

# Lab 8: Data association

**MCHA4400** 

Semester 2 2023

## Introduction

In this lab, you will explore geometric compatibility and geometric matching that will serve as a foundation for the landmark SLAM data association aspects of Assignment 1.



# LLM Tip

You are strongly encouraged to explore the use of Large Language Models (LLMs), such as GPT, PaLM or LLaMa, to assist in completing this activity. Bots with some of these LLMs are available to use via the UoN Mechatronics Slack team, which you can find a link to from Canvas. If you are are unsure how to make the best use of these tools, or are not getting good results, please ask your lab demonstrator for advice.

# 1 Feature bundle density

For a SLAM with point landmarks, we can consider the following state vector:

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\nu} \\ \boldsymbol{\eta} \\ \mathbf{r}_{1/N}^n \\ \mathbf{r}_{2/N}^n \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{r}_{n_L/N}^n \end{bmatrix}, \tag{1}$$

where

$$\nu = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v}_{B/N}^b \\ \boldsymbol{\omega}_{B/N}^b \end{bmatrix}, \tag{2}$$

$$\eta = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{r}_{B/N}^n \\ \mathbf{\Theta}_b^n \end{bmatrix}, \tag{3}$$

are the body-fixed velocities and world-fixed pose of the body, respectively,  $\mathbf{r}_{j/N}^n$  is the position of the  $j^{\text{th}}$  landmark and  $n_L$  is the number of landmarks in the map.

Let  $\mathbf{h}_j(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  denote the pixel coordinates of the feature corresponding to the  $j^{\text{th}}$  landmark as a function of the state  $\mathbf{x}$ , i.e.,

$$\mathbf{h}_{j}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathtt{w2p}(\mathbf{r}_{j/N}^{n}; \mathbf{T}_{c}^{n}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) \tag{4a}$$

$$= v2p((\mathbf{R}_c^n)^{\mathsf{T}}(\mathbf{r}_{j/N}^n - \mathbf{r}_{C/N}^n); \boldsymbol{\theta}), \tag{4b}$$

where  $w2p(\cdot)$  and  $v2p(\cdot)$  are the worldToPixel and vectorToPixel functions, respectively, and the camera pose is related to the body pose as follows:

$$\mathbf{r}_{C/N}^n = \mathbf{R}_b^n \mathbf{r}_{C/B}^b + \mathbf{r}_{B/N}^n, \tag{5a}$$

$$\mathbf{R}_c^n = \mathbf{R}_b^n \mathbf{R}_c^b. \tag{5b}$$

To propagate the uncertainties in the landmark position and camera pose through to uncertainty in the predicted feature location in an image using an affine transform, we will need to compute the Jacobian of  $\mathbf{h}_i(\mathbf{x})$  with respect to  $\mathbf{x}$ . This Jacobian has the following block structure:

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_{j}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{2\times3} & \mathbf{0}_{2\times3} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_{j}}{\partial \mathbf{r}_{B/N}^{n}} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_{j}}{\partial \mathbf{\Theta}_{b}^{n}} & \mathbf{0}_{2\times3} & \cdots & \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_{j}}{\partial \mathbf{r}_{j/N}^{n}} & \cdots & \mathbf{0}_{2\times3} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{2\times(12+3n_{L})}.$$
 (6)

Assuming each feature measurement is independent and Gaussian, we can assume the measurement likelihood  $p(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x})$  is given as a product of Gaussian likelihoods over the association set  $\mathcal{A}$  as follows:

$$p(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{(i,j)\in\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{y}_i; \mathbf{h}_j(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{R}), \tag{7}$$

for some covariance matrix  $\mathbf{R} \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$ .

