# In-EVM Mina State Verification

## Technical Reference

Alisa Cherniaeva

a.cherniaeva@nil.foundation

=nil; Crypto3 (https://crypto3.nil.foundation)

Ilia Shirobokov

i.shirobokov@nil.foundation

=nil; Crypto3 (https://crypto3.nil.foundation)

Mikhail Komarov

nemo@nil.foundation

=nil; Foundation (https://nil.foundation)

January 18, 2023

# Contents

| 1 | Inti                           | roduction                                      | 2  |  |
|---|--------------------------------|--|----|--|
|   | 1.1                            | Overview                                       | 2  |  |
| 2 | State Proof Generator          |  |    |  |
|   | 2.1                            | Introduction                                   | 3  |  |
|   | 2.2                            | Mina Verification Algorithm                    | 3  |  |
|   |                                | 2.2.1 Pasta Curves                             | 3  |  |
|   |                                | 2.2.2 Verification Algorithm                   | 4  |  |
|   | 2.3                            | Elliptic Curve Arithmetic                      | 6  |  |
|   |                                | 2.3.1 Complete Addition and Doubling           | 6  |  |
|   |                                | 2.3.2 Variable Base Scalar Multiplication      | 7  |  |
|   |                                | 2.3.3 Variable Base Endo-Scalar Multiplication | 11 |  |
|   | 2.4                            | Multi-Scalar Multiplication Circuit            | 12 |  |
|   | 2.5                            | Poseidon Circuit                               | 13 |  |
|   | 2.6                            | Other Circuits                                 | 13 |  |
|   |                                | 2.6.1 Endo-Scalar Computation                  | 13 |  |
|   | 2.7                            | Proof Verification Component                   | 14 |  |
| 3 | In-EVM State Proof Verifier 19 |  |    |  |
|   | 3.1                            | Verification Logic Architecture                | 19 |  |
|   | 3.2                            | Verification Logic API Reference               | 19 |  |
|   | 3.3                            | Input Data Structures                          | 19 |  |
| 4 | App                            | pendix A. In-EVM Mina State                    | 20 |  |
|   | $4.1^{-1}$                     | Overview                                       | 20 |  |
|   |                                | 4.1.1 Purpose                                  | 21 |  |
| D | blio                           | reaphy   | 22 |  |

## Chapter 1

## Introduction

This document is a technical reference to the in-EVM Mina state verification project.

## 1.1 Overview

The project's purpose is to provide Ethereum users with reliable Mina Protocol's state proof. The project UX consists of several steps:

- 1. Retrieve Mina Protocol's state proof.
- 2. Preprocess it by generating an auxiliary proof.
- 3. Submit the preprocessed proof to EVM-enabled cluster.
- 4. Verify the proof with EVM.

Such a UX defines projects parts:

- 1. Mina Protocol's state retriever (O(1) Labs' or Chainsafe's protocol implementation).
- 2. State proof generator.
- 3. Ethereum RPC proof submitter.
- 4. EVM-based proof verificator.

The overall architecture diagram is as follows:

Each of these parts will be considered independently.

## Chapter 2

## State Proof Generator

This introduces a description for Mina Protocol's state auxiliary proof generator. Crucial components which define this part design and performance are:

- 1. Input data format (Pickles proof data structure: 2.2.2)
- 2. Proof system used for the proof generation.
- 3. Circuit definition used for the proof system.

## 2.1 Introduction

To prove Mina blockchain's state on the Ethereum Virtual Machine, we use Redshift SNARK[1]. RedShift is a transparent SNARK that uses PLONK[2] proof system but replaces the commitment scheme. The authors utilize FRI[3] protocol to obtain transparency for the PLONK system.

However, FRI cannot be straightforwardly used with the PLONK system. To achieve the required security level without huge overheads, the authors introduce *list polynomial commitment* scheme as a part of the protocol. For more details, we refer the reader to [1].

