

Machine Learning models

- Probabilistic model

Machine Learning models

Machine learning models can be distinguished according to their main intuition:

- ➡ Geometric models use intuitions from geometry such as separating (hyper-)planes, linear transformations and distance metrics.
- ➡ Probabilistic models view learning as a process of reducing uncertainty.
- ➡ Logical models are defined in terms of logical expressions.

Alternatively, they can be characterised by their *modus operandi*:

- ➡ Grouping models divide the instance space into segments; in each segment a very simple (e.g., constant) model is learned.
- ➡ Grading models learning a single, global model over the instance space.

Things We'd Like to Do



⌘ Spam Classification

☑ Given an email, predict whether it is spam or not

⌘ Medical Diagnosis

☑ Given a list of symptoms, predict whether a patient has cancer or not

⌘ Weather

☑ Based on temperature, humidity, etc... predict if it will rain tomorrow

Probabilistic Model



- Uncertainty & Probability
- Baye's rule
- Choosing Hypotheses- Maximum a posteriori
- Maximum Likelihood - Baye's concept learning
- Maximum Likelihood of real valued function

Uncertainty



- ⌘ Our main tool is the probability theory, which assigns to each sentence numerical degree of belief between 0 and 1
- ⌘ It provides a way of summarizing the uncertainty

Variable

- ⌘ Boolean random variables: cavity might be true or false
- ⌘ Discrete random variables: weather might be sunny, rainy, cloudy, snow
 - ☒ $P(\text{Weather}=\text{sunny})$
 - ☒ $P(\text{Weather}=\text{rainy})$
 - ☒ $P(\text{Weather}=\text{cloudy})$
 - ☒ $P(\text{Weather}=\text{snow})$
- ⌘ Continuous random variables: the temperature has continuous values

Where do probabilities come from?

⌘ Frequents:

- ☒ From experiments: from any finite sample, we can estimate the true fraction and also calculate how accurate our estimation is likely to be

⌘ Subjective:

- ☒ Agent's believe

⌘ Objectivist:

- ☒ True nature of the universe, that the probability up heads with probability 0.5 is a probability of the coin

Contd...

⌘ Before the evidence is obtained; prior probability

☒ $P(a)$ the prior probability that the proposition is true

☒ $P(cavity)=0.1$

⌘ After the evidence is obtained; posterior probability

☒ $P(a/b)$

☒ The probability of a given that all we know is b

☒ $P(cavity/toothache)=0.8$

Axioms of Probability

Zur Anzeige wird der QuickTime™
Dekompressor „TIFF (Unkomprimiert)“
benötigt.

(Kolmogorov's axioms,
first published in German 1933)

⌘ All probabilities are between 0 and 1. For any proposition a

$$0 \leq P(a) \leq 1$$

⌘ $P(\text{true})=1, P(\text{false})=0$

⌘ The probability of disjunction is given by

$$P(a \vee b) = P(a) + P(b) - P(a \wedge b)$$

Contd..

⌘ Product rule

$$P(a \wedge b) = P(a | b)P(b)$$

$$P(a \wedge b) = P(b | a)P(a)$$

Theorem of total probability

If events A_1, \dots, A_n are mutually

exclusive with

then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n P(A_i) = 1$$

$$P(B) = \sum_{i=1}^n P(B|A_i)P(A_i)$$

$$P(B) = \sum_{i=1}^n P(B, A_i)$$

Bayes Theorem



$$P(h|D) = \frac{P(D|h)P(h)}{P(D)}$$

- ⌘ $P(h)$ = prior probability of hypothesis h
- ⌘ $P(D)$ = prior probability of training data D
- ⌘ $P(h/D)$ = probability of h given D
- ⌘ $P(D/h)$ = probability of D given h

Choosing Hypotheses

⌘ Generally want the most probable hypothesis given the training data

⌘ **Maximum a posteriori** hypothesis h_{MAP} :

$$h_{\text{MAP}} = \arg \max_{h \in H} P(h|D)$$

Contd..



$$\begin{aligned} h_{MAP} &= \arg \max_{h \in H} P(h|D) \\ &= \arg \max_{h \in H} \frac{P(D|h)P(h)}{P(D)} \\ &= \arg \max_{h \in H} P(D|h)P(h) \end{aligned}$$

Contd..

⌘ If assume $P(h_i)=P(h_j)$ for all h_i and h_j , then can further simplify, and choose the

⌘ **Maximum likelihood** (ML) hypothesis

$$h_{ML} = \arg \max_{h_i \in H} P(D|h_i)$$

Naïve Bayesian Classification

⌘ If i -th attribute is **categorical**:

$P(d_i|C)$ is estimated as the relative freq of samples having value d_i as i -th attribute in class C

⌘ If i -th attribute is **continuous**:

$P(d_i|C)$ is estimated thru a Gaussian density function

⌘ Computationally easy in both cases

Play-tennis example: estimating $P(x_i|C)$

Outlook	Temperature	Humidity	Windy	Class
sunny	hot	high	false	N
sunny	hot	high	true	N
overcast	hot	high	false	P
rain	mild	high	false	P
rain	cool	normal	false	P
rain	cool	normal	true	N
overcast	cool	normal	true	P
sunny	mild	high	false	N
sunny	cool	normal	false	P
rain	mild	normal	false	P
sunny	mild	normal	true	P
overcast	mild	high	true	P
overcast	hot	normal	false	P
rain	mild	high	true	N

$$P(p) = 9/14$$

$$P(n) = 5/14$$

outlook	
$P(\text{sunny} p) = 2/9$	$P(\text{sunny} n) = 3/5$
$P(\text{overcast} p) = 4/9$	$P(\text{overcast} n) = 0$
$P(\text{rain} p) = 3/9$	$P(\text{rain} n) = 2/5$
temperature	
$P(\text{hot} p) = 2/9$	$P(\text{hot} n) = 2/5$
$P(\text{mild} p) = 4/9$	$P(\text{mild} n) = 2/5$
$P(\text{cool} p) = 3/9$	$P(\text{cool} n) = 1/5$
humidity	
$P(\text{high} p) = 3/9$	$P(\text{high} n) = 4/5$
$P(\text{normal} p) = 6/9$	$P(\text{normal} n) = 2/5$
windy	
$P(\text{true} p) = 3/9$	$P(\text{true} n) = 3/5$
$P(\text{false} p) = 6/9$	$P(\text{false} n) = 2/5$

