

**Introduction To Algorithms  
CS430**

**Spring 2014  
HomeWork 10**

**Sample Problem—No need to turn in**

1. Problem 34.1-1 **Solution** If LONGESTPATHLENGTH could be solved in polynomial time, then we could solve the decision problem LONGESTPATH in polynomial time for instance  $\langle G, u, v, k \rangle$  by running LONGESTPATHLENGTH on  $\langle G, u, v \rangle$  and returning true if and only if LONGESTPATHLENGTH returned a value  $\geq k$ . If  $LONGESTPATH \in P$ , then we identify the length of the longest path by essentially doing a sequential search (binary search would be faster, though still in P) over all possible path lengths.
2. 34.1-3 **Solution** Clearly, an ASCII text file can be represented as a string of bits; that is how one could save some bits by writing the numbers in binary. At any rate, the number of words of memory required for the adjacency-list representation will be  $O(|V| + |E|)$ . Each vertex number will be between 1 and  $|V|$ , and so will require  $\Theta(\lg|V|)$  bits to store. So, the total number of bits needed will be  $O((|V| + |E|)\lg|V|)$ . To show that these representations are polynomially related, one can show that one representation can be transformed into other representation in a polynomial-time.

To Convert the matrix to the adjacency list:

Repeat for every row

Scan through the row from left-to-right.

Add the column number to the list

To Convert the adjacency list to matrix:

Start with a matrix M of all 0's.

Let i be a counter of what line you are at. In that line:

if hit the number j

then put a 1 at M[i, j]

3. 34.1-6 **Solution**

- (a) Since  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are both in  $P$ , there exist machines  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  which decide  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ , respectively. Design a machine  $M_3$  which takes  $w$  as input as follows:

$M_3(w)$  :

1 Run  $M_1$  with input  $w$ . If  $M_1$  accepts  $w$ , then accept.

2 Run  $M_2$  with input  $w$ . If  $M_2$  accepts  $w$ , then accept.

It is clear that  $M_3$  accepts  $w$  if and only if  $M_1$  or  $M_2$  accepts  $w$  and  $M_3$  runs in polynomial time.

- (b) Since  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are both in  $P$ , there exists machines  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  which decides  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ , respectively. Design a machine  $M_3$  which takes  $w$  as input as the following:

$M_3(w)$  :

1 Run  $M_1$  with input  $w$ . If  $M_1$  accepts  $w$ , then run  $M_2$  on  $w$ , else reject. 2 If  $M_2$  also accepts  $w$ , then accept, else reject. It is clear that  $M_3$  accepts  $w$  if and only if both  $M_1$  or  $M_2$  accepts  $w$  and  $M_3$  runs in polynomial time.

- (c) Since  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are both in  $P$ , there exists machines  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  which decides  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ , respectively. Design a machine  $M_3$  which takes  $w = a_1a_2a_n$  with each  $a_i \in \sigma$  as input, as the following:  $M_3(w)$  : 1 For  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$   
2 Run  $M_1$  with input  $w_1 = a_1a_2\dots a_i$  and run  $M_1$  with input  $w_2 = a_{i+1}a_{i+2}\dots a_n$ . If both  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  accepts  $w$ , then accept.  
3 If none of the iterations in Stage 2 accept, then reject.  
It is clear that  $M_3$  accepts  $w$  if and only if  $w = w_1w_2$ , where  $M_1$  accepts  $w_1$  and  $M_2$  accepts  $w_2$ . Also,  $M_3$  runs in polynomial time.

- (d) Since  $L_1$  is in  $P$ , there exists machines  $M_1$  that decides  $L_1$ . Design a machine  $M_2$  which takes  $w$  as input as the following:

$M_2(w)$  :

1 Run  $M_1$  with input  $w$ . If  $M_1$  accepts  $w$ , then reject, else accept.

It is clear that  $M_2$  accepts  $w$  if and only if  $M_1$  rejects  $w$ , and  $M_2$  runs in polynomial time.

- (e) Since  $L_1$  is in  $P$ , there exists machines  $M_1$  that decides  $L_1$ . By using dynamic programming, a machine  $M_2$  that decides  $L_1$  in polynomial time, which takes  $w = w_1w_2\dots w_n$  as input, can be constructed as follows:

$M_2(w)$  : 1 if  $w = \epsilon$ , then accept

2 for  $i = 1$  to  $n$

3 for  $j = 1$  to  $n$

4  $A[i, j] = 0$

5 for  $i = 1$  to  $n$

6 set  $A[i, j] = 1$  if  $w_i \in L_1$

7 for  $l = 2$  to  $n$

8 for  $i = 1$  to  $nl + 1$

9  $j = i + l1$

10 set  $A[i, j] = 1$  if  $w_i\dots w_j \in L_1$

11 for  $k = i$  to  $j1$

12 set  $A[i, j] = 1$  if  $A[i, k] = 1$  and  $A[k, j] = 1$

13 if  $A[1, n] = 1$  then accept

14 else reject

Since each step takes polynomial time and there are  $O(n^3)$  steps in it, it takes polynomial time for  $M_2$  to decide  $L_1$ .

4. Show that  $\leq_P$  relation is a transitive relation on problems. That is, show if  $P_1 \leq_P P_2$  and  $P_2 \leq_P P_3$ , then  $P_1 \leq_P P_3$ . **Solution** Let  $P_1 \leq_P P_2$  and  $P_1 \leq_P P_2$ , i.e.

there exist polynomial-time computable reduction functions  $f_1 : \{0,1\}^* \{0,1\}^*$  and  $f_2 : \{0,1\}^* \{0,1\}^*$  such that

$$x \in P_1 \Leftrightarrow f_1(x) \in P_2$$

$$x \in P_2 \Leftrightarrow f_2(x) \in p_3$$

Define  $f_3 = f_1 \circ f_2$ , then  $L_3$  is a polynomial-time computable function :  $\{0,1\}^* \{0,1\}^*$ ,  
,

and

$$x \in P_1 \Leftrightarrow f_3(x) \in P_3$$

holds. Hence  $P_1 \leq_P P_3$ .