sales meaning approximately 74% of Ms. Taylor's overall sales were discounted. The employee with the second highest amount of discounted sales was Mr. Jason Wood, also from the Dubbo store. Mr. Wood had 273 discounted sales and 117 standard sales meaning approximately 70% of Mr. Wood's sales were discounted. The next metric was the total sales value per staff member. Collectively, we decided that it was important to present the findings of which staff members generate value for the company. We found that Ms. Savannah Jones of the Wollongong store topped the list with \$77,152.49 sales value in total. Although the Wollongong store is the worst performing store overall, Ms. Jones outperforms all other staff members in total sale value, even when considering the discounted rate which was applied in this analysis. In addition to this, Ms. Jones placed second in average value per sales, approximately \$4.00 behind first place, Ms. Nicole Hernandez from the Broken Hill store with an average sale of \$138.40.

Considering this with the finding listed above, we conclude that ...

2.1 Datamart Business Rules

The following business rules were provided to be used in the context of this assignment:

- At BIA all customers interacts are in an online environment. We only support electronic orders.
- Returning customers can provide POI information via the web interface and look up their record and that will flow with the sale.
- The sales associate can complete the order form/sale for the client.
- Each sale will have a receipt number/id.
- A receipt can have many line items
- Each line item can only be for a single item, but the customer can purchase multiples of the same item.
- After consultation with your team, we have made the following change to discount applied to sales: Where a customer has multiple line items, any sale with 5 or more row items (containing at least 5 different items) is provided a 5% discount.
- The system automatically handles the total for the sale by looking up the item, then multiplying the costs per item by number purchased, and then should store this final field total as a record in the system (but should also be able to see clearly sales that were provided a discount.
- Store Item prices can change at any point, however the price the customer pays is the amount listed for the store item that is sold on the sale date. We need to keep a record of all store item prices historically so that we can determine what the store item price was at any particular past date.
- Only one BIA sales assistant can be attributed to any receipt.
- Customers may visit multiple stores for purchases (ie they are not locked to a particular store). As a result, all customer records are replicated across all stores, so they do not need to be re-recorded at a store by store level.

With these considerations in mind, the following report was created to outline the discovery, creation and polish to satisfy the assignment requirements.

3 Data Model

The following section outlines the models used in the design and creation of the database. It includes the EER Model with relations, attributes and relationships as well as the database schema from Microsoft SQL Server Management Studio.

3.1 Database Schema

The below data model is only a suggestion and is still subject to change into the future. A full create script can be found in the [appendix](#)




It must be noted that the structure of this data model is less than efficient, and it would be expected in a datamart situation that only at lower levels of data would this schema remain responsive in the manner it is now, as the outline suggests the datamart is not necessarily the most suitable design for future use, however suits very well currently.

It would be expected that only at extremely large data sets would this model prove a bad design. In such cases a model more representative of the snowflake or star schema would be heavily advised.

3.2 EER Diagram

An EER diagram of the suggested data model is provided below.



4 Data Load Process (ETL/ELT)

Initial import of the data supplied in the xlsx file generated a very basic table that allowed us to analyze the data for potential outliers, confirm the business requirements of the data and then create tables from which the data model was derived.

The Imported table structure was as follows:

| Assignment2Data | | | |
|-----------------|----------------------------|--------------|--------------------------|
| | Column Name | Data Type | Allow Nulls |
| | Sale_Date | datetime2(7) | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| | Reciept_Id | int | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| | Customer_ID | nvarchar(50) | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| | Customer_First_Name | nvarchar(50) | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| | Customer_Surname | nvarchar(50) | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| | Staff_ID | nvarchar(50) | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| | Staff_First_Name | nvarchar(50) | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| | Staff_Surname | nvarchar(50) | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| | Staff_office | int | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| | Office_Location | nvarchar(50) | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| | Reciept_Transaction_Row_ID | int | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| | Item_ID | int | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| | Item_Description | nvarchar(50) | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| | Item_Quantity | int | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| | Item_Price | float | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| | Row_Total | float | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| | | | <input type="checkbox"/> |

A decision to leave this initial import table as default was made to allow easy reference to the initially supplied excel data file.

4.1 Quality Assurance Processes

After performing a basic query to determine if, like our previous project, multiple items of the same ID on a single receipt existed it was apparent that the same issue existed in this data set as did in the previous.

To mitigate this, the team developed a simple update to the ReceiptItem was performed, to ensure that a discount would not be applied to a receipt with less than five items, when potentially the receipt did not actually have more than four distinct items.

