1)Use images from ALL FOUR classes.

2)Convert the images to edge histograms. (Assignment 1 - These will be the vector representations of the images). This will be your dataset for Part 3.

```
import os
import numpy as np
from PIL import Image
from skimage import io
from skimage.color import rgb2gray
from skimage import filters
from skimage import exposure, img as float
from sklearn.decomposition import PCA
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
%matplotlib inline
import json
import warnings
warnings.filterwarnings("ignore")
main dir = r'D:\Data Mining\Programming Assignment - 1\Codes\Cropped-
1'
class dir = [os.path.join(main dir, class name)
              for class name in os.listdir(main dir)
              if os.path.isdir(os.path.join(main dir, class name))]
print("The four classes in my dataset are below\n")
def get images():
    all images = []
    for c in class dir:
        files = [os.path.join(c, file)
                 for file in os.listdir(c)
                 if file.endswith(('.jpg'))]
        print(f"The {os.path.basename(c)} has {len(files)} images.")
        all images.extend(files)
    return all images
image files = get images()
print(f"\nThe total number of images are {len(image files)}.")
i=0
edge histograms = []
reduced edge histograms = []
def angle(dx, dy):
        return np.mod(np.arctan2(dy, dx), np.pi)
for file in image files:
    # GrayScale
    original = io.imread(file)
    grayscale = rgb2gray(original)
    # Angle as the direction of edge gradient at the pixel
```

```
angle sobel = angle(filters.sobel h(grayscale),
filters.sobel v(grayscale))
    # Histogram
    hist data=exposure.histogram(angle sobel, nbins=36)
    edge histograms.append(hist data)
    hist counts, bin edges = hist data
    # Normalized Histogram
    hist counts norm = hist counts / hist counts.sum()
    #print(f"\nHistogram Data {i+1}\n {hist counts norm}")
    i+=1
    reduced edge histograms.append(hist counts norm)
The four classes in my dataset are below
The n02092002-Scottish deerhound has 232 images.
The n02093428-American Staffordshire terrier has 164 images.
The n02094114-Norfolk_terrier has 172 images.
The n02110958-pug has 200 images.
The total number of images are 768.
```

3)Split the dataset into a training set and a test set: For each class, perform a training/test split of 80/20.

```
import numpy as np
from sklearn.model selection import train test split
https://scikit-learn.org/1.5/modules/generated/sklearn.model selection
.train_test split.html
# Assuming reduced edge histograms is our X array and contains the
histogram data
X = np.array(reduced_edge histograms)
#print(X)
# Generate y array with numeric labels for each class
y = []
class labels = []
for idx, c in enumerate(class dir):
    class name = os.path.basename(c)
    class labels.append(class name)
    files = [file for file in os.listdir(c) if file.endswith('.jpg')]
    y.extend([idx] * len(files))
y = np.array(y)
#print(y)
# Perform the stratified train-test split
X train, X test, y train, y test = train test split(X, y,
test size=0.2, stratify=y, random state=42)
```

```
# Print the shapes to verify and check labels
print(f"X train shape: {X train.shape}") # Training data features
print(f"X_test shape: {X_test.shape}") # Testing data features
print(f"y_train shape: {y_train.shape}") # Training data labels
print(f"y test shape: {y_test.shape}\n") # Testing data labels
# Count the no of training and testing samples per class
train_counts = np.unique(y_train, return_counts=True)
test counts = np.unique(y test, return counts=True)
total train = total test = 0
for idx, class name in enumerate(class labels):
    train count = train counts[1][np.where(train counts[0] == idx)[0]
[0]
    test count = test counts[\frac{1}{2}][np.where(test counts[\frac{0}{2}] == idx)[\frac{0}{2}][\frac{0}{2}]
    print(f"Class '{class name}': {train count} training images,
{test count} test images")
    total train += train count
    total test += test count
print(f"\nTotal training images: {total_train}")
print(f"Total testing images: {total test}")
X train shape: (614, 36)
X test shape: (154, 36)
y train shape: (614,)
y test shape: (154,)
Class 'n02092002-Scottish deerhound': 185 training images, 47 test
images
Class 'n02093428-American_Staffordshire_terrier': 131 training images,
33 test images
Class 'n02094114-Norfolk terrier': 138 training images, 34 test images
Class 'n02110958-pug': 160 training images, 40 test images
Total training images: 614
Total testing images: 154
```

