Week7-assesment Part 1

Continuous Integration Jenkins

Features:

- Over 1400 plugins
- Supports entire dev life cycle
- Fingerprints allows you to track which version of a file is used by which version of a dependency on that file



The directions are clear and easy to follow
I don't see a sandbox, but it is very detailed
https://www.jenkins.io/doc/book/using/fingerprints/

Remote access API



The directions are great here as well and while I don't completely understand what this is doing, I could still implement it easily.

https://www.jenkins.io/doc/book/using/remote-access-api/

This software has been around since 2004, but has grown a lot in the past decade and changed from what it had been. It is popular, but some feel like it has many plugins that are obsolete, redundant or are not maintained properly. Many feel there are better more current options.

Real Time Error Monitoring Raygun Features:

Jayden Banks

- Real User Monitoring automatically identify front end performance issues that cause slow pages
- **Understanding user experience:** Retrace individual sessions, see the performance of every request, and understand how users are interacting with your application.
 - Supports Javascript, React, Vue and Angular

Use Raygun's Deployment Tracking capabilities to be alerted to issues caused by bad releases.

Deployment Tracking will allow you to correlate error groups to your deployment versions. Notifying Raygun when you release your software.

You'll be able to instantly spot problematic deployments along with the commits that made up each of them. You'll then be able to see which errors occurred in the deployment version, which were fixed, and which are still occurring.

• Track errors and issues with a bad release

The documentation was very well laid out and I had no issues going through it and gained a basic understanding of the software. Each language gives there unique set-up instructions and I bet I could have this set up in 30 minutes or less.

Part 2

Node runtime.js times

tinyArray

Insert: 37.726 μs Append: 90.497 μs

<u>smallArray</u>

Insert: 50.357 μs Append: 136.253 μs

mediumArray

Insert: 154.965 μs Append: 144.091 μs

largeArray

Insert: 6.213ms Append: 552.303 μs

<u>extraLargeArray</u>

Insert: 831ms Append: 4.00ms

Jayden Banks

At first when using the smaller arrays, it looks like insert is quicker and more efficient (both are quick). After getting to the bigger arrays, you start to see insert fall behind append until its ratio is 200 times slower. Clearly append scales much better than insert because of linear like growth pattern as opposed to inserts exponential looking pattern.

Extra Credit: "Unshift is slower than push because it also needs to unshift all the elements to the left once the first element is added." This means that as the array gets longer and longer the work to unshift 1 element into the array exponentially grows. Append on the other hand only has to focus on getting to the end of the array and adding a new number. Append never worries about what is already in the array and therefore grows in a linear, efficient, and scalable pattern.