The original RedShift protocol utilizes the classic PLONK[2] system. To provide better performance, we generilize the original protocol for use with PLONK with custom gates [4], [5] and lookup arguments [6], [7].<sup>1</sup>

## 2.2 Mina Verification Algorithm

WIP

### 2.2.1 Pasta Curves

Let  $n_1 = 17$ ,  $n_2 = 16$ . Pasta curves parameters:

- $p = 2^{254} + 45560315531419706090280762371685220353$
- $q = 2^{254} + 45560315531506369815346746415080538113$
- Pallas:

$$\mathbb{G}_1 = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{F}_p | y^2 = x^3 + 5\}$$
$$|\mathbb{G}_1| = q$$

• Vesta:

$$\mathbb{G}_2 = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{F}_q | y^2 = x^3 + 5\}$$
  
 $|\mathbb{G}_2| = p$ 

 $<sup>^{1}</sup> Details \ on \ the \ proof \ system: \ https://github.com/NilFoundation/evm-mina-verification/tree/master/docs/proof_system$ 

#### Verification Algorithm 2.2.2

#### Notations

| $N_{\mathtt{wires}}$       | Number of wires ('advice columns')                            |
|----------------------------|---|
| $N_{\mathtt{perm}}$        | Number of wires that are included in the permutation argument |
| $N_{\mathtt{prev}}$        | Number of previous challenges                                 |
| $S_{\sigma_i}(\mathbf{X})$ | Permutation polynomials for $0 \le i < N_{\tt perm}$          |
| pub(X)                     | Public input polynomial                                       |
| $w_i(X)$                   | Witness polynomials for $0 \le i < N_{\tt wires}$             |
| $\eta_i(X)$                | Previous challenges polynomials for $0 \le i < N_{\tt prev}$  |
| $\omega$                   | <i>n</i> -th root of unity                                    |

Denote multi-scalar multiplication  $\sum_{s_i \in \mathbf{s}, G_i \in \mathbf{G}} [s_i] G_i$  by  $\mathtt{MSM}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{G})$  for  $l_{\mathbf{s}} = l_{\mathbf{G}}$  where  $l_{\mathbf{s}} = |\mathbf{s}|, l_{\mathbf{G}} = |\mathbf{G}|$ . If  $l_{\mathbf{s}} < l_{\mathbf{G}}$ , then we use only first  $l_{\mathbf{s}}$  elements of  $\mathbf{G}$ 

**Proof**  $\pi$  constains (here  $\mathbb{F}_r$  is a scalar field of  $\mathbb{G}$ ):

- Commitments:
  - Witness polynomials:  $w_{0,\text{comm}},...,w_{N_{\text{wires}},\text{comm}} \in \mathbb{G}$
  - Permutation polynomial:  $z_{comm} \in \mathbb{G}$
  - Quotinent polynomial:  $t_{\texttt{comm}} = (t_{1,\texttt{comm}}, t_{2,\texttt{comm}}, ..., t_{N_{\texttt{perm}},\texttt{comm}}) \in (\mathbb{G}^{N_{\texttt{perm}}} \times \mathbb{G})$
- Evaluations:
  - $w_0(\zeta), ..., w_{N_{\text{wires}}}(\zeta) \in \mathbb{F}_r$
  - $w_0(\zeta\omega), ..., w_{N_{\text{wires}}}(\zeta\omega) \in \mathbb{F}_r$
  - $z(\zeta), z(\zeta\omega) \in \mathbb{F}_r$
  - $$\begin{split} \bullet \ S_{\sigma_0}(\zeta),...,S_{\sigma_{N_{\mathrm{perm}}}}(\zeta) \in \mathbb{F}_r \\ \bullet \ S_{\sigma_0}(\zeta\omega),...,S_{\sigma_{N_{\mathrm{perm}}}}(\zeta\omega) \in \mathbb{F}_r \end{split}$$