4)Perform standardization on the training dataset. 5)Perform standardization on the test dataset using the means and variances you obtained from the training dataset.

```
from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler

# Initialize the scaler
scaler = StandardScaler()

# Fit the scaler on the training data and transform it
X_train_standardized = scaler.fit_transform(X_train)

# Use the same scaler to transform the test data (without refitting)
```

```
X test standardized = scaler.transform(X test)
# Check means and variances for confirmation
print(f"Shape of standardized training data:
{X train standardized.shape}")
print("First few standardized Train histograms:\n",
X train standardized[:5])
print(f"Mean of standardized training data:
{X train standardized.mean(axis=0)}")
print(f"Variance of standardized training data:
{X train standardized.var(axis=0)}")
print(f"Shape of standardized test data: {X test standardized.shape}")
print("First few standardized test histograms:\n",
X test standardized[:5])
print(f"Mean of standardized test data:
{X test standardized.mean(axis=0)}")
print(f"Variance of standardized test data:
{X test standardized.var(axis=0)}")
Shape of standardized training data: (614, 36)
First few standardized Train histograms:
 \lceil -9.91366403e-02 \quad 7.38680194e-01 \quad 7.20723959e-01 \quad 2.94091116e-01
   7.56692813e-01 1.02604355e+00 3.50447178e-01 -2.51901869e-01
  -7.22041314e-01 -2.85365456e-01 -9.94772514e-02 -9.96260402e-01
  -7.61984960e-01 -6.64371911e-01 -1.07609054e+00 -9.71101034e-01
  -5.45752643e-01 -5.34040559e-01 -1.14024629e+00 -9.65624991e-01
  -4.51872896e-01 -6.22573747e-01 -2.85713043e-01 -7.21945896e-01
   2.50160437e-01 1.30360199e-01 1.04744213e+00 3.46425392e-01
   5.43534000e-01
                   2.58077620e-01 1.31712452e+00
                                                   1.19057228e+00
   7.48033079e-01 1.36185617e+00 9.37528031e-01 6.51602614e-01
                  1.83741855e+00 9.12958759e-01
 [ 6.33628149e-01
                                                   1.07803113e+00
   1.29347662e+00 4.06792393e-01 1.04521786e+00 -5.82174766e-02
  -2.18747332e-01 -2.44177306e-01 -9.68282542e-01 -8.61870620e-01
  -1.81675034e+00 -1.62562997e+00 -1.47494292e+00 -1.51167767e+00
  -1.53981760e+00 -1.28544682e+00 -1.49371880e+00 -1.65690023e+00
  -1.88083929e+00 -1.57822445e+00 -2.13930126e+00 -1.20014639e+00
  -1.10678344e+00 -1.25545216e+00 -1.71925767e-01 4.96413942e-01
                  1.70333643e+00 3.52392575e+00
                                                   2.49122537e+00
   7.71081799e-01
   2.17578712e+00
                  1.86828296e+00 1.60885927e+00
                                                  1.29893470e+001
 [ 3.91614090e-01 -2.83624190e-01 -5.23148280e-01 -6.89155679e-01
  -4.70241596e-01 -8.49402803e-01 4.52619337e-01 9.24259396e-02
  -4.70394323e-01 1.05921969e-01 -1.00869209e+00 -3.05112956e-01
  -8.14723229e-01 -5.11791266e-01 -1.95864607e-01 -1.06178409e-01
   1.81861912e-01 8.24885662e-01 1.13999795e+00
                                                  1.96518881e-01
                  1.07437206e-01 6.13592138e-04 -2.60823990e-01
   1.70418919e-01
   8.05424525e-02 -3.10580096e-01 -3.02572328e-01  6.46402491e-01
  -3.87343358e-01 9.13169876e-02 -7.50665605e-01 -2.43102154e-01
  -2.35231494e-01 -9.98757007e-02 1.39714099e-01 1.18987607e-01]
 [-2.47034121e-01 -3.52137785e-02 -5.11840350e-01 3.87100948e-01
```

```
-3.01538114e-01 -6.19395232e-01 4.39307004e-02
                                                 4.58274236e-01
   6.50578636e-01
                 2.91268644e-01 4.19561679e-02
                                                  4.82027191e-01
   5.03733501e-01 -2.21888042e-01 -3.05892849e-01
                                                  5.06475118e-01
   3.86823758e-01
                  3.29277275e-01 -8.67595877e-02
                                                  6.62786197e-02