The following query was used to determine this information:

```
1  -- Verify that no receipt has duplicate ItemIds and all are unique per order
2  SELECT *
3  FROM
4  (
5      SELECT [ReceiptItem].[ReceiptId],
6      COUNT([ReceiptItem].[ReceiptId]) AS 'ItemCount',
7      COUNT(DISTINCT [ReceiptItem].[ItemId]) AS 'ItemIdCount',
8      FROM [ReceiptItem]
9      GROUP BY [ReceiptItem].[ReceiptId]) AS SubQuery
10 WHERE [SubQuery].[ItemIdCount] != [SubQuery].[ItemCount]
```

Returning no entries once the data was cleaned with a [C# Script](#) internally developed. This took into account not only checking for data integrity but generated new sql to facilitate [item consolidation](#). These scripts have been listed in the appendices of this report.

4.2 Assumptions and Reasoning

The following section outlines our assumptions and the reasoning behind certain decisions made by the team at any stage of the project. The team will always try to apply business rules supplied by the firm to any of the decisions made however this is not always possible. In this case, the reasoning has been listed in the section that follows.

4.2.1 Item

There were no major assumptions made for the items. We followed the feedback received from the previous project and used the associative entity of ReceiptItem to satisfy requirements of sale price and quantity.

4.2.2 ReceiptItem

As suggested above, the ReceiptItem table was created to satisfy feedback received on our previous project , allows for quantity and price association.

4.2.3 Receipt

The receipt had no changes in comparison to the previous analysis, the changes occurred in related entities and did not affect the receipt table.

4.2.4 Staff

Staff were left untouched, the relation to location however did play a large role in this analysis, and a major assumption of a staff member only being at one location at a time or being directly related only to the one location was made.

This assumption normally would not be made, but assurances of data cleanliness were made, and the C# script did not find any discrepancies between our assumption and the supplied information.

4.2.5 Customer

~~We made assumptions that customers are likely to shop at only the one store. Given the geographic distances between stores generally being larger than we deemed most people would be likely to travel.~~ This assumption was quickly invalidated as most customers seem to have shopped at most if not all stores.

4.2.6 Office

Our analysis assumed future office potential growth to be related to the locality of the store. Such an assumption may suggest that we weighed in favour of stores in high population areas if the analysis was borderline for example: Newcastle and Broken Hill; Newcastle would be favoured as the growth potential was assumed to be greater and more predictable into the future.

5 Base Analysis

5.1 Notes on Analysis

Analysis was performed using Microsoft SQL Management Studio, with a flat file of the original data being imported into the table as defined [here](#), as with the previous assignment utilisation of decimal(18.7) was our choice over using the money type, again citing the imprecision of money in MSSQL/TSQL [1].

Source files to imitate the analysis below is either included inline under their respective headers or can be found in the source files within the final submission. The following section also contains tables with a selection of results from the corresponding SQL script.

5.2 Raw Results

5.2.1 Total Revenue Per Store

The total revenue per store after discounts were applied was determined by the following query. Below the query, the selected results were included.

```
1  -- Item Count, total revenue, sold By Office Location with discount
2  SELECT (CAST(
3      CASE
4          WHEN COUNT(ri.[ReceiptItemQuantity]) >= 5
5              THEN SUM(ri.[SalePrice] * ri.[ReceiptItemQuantity]) * 0.95
6          ELSE SUM(ri.[SalePrice] * ri.[ReceiptItemQuantity])
7          END AS decimal(19,5)) AS 'Revenue',
8  o.OfficeId, o.OfficeLocation
9  FROM Receipt r
10     INNER JOIN ReceiptItem ri
11         ON r.ReceiptId = ri.ReceiptId
12     INNER JOIN Staff s
13         ON s.StaffId = r.ReceiptStaffId
14     INNER JOIN Office o
15         ON s.StaffOfficeId = o.OfficeId
16  GROUP BY o.OfficeId
17           , o.OfficeLocation
18  ORDER BY Revenue DESC;
```

| Revenue | OfficeId | OfficeLocation |
|--------------|----------|----------------|
| \$1807157.30 | 9 | Wagga Wagga |
| \$1243761.42 | 2 | Maitland |
| \$1206763.76 | 10 | Broken Hill |
| \$1113333.40 | 4 | Sydney |
| \$1109330.77 | 1 | Newcastle |
| \$1092924.88 | 5 | Port Macquarie |
| \$1006184.56 | 3 | Cessnock |
| \$1001501.30 | 6 | Grafton |
| \$934267.47 | 7 | Dubbo |
| \$891871.68 | 8 | Wollongong |

Consideration of the above table was taken when looking at which store we suggested to close, but was not the only deciding factor in our conclusion.

