




J. SHREEKUMAR
Evaluation of Instruction Program Report
 23F: EC ENGR 131A LEC 80: PROBABILITY&STATS
 No. of responses = 8
 Enrollment = 36
 Response Rate = 22.22%

1. Background Information:


1.1) Year in School:




1.2) UCLA GPA:



1.3) Expected Grade:




1.4) What requirements does this course fulfill?



2. To What Extent Do You Feel That:

2.1) Teaching Assistant Knowledge - The T.A. was knowledgeable about the material.



n=8
av.=9
md=9
dev.=0

2.2) Teaching Assistant Concern - The T.A. was concerned about student learning.




n=8
av.=8.88
md=9
dev.=0.35

2.3) Organization - Section presentations were well prepared and organized.




n=8
av.=9
md=9
dev.=0

2.4) Scope - The teaching assistant expanded on course ideas.



n=7
av.=9
md=9
dev.=0
ab.=1

2.5) Interaction - Students felt welcome in seeking help in or outside of the class.




n=8
av.=9
md=9
dev.=0

2.6) Communication Skills - The teaching assistant had good communication skills.



n=8
av.=9
md=9
dev.=0

2.7) Value - The overall value of the sections justified your time and effort.



n=7
av.=8.86
md=9
dev.=0.38
ab.=1


2.8) Overall - What is your overall rating of the teaching assistant?



n=8
av.=9
md=9
dev.=0


3. Your View of Section Characteristics:

3.1) Difficulty (relative to other courses)



n=7
av.=2.71
md=3
dev.=0.49
ab.=1

3.2) Workload/pace was



n=8
 av.=2.63
 md=3
 dev.=0.52

3.3) Integration of section with course was




n=7
 av.=3
 md=3
 dev.=0
 ab.=1

3.4) Texts, required readings




n=8
 av.=2.63
 md=3
 dev.=0.52

3.5) Homework assignments



n=8
 av.=2.63
 md=3
 dev.=0.52

3.6) Graded materials, examinations




n=8
 av.=2.63
 md=3
 dev.=0.52

3.7) Lecture presentations



n=7
 av.=3
 md=3
 dev.=0
 ab.=1

3.8) Class discussions



n=7
 av.=2.71
 md=3
 dev.=0.49
 ab.=1

4. Comments:

4.1) Please identify what you perceive to be the real strengths and weaknesses of this teaching assistant and course.

- Information was provided clearly and help was available when needed.
- Jayanth cares about student learning. He provided students with multiple ways of seeking help: Piazza, twice weekly Zoom office hours, recordings of office hours and recordings of discussions. Throughout the quarter, Jayanth quickly and consistently responded to Piazza questions and modified his OH schedule to accommodate student schedules. Jayanth also helped students ensure they had proctoring services set up and even offered to personally proctor a student outside of routine testing hours because he was concerned that taking two finals on one day would be too much for her. Jayanth was consistent throughout the quarter in making himself available for questions - always on time to Zoom office hours, prompt in his responses on Piazza, and quick turnaround in grading. Jayanth was also welcoming and easy to approach with questions. Jayanth's explanations are clear and helpful - he is a good teacher!
- Jayanth was always prompt and clear with answering questions, and I felt comfortable approaching him with them.
- The course is very hard but the TA is great!
- The teaching assistant was excellent. This is my first course as a graduate student and this teaching assistant was better than all my other teaching assistant's I had as an undergraduate. He is very skilled

mathematically and has superb conceptual understanding of the course material. I appreciate his willingness to help students learn and master the material. Furthermore, he displays a positive attitude and his optimism is contagious to the students. He definitely is an encouragement for students to keep trying and not give up. I am definitely grateful for his effort and time given to strengthen student learning. As for the course material, it is tough, but I think about it like a boxing match. The bigger the opponent, the bigger the win.

- my pleasure to have you as my TA.

Profile

Subunit: EC ENGR
 Name of the instructor: J. SHREEKUMAR
 Name of the course: 23F: EC ENGR 131A LEC 80: PROBABILITY&STATS
 (Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

2. To What Extent Do You Feel That:

2.1) Teaching Assistant Knowledge - The T.A. was knowledgeable about the material.	Very Low or Never									Very High or Always	n=8	av.=9.00
2.2) Teaching Assistant Concern - The T.A. was concerned about student learning.	Very Low or Never									Very High or Always	n=8	av.=8.88
2.3) Organization - Section presentations were well prepared and organized.	Very Low or Never									Very High or Always	n=8	av.=9.00
2.4) Scope - The teaching assistant expanded on course ideas.	Very Low or Never									Very High or Always	n=7	av.=9.00
2.5) Interaction - Students felt welcome in seeking help in or outside of the class.	Very Low or Never									Very High or Always	n=8	av.=9.00
2.6) Communication Skills - The teaching assistant had good communication skills.	Very Low or Never									Very High or Always	n=8	av.=9.00
2.7) Value - The overall value of the sections justified your time and effort.	Very Low or Never									Very High or Always	n=7	av.=8.86
2.8) Overall - What is your overall rating of the teaching assistant?	Very Low or Never									Very High or Always	n=8	av.=9.00

3. Your View of Section Characteristics:

3.1) Difficulty (relative to other courses)	Low								High	n=7	av.=2.71
3.2) Workload/pace was	Too Slow								Too Much	n=8	av.=2.63
3.3) Integration of section with course was	Poor								Excellent	n=7	av.=3.00
3.4) Texts, required readings	Poor								Excellent	n=8	av.=2.63
3.5) Homework assignments	Poor								Excellent	n=8	av.=2.63
3.6) Graded materials, examinations	Poor								Excellent	n=8	av.=2.63
3.7) Lecture presentations	Poor								Excellent	n=7	av.=3.00
3.8) Class discussions	Poor								Excellent	n=7	av.=2.71