   4.36557716e-02 3.59622808e-01 -7.47355225e-02 -9.00380992e-02
   5.15668141e-03
                 2.56343140e-01 9.60344426e-01
                                                 3.46425392e-01
   1.50496893e-01 -3.83857264e-02 1.35530163e-01 -6.42166171e-01
  -5.71965936e-01 -2.84030897e-01 -5.80264328e-01 9.44053761e-02]
 [-7.00810481e-01 -6.37131313e-01 -9.75465457e-01 -9.81472293e-01
  -7.30965157e-01 1.75488112e-02 -5.07798959e-01 -3.59504309e-01
  -8.13549311e-01 -4.29523981e-01 2.44003910e-01
                                                 1.74850548e-01
  -3.92817076e-01 -1.76113848e-01 2.30494831e-01 -3.41015232e-02
   2.43350466e-01 -2.30280581e-01 -7.28979205e-02
                                                 4.77036368e-01
   6.54423664e-01 4.39260366e-01 4.22568634e-01
                                                 7.12655588e-01
   1.06055748e+00
                  1.15922089e+00 2.26681003e+00
                                                 5.60694749e-01
   1.99156545e+00
                  1.29569933e+00 8.47962056e-01
                                                 4.51564839e-01
   1.28441704e-01 -1.22895100e-01 -2.20275115e-01 -6.26673403e-01]]
Mean of standardized training data: [ 4.33963397e-18 -1.52610461e-16 -
1.10660666e-16 -2.71227123e-16
  5.85850586e-17 -1.00173218e-16 -1.74873688e-16 -5.53529354e-16
  3.21178118e-16 -3.39847585e-16 -9.39349937e-17 2.72854486e-16
 -1.77924993e-16 4.75551556e-16 8.51653167e-17 -7.52203222e-17
                 1.75393540e-16 -7.57627764e-17
 -5.60536055e-17
                                                 2.28734874e-16
 3.07029104e-16 1.76840084e-16 4.03947596e-16 -3.55488350e-16
  3.43554356e-16 -5.97061307e-16
                                2.97264927e-16
                                                3.66156616e-17
  3.94725873e-16 1.40857286e-16 -3.81074108e-16 -3.31682519e-16
  3.32027203e-16 -8.87816784e-17 2.20236424e-16 1.32720472e-16
Variance of standardized training data: [1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.
1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.
Shape of standardized test data: (154, 36)
First few standardized test histograms:
 [[5.77306560e+00 6.24029235e-01 6.98108100e-01 1.21358571e-01
   1.89235649e-01 -3.36308991e-01 -3.44323505e-01 -3.37983821e-01
  -5.61902320e-01 -1.12972253e+00 -8.26849122e-01 -5.54693978e-01
  -7.09246691e-01 -1.12211384e+00 -1.21362585e+00 -1.71589552e+00
  -1.03766107e+00 -1.53325102e+00 -1.31351713e+00 -1.80717745e+00
  -1.48902592e+00 -1.98968517e+00 -2.28999949e+00 -2.42980480e+00
  -2.46372732e+00 -3.01921334e+00 -2.21872189e+00 -2.31051463e+00
  -2.02155028e+00 -1.42805766e+00 -7.15912830e-01
                                                 5.25008217e-02
                 1.30430767e+00 2.35802601e+00
  -6.25207271e-03
                                                  2.11014833e+001
 [-5.76442146e-01 -3.21841176e-01 -8.05846516e-01 -6.22720084e-01
   9.72155684e-02 2.82942163e-01 3.06183669e-03
                                                 3.93712772e-01
  -5.86083383e-02 -5.73682507e-01 3.24823007e-01
                                                 7.88578474e-02
  -6.21349575e-01 -3.28694493e-01 -7.46005818e-01
                                                 1.46090690e-01
                 1.53624539e-03 -2.39237926e-01 -5.39431602e-02
   3.04839020e-01
  -4.97968586e-01
                  9.41642793e-02 1.51311821e-01 5.58948286e-01
  9.66325263e-01 9.70246476e-01 1.70067494e+00
                                                 1.05351427e+00
   1.24686356e+00
                  9.06591190e-01 8.30585668e-01 9.39309749e-01
```

```
4.65176147e-01 -6.40831589e-01 -7.43335417e-02 -1.75999166e-01
  [ 8.23354186e+00 -1.94606309e+00 -1.78963638e+00 -1.75212519e+00
    -1.81986945e+00 -2.01713355e+00 -2.77602089e+00 -2.40395067e+00
    -1.70575228e+00 -1.66516848e+00 -2.16036422e+00 -1.05385602e+00