5.2.2 Total Number of Sales per Staff Member

The total number of sales per staff member were considered with the following query. Below the query, the selected results were included.

```
1 SELECT COUNT(*) AS 'Sales Count'
2     , s.StaffId
3     , s.StaffFirstName
4     , s.StaffSurname
5     , o.OfficeId
6     , o.OfficeLocation
7 FROM Receipt r
8     INNER JOIN ReceiptItem ri
9         ON r.ReceiptId = ri.ReceiptId
10    INNER JOIN Item i
11        ON i.ItemId = ri.ItemId
12    INNER JOIN Staff s
13        ON s.StaffId = r.ReceiptStaffId
14    INNER JOIN Office o
15        ON o.OfficeId = s.StaffOfficeId
16 GROUP BY s.StaffId
17     , s.StaffFirstName
18     , s.StaffSurname
19     , o.OfficeId
20     , o.OfficeLocation
21 ORDER BY 'Sales Count' DESC;
```

| Sales Count | StaffId | StaffFirstName | StaffSurname | OfficeId | OfficeLocation |
|-------------|---------|----------------|--------------|----------|----------------|
| 645 | S190 | Samuel | Anderson | 4 | Sydney |
| 628 | S196 | Devin | Brown | 6 | Grafton |
| 628 | S122 | Austin | Morris | 6 | Grafton |
| 608 | S101 | Jenna | Cox | 5 | Port Macquarie |
| 607 | S45 | Emma | Gutierrez | 3 | Cessnock |

This suggests to us that individual stores had, either more sales or higher performing employees, which we wanted to confirm in analysis later, of which can be found [here](#).

5.2.3 Total Items Sold

The total items attributed to each staff member were considered also, determined by the query. Below the query, the selected results were included.

```
1 SELECT SUM(ri.ReceiptItemQuantity) AS 'Item Count'
2         , s.StaffId
3         , s.StaffFirstName
4         , s.StaffSurname
5         , o.OfficeId
6         , o.OfficeLocation
7 FROM Receipt r
8     INNER JOIN ReceiptItem ri
9                                     ON r.ReceiptId = ri.ReceiptId
10    INNER JOIN Staff s
11                                     ON s.StaffId = r.ReceiptStaffId
12    INNER JOIN Office o
13                                     ON o.OfficeId = s.StaffOfficeId
14 GROUP BY s.StaffId
15         , s.StaffFirstName
16         , s.StaffSurname
17         , o.OfficeId
18         , o.OfficeLocation
19 ORDER BY 'Item Count' DESC;
```

| Item Count | StaffId | StaffFirstName | StaffSurname | OfficeId | OfficeLocation |
|------------|---------|----------------|--------------|----------|----------------|
| 4005 | S190 | Samuel | Anderson | 4 | Sydney |
| 3796 | S122 | Austin | Morris | 6 | Grafton |
| 3730 | S101 | Jenna | Cox | 5 | Port Macquarie |
| 3716 | S45 | Emma | Gutierrez | 3 | Cessnock |
| 3684 | S108 | Isaiah | Powell | 9 | Wagga Wagga |

Consideration of the total items sold was important in determining our conclusion also, we recognise that while the top and bottom 3 performing items was a requirement, the spread of item sales was marginal in the end result as suggested [here](#), with an overall spread of the items sold (quantity) of only roughly 1500 between best and worst performers.