The association set  $\mathcal{A}$  should satisfy geometric compatibility between the bundle of all feature measurements and feature predictions<sup>1</sup> in the image. To determine compatibility, it will be helpful to have access to the bundle of predicted feature locations,

$$\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{h}_1(\mathbf{x}) \\ \mathbf{h}_2(\mathbf{x}) \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{h}_{n_L}(\mathbf{x}) \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{2n_L}.$$
 (8)

and the bundle Jacobian,

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{h}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_1}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \\ \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_2}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_{n_L}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{2\times3} & \mathbf{0}_{2\times3} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_1}{\partial \mathbf{r}_{B/N}^n} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_1}{\partial \mathbf{\Theta}_b^n} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_1}{\partial \mathbf{r}_{1/N}^n} & \mathbf{0}_{2\times3} & \cdots & \mathbf{0}_{2\times3} \\ \mathbf{0}_{2\times3} & \mathbf{0}_{2\times3} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_2}{\partial \mathbf{r}_{B/N}^n} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_2}{\partial \mathbf{\Theta}_b^n} & \mathbf{0}_{2\times3} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_2}{\partial \mathbf{r}_{2/N}^n} & \cdots & \mathbf{0}_{2\times3} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{0}_{2\times3} & \mathbf{0}_{2\times3} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_{n_L}}{\partial \mathbf{r}_{B/N}^n} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_{n_L}}{\partial \mathbf{\Theta}_b^n} & \mathbf{0}_{2\times3} & \mathbf{0}_{2\times3} & \cdots & \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_{n_L}}{\partial \mathbf{r}_{n_L/N}^n} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{2n_L \times (12+3n_L)}.$$
(9)

#### **Tasks**

- a) Copy the following files from your Lab 7 solution into your Lab 8 working directory:
  - data/camera.xml
  - src/Camera.h
  - src/Camera.cpp
  - src/Gaussian.hpp
  - src/rotation.hpp
  - src/StateSLAM.h
  - src/StateSLAM.cpp

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>obtained from knowledge of the landmarks and camera pose

b) Configure the application for a **Debug** build to ensure all needed files are present and run-time assertions are enabled as follows:

```
Terminal

nerd@basement:~/MCHA4400/lab8$ cmake -G Ninja -B build -DCMAKE_BUILD_TYPE=Debug && cd build
nerd@basement:~/MCHA4400/lab8/build$ ninja
[Build and unit test results]
```

c) Complete the implementation of the template member function StateSLAM::predictFeatureBundle within src/StateSLAM.h, which implements (8) and the member function StateSLAM::predictFeatureBundle within src/StateSLAM.cpp, which also implements (9).



Use StateSLAM::predictFeature to compute each block of (8) and (9) and assemble the result.

# 2 Geometric compatibility

Consider a marginal likelihood for landmark j that is well approximated by a Gaussian, i.e.,

$$p(\mathbf{y}_j) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} p(\mathbf{y}_j | \boldsymbol{\eta}, \mathbf{m}_j) p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \approx \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{y}_j; \boldsymbol{\mu}_j, \mathbf{P}_j), \tag{10}$$

where  $\mu_j$  and  $\mathbf{P}_j$  are the marginal mean and covariance, respectively, of the predicted feature corresponding to landmark j in image coordinates and  $\mathbf{y}_j$  is the measured feature associated with landmark j. The **individual compatibility** of feature i and landmark j is given by

$$(\mathbf{y}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_j)^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{P}_j^{-1} (\mathbf{y}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_j) \le \chi_2^2(c). \tag{11}$$

An association set  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfies individual compatibility if it satisfies (11) for all  $(i,j) \in \mathcal{A}$ .

