

# First-Order Logic

# First-order logic

- First-order logic (FOL) models the world in terms of
  - **Objects**, which are things with individual identities
  - **Properties** of objects that distinguish them from other objects
  - **Relations** that hold among sets of objects
  - **Functions**, which are a subset of relations where there is only one “value” for any given “input”
- Examples:
  - Objects: Students, lectures, companies, cars ...
  - Relations: Brother-of, bigger-than, outside, part-of, has-color, occurs-after, owns, visits, precedes, ...
  - Properties: blue, oval, even, large, ...
  - Functions: father-of, best-friend, second-half, one-more-than ...

# User provides

- **Constant symbols**, which represent individuals in the world
  - Mary
  - 3
  - Green
- **Function symbols**, which map individuals to individuals
  - father-of(Mary) = John
  - color-of(Sky) = Blue
- **Predicate symbols**, which map individuals to truth values
  - greater(5,3)
  - green(Grass)
  - color(Grass, Green)

# FOL Provides

- **Variable symbols**

- E.g.,  $x$ ,  $y$ ,  $\text{foo}$

- **Connectives**

- Same as in PL: not ( $\neg$ ), and ( $\wedge$ ), or ( $\vee$ ), implies ( $\rightarrow$ ), if and only if (biconditional  $\leftrightarrow$ )

- **Quantifiers**

- Universal  $\forall \mathbf{x}$  or ( $\mathbf{Ax}$ )

- Existential  $\exists \mathbf{x}$  or ( $\mathbf{Ex}$ )

# Sentences are built from terms and atoms

- A **term** (denoting a real-world individual) is a constant symbol, a variable symbol, or an n-place function of n terms.  
x and  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  are terms, where each  $x_i$  is a term.  
A term with no variables is a **ground term**
- An **atomic sentence** (which has value true or false) is an n-place predicate of n terms
- A **complex sentence** is formed from atomic sentences connected by the logical connectives:  
 $\neg P, P \vee Q, P \wedge Q, P \rightarrow Q, P \leftrightarrow Q$  where P and Q are sentences
- A **quantified sentence** adds quantifiers  $\forall$  and  $\exists$
- A **well-formed formula (wff)** is a sentence containing no “free” variables. That is, all variables are “bound” by universal or existential quantifiers.  
 $(\forall x)P(x,y)$  has x bound as a universally quantified variable, but y is free.

# A BNF for FOL

```
S := <Sentence> ;
<Sentence> := <AtomicSentence> |
              <Sentence> <Connective> <Sentence> |
              <Quantifier> <Variable>, ... <Sentence> |
              "NOT" <Sentence> |
              "(" <Sentence> ")";
<AtomicSentence> := <Predicate> "(" <Term>, ... ")" |
                   <Term> "=" <Term>;
<Term> := <Function> "(" <Term>, ... ")" |
         <Constant> |
         <Variable>;
<Connective> := "AND" | "OR" | "IMPLIES" | "EQUIVALENT";
<Quantifier> := "EXISTS" | "FORALL" ;
<Constant> := "A" | "X1" | "John" | ... ;
<Variable> := "a" | "x" | "s" | ... ;
<Predicate> := "Before" | "HasColor" | "Raining" | ... ;
<Function> := "Mother" | "LeftLegOf" | ... ;
```

# Quantifiers

- **Universal quantification**

- $(\forall x)P(x)$  means that  $P$  holds for **all** values of  $x$  in the domain associated with that variable
- E.g.,  $(\forall x) \text{dolphin}(x) \rightarrow \text{mammal}(x)$

- **Existential quantification**

- $(\exists x)P(x)$  means that  $P$  holds for **some** value of  $x$  in the domain associated with that variable
- E.g.,  $(\exists x) \text{mammal}(x) \wedge \text{lays-eggs}(x)$
- Permits one to make a statement about some object without naming it

# Quantifiers

- Universal quantifiers are often used with “implies” to form “rules”:  
 $(\forall x) \text{ student}(x) \rightarrow \text{smart}(x)$  means “All students are smart”
- Universal quantification is *rarely* used to make blanket statements about every individual in the world:  
 $(\forall x) \text{ student}(x) \wedge \text{smart}(x)$  means “Everyone in the world is a student and is smart”
- Existential quantifiers are usually used with “and” to specify a list of properties about an individual:  
 $(\exists x) \text{ student}(x) \wedge \text{smart}(x)$  means “There is a student who is smart”
- A common mistake is to represent this English sentence as the FOL sentence:  
 $(\exists x) \text{ student}(x) \rightarrow \text{smart}(x)$ 
  - But what happens when there is a person who is *not* a student?



