

Derivation of the Black-Scholes formula from the binomial tree

- This notebook is an extension of the week 5 slides for Computational Finance. It includes additional steps of the derivation of the Black-Scholes formula from the binomial tree for a European call option.

- The derivation is divided in two parts:

- We start with deriving the closed form expression for European call options based on a given tree.
 - Next, we let $N \rightarrow \infty$ to derive the Black-Scholes formula.

A Closed Form for European Options

- The price of a European option

$$C_0 = e^{-rT} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}} [\max(S_T - K, 0)]$$

depends only on S_T , so there is no need to use a tree explicitly to evaluate it.

- Let k denote the number of up moves of the stock, so that $N - k$ is the number of down moves. Then

$$S_T = S_0 u^k d^{N-k} = u^k u^{-(N-k)} = S_0 u^{2k-N},$$

where we use that $u = 1/d = d^{-1}$.

- Under \mathbb{Q} , $k \sim \text{Bin}(N, p)$, with pmf $f(k; N, p) = \binom{N}{k} p^k (1-p)^{N-k}$. Thus

$$C_0 = e^{-rT} \sum_{k=0}^N f(k; N, p) \max(S_0 u^k d^{N-k} - K, 0).$$

- Note that this is an extension of the one period case: if $N = 1$, then $f(0; 1, p) = (1-p)$ and $f(1; 1, p) = p$ and we get the risk-neutral pricing formulas

- For the cases that $S_T > K$, the maximum in the sum is zero and adds nothing to the value of the option. Therefore, we only have to consider the non-zero pay-offs, i.e. when $S_T > K$. Since the final payoff only increases in k , we need to find the values of k for which this holds to truncate the sum.

- Let a denote the minimum number of up moves so that $S_T > K$, i.e., it should hold that

$$\begin{aligned} S_T &= S_0 u^{2k-N} > K \\ u^{2k-N} &> K/S_0, \end{aligned}$$

taking logs on both sides gives

$$\begin{aligned} (2k - N) \log(u) &> \log(K/S_0) \\ 2k - N &> \log(K/S_0)/\log(u) \\ k &> N/2 + \log(K/S_0)/(2 \log u). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $S_T > K$ if k is any of the integers greater than $N/2 + \log(K/S_0)/(2 \log u)$. Note that Hull (2012) uses $\log(K/S_0) = -\log(S_0/K)$.

- Let a denote the minimum number of up moves so that $S_T > K$, i.e., it should hold that a is the smallest integer greater than $N/2 + \log(K/S_0)/(2 \log u)$. Then

$$C_0 = e^{-rT} \sum_{k=a}^N f(k; N, p) [S_0 u^k d^{N-k} - K].$$

- We can divide this into the sum of two terms:

$$C_0 = e^{-rT} \sum_{k=a}^N f(k; N, p) S_0 u^k d^{N-k} - e^{-rT} \sum_{k=a}^N f(k; N, p) K.$$

- The *second term* is

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\sum_{k=a}^N f(k; N, p) \right] e^{-rT} K &= \left[\sum_{k=a}^N f(k; N, p) \right] e^{-rT} K \\ &= [1 - F(a-1; N, p)] e^{-rT} K \\ &= \bar{F}(a-1; N, p) e^{-rT} K, \end{aligned}$$

where F is the binomial cdf and \bar{F} is the survivor function.

- To derive the above expression, we use (i) that the binomial cdf is (by definition) $F(x; N, p) = \sum_{k=1}^x f(k; N, p)$, (ii) that $F(x; N, p) = 1$ because the probabilities should sum to one, and (iii) that the survivor function is $\bar{F}(k; N, p) = 1 - F(k; N, p)$.