  - $\bar{L}(\zeta\omega) \in \mathbb{F}_r^2$
- Opening proof  $o_{\pi}$  for inner product argument:
  - $(L_i, R_i) \in \mathbb{G} \times \mathbb{G}$  for  $0 \le i < lr_rounds$
  - $\delta, \hat{G} \in \mathbb{G}$
  - $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{F}_r$
- previous challenges:
  - $\{\eta_i(\xi_j)\}_j, \eta_{i,\text{comm}}, \text{ for } 0 \leq i < \text{prev}$

**Remark**: For simplicity, we do not use distinct proofs index i for each element in the algorithm below. For instance, we write  $pub_{comm}$  instead of  $pub_{i,comm}$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>See https://o1-labs.github.io/mina-book/crypto/plonk/maller\_15.html

#### Algorithm 1 Verification

```
Input: \pi_0, \ldots, \pi_{\mathtt{batch\_size}} (see 2.2.2)
 Output: acc or rej
             1. for each \pi_i:
                              1.1 pub_{comm} = MSM(\mathbf{L}, pub) \in \mathbb{G}, where \mathbf{L} is Lagrange bases vector
                              1.2 random_oracle(p_{\text{comm}}, \pi_i):
                                          1.2.1 H_{\mathbb{F}_q}.absorb(pub_{\mathtt{comm}}||w_{0,\mathtt{comm}}||...||w_{N_{\mathtt{wires}},\mathtt{comm}})
                                          1.2.2 \ \beta, \gamma = H_{\mathbb{F}_q}.\mathtt{squeeze}()
                                         1.2.3 H_{\mathbb{F}_a}.absorb(z_{\text{comm}})
                                          1.2.4 \alpha = \phi(H_{\mathbb{F}_q}.\mathtt{squeeze}())
                                          1.2.5~H_{\mathbb{F}_q}.\mathtt{absorb}(t_{1,\mathtt{comm}}||...||t_{N_{\mathtt{perm}},\mathtt{comm}}||...||\infty||)
                                         1.2.6 \zeta = \phi(H_{\mathbb{F}_q}.\mathtt{squeeze}())
                                         1.2.7 Transfrorm H_{\mathbb{F}_q} to H_{\mathbb{F}_r}
                                         1.2.8~H_{\mathbb{F}_r}.\mathtt{absorb}(pub(\zeta)||w_0(\zeta)||...||w_{N_{\mathrm{wires}}}(\zeta)||S_0(\zeta)||...||S_{N_{\mathrm{perm}}}(\zeta))
                                         1.2.9 \ H_{\mathbb{F}_r}.\mathtt{absorb}(pub(\zeta\omega)||w_0(\zeta\omega)||...||w_{N_{\mathrm{wires}}}(\zeta\omega)||S_0(\zeta\omega)||...||S_{N_{\mathrm{perm}}}(\zeta\omega))
                                    1.2.10~H_{\mathbb{F}_r}.absorb(\bar{L}(\zeta\omega))
                                    1.2.11 v = \phi(H_{\mathbb{F}_r}.\mathtt{squeeze}())
                                    1.2.12 u = \phi(H_{\mathbb{F}_n}.\mathtt{squeeze}())
                                    1.2.13 Compute evaluation of \eta_i(\zeta), \eta_i(\zeta\omega) for 0 \le i < N_{\text{prev}}
                                    1.2.14 Compute evaluation of L(\zeta)
                              1.3 \ \mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{base}} \coloneqq \{S_{\sigma_{N_{\mathtt{perm}}-1},\mathtt{comm}}, \mathtt{gate}_{\mathrm{mult},\mathtt{comm}}, w_{0,\mathtt{comm}}, w_{1,\mathtt{comm}}, w_{2,\mathtt{comm}}, q_{\mathtt{const},\mathtt{comm}}, \mathtt{gate}_{\mathrm{psdn},\mathtt{comm}}, \mathtt{gate}_{\mathrm{rc},\mathtt{comm}}, \mathtt{gate}_{\mathrm{rc},\mathtt{comm}}, \mathtt{gate}_{\mathrm{psdn},\mathtt{comm}}, \mathtt{gate}_{\mathrm{rc},\mathtt{comm}}, \mathtt{gate}_{\mathrm{rc},\mathtt
                                                \texttt{gate}_{\texttt{ec\_add},\texttt{comm}}, \texttt{gate}_{\texttt{ec\_dbl},\texttt{comm}}, \texttt{gate}_{\texttt{ec\_endo},\texttt{comm}}, \texttt{gate}_{\texttt{ec\_vbase},\texttt{comm}} \}
                              1.4 s_{\text{perm}} := (w_0(\zeta) + \gamma + \beta \cdot S_{\sigma_0}(\zeta)) \cdot \dots \cdot (w_5(\zeta) + \gamma + \beta \cdot S_{\sigma_{N_{\text{near}}}}(\zeta))
                              1.5 \ \mathbf{f}_{\text{scalars}} \coloneqq \{ -z(\zeta\omega) \cdot \beta \cdot \alpha_0 \cdot zkp(\zeta) \cdot s_{\text{perm}}, w_0(\zeta) \cdot w_1(\zeta), w_0(\zeta), w_1(\zeta), 1 \}
                                                s_{\text{psdn}}, s_{\text{rc}}, s_{\text{ec\_add}}, s_{\text{ec\_dbl}}, s_{\text{ec\_endo}}, s_{\text{ec\_vbase}}
                              1.6 f_{\text{comm}} = \text{MSM}(\mathbf{f}_{\text{base}}, \mathbf{f}_{\text{scalars}})
                              1.7 \bar{L}_{\text{comm}} = f_{\text{comm}} - t_{\text{comm}} \cdot (\zeta^n - 1)
                              1.8 PE is a set of elements of the form (f_{\texttt{comm}}, f(\zeta), f(\zeta\omega)) for the following polynomials:
                                                \eta_0, \ldots, \eta_{N_{\text{prev}}}, pub, w_0, \ldots, w_{N_{\text{wires}}}, z, S_{\sigma_0}, \ldots, S_{\sigma_{N_{\text{perm}}}}, L
                              1.9 \mathcal{P}_i = \{H_{\mathbb{F}_q}, \zeta, v, u, \mathbf{PE}, o_{\pi_i}\}
             2. final_check(\mathcal{P}_0, \dots, \mathcal{P}_{\mathtt{batch\_size}})
```