5.2.4 Discounted Sales Ratio

Consideration of the number of sales made by each staff member was also made, the following query yielding the results we required. Below the query, the selected results were included.

```
1 SELECT s.StaffId
2         , s.StaffFirstName
3         , s.StaffSurname
4         , o.OfficeId
5         , o.OfficeLocation
6         , SUM(SubQuery.[Discounted Sales]) AS 'Discounted Sales'
7         , SUM(SubQuery.[Standard Sales]) AS 'Standard Sales'
8 FROM (
9     SELECT CAST(
10        CASE
11            WHEN COUNT(ri.[ReceiptItemQuantity]) >= 5
12                THEN 1
13            ELSE 0
14        END AS INT) AS 'Discounted Sales',
15        CAST(
16            CASE
17                WHEN COUNT(ri.[ReceiptItemQuantity]) >= 5
18                    THEN 0
19                ELSE 1
20            END AS INT) AS 'Standard Sales',
21        r.ReceiptId
22    FROM Receipt r
23         INNER JOIN ReceiptItem ri
24             ON r.ReceiptId = ri.ReceiptId
25         INNER JOIN Item i
26             ON i.ItemId = ri.ItemId
27    GROUP BY r.ReceiptId
28 ) AS SubQuery
29     INNER JOIN Receipt r
30         ON SubQuery.ReceiptId = r.ReceiptId
31     INNER JOIN ReceiptItem ri
32         ON r.ReceiptId = ri.ReceiptId
33     INNER JOIN Staff s
34         ON s.StaffId = r.ReceiptStaffId
35     INNER JOIN Office o
36         ON o.OfficeId = s.StaffOfficeId
37 GROUP BY s.StaffId
38         , s.StaffFirstName
39         , s.StaffSurname
40         , o.OfficeId
41         , o.OfficeLocation
42 ORDER BY [Discounted Sales];
```

| StaffId | StaffFirstName | StaffSurname | OfficeId | OfficeLocation | Discounted Sales | Standard Sales |
|---------|----------------|--------------|----------|----------------|------------------|----------------|
| S51 | Haley | Taylor | 7 | Dubbo | 263 | 91 |
| S161 | Jason | Wood | 7 | Dubbo | 273 | 117 |
| S17 | Daniel | Baker | 1 | Newcastle | 278 | 112 |
| S135 | Lexi | James | 4 | Sydney | 280 | 100 |
| S73 | John | White | 2 | Maitland | 286 | 121 |

5.2.5 Total Sales Value per Staff Member

```
1 SELECT CAST(  
2     CASE  
3     WHEN COUNT(ri.[ReceiptItemQuantity]) >= 5  
4         THEN SUM(ri.[SalePrice] * ri.[ReceiptItemQuantity]) * 0.95  
5     ELSE SUM(ri.[SalePrice] * ri.[ReceiptItemQuantity])  
6     END AS decimal(19,5)) AS 'Sales Totals'  
7     , s.StaffId  
8     , s.StaffFirstName  
9     , s.StaffSurname  
10    , o.OfficeId  
11    , o.OfficeLocation  
12 FROM Receipt r  
13     INNER JOIN ReceiptItem ri  
14                 ON r.ReceiptId = ri.ReceiptId  
15     INNER JOIN Item i  
16                 ON i.ItemId = ri.ItemId  
17     INNER JOIN Staff s  
18                 ON s.StaffId = r.ReceiptStaffId  
19     INNER JOIN Customer c  
20                 ON c.CustomerId = r.ReceiptCustomerId  
21     INNER JOIN Office o  
22                 ON o.OfficeId = s.StaffOfficeId  
23 GROUP BY s.StaffId  
24           , s.StaffFirstName  
25           , s.StaffSurname  
26           , o.OfficeId  
27           , o.OfficeLocation  
28 ORDER BY 'Sales Totals' DESC;
```

| Sales Totals | StaffId | StaffFirstName | StaffSurname | OfficeId | OfficeLocation |
|--------------|---------|----------------|--------------|----------|----------------|
| \$77152.49 | S187 | Savannah | Jones | 8 | Wollongong |
| \$75113.60 | S45 | Emma | Gutierrez | 3 | Cessnock |
| \$72475.45 | S178 | Kaitlyn | Nguyen | 2 | Maitland |
| \$71814.20 | S122 | Austin | Morris | 6 | Grafton |
| \$71497.09 | S71 | Danielle | Myers | 6 | Grafton |

As with the first assignment, we did consider the total revenue each staff member generated to formulate our conclusion, but this was not as heavy of a weighing as other methods of analysis we performed.

One particularly interesting outlier in the data being Savannah Jones, whom topped the sales revenue chart, but belongs to the store we intend on closing. Further analysis on staff performance in order to offer transfers to valuable staff would be highly recommended.

