Dept. of Electronics and Electrical Communication Engineering Indian Institute of Technology Kharagpur

ALGORITHMS (EC31205)



CODING TASK-4

AUTHOR: JAYA KISHNANI ROLL NUMBER: 20EC30020

DATE: 13/11/2022

THEME: DYNAMIC PROGRAMMING AND LINEAR PROGRAMMING

Problem 1: Longest Common Subsequence

- 1) Naïve recursive approach (without memoization) Let m and n be the length of the strings.
 - So the base case will be when both the input strings are empty.
 - If the last two alphabets of the strings are same then we return 1 and recursively add the result of m-1 and n-1 remaining alphabets.
 - Else we then return the max value of the recursively computed result between last alphabet of string1 and the remaining alphabets (other than last) of string2 and vice-versa.

Code snippet

```
def LCS(str1, str2) -> int:
    m = len(str1)
    n = len(str2)
    if(m==0 or n==0):
        return 0
    elif (str1[m-1]==str2[n-1]):
        return 1+LCS(str1[:m-1], str2[:n-1])
    else:
        return max(LCS(str1[:m-1], str2), LCS(str1, str2[:n-1]))
```

Output:

```
X = "September"
Y = "December"
print(LCS(X,Y))
```

Time complexity:

6

Worst case: exponential : $O(2^n)$

2) Recursive approach (with memoization)

Let m and n be the length of the strings.

Then to store the previously calculated results (to avoid re-calculation due to recursion and reduce computation complexity) we maintain a 2-D array dp of size (m+1)(n+1).

Code snippet:

```
def LCS2(str1, str2) -> int:
    m = len(str1)
    n = len(str2)
    dp = [[-1 for i in range(n+1)] for j in range(m+1)]
    if(m==0 or n==0):
        return 0;
    if(dp[m][n]!=-1):
        return dp[m][n]
    if(str1[m-1]==str2[n-1]):
        dp[m][n] = 1+LCS2(str1[:m-1], str2[:n-1])
        return dp[m][n]

dp[m][n] = max(LCS2(str1[:m-1], str2), LCS2(str1, str2[:n-1]))
    return dp[m][n]
```

Result:

```
X = "September"
Y = "December"
print(LCS2(X,Y))
```

Time complexity:

O(mn)

3) Dynamic programming (bottom up approach)

Let m and n be the lengths of the string 1 and string 2 respectively.

- In this case we create a 2-D matrix dp of size (m+1)(n+1).
- Then we initialize first row and first column of matrix with zero.
- Then we fill up the table as per the algorithm implemented in the code snippet. i.e. if the alphabets match then we add +1 diagonally else we take the maximum value of the value from top and left.

Code snippet:

```
def LCS3(str1, str2) -> int:
    m = len(str1)
    n = len(str2)
    dp = [[0 for i in range(n+1)]for j in range(m+1)]

for i in range(m+1):
    for j in range(n+1):
        if (i==0 or j==0):
            dp[i][j]=0
        elif(str1[i-1]==str2[j-1]):
            dp[i][j] = 1 + dp[i-1][j-1]
        else:
            dp[i][j] = max(dp[i-1][j], dp[i][j-1])
```

Result:

```
X = "September"
Y = "December"
print(LCS3(X,Y))
```

Time complexity:

O(mn)

The time taken by the three algorithms are as follows:

```
print('Time taken by Naive recursive approach is: ', time_naive*1000, 'ms')
print('Time taken by recursive approach is: ', time_recur*1000, 'ms')
print('Time taken by dynamic programming is: ', time_dp*1000, 'ms')

Time taken by Naive recursive approach is: 5.633354187011719 ms
Time taken by recursive approach is: 1.901388168334961 ms
Time taken by dynamic programming is: 0.33402442932128906 ms
```

We can see that dynamic programming approach gives us the solution in optimal time.

Problem 2: Revised Simplex Algorithm

The revised simplex algorithm can be performed as:

Objective:

Constraints:

$$2x1 + 3x2 \le 6$$

$$-3x1 + 2x2 \le 3$$

$$2x2 \le 5$$

$$2x1 + x2 \le 4$$

$$x1, x2 \ge 0$$

Max z = 4x1 + 3x2

After introducing slack variables, we get:

$$Z - 4x1 - 3x2 = 0$$

$$2x1 + 3x2 + s1 = 6$$

$$-3x1 + 2x2 + s2 = 3$$

$$2x2 + s3 = 5$$

$$2x1 + x2 + s4 = 4$$

For selecting the vector to be entered into basis, we calculate:

$$z_k - c_k = Min\{(z_i - c_i) < 0\} = \min\{(first \ row \ of \ B_1^{-1})(Columns \ a_i \ not \ in \ basis)\}$$

For selecting basic variable to leave basis, we calculate:

$$y_k = B_1^{-1} a_1$$

To calculate the minimum ratio to select the basic variable to leave basis

$$\frac{x_{Br}}{y_{rk}} = \min\left\{\frac{x_{Bi}}{y_{ik}}, y_{ik} > 0\right\}$$

Then we perform row operations.