- Let $p_* = \frac{pu}{pu+(1-p)d} = e^{-r\delta t} pu$, where we use that $pu + (1-p)d = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[R_i] = e^{r\delta t}$. Note that

$$1 - p_* = 1 - \frac{pu}{pu+(1-p)d} = \frac{(1-p)d}{pu+(1-p)d} = e^{-r\delta t} (1-p)d.$$

- The *first term* of the sum is

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-rT} S_0 \sum_{k=a}^N \binom{N}{k} p^k (1-p)^{N-k} u^k d^{N-k} &= S_0 \sum_{k=a}^N \binom{N}{k} [e^{-r\delta t} pu]^k [e^{-r\delta t} (1-p)d]^{N-k} \\ &= S_0 \sum_{k=a}^N \binom{N}{k} p_*^k (1-p_*)^{N-k}, \end{aligned}$$

where we use that $e^{rT} = e^{r\delta t N} = e^{r\delta t k} e^{r\delta t (N-k)}$.

- Then, in the same as how we got the expression for the second term, we have that the first term can be written as

$$S_0 \sum_{k=a}^N \binom{N}{k} p_*^k (1-p_*)^{N-k} = S_0 \bar{F}(a-1; N, p_*).$$

- Putting things together,

$$\begin{aligned} C_0 &= S_0 \bar{F}(a-1; N, p_*) - \bar{F}(a-1; N, p) e^{-rT} K \\ &= S_0 \mathbb{Q}^*(S_T > K) - \mathbb{Q}(S_T > K) e^{-rT} K. \end{aligned}$$

The Black-Scholes Formula as Continuous Time Limit

- Let's consider what happens if we let $N \rightarrow \infty$
- A first-order Taylor expansion, together with l'Hopital's rule, can be used to show that, for small δt ,

$$p \approx \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \sqrt{\delta t} \frac{r - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2}{\sigma} \right).$$

- Similarly,

$$p^* \approx \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \sqrt{\delta t} \frac{r + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2}{\sigma} \right).$$

- Next, Let $X_T \equiv \log S_T$. Then, because R_i is either $\log u$ or $\log d = -\log u$, and since $\log u = \sigma \sqrt{\delta t}$ from the tree calibration, we have

$$\begin{aligned} X_T &= \log S_0 + \sum_{i=1}^N R_i = \log S_0 + k \log u + (N-k) \log d \\ &= \log S_0 + (2k - N) \log u \\ &= \log S_0 + \sigma \sqrt{\delta t} (2k - N). \end{aligned}$$

- As $k \sim \text{Bin}(N, p)$, we have $\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[k] = Np$ and $\text{var}^{\mathbb{Q}}[k] = Np(1-p)$.
- Thus,

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[X_T] = \log S_0 + \sigma \sqrt{\delta t} N (2p - 1) \rightarrow \log S_0 + (r - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2) T$$

$$\text{Var}^{\mathbb{Q}}[X_T] = \sigma^2 \delta t 4 N p (1-p) \rightarrow \sigma^2 T.$$

The derivation of both the expected value and the variance are below.

- The expected value of X_T is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[X_T] &= \log S_0 + \sigma \sqrt{\delta t} (2 \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[k] - N) \\ &= \log S_0 + \sigma \sqrt{\delta t} (2 N p - N) \\ &= \log S_0 + \sigma \sqrt{\delta t} N (2p - 1) \end{aligned}$$

- As we let $N \rightarrow \infty$, this converges to (\rightarrow means converges to)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[X_T] &= \log S_0 + \sigma \sqrt{\delta t} N (2p - 1) \\ &\rightarrow \log S_0 + \sigma \sqrt{\delta t} N \left(2 \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \sqrt{\delta t} \frac{r - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2}{\sigma} \right) \right] - 1 \right) \\ &= \log S_0 + \sigma \sqrt{\delta t} N \sqrt{\delta t} \frac{r - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2}{\sigma} \\ &= \log S_0 + (r - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2) T, \end{aligned}$$

where we fill in the approximation for p (if $N \rightarrow \infty$) that we derived before and use that $\delta t = T/N$ such that $T = N \delta t$.