Let  $\mathbf{S}_j$  be an upper-triangular matrix such that  $\mathbf{S}_j^\mathsf{T}\mathbf{S}_j = \mathbf{P}_j$ . Then, we can test the individual compatibility of feature i with landmark j as follows:

$$\mathbf{w}_{i,j}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{w}_{i,j} \le \chi_2^2(c), \tag{12}$$

where  $\mathbf{w}_{i,j}$  is the solution to the following triangular system of equations:

$$\mathbf{S}_{j}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{w}_{i,j} = \mathbf{y}_{i} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{j}.\tag{13}$$

Consider a marginal likelihood for the association set A that is well-approximated by a Gaussian, i.e.,

$$\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{y}_{\mathcal{A}}) \approx \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{y}_{\mathcal{A}}; \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathbf{P}_{\mathcal{A}}), \tag{14}$$

where  $\mu_{\mathcal{A}}$  and  $\mathbf{P}_{\mathcal{A}}$  are the marginal mean and covariance, respectively, of the predicted feature bundle of all associated landmarks in image coordinates and  $\mathbf{y}_{\mathcal{A}}$  is the measured feature bundle of all associated landmarks. Then, the association set  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfies **joint compatibility** if

$$(\mathbf{y}_{\mathcal{A}} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathcal{A}})^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{P}_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1} (\mathbf{y}_{\mathcal{A}} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathcal{A}}) \le \chi_{2|\mathcal{A}|}^{2}(c), \tag{15}$$

where  $|\mathcal{A}|$  is the cardinality of  $\mathcal{A}$  (number of associations).

Let  $\mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{A}}$  be an upper-triangular matrix such that  $\mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{A}} = \mathbf{P}_{\mathcal{A}}$ . Then, we can test the joint compatibility of  $\mathcal{A}$  as follows:

$$\mathbf{w}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{w}_{\mathcal{A}} \le \chi_{2|\mathcal{A}|}^{2}(c),\tag{16}$$

where  $\mathbf{w}_{\mathcal{A}}$  is the solution to the following triangular system of equations:

$$\mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{w}_{\mathcal{A}} = \mathbf{y}_{\mathcal{A}} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathcal{A}}.\tag{17}$$

An association set  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfies **geometric compatibility** is it satisfies both individual compatibility (11) for all elements of  $\mathcal{A}$  and joint compatibility (15) for  $\mathcal{A}$ .

The total surprisal of the measurement bundle is given by

$$\begin{split} s(\mathbf{y}) &= -\log p(\mathbf{y}) \\ &= -\log \int_{\mathcal{X}} \prod_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{A}} p(\mathbf{y}_i | \boldsymbol{\eta}, \mathbf{m}_j) \prod_{j \notin \mathcal{A}} p(\mathbf{y}_{(\cdot)} | \boldsymbol{\eta}, \mathbf{m}_j) \, p(\mathbf{x}) \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}, \end{split}$$

where

$$p(\mathbf{y}_{(\cdot)}|\boldsymbol{\eta}, \mathbf{m}_j) = \mathcal{U}(\mathbf{y}; \mathcal{Y}) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{Y}|} & \text{if } \mathbf{y}_{(\cdot)} \in \mathcal{Y} \\ 0 & \text{if } \mathbf{y}_{(\cdot)} \notin \mathcal{Y} \end{cases}$$

is the measurement likelihood if landmark j is unassociated with a feature, where  $\mathcal{Y}$  is the image domain. Since this likelihood is independent of the state, we can move these terms outside the integral,

$$s(\mathbf{y}) = -\log \prod_{j \notin \mathcal{A}} p(\mathbf{y}_{(\cdot)} | \boldsymbol{\eta}, \mathbf{m}_j) \int_{\mathcal{X}} \prod_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{A}} p(\mathbf{y}_i | \boldsymbol{\eta}, \mathbf{m}_j) p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}$$

$$= -\sum_{j \notin \mathcal{A}} \log p(\mathbf{y}_{(\cdot)} | \boldsymbol{\eta}, \mathbf{m}_j) - \log \int_{\mathcal{X}} \prod_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{A}} p(\mathbf{y}_i | \boldsymbol{\eta}, \mathbf{m}_j) p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}$$

$$= -\sum_{j \notin \mathcal{A}} \log \frac{1}{|\mathcal{Y}|} - \log \int_{\mathcal{X}} p(\mathbf{y}_{\mathcal{A}} | \mathbf{x}) p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}$$

$$= n_U \log |\mathcal{Y}| - \log p(\mathbf{y}_{\mathcal{A}}),$$

where  $n_U$  is the number of landmarks expected to be visible that weren't associated with any features.

