

### Question 1

Provide a table equivalent to GM (2016)'s table 1 with excluding two acquisition rows and including Ln (Cash) and Performance-related exit. Briefly summarize the results and compare my own table (based on new data) to those of GM (2016).

The required variables:

Ln (Assets), Return on assets, Debt/Assets (Book Leverage), 3-year asset CAGR, stock volatility, cash flow volatility, ln (Cash), Performance-related exit

### Question 2

Replicate Table 2 of GM (2016)

The required variables:

Stock volatility, Performance-related exit, Operating asset volatility, cash flow volatility, Ln (Cash), BC law

### Question 3

Run the regression for two different dependent variables

- (1) Stock volatility
- (2) Performance-related exit

$$y_{ijlst} = \sum_{\tau=-5}^{+8} \beta_{\tau} 1_{\{t=T_s+\tau\}} + \alpha_i + \delta_{lt}$$

where

$\alpha_i$  = firm fixed effects

$\delta_{lt}$  = state-by-year fixed effects

$1_{\{t=T_s+\tau\}}$  = a dummy variable equal to 1 if the current year t of the observation is years after the adoption

of a BC law, equal to 0 otherwise

Define 14 new dummy variables as independent variables

Report the coefficients  $\beta_\tau$  in a table (2 columns for 2 dependent variables)

Plot them against event time  $\tau$

Interpret the coefficients

#### Question 4

Produce a table identical to GM's Table 2 with replacing the dependent variable with various measures of leverage:

- (1) Book leverage = (debt in current liabilities + long-term debt) / total assets =  $(dlc + dltt) / at$
- (2) Market leverage =  $(dlc + dltt) / (at - seq + csho * prcc\_f)$
- (3) Short-term leverage = debt in current liabilities / total assets =  $dlc / at$
- (4) Net leverage = (debt in current liabilities + long-term debt – short-term investments) / total assets =  $(dlc + dltt - ivst) / at$

Could a decrease in leverage be interpreted as “playing it safe”?

Do you find results consistent with GM's hypothesis?

The required variables: dlc, dltt, at, seq, csho, prcc\_f, ivst

#### Question 5

Provide two robustness checks of the main results (Table 2):

1. Run the regressions on the sample period 1976-1995

2. Run the regressions on the full sample period, but exclude financial firms

## **WRDS**

1976-2006

### **CompStat North America – Fundamentals Annual: State, Incorp, financial variables**

State, incorp, at, dlc, dltd, seq, csho, prcc\_f, ivst, ch, ni, lt

the state of location = state

the state of incorporation = incorp

Ln (Assets) = ln (at)

Return on Assets = ROA = ni / at

Debt/Assets = Book Leverage = (dlc + dltd) / at

3-year asset CAGR =  $\left( \left( \frac{at_t}{at_{t-3}} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} - 1 \right) * 100$

Ln(cash) = ln(ch)

### **CompStat North America – Fundamentals Quarterly: cash flow volatility**

Cash flow volatility = the annual SD of firm's quarterly ratio of (operating) cash flow to assets

Cash flows, assets = oancfy, atq

### **CRSP daily stock file: stock return volatility, performance-related exit**

Stock volatility = the square root of the sum of squared daily stock returns over the year

Adjusting for differences in the number of trading days: (the raw sum \* 252) / the number of trading days

Operating asset volatility = Stock volatility \*  $[(csho * prcc\_f) / (1t + (csho*prcc\_f) - ch)]$

Performance-related exit (indicator) = delisting codes 400-500, 550, 552, 560, 561, 572, 574, 580, 584

Ret, dlstd, (dlret)

**Code the BC law using the Table A.1 in the article of GM (2016)**

BC law = 1 if the state has passed a BC law by year t

BC law = 0 otherwise