5.2.6 Average Value Per Sale

```
1 SELECT (CAST(  
2     CASE  
3     WHEN COUNT(ri.[ReceiptItemQuantity]) >= 5  
4         THEN SUM(ri.[SalePrice] * ri.[ReceiptItemQuantity]) * 0.95  
5     ELSE SUM(ri.[SalePrice] * ri.[ReceiptItemQuantity])  
6     END AS decimal(19,5)) / COUNT(r.ReceiptId)) AS 'Sales Average'  
7     , s.StaffId  
8     , s.StaffFirstName  
9     , s.StaffSurname  
10    , o.OfficeId  
11    , o.OfficeLocation  
12 FROM Receipt r  
13 INNER JOIN ReceiptItem ri  
14         ON r.ReceiptId = ri.ReceiptId  
15 INNER JOIN Item i  
16         ON i.ItemId = ri.ItemId  
17 INNER JOIN Staff s  
18         ON s.StaffId = r.ReceiptStaffId  
19 INNER JOIN Office o  
20         ON o.OfficeId = s.StaffOfficeId  
21 GROUP BY s.StaffId  
22         , s.StaffFirstName  
23         , s.StaffSurname  
24         , o.OfficeId  
25         , o.OfficeLocation  
26 ORDER BY 'Sales Average' DESC;
```

| Sales Average | StaffId | StaffFirstName | StaffSurname | OfficeId | OfficeLocation |
|---------------|---------|----------------|--------------|----------|----------------|
| \$138.40 | S109 | Nicole | Hernandez | 10 | Broken Hill |
| \$134.88 | S187 | Savannah | Jones | 8 | Wollongong |
| \$134.71 | S52 | Isabella | Rivera | 5 | Port Macquarie |
| \$134.53 | S165 | Marcus | Ross | 2 | Maitland |
| \$134.12 | S199 | Maria | Smith | 5 | Port Macquarie |

5.3 Total Items and Revenue per Store

```
1  -- Item Count, total revenue, sold By Office Location with discount
2  SELECT (CAST(
3      CASE
4          WHEN COUNT(ri.[ReceiptItemQuantity]) >= 5
5              THEN SUM(ri.[SalePrice] * ri.[ReceiptItemQuantity]) * 0.95
6          ELSE SUM(ri.[SalePrice] * ri.[ReceiptItemQuantity])
7          END AS decimal(19,5))) AS 'Revenue',
8  SUM(ri.ReceiptItemQuantity) AS ItemCount,
9  o.OfficeId, o.OfficeLocation
10 FROM Receipt r
11     INNER JOIN ReceiptItem ri
12         ON r.ReceiptId = ri.ReceiptId
13     INNER JOIN Staff s
14         ON s.StaffId = r.ReceiptStaffId
15     INNER JOIN Office o
16         ON s.StaffOfficeId = o.OfficeId
17 GROUP BY o.OfficeId
18         , o.OfficeLocation
19 ORDER BY ItemCount DESC;
```

| Revenue | ItemCount | OfficeId | OfficeLocation |
|--------------|-----------|----------|----------------|
| \$1807157.30 | 97020 | 9 | Wagga Wagga |
| \$1243761.42 | 65637 | 2 | Maitland |
| \$1206763.76 | 65568 | 10 | Broken Hill |
| \$1109330.77 | 59946 | 1 | Newcastle |
| \$1113333.40 | 59229 | 4 | Sydney |
| \$1092924.88 | 56429 | 5 | Port Macquarie |
| \$1006184.56 | 52315 | 3 | Cessnock |
| \$1001501.30 | 51533 | 6 | Grafton |
| \$934267.47 | 49456 | 7 | Dubbo |
| \$891871.68 | 46433 | 8 | Wollongong |

5.4 Unique Customers Per Store

Total number of customers per store were determine via the below query:

```
1 -- Unique Customer Count Per Store
2 SELECT COUNT(*) AS 'Customer Count', o.[OfficeLocation]
3 FROM Customer c
4 INNER JOIN Receipt r ON r.ReceiptCustomerId = c.CustomerId
5 INNER JOIN Staff s ON s.StaffId = r.ReceiptStaffId
6 INNER JOIN Office o ON o.OfficeId = s.StaffOfficeId
7 GROUP BY o.[OfficeLocation]
8 ORDER BY 'Customer Count' DESC;
```

| Customer Count | OfficeLocation |
|----------------|----------------|
| 600 | Wagga Wagga |
| 584 | Maitland |
| 581 | Port Macquarie |
| 581 | Broken Hill |
| 577 | Sydney |
| 574 | Newcastle |
| 571 | Cessnock |
| 564 | Dubbo |
| 559 | Grafton |
| 556 | Wollongong |

This suggests that customers will shop at a number of stores, not just the single store in their locality. Having reflected upon the findings and knowing that the unique pool of customers in this dataset of 600 also suggests that a customer can order from anywhere and have the item delivered or transfered to a local store potentially. Given this, we assume that closing a store will not greatly impact customer retention if an online outlet can be reached.