## Tasks

a) Within src/dataAssociation.cpp complete the implementation of individualCompatibility, which checks the compatibility of image feature i with landmark j. Note that the measured feature set  $\mathbf{Y}$  is stored as the following matrix:

$$\mathbf{Y} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{y}_1 & \mathbf{y}_2 & \cdots & \mathbf{y}_m \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times m}. \tag{18}$$



Use Gaussian::marginal to extract the marginal distribution of the predicted feature location corresponding to the  $j^{\text{th}}$  landmark from the provided joint bundle distribution and then use Gaussian::isWithinConfidenceRegion to evaluate (12).

- b) Run ninja and ensure all unit tests within test/src/individualCompatibility.cpp pass.
- c) Within src/dataAssociation.cpp complete the implementation of jointCompatibility, which checks the joint compatibility of a given association set and computes the total surprisal<sup>2</sup> of the measurements.



Use Gaussian::marginal to extract the marginal distribution of the predicted feature bundle of the associated landmarks from the provided joint bundle distribution and then use Gaussian::isWithinConfidenceRegion to evaluate (16). Use Gaussian::log to compute the surprisal of the associated landmarks and add this to the surprisal of the unassociated landmarks.

d) Run ninja and ensure all unit tests within test/src/jointCompatibility.cpp pass.

### 3 Geometric data association

Use the surprisal nearest neighbours (SNN) data association algorithm to produce results similar to Figure 1.

#### **Tasks**

a) In src/imageFeatures.cpp complete the implementation of detectFeatures, which returns the location and feature scores within a std::vector<PointFeature>.



A point feature detector, such as the Harris or the Shi & Tomasi corner detector is recommended.

- b) Review the implementation of associationDemo within src/associationDemo.cpp and snn within src/dataAssociation.cpp, and familiarise yourself with the data association algorithm and its components. These functions are complete as provided, but use many of the functions you have implemented in earlier parts of this lab.
- c) Build and run the application and you should see console output similar to the following:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>i.e., the surprisal for unassociated landmarks plus the surprisal for associated landmarks.



Figure 1: Example of geometric data association in self-similar texture environment. The predicted feature locations of previously established landmarks are shown ('+' markers) with the  $3\sigma$  confidence regions (ellipses). Blue indicates landmarks associated with a feature. Bright red indicates landmarks not associated with any feature. Dark red dots indicate features not associated with any landmark. Green '×' markers indicate features associated with a landmark.

```
nerd@basement:~/MCHA4400/lab8/build$ ninja && ./lab8
  ? features found in GOPR9348.JPG
Landmark O is expected to be within camera FOV
Landmark 1 is expected to be within camera FOV
Landmark 2 is expected to be within camera {\tt FOV}
Landmark 3 is expected to be within camera FOV
Landmark 4 is expected to be within camera FOV
Landmark 5 is expected to be within camera FOV
Landmark 6 is expected to be within camera FOV
Landmark 7 is expected to be within camera FOV
Landmark 8 is expected to be within camera FOV
Surprisal = ???
No feature associated with landmark 0.
No feature associated with landmark 1.
Feature 9432 located at [1679 \, 810] in image matches landmark 2. Feature 9288 located at [1599 \, 301] in image matches landmark 3.
Feature 9707 located at [316 254] in image matches landmark 4.
Feature 585 located at [937 661] in image matches landmark 5.
Feature 1116 located at [1059 783] in image matches landmark 6.
Feature 6858 located at [826 324] in image matches landmark 7.
Feature 3366 located at [1018 170] in image matches landmark 8.
[Display cv::imshow window and wait for keypress]
```

and a plot similar to Figure 1.

d) Once you are happy with the results, switch to a Release build and compare the time taken to perform geometric data association against a Debug build.