# Quantifier Scope

- Switching the order of universal quantifiers *does not* change the meaning:
  - $(\forall x)(\forall y)P(x,y) \leftrightarrow (\forall y)(\forall x) P(x,y)$
- Similarly, you can switch the order of existential quantifiers:
  - $(\exists x)(\exists y)P(x,y) \leftrightarrow (\exists y)(\exists x) P(x,y)$
- Switching the order of universals and existentials *does* change meaning:
  - Everyone likes someone:  $(\forall x)(\exists y) \text{ likes}(x,y)$
  - Someone is liked by everyone:  $(\exists y)(\forall x) \text{ likes}(x,y)$

# Connections between All and Exists

We can relate sentences involving  $\forall$  and  $\exists$  using De Morgan's laws:

$$(\forall x) \neg P(x) \leftrightarrow \neg(\exists x) P(x)$$

$$\neg(\forall x) P \leftrightarrow (\exists x) \neg P(x)$$

$$(\forall x) P(x) \leftrightarrow \neg (\exists x) \neg P(x)$$

$$(\exists x) P(x) \leftrightarrow \neg(\forall x) \neg P(x)$$

# Quantified inference rules

- Universal instantiation
  - $\forall x P(x) \therefore P(A)$
- Universal generalization
  - $P(A) \wedge P(B) \dots \therefore \forall x P(x)$
- Existential instantiation
  - $\exists x P(x) \therefore P(F)$   $\leftarrow$  **skolem constant F**
- Existential generalization
  - $P(A) \therefore \exists x P(x)$

# Universal instantiation (a.k.a. universal elimination)

- If  $(\forall x) P(x)$  is true, then  $P(C)$  is true, where  $C$  is *any* constant in the domain of  $x$
- Example:  
$$(\forall x) \text{ eats}(\text{Ziggy}, x) \Rightarrow \text{eats}(\text{Ziggy}, \text{IceCream})$$
- The variable symbol can be replaced by any ground term, i.e., any constant symbol or function symbol applied to ground terms only

# Existential instantiation

## (a.k.a. existential elimination)

- From  $(\exists x) P(x)$  infer  $P(c)$
- Example:
  - $(\exists x) \text{eats}(\text{Ziggy}, x) \rightarrow \text{eats}(\text{Ziggy}, \text{Stuff})$
- Note that the variable is replaced by a **brand-new constant** not occurring in this or any other sentence in the KB
- Also known as skolemization; constant is a **skolem constant**
- In other words, we don't want to accidentally draw other inferences about it by introducing the constant
- Convenient to use this to reason about the unknown object, rather than constantly manipulating the existential quantifier

# Existential generalization (a.k.a. existential introduction)

- If  $P(c)$  is true, then  $(\exists x) P(x)$  is inferred.
- Example  
$$\text{eats}(\text{Ziggy}, \text{IceCream}) \Rightarrow (\exists x) \text{eats}(\text{Ziggy}, x)$$
- All instances of the given constant symbol are replaced by the new variable symbol
- Note that the variable symbol cannot already exist anywhere in the expression

# Translating English to FOL

**Every gardener likes the sun.**

$\forall x \text{ gardener}(x) \rightarrow \text{likes}(x, \text{Sun})$

**You can fool some of the people all of the time.**

$\exists x \forall t \text{ person}(x) \wedge \text{time}(t) \rightarrow \text{can-fool}(x, t)$

**You can fool all of the people some of the time.**

$\forall x \exists t (\text{person}(x) \rightarrow \text{time}(t) \wedge \text{can-fool}(x, t))$

$\forall x (\text{person}(x) \rightarrow \exists t (\text{time}(t) \wedge \text{can-fool}(x, t)))$

← **Equivalent**

**All purple mushrooms are poisonous.**

$\forall x (\text{mushroom}(x) \wedge \text{purple}(x)) \rightarrow \text{poisonous}(x)$

