



Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) Galaxy Classification using Machine Learning

1. Introduction

1.1 Project overviews:

This project involves the classification of galaxy images from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) into five morphological categories using a deep learning model. The goal is to accurately predict the type of galaxy from images by leveraging convolutional neural networks (CNNs).

1.2 Objectives:

- Build a CNN-based image classification model.
- Classify galaxies into one of five categories:
 - Cigar-shaped smooth
 - In between smooth
 - Completely round smooth
 - Edge-on
 - Spiral
- Deploy the model via a Flask web application.
- Provide a user-friendly interface for image upload and result display.

2. Project Initialization and Planning Phase

2.1 Define Problem Statement:

Astronomical galaxy classification is time-consuming and subjective when done manually. The goal is to automate this process using machine learning on image data.

2.2 Project Proposal:

We propose a CNN-based image classification solution that takes galaxy images as input and returns one of the five defined morphological types with associated confidence.

2.3 Initial Project Planning:

- Technology Stack: Python, TensorFlow/Keras, Flask, HTML/CSS
- Dataset Source: Galaxy Zoo dataset from Kaggle
- Phases: Data collection → Preprocessing → Model training → Evaluation → Deployment





3. Data Collection and Preprocessing Phase

3.1 Data Collection Plan and Raw Data Sources Identified:

• Source: Kaggle - Galaxy morphology images

• Classes: 5 galaxy types

• Structure: Image files in folders categorized by class

3.2 Data Quality Report:

- Images were checked for corruption.
- Resized uniformly to match model input (256x256).
- Class balance was verified.

3.3 Data Exploration and Preprocessing:

- Used ImageDataGenerator for augmentation.
- Normalized pixel values (0–1).
- Split into train, validation, test sets using splitfolders.

4. Model Development Phase

4.1 Feature Selection Report:

As it is an image classification task, raw pixel data was used as features. No manual feature engineering was needed.

4.2 Model Selection Report:

- CNN architecture was chosen for its superiority in image-based tasks.
- Alternatives like pre-trained VGG16 were considered but a custom CNN was used for experimentation and training efficiency.

4.3 Initial Model Training Code, Model Validation and Evaluation Report:

- CNN with Conv2D, MaxPooling2D, Flatten, Dense layers.
- Accuracy: ~76.6% on test data.
- Loss: Monitored using categorical cross-entropy.
- Early stopping and callbacks used during training.





5. Model Optimization and Tuning Phase

5.1 Hyperparameter Tuning Documentation:

- Tuned learning rate, number of filters, kernel size, batch size.
- Best results with Adam optimizer and batch size = 32.

5.2 Performance Metrics Comparison Report:

Model	Test Accuracy
CNN	0.7660804986953735
VGG16	0.294928752754983

5.3 Final Model Selection Justification:

The custom CNN was chosen for its generalization ability, smaller size, and easier deployment. Accuracy vs computational cost was optimized.

6. Results

Test Image:



Result:





7. Advantages & Disadvantages

Advantages	Disadvantages
 End-to-end pipeline from training to web app. Accurate galaxy classification. Clean, user-friendly interface. 	 Moderate model accuracy (~76%). Requires Advanced GPU for faster training. Small dataset size may affect generalization.

8. Conclusion

The project demonstrates a successful application of CNNs for galaxy classification with competitive accuracy. A fully functional Flask app integrates the trained model, providing an intuitive frontend for users.

9. Future Scope

- Use transfer learning with larger datasets (e.g., VGG, ResNet).
- Extend to more galaxy classes.
- Improve UI/UX of the web application.
- Integrate real-time telescope data for classification.

Appendix

Available in my GitHub Repo,

Source code: GitHub