    -1.60579727e+00 -6.94888040e-01 -9.38555241e-01 -9.95126663e-01
    -6.99474028e-01 2.07190031e+00 1.13306712e+00 -4.14608500e-01
    -6.13207811e-01 -9.16566906e-02 -6.77528439e-01 -9.61046143e-01
    -5.79083045e-01 -7.51520392e-01 1.22163755e+00 -4.24944293e-01
    -1.95949179e+00 -1.92833956e+00 -1.42834472e+00 -1.49941480e+00
    -1.12421042e+00 -1.51556877e+00 -1.69914972e+00 -1.79842642e+00]
  [-6.40306967e-01
                                    3.00320780e-03 -3.08297620e-01 3.60526710e-01
      5.26642611e-01
                                     5.66028404e-01 7.38701383e-01
                                                                                                   1.16845034e+00
                                     1.07384349e+00 4.46051652e-01 -9.39290141e-02
      1.22250362e+00
    -7.09246691e-01 -4.04984815e-01 -4.98442273e-01 -6.70780678e-01
    -6.17489289e-01 -4.62097407e-01 -4.05577932e-01 -3.24442165e-01
    -4.17301129e-01 -1.84567175e-01 -5.56969856e-01 -2.17237428e-02
     2.12467552e-01
                                    1.30620099e+00 2.85337195e-01
                                                                                                  1.53582971e-01
      1.76401765e+00
                                    1.09188078e+00 1.16073703e+00
                                                                                                  5.25465583e-01
      5.86400546e-01
                                    4.97503960e-02 -1.71627924e-01 -2.85057794e-02]
  [ 4.21865848e-01
                                    1.94251526e+00 2.51868474e+00
                                                                                                   2.08785216e+00
                                                                   1.59694752e+00
      1.00207969e+00
                                    2.28223874e+00
                                                                                                   6.73479116e-01
    -1.95870333e-01 -5.73682507e-01 -8.06644348e-01 -1.11145164e+00
    -2.44960957e+00 -2.20543642e+00 -2.27264768e+00 -2.02822869e+00
    -1.85750846e+00 -1.73309311e+00 -1.61847381e+00 -1.84725138e+00
    -2.06522205e+00 -2.21532492e+00 -1.83790480e+00 -1.91744713e+00
    -1.20101566e+00 -7.51520392e-01 4.37758183e-01
                                                                                                  1.75009906e-01
      1.39166670e+00
                                   2.09244457e+00
                                                                    1.62989950e+00
                                                                                                    2.00348046e+00
      2.05456272e+00
                                   2.36320004e+00 2.36775545e+00
                                                                                                  1.61030963e+00]]
Mean of standardized test data: [-0.005784 -0.09812291 -0.08889442 -
0.12626501 -0.08752171 -0.13801075
  -0.03395898 -0.00441626 -0.01879642 -0.03716673 0.04038177
0.01851958
    0.06698757
                           0.12805666
                                                 0.08786894 0.15342319 0.15411221
0.15730926
    0.07931039
                           0.09256087 0.05734978 0.09726704 0.08927112
0.03350442
    0.00821617 \quad 0.03955431 \quad -0.00932453 \quad -0.10228803 \quad -0.04535003 \quad -0.00821617 \quad -0.00935431 \quad -0.00932453 \quad -0
0.03561841
 -0.07219665 -0.09539664 -0.09905084 -0.13029419 -0.11160866 -
0.121354251
Variance of standardized test data: [1.47837703 1.18195073 1.3885266
1.18297807 1.3857858 1.2820859
 1.43719044 1.25034803 1.12196073 0.90798699 0.87365304 0.85261809
 1.02901052 1.07356381 1.1985077 1.35347193 1.77789596 2.00709864
 1.41719136 1.22791203 1.31458945 1.34527007 1.34718395 1.11411147
 1.01995105 1.11381259 0.96175571 0.93822087 0.95362311 0.94547305
 1.14598866 1.06184088 1.1837514 1.16264952 1.24236432 1.18873716]
```

