# Lecture 8 Relative and matrix condition numbers

Recall that a *problem* is a function  $f: X \to Y$  from a normed space X to a normed space Y, where the X-norm measures the error in the input and the Y-norm measures the error in the output.

#### Relative condition number

The *(relative)* condition number of a problem is a measure of how much the relative error in the input is magnified to cause relative error in the output. The mathematical definition of the relative condition number is

$$\kappa_{f}(\mathbf{x}, \epsilon) \triangleq \sup_{\|\Delta \mathbf{x}\|_{X} \le \epsilon} \frac{\frac{\|f(\mathbf{x} + \Delta \mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{x})\|_{Y}}{\|f(\mathbf{x})\|_{Y}}}{\frac{\|\Delta \mathbf{x}\|_{X}}{\|\mathbf{x}\|_{Y}}} = \sup_{\|\Delta \mathbf{x}\|_{X} \le \epsilon} \frac{\|f(\mathbf{x} + \Delta \mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{x})\|_{Y}}{\|f(\mathbf{x})\|_{Y}} \frac{\|\mathbf{x}\|_{X}}{\|\Delta \mathbf{x}\|_{X}}$$

This gives us a bound on relative errors: if  $\|\Delta \mathbf{x}\|_X \leq \epsilon$  we have

$$\frac{\|f(\mathbf{x} + \Delta \mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{x})\|_{Y}}{\|f(\mathbf{x})\|_{Y}} \le \kappa_{f}(\mathbf{x}, \epsilon) \frac{\|\Delta \mathbf{x}\|_{X}}{\|\mathbf{x}\|_{X}}$$

### **Matrix condition numbers**

In this section we will always use the 2-norm. Define the condition number of a matrix as

$$\kappa(A) = \|A\| \|A^{-1}\|$$

If A is not invertible, then the condition number is infinite. We see that this gives a bound on the condition numbers of several matrix-vector problems:

Example 1

For a given  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , consider the matrix-vector problem where we measure the error in the vector:

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = A\mathbf{x}$$

The condition number is

$$\kappa_f(\mathbf{x}, \epsilon) = \sup_{\|\Delta \mathbf{x}\| \le \epsilon} \frac{\|A(\mathbf{x} + \Delta \mathbf{x}) - A\mathbf{x}\|}{\|A\mathbf{x}\|} \frac{\|\mathbf{x}\|}{\|\Delta \mathbf{x}\|} = \frac{\|\mathbf{x}\|}{\|A\mathbf{x}\|} \sup_{\|\Delta \mathbf{x}\| \le \epsilon} \frac{\|A\Delta \mathbf{x}\|}{\|\Delta \mathbf{x}\|} = \frac{\|\mathbf{x}\|}{\|A\mathbf{x}\|} \|A\|$$

We can bound this by the condition number:

$$\kappa_f(\mathbf{x}, \epsilon) = \frac{\|A^{-1}A\mathbf{x}\|}{\|A\mathbf{x}\|} \|A\| \le \frac{\|A^{-1}\| \|A\mathbf{x}\|}{\|A\mathbf{x}\|} \|A\| = \|A^{-1}\| \|A\| = \kappa(A)$$

For a given  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , consider the matrix-vector problem where we measure the error in the *matrix*:

$$f(A) = A\mathbf{x}$$

We can bound the condition number of the problem by the condition number of the matrix

$$\kappa_f(A,\epsilon) = \sup_{\|\Delta A\| \le \epsilon} \frac{\|(A+\Delta A)\mathbf{x} - A\mathbf{x}\|}{\|A\mathbf{x}\|} \frac{\|A\|}{\|\Delta A\|} = \frac{\|A\|}{\|A\mathbf{x}\|} \sup_{\|\Delta A\| \le \epsilon} \frac{\|\Delta A\mathbf{x}\|}{\|\Delta A\|} \le \frac{\|A\|}{\|A\mathbf{x}\|} \sup_{\|\Delta A\| \le \epsilon} \frac{\|\Delta A\|\|\mathbf{x}\|}{\|\Delta A\|}$$

Example 3

The matrix-inverse problem

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = A^{-1}\mathbf{x}$$

has a condition number also bounded by  $\kappa(A)$ .