The output of the following revised simplex algorithm is as follows:

```
time_revised_simplex = end-start
   Α =
Ľ÷
  [[2 3]
   [-3 2]
   [0 2]
   [2 1]]
   b =
   [6 3 5 4]
   C =
   [-4 -3]
   Iteration: 1
   [[1. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.]
   [0. 1. 0. 0. 0. 6. 0. 0.]
   [0. 0. 1. 0. 0. 3. 0. 0.]
   [0. 0. 0. 1. 0. 5. 0. 0.]
   [0. 0. 0. 0. 1. 4. 0. 0.]]
   Iteration: 2
   [[ 1. 0. 0. 0. 2. 8. -4. 0. ]
   [ 0. 1. 0. 0. -1.
                        2. 2.
                               3. ]
                              0.]
   [0. 0. 1. 0. 1.5 9. -3.
   [0. 0. 0. 1. 0. 5. 0. 0.]
   [0. 0. 0. 0. 0.5 2. 2. 2.]]
   Iteration: 3
                   0.
]
   [[ 1. 0.5
-1. 0.
                               0.
                                        1.5 9.
            0.5
                           0. -0.5
   [ 0.
                     0.
                                                   1.
            1. ]
-1.75 1.
             1.
    2.
   [ 0.
                               0.
                                         3.25
                                                  5.5
             2.57142857]
    3.5
   [ 0.
             -1.
                      0.
                               1.
                                         1.
                                                   3.
     2.
             2.5
            -0.25
                               0.
                                         0.75
                                                 1.5
                      0.
     0.5
            4.
                     ]]
   Optimized value:
   9.0
```

The output of simplex algorithm is as follows: + Code + Text

		ing Table								
Os D	ind		x_0	x_1	s_0	s_1	s_2	s_3		
		0.0	4.0	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
□→	2	6.0	2.0	3.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
	3	3.0	-3.0	2.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0		
	4	5.0			0.0	0.0		0.0		
		4 0	2 0	1 0	0.0	a a	0.0	1.0		
						0.0	0.0	1.0		
	Iteration : 1									
				x 1	s_0	s 1	5 2	s 3		
	2110	a a	4 0	3 0	9 9	a a	0.0	0.0		
	2	6.0	2.0	2.0	0.0 1.0	0.0		0.0		
		2.0	2.0	2.0	0.0	1.0				
	3	3.0	-3.0	2.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0		
	4	5.0	0.0	2.0	0.0 0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0		
				1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0		
	Pivot Column: 2									
	Pivot Row: 4									
	Pivot	Element:	2.0							
	Iteration : 2									
	ind		x 0	x 1	s_0	s 1	s 2	s 3		
		-8.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-2.0		
	2	2.0	9.9	2.0	0.0 1.0	9.9	9.9	-1.0		
	3	0.0	a a	2.5	0.0	1 0	a a	1.5		
	4							0.0		
		2.0	4.0	2.0	0.0 0.0	0.0	0.0			
	0			0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5		
	Pivot Column: 3									
	Pivot Row: 1									
		Element:								
	Iteration : 3									
				x 1	s_0	s 1	5 2	5 3		
	1110	_0 A	a a	a a	-0 5	a a	a a	-1.5		
	1	1.0	0.0	1.0	-0.5 0.5	0.0	0.0			
	T .	1.0	0.0	1.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	-0.5		
					-1.75					
	4	3.0	0.0	0.0	-1.0 -0.25	0.0	1.0	1.0		
	0					0.0	0.0	0.75		
		First Tables, assault is 2 itematicae								
	Final Tableau reached in 3 iterations ind x 0 x 1 s 0 s 1 s 2 s 3									
	IIIu							-1.5		
	4	-9.0	0.0	0.0	-0.5	0.0	0.0			
	1	1.0	0.0	1.0	0.5 -1.75	0.0	0.0	-0.5		
								3.25		
	4	3.0	0.0	0.0	-1.0	0.0	1.0	1.0		
	0	1.5	1.0	0.0	-0.25	0.0	0.0	0.75		

```
Coefficients:
```

[1.5 1.]

Optimal value:

9.0

After comparing the time taken by the above two algorithms we observed that revised simplex algorithm performed better as it improves the computational efficiency.

```
Time taken by simplex algorithm: 14.704227447509766 ms
Time taken by revised simplex algorithm 2.381563186645508 ms
```