6)(Performance Comparison) Perform stratified 5-fold cross-validation on the 4-class classification problem using the three classification methods (available on canvas) assigned to you. Plot the (3) confusion matrices for using three approaches (clearly label the classes) on the test set (See Figure 1). (If you use code from any website, please do proper referencing. You will get 0 point for this assignment without proper referencing)


```
from sklearn.model selection import StratifiedKFold, cross val predict
from sklearn.naive bayes import GaussianNB
from sklearn.neural network import MLPClassifier
from sklearn.ensemble import AdaBoostClassifier
from sklearn.metrics import confusion matrix, ConfusionMatrixDisplay,
classification report
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import numpy as np
# Assuming X and y are your feature matrix and labels
X = X_train_standardized # Use standardized features
y = y train # Use labels
# Original class names
class labels = [
    "n02092002-Scottish_deerhound",
    "n02093428-American Staffordshire terrier",
    "n02094114-Norfolk terrier",
    "n02110958-pug"
]
class labels abbrev = ["Scottish Deerhound", "AmStaff Terrier",
"Norfolk Terrier", "Pug"]
# https://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/naive bayes.html
https://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/neural networks supervised.htm
# https://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/ensemble.html
classifiers = {
    'Naive Bayes': GaussianNB(),
    'Neural Network': MLPClassifier(hidden layer sizes=(10, 10, 10),
max iter=300, random state=42),
    'AdaBoost': AdaBoostClassifier(random state=42)
}
https://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/generated/sklearn.model select
ion.StratifiedKFold.html#sklearn.model selection.StratifiedKFold
# Stratified 5-Fold Cross-Validation
skf = StratifiedKFold(n splits=5, shuffle=True, random state=42)
fig, axes = plt.subplots(len(classifiers), 2, figsize=(12, 18))
fig.suptitle('Performance of Different Classifiers', fontsize=16)
```

```
for idx, (name, clf) in enumerate(classifiers.items()):
    print(f"Evaluating {name}...")
    # Cross-validation predictions
https://scikit-learn.org/1.5/modules/generated/sklearn.model selection
.cross val predict.html
    y pred = cross_val_predict(clf, X, y, cv=skf)
https://scikit-learn.org/1.5/modules/generated/sklearn.metrics.confusi
on matrix.html
    # Non-normalized confusion matrix
    cm non normalized = confusion matrix(y, y pred)
    # Normalized confusion matrix
    cm normalized = confusion matrix(y, y pred, normalize='true')
https://scikit-learn.org/1.5/modules/generated/sklearn.metrics.classif
ication report.html
    # Generate non-normalized and normalized classification reports
    report non_normalized = classification_report(y, y_pred,
target names=class labels abbrev)
    report normalized = classification report(y, y pred,
target names=class labels abbrev, output dict=True)
    # Format normalized report
    report normalized formatted = classification report(y, y pred,
target names=class labels abbrev)
    # Print the reports side by side
    print(f"{name} Non-normalized Classification Report:\
n{report non normalized}")
    print(f"{name} Normalized Classification Report:\
n{report normalized formatted}\n")
#https://scikit-learn.org/dev/modules/generated/sklearn.metrics.Confus
ionMatrixDisplay.html
    # Plot non-normalized confusion matrix on the left column
    disp non norm =
ConfusionMatrixDisplay(confusion matrix=cm non normalized,
display labels=class labels abbrev)
    disp non norm.plot(ax=axes[idx, 0], cmap='viridis',
values format=".2f")
    disp_non_norm.ax .set title(f'{name} (Non-normalized)')
    disp_non_norm.ax_.set_xticklabels(class_labels_abbrev,
rotation=45, ha='right')
    disp non norm.ax .set yticklabels(class labels abbrev)
```

```
disp non norm.ax .set xlabel('Predicted Label')
    disp non norm.ax .set ylabel('True Label')
    # Plot normalized confusion matrix on the right column
    disp norm = ConfusionMatrixDisplay(confusion_matrix=cm_normalized,
display labels=class labels abbrev)
    disp norm.plot(ax=axes[idx, 1], cmap='viridis',
values format=".2f")
    disp_norm.ax_.set_title(f'{name} (Normalized)')
    disp norm.ax .set xticklabels(class labels abbrev, rotation=45,
ha='right')
    disp norm.ax .set yticklabels(class labels abbrev)
    disp_norm.ax_.set_xlabel('Predicted_Label')
    disp norm.ax .set ylabel('True Label')
plt.tight layout(rect=[0, 0.03, 1, 0.95]) # Adjust layout for titl
plt.show()
Evaluating Naive Bayes...
Naive Bayes Non-normalized Classification Report:
                    precision
                                 recall f1-score
                                                     support
Scottish Deerhound
                         0.40
                                   0.44
                                             0.42
                                                         185
   AmStaff Terrier
                         0.17
                                   0.11
                                             0.13
                                                         131
   Norfolk Terrier
                         0.24
                                   0.32
                                              0.27
                                                         138
                                             0.32
                         0.34
                                   0.31
                                                         160
               Pug
                                             0.31
                                                         614
          accuracy
                                              0.29
                                                         614
         macro avo
                         0.29
                                   0.29
                                   0.31
                                             0.30
                                                         614
      weighted avg
                         0.30
Naive Bayes Normalized Classification Report:
                    precision
                                 recall f1-score
                                                     support
Scottish Deerhound
                         0.40
                                   0.44
                                             0.42
                                                         185
                         0.17
                                             0.13
   AmStaff Terrier
                                   0.11
                                                         131
                                                         138
   Norfolk Terrier
                         0.24
                                   0.32
                                             0.27
                         0.34
                                   0.31
                                             0.32
                                                         160
               Puq
          accuracy
                                             0.31
                                                         614
                         0.29
                                              0.29
                                   0.29
                                                         614
         macro avq
      weighted avg
                         0.30
                                   0.31
                                             0.30
                                                         614
Evaluating Neural Network...
Neural Network Non-normalized Classification Report:
                    precision
                                 recall f1-score
                                                     support
Scottish Deerhound
                         0.44
                                   0.56
                                             0.49
                                                         185
   AmStaff Terrier
                         0.27
                                   0.21
                                              0.23
                                                         131
```

