## Assignment 5

Communication Theory - 1 (EC5.203 - Spring 2020)

April 10, 2020

Deadline: 18/04/2020 - 11:30 PM

## **Submission Format:**

- Submit all the answers along with the results compiled in form of a report.
- Save generated plots and attach (use .emf) them in the report with clear explanation of the inferences.
- Submit single .zip file with roll-number as filename containing .m files, plots (save as .emf file) and report (.pdf).

**Reference**: Software Lab (6.1) - [Madhow] 'Upamanyu Madhow', 'Introduction to Communication Systems', Cambridge University Press

## Linear modulation with two-dimensional constellations

- 1. Write a matlab function randbit that generates random bits taking values in  $\{0, 1\}$  (not  $\pm 1$ ) with equal probability.
- 2. Write the following functions mapping bits to symbols for different signal constellations. Write the functions to allow for vector inputs and outputs. The mapping is said to be a Gray code, or Gray labeling, if the bit map for nearest neighbors in the constellation differ by exactly one bit. In all of the following, choose the bit map to be a Gray code.
  - (a) bpskmap: input a 0/1 bit, output a  $\pm 1$  bit.
  - (b) qpskmap: input two 0/1 bits, output a symbol taking one of four values in  $\pm 1 \pm j$ .
  - (c) four-pammap: input two 0/1 bits, output a symbol taking one of four values in  $\{\pm 1, \pm 3\}$ .
  - (d) sixteen qammap: input four 0/1 bits, output a symbol taking one of 16 values in  $\{b_c+jb_s:b_c,b_s\in\{\pm 1,\pm 3\}\}$ .
  - (e) eightpskmap: input three 0/1 bits, output a symbol taking one of 8 values in  $e^{j2\pi i/8}$ , i=0,1,...,7.
- 3. BPSK symbol generation: Use part (1) to generate 12000 0/1 bits. Map these to BPSK (±1) bits using bpskmap. Pass these through the transmit and receive filter as described in Software Lab 4.1 [Ref] to get noiseless received samples at rate 4/T.
- 4. Adding noise: We consider discrete time additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN). At the input to the receive filter, add independent and identically distributed (iid) complex Gaussian noise, such that the real and imaginary part of each sample are iid  $N(0, \sigma^2)$  (Choose  $\sigma^2 = N_0/2$  corresponding to a specified value of  $E_b/N_0$ , as described in part (5) below). Pass

these (rate 4/T) noise samples through the receive filter, and add the result to the output of part (3).

Remark: If the nth transmitted symbol is b[n], the average received energy per symbol is  $E_s = E[|b[n]|^2]||g_T * g_C||^2$ . Divide that by the number of bits per symbol to get  $E_b$ . The noise variance per dimension is  $\sigma^2 = N_0/2$ . This enables you to compute  $E_b/N_0$  for your simulation model. The signal-to-noise ratio  $E_b/N_0$  is usually expressed in decibels (dB):  $E_b/N_0$ (dB) =  $10 \log_{10} E_b/N_0$ (raw). Thus, if you fix the transmit and channel filter coefficients, then you can simulate any given value of  $E_b/N_0$  in dB by varying the value of the noise variance  $\sigma^2$ .

- 5. Plot the ideal bit error probability for BPSK, which is given by  $Q(\sqrt{2E_b/N_0})$ , on a log scale as a function of  $E_b/N_0$  in dB over the range 0-10 dB. Find the value of  $E_b/N_0$  that corresponds to an error probability of  $10^{-2}$ .
- 6. For the value of  $E_b/N_0$  found in part (5), choose the corresponding value of  $\sigma^2$  in part (1). Find the decision statistics corresponding to the transmitted symbols at the input and output of the receive filter, as in Simulation Lab 4.1 (parts (5) and (6)). Plot the imaginary versus the real parts of the decision statistics; you should see a noisy version of the constellation.
- 7. Using an appropriate decision rule, make decisions on the 12000 transmitted bits based on the 12000 decision statistics, and measure the error probability obtained at the input and the output. Compare the results with the ideal error probability from part (5). You should find that the error probability based on the receiver input samples is significantly worse than that based on the receiver output, and that the latter is a little worse than the ideal performance because of the ISI in the decision statistics.
- 8. Now, map 12000 0/1 bits into 6000 4PAM symbols using function fourpammap (use as input two parallel vectors of 6000 bits). As shown in Chapter 6 [Ref], a good approximation (the nearest neighbors approximation) to the ideal bit error probability for Gray coded 4PAM is given by  $Q(eE_b/(5N_0))$ . As in part (5), plot this on a log scale as a function of  $E_b/N_0$  in dB over the range 0-10 dB. What is the value of  $E_b/N_0$  (dB) corresponding to a bit error probability of  $10^{-2}$ ?
- 9. Choose the value of the noise variance  $\sigma^2$  corresponding to the  $E_b/N_0$  found in part (7). Now, find decision statistics for the 6000 transmitted symbols based on the receive filter output only.
  - (a) Plot the imaginary versus the real parts of the decision statistics, as before.
  - (b) Determine an appropriate decision rule for estimating the two parallel bit streams of 6000 bits from the 6000 complex decision statistics.
  - (c) Measure the bit error probability, and compare it with the ideal bit error probability.

Repeat parts (8) and (9) for QPSK, the ideal bit error probability for which, as a function of  $E_b/N_0$ , is the same as for BPSK.

- 10. Repeat parts (8) and (9) for 16QAM (4 bit streams of length 3000 each), the ideal bit error probability for which, as a function of  $E_b/N_0$ , is the same as for 4PAM.
- 11. Repeat parts (8) and (9) for 8PSK (3 bit streams of length 4000 each). The ideal bit error probability for Gray coded 8PSK is approximated by (using the nearest neighbors approximation)  $Q((6-3\sqrt{2})E_b/(2N_0))$ .
- 12. Since all your answers above will be off from the ideal answers because of some ISI, run a simulation with 12000 bits sent using Gray-coded 16-QAM with no ISI. To do this, generate

the decision statistics by adding noise directly to the transmitted symbols, setting the noise variance appropriately to operate at the required  $E_b/N_0$ . Do this for two different values of  $E_b/N_0$ , the one in part (11) and a value 3 dB higher. In each case, compare the nearest neighbors approximation to the measured bit error probability, and plot the imaginary versus real part of the decision statistics.

Document all your plots/results in the report and clearly explain your learning in every part. Also explain the difficulties you encountered.