### Algorithm 2 Final Check

Input:  $\pi_0, \dots, \pi_{\mathtt{batch\_size}}$ , where  $\pi_i = \{H_{i,\mathbb{F}_q}, \zeta_i, \zeta_i\omega, v_i, u_i, \mathbf{PE}_i, o_{\pi_i}\}$ 

Output: acc or rej

- 1.  $\rho_1 \to \mathbb{F}_r$
- 2.  $\rho_2 \to \mathbb{F}_r$
- 3.  $r_0 = r'_0 = 1$
- 4. for  $0 \le i < \mathtt{batch\_size}$ :
  - 4.1  $cip_i = \texttt{combined\_inner\_product}(\zeta_i, \zeta_i\omega, v_i, u_i, \mathbf{PE}_i)$
  - $4.2~H_{i,\mathbb{F}_a}$ .absorb $(cip_i-2^{255})$
  - 4.3  $U_i = (H_{i,\mathbb{F}_q}.\mathtt{squeeze}()).\mathtt{to\_group}()$
  - 4.4 Calculate opening challenges  $\xi_{i,j}$  from  $o_{\pi_i}$
  - 4.5  $h_i(X) := \prod_{k=0}^{\log(d+1)-1} (1 + \xi_{\log(d+1)-k} X^{2^k})$ , where  $d = \text{lr\_rounds}$
  - $4.6 \ b_i = h_i(\zeta) + u_i \cdot h_i(\zeta\omega)$
  - 4.7  $C_i = \sum_j v_i^j (\sum_k r_i^k f_{j,\text{comm}})$ , where  $f_{j,\text{comm}}$  from  $\mathbf{PE}_i$ .
  - 4.8  $Q_i = \sum (\xi_{i,j} \cdot L_{i,j} + \xi_{i,j}^{-1} \cdot R_j) + cip_i \cdot U_i + C_i$
  - $4.9 \ c_i = \phi(H_{i,\mathbb{F}_q}.\mathtt{squeeze}())$
  - $4.10 \ r_i = r_{i-1} \cdot \rho_1$
  - 4.11  $r'_i = r'_{i-1} \cdot \rho_2$
  - 4.12 Check  $\hat{G}_i = \langle s, G \rangle$ , where s is set of h(X) coefficients.