5.5 Item Popularity

The script below addresses the concern of the CEO which required the team to analyse the popularity of items across all stores, and each store individually. The SQL query joins multiple tables in our database and uses an integer, which is a unique identifier for each office location. The results of this script can be found listed below in two separate outputs. The first outlines the highest performing items per store whereas the second highlights the worst performing items for each store.

```
1 SELECT SUM(ri.ReceiptItemQuantity) AS ItemCount
2   , i.ItemId
3   , i.ItemDescription
4   , o.OfficeId
5   , o.OfficeLocation
6 FROM Receipt r
7     INNER JOIN ReceiptItem ri
8         ON ri.ReceiptId = r.ReceiptId
9     INNER JOIN Item i
10        on i.ItemId = ri.ItemId
11     INNER JOIN Staff s
12        on s.StaffId = r.ReceiptStaffId
13     INNER JOIN Office o
14        on o.OfficeId = s.StaffOfficeId
15 WHERE o.OfficeId = 1
16 GROUP BY i.ItemId
17         , i.ItemDescription
18         , o.OfficeId
19         , o.OfficeLocation
20 ORDER BY ItemCount ASC;
```

| Office ID | Item ID | Highest Quality 1 | Item ID | Highest Quality 2 | Item ID | Highest Quality 3 |
|-----------|---------|-------------------|---------|-------------------|---------|-------------------|
| 1 | 24 | 2144 | 13 | 2153 | 26 | 2176 |
| 2 | 7 | 2328 | 28 | 2371 | 5 | 2374 |
| 3 | 10 | 1885 | 18 | 1900 | 22 | 2144 |
| 4 | 16 | 2151 | 20 | 2157 | 4 | 2185 |
| 5 | 20 | 2038 | 27 | 2042 | 10 | 2051 |
| 6 | 25 | 1846 | 16 | 1856 | 2 | 1881 |
| 7 | 20 | 1807 | 30 | 1808 | 24 | 1864 |
| 8 | 5 | 1674 | 8 | 1696 | 16 | 1765 |
| 9 | 25 | 3405 | 21 | 3478 | 14 | 3566 |
| 10 | 14 | 2376 | 22 | 2384 | 8 | 2436 |

| Office ID | Item ID | Lowest Quality 1 | Item ID | Lowest Quality 2 | Item ID | Lowest Quality 3 |
|-----------|---------|------------------|---------|------------------|---------|------------------|
| 1 | 11 | 1756 | 2 | 1765 | 17 | 1866 |
| 2 | 27 | 1947 | 9 | 1966 | 2 | 2027 |
| 3 | 5 | 1465 | 8 | 1500 | 27 | 1561 |
| 4 | 23 | 1767 | 13 | 1810 | 14 | 1829 |
| 5 | 1 | 1563 | 8 | 1663 | 6 | 1680 |
| 6 | 29 | 1537 | 20 | 1562 | 17 | 1573 |
| 7 | 25 | 1397 | 4 | 1471 | 2 | 1494 |
| 8 | 14 | 1383 | 17 | 1422 | 24 | 1424 |
| 9 | 16 | 2945 | 23 | 3001 | 28 | 3010 |
| 10 | 5 | 1939 | 11 | 1962 | 13 | 1980 |

5.6 Worst Performing Item

Listed below is the SQL scripts associated with finding the worst performing item, overall, across the entire company including all locations. The results for this SQL script can be found below. These results list the count of items sold, the items unique identifier and a description of the item.

```
1  --worst performing items for the company as whole
2  SELECT SUM(ri.ReceiptItemQuantity) AS ItemCount
3         , i.ItemId
4         , i.ItemDescription
5  FROM Receipt r
6       INNER JOIN ReceiptItem ri
7              ON ri.ReceiptId = r.ReceiptId
8       INNER JOIN Item i
9              ON i.ItemId = ri.ItemId
10 GROUP BY i.ItemId , i.ItemDescription
11 ORDER BY ItemCount ASC;
```

| ItemCount | ItemId | ItemDescription |
|-----------|--------|-----------------|
| 19481 | 23 | Drill Bit 6mm |
| 19613 | 13 | Ruler |
| 19741 | 2 | Screwdriver Set |
| 19744 | 9 | Box of Screws |
| 19746 | 17 | Punch |

