

# Advanced Risk Management

## Exercises Week 4

1. Suppose that  $R_t = (R_{1t}, R_{2t})'$  is a vector of returns, and we model its conditional covariance matrix  $\Sigma_{t+1}$  by:

$$\Sigma_{t+1} = R_t R_t' = \begin{bmatrix} R_{1t}^2 & R_{1t}R_{2t} \\ R_{1t}R_{2t} & R_{2t}^2 \end{bmatrix},$$

or in other words

$$\sigma_{ij,t+1} = \text{Cov}_t(R_{i,t+1}, R_{j,t+1}) = R_{it}R_{jt}, \quad i, j = 1, 2.$$

This is an extreme example ( $m = 1$ ) of an equally-weighted moving average:

$$\sigma_{ij,t+1} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{\tau=1}^m R_{i,t+1-\tau} R_{j,t+1-\tau}.$$

Show that  $\Sigma_{t+1}$  is positive semi-definite, but not positive definite.

*Note:* the same result will appear if we use an  $m$ -period moving average for  $n$  assets, with  $m < n$ : in that case one can find portfolios  $w_t$  with  $w_t' \Sigma_{t+1} w_t = 0$ .

2. Show that the EWMA covariance matrix can be expressed as

$$\Sigma_{t+1} = (1 - \lambda) \sum_{\tau=1}^{\infty} \lambda^{\tau-1} R_{t+1-\tau} R_{t+1-\tau}',$$

where  $R_t = (R_{1t}, \dots, R_{nt})'$ , an  $n$ -vector of returns. Show also that  $\Sigma_{t+1}$  is positive definite.

3. Suppose that  $z_t$  is i.i.d. with the following discrete distribution:

$$z_t = \begin{cases} +1, & \text{with probability } \frac{1}{2}, \\ -1, & \text{with probability } \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases}$$

This implies  $E(z_t) = 0$ ,  $\text{Var}(z_t) = 1$ ,  $E(z_t^3) = 0$ ,  $E(z_t^4) = 1$ . This means that if  $R_{t+1} = \sigma_{t+1} z_{t+1}$ , then the conditional distribution of  $R_{t+1}$  given information at time  $t$  is symmetric around 0, with variance  $\sigma_{t+1}^2$ . We wish to investigate the (discrete) distribution of the two-day return  $R_{t+1:t+2}$ , which can be derived from a (possibly non-recombining) two-step binomial tree.

- (a) Suppose that  $\sigma_{t+1} = \sigma_{t+2} = 1$ , i.e., the variance is constant (no GARCH). Derive the distribution of  $R_{t+1:t+2}$ , and its first four moments (mean, variance, skewness, kurtosis).
- (b) Next, let  $\sigma_{t+1} = 1$  but let  $\sigma_{t+2}^2$  be generated by the GARCH model

$$\sigma_{t+2}^2 = 0.4 + 0.21 R_{t+1}^2 + 0.6 \sigma_{t+1}^2.$$

Derive again the distribution of  $R_{t+1:t+2}$ , and its first four moments. Explain why, unlike the case of Question (a), we now have  $\text{Var}_t(R_{t+1:t+2}) > 2\sigma_{t+1}^2$ . Also, compare the kurtosis in the two cases.

(c) Finally,  $\sigma_{t+1} = 1$  but  $\sigma_{t+2}^2$  is generated by the GJR-GARCH model

$$\sigma_{t+2}^2 = 0.4 + 0.44I_{t+1}R_{t+1}^2 + 0.6\sigma_{t+1}^2,$$

where  $I_{t+1} = 1$  if  $R_{t+1} < 0$ , and zero otherwise. Derive again the distribution of  $R_{t+1:t+2}$ , and its first four moments; compare these moments with cases (a) and (b).

4. Suppose that  $z = (z_1, z_2)'$  is a vector with mean zero, variances 1, and covariance/correlation matrix

$$\Upsilon = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \rho \\ \rho & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- (a) The Cholesky decomposition of a positive definite matrix  $\Upsilon$  is  $\Upsilon = \mathbf{L}\mathbf{L}'$ , where  $\mathbf{L}$  is a so-called lower triangular matrix, which means that it has elements 0 above the diagonal. Check that

$$\mathbf{L} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \rho & \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} \end{bmatrix}$$

satisfies the defining property  $\Upsilon = \mathbf{L}\mathbf{L}'$ .

- (b) Let  $z_1^u$  and  $z_2^u$  be two independent  $N(0, 1)$  random variables, and define

$$\begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{L} \begin{pmatrix} z_1^u \\ z_2^u \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} z_1^u \\ \rho z_1^u + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} z_2^u \end{pmatrix}.$$

Check that  $(z_1, z_2)'$  has covariance matrix  $\Upsilon$ , so that in particular,  $\text{Var}(z_1) = \text{Var}(z_2) = 1$  and  $\text{Cov}(z_1, z_2) = \rho$ .

5. Discuss the possible advantages and disadvantages of Monte Carlo simulation versus filtered historical simulation.