#### **Condition numbers in Julia**

We now do some experiments on condition numbers. First consider a random matrix A, using the command cond to calculate the condition number:

```
In [74]:
```

```
A=rand(50,50);
cond(A) # condition number A, using induced 2-norm
```

Out[74]:

6770.353833509765

This is equivalent to the following:

In [75]:

```
norm(A)*norm(inv(A))
```

Out[75]:

6770.353833509197

In [76]:

```
norm(A,2)*norm(inv(A),2)
```

Out[76]:

6770.353833509197

We can bound the relative error of matrix-vector multiplication using the condition number:

```
In [78]:
```

```
 \begin{array}{l} n=50 \\ x=rand(n) \\ \Delta x=0.0001*rand(n) \\ \\ norm(A*x-A*(x+\Delta x))/norm(A*x) \quad \text{, } cond(A)*norm(\Delta x)/norm(x) \\ \end{array}
```

```
Out[78]:
(0.00010672805085198968,0.6895570969146974)
```

The bound in this example is very pessimistic. We can also use it to bound the error to perturbation in A:

```
In [79]:
```

```
\Delta A=0.0001*rand(n,n) norm(A*x-(A+\Delta A)*x)/norm(A*x) , cond(A)*norm(\Delta A)/norm(A)
```

Out[79]:

(0.00010068814777527139,0.6797522163177864)

## **Hilbert matrix**

A notorious matrix with extremely bad conditioning is the *Hilbert matrix*, which is constant on the anti-diagonals:

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{3} & \cdots & \frac{1}{n} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{4} & \cdots & \frac{1}{n+1} \\ \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{5} & \cdots & \frac{1}{n+2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{n} & \frac{1}{n+1} & \frac{1}{n+2} & \cdots & \frac{1}{2n-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

We can create it with the following for loop:

```
In [80]:
n=5
H=zeros(n,n)
for k=1:(2n-1)
    for j=1:k
        if (k-j+1) \le n \& \& (j \le n)
             H[k-j+1,j]=1/k
        end
    end
end
Η
Out[80]:
5x5 Array{Float64,2}:
 1.0
           0.5
                      0.333333 0.25
                                            0.2
 0.5
           0.333333 0.25
                                            0.166667
                                 0.2
 0.333333 0.25
                      0.2
                                 0.166667
                                            0.142857
 0.25
           0.2
                      0.166667 0.142857
                                            0.125
```

Even for a moderate value of n, the condition number is very bad:

0.166667 0.142857

```
In [82]:
```

0.2

```
cond(H)
Out[82]:
476607.2502422621
```

0.111111

0.125

Again, the condition number gives a (very pecimmistic bound)

```
In [93]:
```

```
 \begin{split} x &= \text{ones}(n) \\ \Delta x &= 0.00001 * (-1.0) \cdot ^{\circ}(1:n) \\ \text{norm}(H * (x + \Delta x) - H * x) / \text{norm}(H * x) \text{, } \text{cond}(H) * \text{norm}(\Delta x) / \text{norm}(x) \end{split}
```

```
Out[93]:
(3.012468075974052e-6,4.766072502422621)
```

But certain vectors produce much worse error, still bounded by the condition number:

```
In [94]:
x=[0.006173863456720333,-0.11669274684770821,0.50616365835256,-0.76719119308
51485,0.3762455454339546]
```

```
\Delta x=0.00001*(-1.0).^(1:n)
```

 $norm(H*(x+\Delta x)-H*x)/norm(H*x)$ ,  $cond(H)*norm(\Delta x)/norm(x)$ 

```
Out[94]:
(2.8753568306615302,10.657262101109513)
```

## Simple 2x2 example

This simple 2x2 example demonstrates why special vectors can cause large relative errors:

```
In [95]:
```

```
A = [1. \ 0.0001; \\ 1.3 \ 0.0001]
x = [0.,1.]
\Delta x = 0.0001 \text{rand}(2)
norm(A*x-A*(x+\Delta x))/norm(A*x) , cond(A)*norm(\Delta x)/norm(x)
```

```
Out[95]:
(0.2401683222870867,3.2384200040168505)
```

A generic vector doesn't have the same error, however: condition number is always just an upper bound.

#### In [96]:

```
A=[1.\ 0.0001; \\ 1.3\ 0.0001] x=[1.,1.] \Delta x=0.0001 \\ rand(2) norm(A*x-A*(x+\Delta x))/norm(A*x) , cond(A)*norm(\Delta x)/norm(x)
```

```
Out[96]:
(6.957056820336793e-5,7.684024504106144)
```