Norfolk Terri F	er 0.2 Pug 0.4			
accura macro a weighted a	ıvg 0.:			614
Neural Network N	lormalized C precisi		•	support
Scottish Deerhou AmStaff Terri Norfolk Terri P	.er 0.:	27 0.2 29 0.2	1 0.23 5 0.27	131 138
accura macro a weighted a	ıvg 0.:			614
Evaluating AdaBoost AdaBoost Non-normalized Classification Report: precision recall f1-score support				
Scottish Deerhou AmStaff Terri Norfolk Terri	.er 0.2	27 0.2 30 0.2	1 0.24 2 0.26	131
accura macro a weighted a	ıvg 0.:			614
AdaBoost Normali	zed Classif. precisi	ication Rep on recal		support
Scottish Deerhou AmStaff Terri Norfolk Terri	.er 0.:	27 0.2 30 0.2	1 0.24 2 0.26	131
accura macro a weighted a	ıvg 0.:			614

Performance of Different Classifiers



By visually comparing (e.g., looking at the color on the diagonal values, etc.) the three confusion matrices (on the test set), which do you think is the best method? Why?

When comparing the columns of all three confusion matrices for Naive Bayes, Neural Network (MLP), and AdaBoost classifiers, I noticed the following

Naive Bayes logistics regression produces less precise classification, as seen from the smaller diagonal values indicating a more spread out classification error between classes. This implies that accuracy levels in Naive Bayes is not very good in separating the classes in this dataset.

As can be seen from the diagonal values the Neural Network (MLP) gives much better classification results than Naive Bayes. The misclassifications are no longer severe and can still be observed.

AdaBoost has the highest resemblance coefficient in the diagram and the high values are well concentrated at the diagonal. AdaBoost proves to exhibit fairly good results for all classes with lower misclassifications than Neural Network in some areas.

To me, AdaBoost looks like it is the best classifier for this particular dataset since it ordinarily yields the highest accuracy with most of the correct classifications being concentrated, and least misclassifications compared to both Neural Network and Naive Bayes. However, if computational resources or real-time prediction speed is a concern, the slightly simpler Neural Network could be a viable alternative with competitive performance.

Based on the mean validation accuracies (from the 5-fold cross-validation) for the three methods. Which is the best method?

```
from sklearn.model selection import cross val score
mean accuracies = {}
for name, clf in classifiers.items():
https://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/generated/sklearn.model select
ion.cross val score.html
    # Perform cross-validation and calculate accuracy for each fold
    scores = cross val score(clf, X, y, cv=skf, scoring='accuracy')
    mean_accuracy = np.mean(scores)
    mean accuracies[name] = mean accuracy
    print(f"{name} Mean Validation Accuracy: {mean accuracy:.4f}")
# The best method based on mean validation accuracy
best method = max(mean accuracies, key=mean accuracies.get)
print(f"\nThe best method based on mean validation accuracy is:
{best method} with an accuracy of {mean accuracies[best method]:.4f}")
Naive Bayes Mean Validation Accuracy: 0.3062
Neural Network Mean Validation Accuracy: 0.3729
AdaBoost Mean Validation Accuracy: 0.3697
```

The best method based on mean validation accuracy is: Neural Network with an accuracy of 0.3729

Compute the accuracies for the three methods on the test set. Which is the best method?

```
from sklearn.metrics import accuracy score
X_train, X_test = X_train_standardized, X test standardized
y train, y test = y train, y test
test accuracies = {}
# Iterate over classifiers
for name, clf in classifiers.items():
    clf.fit(X train, y train)
    # Predict on the test set
    y test pred = clf.predict(X test)
    # Calculate accuracy on the test set
    test accuracy = accuracy score(y test, y test pred)
    test accuracies[name] = test accuracy
    # Print accuracy for each classifier
    print(f"{name} Test Set Accuracy: {test accuracy:.4f}")
# The best method based on test set accuracy
best method = max(test accuracies, key=test accuracies.get)
print(f"\nThe best method based on test set accuracy is: {best method}
with an accuracy of {test accuracies[best method]:.4f}")
Naive Bayes Test Set Accuracy: 0.3247
Neural Network Test Set Accuracy: 0.3506
AdaBoost Test Set Accuracy: 0.2727
The best method based on test set accuracy is: Neural Network with an
accuracy of 0.3506
```

Compute the F-measure for the three methods on the test set. Which is the best method?

```
from sklearn.metrics import f1_score

X_train, X_test = X_train_standardized, X_test_standardized
y_train, y_test = y_train, y_test

f1_scores = {}

for name, clf in classifiers.items():
    clf.fit(X_train, y_train)
```

```
y_test_pred = clf.predict(X_test)

# Calculate F1-score on the test set
f1 = f1_score(y_test, y_test_pred, average='macro')
f1_scores[name] = f1

# Print F1-score for each classifier
print(f"{name} Test Set F1-Score (Macro Average): {f1:.4f}")

# The best method based on F1-score
best_method = max(f1_scores, key=f1_scores.get)
print(f"\nThe best method based on test set F1-score is: {best_method}
with an F1-score of {f1_scores[best_method]:.4f}")

Naive Bayes Test Set F1-Score (Macro Average): 0.3165
Neural Network Test Set F1-Score (Macro Average): 0.3041
AdaBoost Test Set F1-Score (Macro Average): 0.2597

The best method based on test set F1-score is: Naive Bayes with an F1-score of 0.3165
```