6 Conclusion and Recommendations

References

- [1] Reasons against TSQL Money type: Stackoverflow User; *SQLMenace* <https://stackoverflow.com/questions/582797/should-you-choose-the-money-or-decimalx-y-datatypes-in-sql-server>
- [2] Microsoft TSQL documentation of Decimal/Numeric types <https://docs.microsoft.com/en-us/sql/t-sql/data-types/decimal-and-numeric-transact-sql?view=sql-server-2017>
- [3] Microsoft documentation: WITH common_table_expression (Transact-SQL) <https://docs.microsoft.com/en-us/sql/t-sql/queries/with-common-table-expression-transact-sql?view=sql-server-2017>
- [4] Upselling - Business Dictionary <http://www.businessdictionary.com/definition/upselling.html>

7 Appendix

7.1 C# Code Excerpt: Cleaning

```
1 public Tuple<Dictionary<int, Receipt>, Dictionary<int, Receipt>, int> GenerateReceipts(DataSet dataSet)
2 {
3     var receipts = new Dictionary<int, Receipt>();
4     var invalidReceipts = new Dictionary<int, Receipt>();
5     var counter = 0;
6
7     // Iterate over each sheet in the dataset, in our case it's only one anyway.
8     foreach (DataTable table in dataSet.Tables)
9         // Iterate over each row in the dataset, it seems this iterates until it finds row with no values in any
            column.
10        foreach (DataRow row in table.Rows)
11        {
12            // Ignore the header of the table
13            if (counter > 0)
14            {
15                // Generation of receipt from dataset, ignoring transaction row id as it is not
16                // relevant and we are consolidating the duplicate items into total quantities anyway.
17                var receipt = new Receipt
18                {
19                    Id = Convert.ToInt32(row[1]),
20                    Customer = new Customer
21                    {
22                        FirstName = row[3].ToString(),
23                        Id = row[2].ToString(),
24                        Surname = row[4].ToString()
25                    },
26                    Staff = new Staff
27                    {
28                        OfficeId = Convert.ToInt32(row[8]),
29                        Id = row[5].ToString(),
30                        FirstName = row[6].ToString(),
31                        Surname = row[7].ToString()
32                    },
33                    SaleDate = (DateTime)row[0],
34                    Items = new Dictionary<int, Item>
35                    {
36                        {
37                            Convert.ToInt32(row[11]), new Item
38                            {
39                                Id = Convert.ToInt32(row[11]),
40                                Description = row[12].ToString(),
41                                Price = (double) row[14],
42                                Quantity = Convert.ToInt32(row[13])
43                            }
44                        }
45                    },
46                    Office = new Office
47                    {
48                        Id = Convert.ToInt32(row[8]),
49                        OfficeLocation = ParseEnum<Location>(row[9].ToString())
50                    }
51                };
52            }
53        }
54    }
55 }
56
```



```

57 // Check if receipts either is empty or doesn't have a receipt
58 // with the same receipt id already, if so add the receipt to
59 // receipts .
60 if (receipts.Count == 0 || !receipts.ContainsKey(receipt.Id))
61 {
62     AddToReceipts(receipts, receipt);
63     Console.WriteLine($"Added new receipt: {receipt.Id}");
64 }
65 //
66 else if (receipts.ContainsKey(receipt.Id))
67 {
68     var existingReceipt = receipts[receipt.Id];
69
70     // Be warned; below is a fucking mess.
71
72     // Check all staff properties match the existing receipt properties.
73     if (existingReceipt.Staff.Id != receipt.Staff.Id
74         || existingReceipt.Staff.FirstName != receipt.Staff.FirstName
75         || existingReceipt.Staff.Surname != receipt.Staff.Surname)
76     {
77         AddToReceipts(invalidReceipts, receipt);
78         Console.WriteLine($"Staff Id mismatch on receipt: {existingReceipt.Id}, " +
79             $"Staff Ids: {existingReceipt.Staff.Id} and {receipt.Staff.Id}, " +
80             $"Staff Names: {existingReceipt.Staff.FirstName} and
81                 {receipt.Staff.FirstName}, " +
82             $"Staff Surnames: {existingReceipt.Staff.Surname} and
83                 {receipt.Staff.Surname}, ");
84         continue;
85     }
86
87     // Check all office properties match the existing receipt properties.
88     if (existingReceipt.Office.Id != receipt.Office.Id
89         || existingReceipt.Office.OfficeLocation != receipt.Office.OfficeLocation)
90     {
91         AddToReceipts(invalidReceipts, receipt);
92         Console.WriteLine($"Office Id mismatch on receipt: {existingReceipt.Id}, " +
93             $"Office Ids: {existingReceipt.Office.Id} and {receipt.Office.Id}, " +
94             $"Office Locations: {existingReceipt.Office.OfficeLocation} and
95                 {receipt.Office.OfficeLocation}");
96         continue;
97     }
98
99     // Check all date properties match the existing receipt properties.
100    if (existingReceipt.SaleDate != receipt.SaleDate)
101    {
102        AddToReceipts(invalidReceipts, receipt);
103        Console.WriteLine($"Sale date mismatch on receipt: {existingReceipt.Id}, " +
104            $"Dates: {existingReceipt.SaleDate} and {receipt.SaleDate}");
105        continue;
106    }
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114