**Remark**: This check can be done inside the MSM below using  $r'_i$ .

5. 
$$res = \sum_{i} r^{i} (c_{i}Q_{i} + delta_{i} - (z_{i,1}(\hat{G}_{i} + b_{i}U_{i}) + z_{i,2}H))$$

6. return res ==0

#### Algorithm 3 Combined Inner Product

**Input**:  $\xi, r, f_0(\zeta_1), \dots, f_k(\zeta_1), f_0(\zeta_2), \dots, f_k(\zeta_2)$ 

Output: s

1. 
$$s = \sum_{i=0}^{k} \xi^{i} \cdot (f_{i}(\zeta_{1}) + r \cdot f_{i}(\zeta_{2}))$$

We use the same 15-wires PLONK circuits that are designed for Mina.<sup>3</sup>

## 2.3 Elliptic Curve Arithmetic

## 2.3.1 Complete Addition and Doubling

Row 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 
$$i$$
  $x_1$   $y_1$   $x_2$   $y_2$   $x_3$   $y_3$   $inv_{x_1}$   $inv_{x_2}$   $inv_{x_2-x_1}$   $\delta$   $\lambda$  ... ... ... ...

Evaluations:

• 
$$(x_3, y_3) = (x_1, y_1) + (x_2, y_2)$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>https://o1-labs.github.io/mina-book/specs/15\_wires/15\_wires.html

- $inv_a = a^{-1}$ , if  $a \neq 0$ ,  $inv_a = 0$  otherwise
- $\delta = \text{inv}_{y_1+y_2}$ , if  $x_1 = x_2$ ,  $\delta = 0$  otherwise
- $\lambda = \frac{y_2 y_1}{x_2 x_1}$  if  $x_1 \neq x_2$ ,  $\lambda = \frac{3x_1^2}{2y_1}$ , if  $x_1 = x_2$  and  $y_1 \neq 0$ , otherwise  $\lambda = 0$

Constraints ( $\max degree = 3$ ):

- $(w_2 w_0) \cdot ((w_2 w_0) \cdot w_{10} (w_3 w_1))$
- $(1-(w_2-w_0)\cdot w_8)\cdot (2w_1\cdot w_{10}-3w_0\cdot w_0)$
- $(w_0 \cdot w_2 \cdot w_2 w_0 \cdot w_2 \cdot w_0) \cdot (w_{10} \cdot w_{10} w_0 w_2 w_4)$
- $(w_0 \cdot w_2 \cdot w_2 w_0 \cdot w_2 \cdot w_0) \cdot (w_{10} \cdot (w_0 w_4) w_1 w_5)$
- $(w_0 \cdot w_2 \cdot w_3 + w_0 \cdot w_2 \cdot w_1) \cdot (w_{10} \cdot w_{10} w_0 w_2 w_4)$
- $(w_0 \cdot w_2 \cdot w_3 + w_0 \cdot w_2 \cdot w_1) \cdot (w_{10} \cdot (w_0 w_4) w_1 w_5)$
- $(1-w_0\cdot w_6)\cdot (w_4-w_2)$
- $(1-w_0\cdot w_6)\cdot (w_5-w_3)$
- $(1-w_2\cdot w_7)\cdot (w_4-w_0)$
- $(1-w_2\cdot w_7)\cdot (w_5-w_1)$
- $(1-(w_2-w_0)\cdot w_8-(w_3+w_1)\cdot w_9)\cdot w_4$
- $(1-(w_2-w_0)\cdot w_8-(w_3+w_1)\cdot w_9)\cdot w_5$