7)(Model Selection) Use images from TWO classes. Perform a standard 5-fold cross-validation and a stratified 5-fold cross-validation on the training set (i.e., the standardized edge histogram dataset obtained from the training set) for Support Vector Classifiers using LinearSVC such that parameter C=0.1, 1, 10, 100 and other parameters set as default

```
import numpy as np
from sklearn.svm import LinearSVC
from sklearn.model selection import cross val score, KFold,
StratifiedKFold
from sklearn.metrics import accuracy score
from sklearn.preprocessing import LabelEncoder
# For Training Data
X = X train standardized
y = y_train
class names = {
    0: "n0209200-2Scottish deerhound",
    1: "n02093428-American_Staffordshire_terrier",
    2: "n02094114-Norfolk terrier",
    3: "n02110958-Pug"
}
selected classes = [0, 1]
print("Selected Classes for Evaluation:")
for class index in selected classes:
    print(f"- {class names[class index]}")
```

```
class mask = np.isin(y, selected classes)
X = X[class mask]
y = y[class mask]
print("Filtered X shape:", X.shape)
print("Filtered y shape:", y.shape)
label encoder = LabelEncoder()
y = label encoder.fit transform(y)
# For Test Data
X_{\text{test}} = X_{\text{test}}standardized
y_test = y_test
print("\nSelected Classes for Evaluation (Test):")
for class index in selected classes:
    print(f"- {class names[class index]}")
class_mask_test = np.isin(y_test, selected_classes)
X test filtered = X test[class mask test]
y test filtered = y test[class mask test]
# Using the same label encoder instance to ensure consistent encoding
with the training set
y test encoded = label encoder.transform(y test filtered)
print("Filtered Test X shape:", X test filtered.shape)
print("Filtered Test y shape:", y_test_encoded.shape)
unique classes = np.unique(y train) # Find all unique classes in the
dataset
selected classes = np.random.choice(unique classes, 2, replace=False)
# Randomly select two classes
print("Selected Classes for Evaluation:")
for class index in selected classes:
    print(f"- {class names[class index]}")
# Create a mask that is True for indices where the class label is one
of the selected classes
class mask = np.isin(y train, selected classes)
# Filter X train standardized and y train to only include the selected
classes
X = X train standardized[class mask]
y = y train[class_mask]
```

```
# Update the classes to be 0 and 1 for simplicity using LabelEncoder
label encoder = LabelEncoder()
y = label encoder.fit transform(y)
unique classes = np.unique(y)
print("Classes selected for the test:", unique_classes)
# Parameters to test
C \text{ values} = [0.1, 1, 10, 100]
cv_types = {'Standard 5-Fold': KFold(n_splits=5), 'Stratified 5-Fold':
StratifiedKFold(n splits=5)}
# Dictionary to store the results
results = {}
https://scikit-learn.org/dev/modules/generated/sklearn.svm.LinearSVC.h
tml
# Perform cross-validation
for name, cv in cv types.items():
    results[name] = {}
    for C in C values:
        model = LinearSVC(C=C, random_state=42)
        scores = cross val score(model, X, y, cv=cv,
scoring='accuracy')
        results[name][f'C={C}'] = np.mean(scores)
        print(f"{name} with C={C}: Mean Accuracy =
{np.mean(scores):.4f}")
# Print all results for comparison
for cv_type, accuracies in results.items():
    print(f"\n{cv type} results:")
    for C, accuracy in accuracies.items():
        print(f"{C}: {accuracy:.4f}")
Selected Classes for Evaluation:
- n0209200-2Scottish deerhound
- n02093428-American Staffordshire terrier
Filtered X shape: (316, 36)
Filtered y shape: (316,)
Selected Classes for Evaluation (Test):
- n0209200-2Scottish deerhound

    n02093428-American Staffordshire terrier

Filtered Test X shape: (80, 36)
Filtered Test y shape: (80,)
Classes selected for the test: [0 1]
Standard 5-Fold with C=0.1: Mean Accuracy = 0.6236
Standard 5-Fold with C=1: Mean Accuracy = 0.6203
Standard 5-Fold with C=10: Mean Accuracy = 0.6203
```


```
Standard 5-Fold with C=100: Mean Accuracy = 0.6203
Stratified 5-Fold with C=0.1: Mean Accuracy = 0.6203
Stratified 5-Fold with C=1: Mean Accuracy = 0.6046
Stratified 5-Fold with C=10: Mean Accuracy = 0.6046
Stratified 5-Fold with C=100: Mean Accuracy = 0.6046

Standard 5-Fold results:
C=0.1: 0.6236
C=1: 0.6203
C=10: 0.6203
Stratified 5-Fold results:
C=0.1: 0.6203
C=1: 0.6046
C=10: 0.6046
C=10: 0.6046
```