```

```

115 // Check all customer properties match the existing receipt properties.
116 if (existingReceipt.Customer.Id != receipt.Customer.Id
117     || existingReceipt.Customer.FirstName != receipt.Customer.FirstName
118     || existingReceipt.Customer.Surname != receipt.Customer.Surname)
119 {
120     AddToReceipts(invalidReceipts, receipt);
121     Console.WriteLine($"Customer mismatch on receipt: {existingReceipt.Id}, " +
122         $"Customers Ids: {existingReceipt.Customer.Id} and {receipt.Customer.Id}, "
123         +
124         $"Customers Names: {existingReceipt.Customer.FirstName} and
125         {receipt.Customer.FirstName}, " +
126         $"Customers Surnames: {existingReceipt.Customer.Surname} and
127         {receipt.Customer.Surname}, ");
128     continue;
129 }
130 // If not item mismatches exist, we need to determine if the item
131 // exists in both the compared receipts.
132 var key = receipt.Items.First().Key;
133 var value = receipt.Items.First().Value;
134 // Check if the item exists in the existing receipt already.
135 if (existingReceipt.Items.ContainsKey(receipt.Items.First().Key))
136 {
137     var quantity = receipt.Items.First().Value.Quantity;
138     Console.WriteLine($"Item exists in current receipt with Id: {key}, " +
139         $"updated item quantity from: {existingReceipt.Items[key].Quantity} " +
140         $"to new quantity: {existingReceipt.Items[key].Quantity + quantity}");
141     // Update quantity on item in existing receipt.
142     existingReceipt.Items[key].Quantity += quantity;
143     receipts[existingReceipt.Id] = existingReceipt;
144 }
145 else
146 {
147     // Update the receipt with the new item added.
148     existingReceipt.Items.Add(key, value);
149     Console.WriteLine($"@ Added item with Id: {value.Id} to existing receipt: {receipt.Id}");
150 }
151 }
152 }
153 counter++;
154 }
155
156 return new Tuple<Dictionary<int, Receipt>, Dictionary<int, Receipt>, int>(receipts, invalidReceipts,
157     counter);
158 }

```

7.2 C# Code Excerpt: SQL Generation

```
1 public string GenerateSQL(Dictionary<int, Receipt> receipts)
2 {
3     var output = new StringBuilder();
4
5     foreach(var receipt in receipts)
6     {
7         var itemTotalPrices = new Dictionary<int, double>();
8
9         foreach (var item in receipt.Value.Items)
10        {
11            var totalItemPrice = item.Value.Price * item.Value.Quantity;
12            itemTotalPrices.Add(item.Key, totalItemPrice);
13        };
14
15        var total = itemTotalPrices.Sum(x => x.Value);
16        var discountTotal = (itemTotalPrices.Count >= 5) ? total * 0.95 : 0;
17
18        var sql = new StringBuilder(@"
19
20
21        INSERT INTO [Receipt]
22        VALUES('{'receipt.Value.SaleDate.ToString("G",CultureInfo.CreateSpecificCulture("en-us"))}',
23                {'receipt.Key},
24                {'receipt.Value.Customer.Id}',
25                {'receipt.Value.Staff.Id'},
26                {total},
27                {discountTotal});");
28
29        foreach (var item in itemTotalPrices)
30        {
31            sql.Append(@"
32
33        INSERT INTO [ReceiptItem]
34        VALUES( {'receipt.Key},
35                {item.Key},
36                {receipt.Value.Items.Select(x => x.Value).Where(y => y.Id == item.Key).FirstOrDefault().Quantity},
37                {receipt.Value.Items.Select(x => x.Value).Where(y => y.Id == item.Key).FirstOrDefault().Price});");
38        }
39
40        output.Append(sql);
41    };
42
43    return output.ToString();
44 }
```