Naive Bayesian Classifier (II)

⌘ Given a training set, we can compute the probabilities

Outlook	P	N		Humidity	P	N
sunny	2/9	3/5		high	3/9	4/5
overcast	4/9	0		normal	6/9	1/5
rain	3/9	2/5				
Temperature				Windy		
hot	2/9	2/5		true	3/9	3/5
mild	4/9	2/5		false	6/9	2/5
cool	3/9	1/5				

Play-tennis example: classifying **X**

⌘ An unseen sample $X = \langle \text{rain, hot, high, false} \rangle$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{⌘ } P(X|p) \cdot P(p) &= \\ P(\text{rain}|p) \cdot P(\text{hot}|p) \cdot P(\text{high}|p) \cdot P(\text{false}|p) \cdot P(p) &= \\ 3/9 \cdot 2/9 \cdot 3/9 \cdot 6/9 \cdot 9/14 &= 0.010582 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{⌘ } P(X|n) \cdot P(n) &= \\ P(\text{rain}|n) \cdot P(\text{hot}|n) \cdot P(\text{high}|n) \cdot P(\text{false}|n) \cdot P(n) &= \\ 2/5 \cdot 2/5 \cdot 4/5 \cdot 2/5 \cdot 5/14 &= 0.018286 \end{aligned}$$

⌘ Sample **X** is classified in class **n** (don't play)

The independence hypothesis...

- ⌘ ... makes computation possible
- ⌘ ... yields optimal classifiers when satisfied
- ⌘ ... but is seldom satisfied in practice, as attributes (variables) are often correlated.
- ⌘ Attempts to overcome this limitation:
 - ☒ **Bayesian networks**, that combine Bayesian reasoning with causal relationships between attributes

Training dataset

Class:

C1:buys_computer='yes'

C2:buys_computer='no'

Data sample:

X =

(age \leq 30,

Income=medium,

Student=yes

Credit_rating=Fair)

age	income	student	credit_rating	buys_computer
≤ 30	high	no	fair	no
≤ 30	high	no	excellent	no
30...40	high	no	fair	yes
> 40	medium	no	fair	yes
> 40	low	yes	fair	yes
> 40	low	yes	excellent	no
31...40	low	yes	excellent	yes
≤ 30	medium	no	fair	no
≤ 30	low	yes	fair	yes
> 40	medium	yes	fair	yes
≤ 30	medium	yes	excellent	yes
31...40	medium	no	excellent	yes
31...40	high	yes	fair	yes
> 40	medium	no	excellent	no

Naïve Bayesian Classifier:

Example

⌘ Compute $P(X|C_i)$ for each class

Likelihood

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(\text{age} = "<30" \mid \text{buys_computer} = \text{"yes"}) &= 2/9 = 0.222 \\
 P(\text{age} = "<30" \mid \text{buys_computer} = \text{"no"}) &= 3/5 = 0.6 \\
 P(\text{income} = \text{"medium"} \mid \text{buys_computer} = \text{"yes"}) &= 4/9 = 0.444 \\
 P(\text{income} = \text{"medium"} \mid \text{buys_computer} = \text{"no"}) &= 2/5 = 0.4 \\
 P(\text{student} = \text{"yes"} \mid \text{buys_computer} = \text{"yes"}) &= 6/9 = 0.667 \\
 P(\text{student} = \text{"yes"} \mid \text{buys_computer} = \text{"no"}) &= 1/5 = 0.2 \\
 P(\text{credit_rating} = \text{"fair"} \mid \text{buys_computer} = \text{"yes"}) &= 6/9 = 0.667 \\
 P(\text{credit_rating} = \text{"fair"} \mid \text{buys_computer} = \text{"no"}) &= 2/5 = 0.4
 \end{aligned}$$

$$P(\text{buys_computer} = \text{"yes"}) = 9/14$$

$$P(\text{buys_computer} = \text{"no"}) = 5/14$$

⌘ $X = (\text{age} \leq 30, \text{income} = \text{medium}, \text{student} = \text{yes}, \text{credit_rating} = \text{fair})$

$P(X|C_i)$:
 $0.0.667 = 0.044$

$$P(X \mid \text{buys_computer} = \text{"yes"}) = 0.222 \times 0.444 \times 0.667 \times$$

$$P(X \mid \text{buys_computer} = \text{"no"}) = 0.6 \times 0.4 \times 0.2 \times 0.4 = 0.019$$

$P(X|C_i) * P(C_i)$: $P(X \mid \text{buys_computer} = \text{"yes"}) * P(\text{buys_computer} = \text{"yes"}) = 0.028$

$$P(X \mid \text{buys_computer} = \text{"no"}) * P(\text{buys_computer} = \text{"no"}) = 0.007$$

■ X belongs to class "buys computer=yes"

Test sample prior!

✓ $P(X|yes)P(yes) > P(X|no)P(no)$