**No purple mushroom is poisonous.**

$\neg \exists x \text{ purple}(x) \wedge \text{mushroom}(x) \wedge \text{poisonous}(x)$

$\forall x (\text{mushroom}(x) \wedge \text{purple}(x)) \rightarrow \neg \text{poisonous}(x)$

← **Equivalent**

**Clinton is not tall.**

$\neg \text{tall}(\text{Clinton})$

**X is above Y iff X is on directly on top of Y or there is a pile of one or more other objects directly on top of one another starting with X and ending with Y.**

$\forall x \forall y \text{ above}(x, y) \leftrightarrow (\text{on}(x, y) \vee \exists z (\text{on}(x, z) \wedge \text{above}(z, y)))$

# Examples

- Everyone likes McDonalds
  - $\forall x, \text{likes}(x, \text{McDonalds})$
- Someone likes McDonalds
  - $\exists x, \text{likes}(x, \text{McDonalds})$
- All children like McDonalds
  - $\forall x, \text{child}(x) \Rightarrow \text{likes}(x, \text{McDonalds})$
- Everyone likes McDonalds unless they are allergic to it
  - $\forall x, \text{likes}(x, \text{McDonalds}) \vee \text{allergic}(x, \text{McDonalds})$
  - $\forall x, \neg \text{allergic}(x, \text{McDonalds}) \Rightarrow \text{likes}(x, \text{McDonalds})$



# Properties of Quantifiers

- $\forall x \forall y$  is the same as  $\forall y \forall x$
- $\exists x \exists y$  is the same as  $\exists y \exists x$
- $\exists x \forall y$  is not the same as  $\forall y \exists x$ 
  - $\exists x \forall y \text{ Loves}(x, y)$ 
    - “There is a person who loves everyone in the world”
  - $\forall y \exists x \text{ Loves}(x, y)$ 
    - “Everyone in the world is loved by at least one person”

# Nesting Quantifiers

- Everyone likes some kind of food  
 $\forall y \exists x, \text{ food}(x) \wedge \text{ likes}(y, x)$
- There is a kind of food that everyone likes  
 $\exists x \forall y, \text{ food}(x) \wedge \text{ likes}(y, x)$
- Someone likes all kinds of food  
 $\exists y \forall x, \text{ food}(x) \wedge \text{ likes}(y, x)$
- Every food has someone who likes it  
 $\forall x \exists y, \text{ food}(x) \wedge \text{ likes}(y, x)$

# Examples

- Quantifier Duality
  - Not everyone like McDonalds  
 $\neg(\forall x, \text{likes}(x, \text{McDonalds}))$   
 $\exists x, \neg\text{likes}(x, \text{McDonalds})$
  - No one likes McDonalds  
 $\neg(\exists x, \text{likes}(x, \text{McDonalds}))$   
 $\forall x, \neg\text{likes}(x, \text{McDonalds})$

# Fun with Sentences

- Brothers are siblings

$$\forall x,y \text{ Brother}(x,y) \Rightarrow \text{Sibling}(x, y)$$

- Sibling is “symmetric”

$$\forall x,y \text{ Sibling}(x,y) \Leftrightarrow \text{Sibling}(y, x)$$

# Fun with Sentences

- One's mother is one's female parent

$$\forall x,y \text{ Mother}(x,y) \Leftrightarrow (\text{Female}(x) \wedge \text{Parent}(x,y))$$

- A first cousin is a child of a parent's sibling

$$\forall x,y \text{ FirstCousin}(x,y) \Leftrightarrow \exists p,ps \text{ Parent}(p,x) \wedge \text{Sibling}(ps,p) \wedge (\text{Parent}(ps,y))$$

# Other Comments About Quantification

- To say “everyone likes McDonalds”, the following is too broad!
  - $\forall x, \text{likes}(x, \text{McDonalds})$
  - Rush’s example:  $\text{likes}(\text{McDonalds}, \text{McDonalds})$
- We mean: Every one (who is a human) likes McDonalds
  - $\forall x, \text{person}(x) \Rightarrow \text{likes}(x, \text{McDonalds})$
- Essentially, the left side of the rule declares the class of the variable  $x$
- Constraints like this are often called “domain constraints”