Plot a graph (x-axis: C; y-axis: mean validation/training error (%)) containing four error curves (2 validation error curves and 2 training error curves - label them clearly using a legend to define the curves). Which C has/have the lowest mean error for each curve? Comment about (1) the model complexity for SVM in relation to C, and (2) when/whether there is overfitting/underfitting.

```
import numpy as np
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
from sklearn.svm import LinearSVC
from sklearn.model selection import cross validate, KFold,
StratifiedKFold
# Assuming X, y, and other preprocessing are already defined
# Parameters to test
C \text{ values} = [0.1, 1, 10, 100]
cv types = {
    'Standard 5-Fold': KFold(n splits=<mark>5</mark>, shuffle=<mark>True</mark>,
random state=42),
    'Stratified 5-Fold': StratifiedKFold(n splits=5, shuffle=True,
random state=42)
# Dictionary to store the results
results = {
    'Standard 5-Fold Train Error': [],
    'Standard 5-Fold Validation Error': [],
    'Stratified 5-Fold Train Error': [],
    'Stratified 5-Fold Validation Error': []
# https://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/cross validation.html
```

```
# Perform cross-validation
for name, cv in cv types.items():
    train errors = []
    test errors = []
    for \overline{C} in C values:
        model = LinearSVC(C=C, random_state=42, max_iter=10000)
        cv results = cross validate(model, X, y, cv=cv,
return train score=True, scoring='accuracy')
        train error = 1 - np.mean(cv results['train score'])
        test error = 1 - np.mean(cv results['test score'])
        train errors.append(train error * 100)
        test errors.append(test error * 100)
    results[name + ' Train Error'] = train_errors
    results[name + ' Validation Error'] = test errors
# Plotting the graph
plt.figure(figsize=(10, 6))
for key, values in results.items():
    plt.plot(C values, values, label=key)
plt.title('Training and Validation Errors vs. Regularization Parameter
plt.xlabel('C (Regularization parameter)')
plt.ylabel('Error (%)')
plt.legend()
plt.xscale('log')
plt.show()
```



Which C has/have the lowest mean error for each curve? Standard 5-Fold Training Error: Lowest mean error at C=10. Standard 5-Fold Validation Error: Gradual decrease with increasing C; lowest at C=100. Stratified 5-Fold Validation Error: Decrease with increasing C; lowest at C=100. Comment about

- (1) the model complexity for SVM in relation to C, and? SVM Model Complexity: Thus in the context of LinearSVC C the parameter determines the penalty that is to be paid for an error term. If the C value is set to a higher value it results into low value for the error term for this reason, the model tends to fit highly on the training set resulting in more noise and the details (high model complexity). Effect of C: When the C values decreases to a lower value such as 0.1 the model becomes more regularized and thus the model preferred a big margin over the perfect classification of all the training samples. In an attempt to enhance its evaluation all required features, the model has to increase the decision margin as C increases during training.
- (2) when/whether there is overfitting/underfitting? Overfitting: Usually accompanied by low training error and high validation error. On your graph, the overfitting signs are more visible when C increases (100) based on the validation and training errors where the former's curves are not as steep as the latter. Underfitting: Visible when both training and validation errors are high. Perhaps this is even bigger at low C values, say 0.1., which indicates that the model could be overly simple and may have low variance meaning it could underfit.

Use the ${\cal C}$ value with the lowest mean validation error for your SVM classifier from the stratified 5-fold cross-validation. What is the error for the test dataset (i.e., the standardized edge histogram dataset obtained from the test set)?

```
from sklearn.svm import LinearSVC
from sklearn.metrics import accuracy score
# Find the C with the lowest validation error from Stratified 5-Fold
C \text{ values} = [0.1, 1, 10, 100]
validation errors = results['Stratified 5-Fold Validation Error']
best_C_index = np.argmin(validation_errors)
best C = C values[best C index]
print(f"The best C value from Stratified 5-Fold CV is {best C}")
# Retrain the model using the best C
model = LinearSVC(C=best C, random state=42)
model.fit(X, y)
y pred = model.predict(X test filtered)
test_accuracy = accuracy_score(y_test_encoded, y_pred)
test_error = 1 - test_accuracy
print(f"Test Error with C={best C}: {test error * 100:.2f}%")
The best C value from Stratified 5-Fold CV is 1
Test Error with C=1: 37.50%
```