- We can similarly derive the expression for the variance.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}^{\mathbb{Q}}[X_T] &= \text{Var}^{\mathbb{Q}}[\sigma \sqrt{\delta t} (2k - N)] \\ &= \sigma^2 \delta t \text{Var}^{\mathbb{Q}}[(2k - N)] \\ &= \sigma^2 \delta t 4 \text{Var}^{\mathbb{Q}}[k] \\ &= \sigma^2 \delta t 4 N p (1-p), \end{aligned}$$

using that the variance of a constant (e.g. $\log S_0$ or N) is zero.

- Before we take the limit of the variance, note that if $N \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$1 - p \approx 1 - \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \sqrt{\delta t} \frac{r - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2}{\sigma} \right) = 1 - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\sqrt{\delta t} \frac{r - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2}{\sigma} \right) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\sqrt{\delta t} \frac{r - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2}{\sigma} \right) = \frac{1}{2}$$

- Next, let's work out the limit of $p(1-p)$ if $N \rightarrow \infty$. Define $A \equiv \sqrt{\delta t} \frac{r - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2}{\sigma}$. We have that if $N \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$p(1-p) \approx \frac{1}{2} (1+A) \frac{1}{2} (1-A) = \frac{1}{4} (1-A+A-A^2) = \frac{1}{4} (1-A^2) \rightarrow \frac{1}{4},$$

because

$$A^2 = \delta t \left(\frac{r - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2}{\sigma} \right)^2 \rightarrow 0,$$

since $\delta t \rightarrow 0$ and $\left(\frac{r - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2}{\sigma} \right)^2$ is a constant.

- As we let $N \rightarrow \infty$, the variance converges to

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}^{\mathbb{Q}}[X_T] &= \sigma^2 \delta t 4 N p (1-p) \\ &\rightarrow \sigma^2 \delta t 4 N \frac{1}{4} \\ &= \sigma^2 T, \end{aligned}$$

where we use that $\delta t = T/N$ such that $T = N \delta t$.

- Finally, as $N \rightarrow \infty$, the distribution of X_T tends to a normal. This follows from the *central limit theorem* and the fact that X_T is the sum of N i.i.d. terms.

- Thus, as $N \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{Q}(S_T > K) &= \mathbb{Q}(X_T > \log K) = \mathbb{Q} \left(\frac{X_T - \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[X_T]}{\sqrt{\text{Var}^{\mathbb{Q}}[X_T]}} > \frac{\log K - \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[X_T]}{\sqrt{\text{Var}^{\mathbb{Q}}[X_T]}} \right) \\ &= 1 - \Phi \left(\frac{\log K - \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[X_T]}{\sqrt{\text{Var}^{\mathbb{Q}}[X_T]}} \right) =: 1 - \Phi(-d_2) = \Phi(d_2), \text{ where} \\ d_2 &\equiv \frac{\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[X_T] - \log K}{\sqrt{\text{Var}^{\mathbb{Q}}[X_T]}} = \frac{\log(S_0/K) + (r - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2) T}{\sigma \sqrt{T}}. \end{aligned}$$

- In the derivation, we use that X_T is normally distributed, such that $Z_T = \frac{X_T - \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[X_T]}{\sqrt{\text{Var}^{\mathbb{Q}}[X_T]}} \sim N(0, 1)$. Further, $1 - \Phi(-d_2) = 1 - (1 - \Phi(d_2)) = \Phi(d_2)$ holds due to symmetry of the standard normal distribution around zero.

- The same argument can be used to show that as $N \rightarrow \infty$, $\mathbb{Q}^*(S_T > K) = \Phi(d_1)$, where

$$d_1 \equiv d_2 + \sigma \sqrt{T} = \frac{\log(S_0/K) + (r + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2) T}{\sigma \sqrt{T}}.$$

- In summary, we have derived the *Black-Scholes formula*

$$\begin{aligned} C_0 &= S_0 \Phi(d_1) - e^{-rT} K \Phi(d_2) \\ &=: BS(S_0, K, T, r, \sigma). \end{aligned}$$