**Details.** The gate uses basic group law formulae. Let  $P = (x_1, y_1), Q = (x_2, y_2), R = (x_3, y_3)$  and R = P + Q. Then:

- $(x_2 x_1) \cdot s = y_2 y_1$
- $s^2 = x_1 + x_2 + x_3$
- $y_3 = s \cdot (x_1 x_3) y_1$

For point doubling R = P + P = 2P:

- $2s \cdot y_1 = 3x_1^2$
- $s^2 = 2x_1 + x_3$
- $y_3 = s \cdot (x_1 x_3) y_1$

### 2.3.2 Variable Base Scalar Multiplication

For R = [r]T,  $k = \frac{r-2^{255}-1}{2}$ ,  $k = [k_0, k_1, \dots, k_{n-1}]$ ,  $n = 255^4$ ,  $t_q = 45560315531419706090280762371685220353$ ,  $u = k - 2^{254} - t_q + 2^{130}$ , if  $k_0 = 1$  and u = 0, if  $k_0 = 0$ ,  $u = [u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{43}]$ ,  $u = \sum_{i=0}^{42} u_i \cdot 2^{127-i \cdot 3} + u_{43}$ ,  $u_i \in \{0, \dots, 7\}$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, 42$ ,  $u_{43} \in \{0, 1\}$ :

- 1. P = [2]T
- 2. for i from n-1 to 0:

$$2.1 \ Q = k_{i+1} ? T : -T$$

$$2.2 P = P + Q + P$$

The first step of the alforithm are verified by the complete addition circuit.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Using the results from https://arxiv.org/pdf/math/0208038.pdf

```
Row
               0
                         1
                                  2
                                           3
                                                   4
                                                                5
                                                                        6
                                                                                     7
                                                                                                   8
                                                                                                                      9
                                                                                                                               10
                                                                                                                                                   12
                                                                                                                                                            13
                                                                                                                                                                      14
                                                                                                                                         11
i
                                                   n = 0
                                                                        u' = 0
                                                                                     x_1
               x_T
                                                                n'
                         y_T
                                  x_0
                                           y_0
                                                                                                   y_1
                                                                                                                      x_2
                                                                                                                               y_2
                                                                                                                                         x_3
                                                                                                                                                   y_3
                                                                                                                                                            x_4
                                                                                                                                                                      y_4
i+1
                                  b_0
                                                   b_2
                                                                                                                                                                      u''
                                           b_1
                                                                b_3
                                                                        b_4
               x_5
                         y_5
                                                                                     s_0
                                                                                                   s_1
                                                                                                                      s_2
                                                                                                                               s_3
                                                                                                                                         s_4
                                                                                                                                                   u_0
                                                                                                                                                            u_1
i + 44
                                                   n
                                                                n'
               x_T
                         y_T
                                  x_0
                                           y_0
                                                                        u
                                                                                     x_1
                                                                                                   y_1
                                                                                                                      x_2
                                                                                                                               y_2
                                                                                                                                         x_3
                                                                                                                                                   y_3
                                                                                                                                                            x_4
                                                                                                                                                                      y_4
i + 45
               x_5
                                                   b_2
                                                                                                                               s_3
                                                                                                                                                            e_2
                                  b_0
                                           b_1
                                                                b_3
                                                                        b_4
                                                                                     s_0
                                                                                                   s_1
                                                                                                                      s_2
                                                                                                                                         s_4
                                                                                                                                                   e_1
                         y_5
i + 46
                                                                n'
               x_T
                         y_T
                                  x_0
                                           y_0
                                                   n
                                                                                     x_1
                                                                                                   y_1
                                                                                                                      x_2
                                                                                                                               y_2
                                                                                                                                         x_3
                                                                                                                                                   y_3
                                                                                                                                                            x_4
                                                                                                                                                                      y_4
i + 98
                                                                n'
               x_T
                                  x_0
                                           y_0
                                                   n
                                                                                     x_1
                                                                                                   y_1
                                                                                                                      x_2
                                                                                                                                         x_3
                                                                                                                                                             x_4
                         y_T
                                                                                                                               y_2
                                                                                                                                                   y_3
                                                                                                                                                                      y_4
                                  b_0
i + 99
                                                                                     s_0
                                                                                                                      s_2
               x_5
                                           b_1
                                                   b_2
                                                                b_3
                                                                        b_4
                         y_5
                                                                                                   s_1
                                                                                                                               s_3
                                                                                                                                         s_4
i + 100
                                                                n'
               x_T
                         y_T
                                  x_0
                                           y_0
                                                   n
                                                                                     x_1
                                                                                                   y_1
                                                                                                                      x_2
                                                                                                                               y_2
i + 101
                                  b_0
                                                   b_2
                                                                b_3
                                                                        b_4
                                                                                                   s_1
                                                                                      s_0
                                                                                                   \operatorname{inv}_{x_T-x_0}
i + 102
                                                   x_R
                                                                        inv_{x_0}
                                                                                                                      \delta_1
                                                                                                                               \lambda_1
                                                                                                                                         b_0
                                                                                                                                                            n'
               x_0
                                  x_T
                                           y_1
                                                                y_R
                                                                                      inv_{x_T}
                                                                                                                                                   n
                                                                                                                                                                      y_T
                         y_0
i + 103
                                                                                                                               \lambda_2
                                                                                                                      \delta_2
               x_0
                                  x_R
                                           y_R
                                                   x_S
                                                                y_S
                                                                        inv_{x_0}
                                                                                      inv_{x_R}
                                                                                                   inv_{x_R-x_0}
                         y_0
i + 104
                                                                        inv_{x_0}
                                                                                                   inv_{x_T-x_0}
                                                                                                                      \delta_1
                                                                                                                               \lambda_1
                                                                                                                                         b_0
                                                                                                                                                            n'
               x_0
                                  x_T
                                           y_1
                                                   x_R
                                                                y_R
                                                                                      inv_{x_T}
                                                                                                                                                   n
                         y_0
                                                                                                                                                                      y_T
                                                                                                                               \lambda_2
i + 105
                                                                                                   \operatorname{inv}_{x_R-x_0}
               x_0
                         y_0
                                  x_R
                                           y_R
                                                   x_S
                                                                y_S
                                                                        inv_{x_0}
                                                                                      \mathtt{inv}_{x_R}
                                                                                                                      \delta_2
i + 106
                                                                        inv_{x_0}
                                                                                                   inv_{x_T-x_0}
                                                                                                                      \delta_1
                                                                                                                               \lambda_1
                                                                                                                                         b_0
                                                                                                                                                            n'
               x_0
                                  x_T
                                           y_1
                                                   x_R
                                                                                      inv_{x_T}
                                                                                                                                                                      y_T
                         y_0
                                                                y_R
i + 107
                                                                                                                               \lambda_2
               x_0
                                                                                                                      \delta_2
                                                                        inv_{x_0}
                                                                                      inv_{x_B}
                                                                                                   inv_{x_B-x_0}
                         y_0
                                  x_R
                                           y_R
                                                   x_S
                                                                y_S
i + 108
                                                   t_2
                                                                n'
                                           t_1
                                                                                                   m
                                                                                                                      x
               x_5
                                  t_0
                                                                        x_T
                         y_5
                                                                                     y_T
                                                                                                                               y
```

Let  $a_{-1} = 0x224698fc0994a8dd8c46eb2100000000$  be a circuit's value for a scalar -1, 0x224698fc0994a8dd8c46eb2100000001 for 1. Evaluations:

- $b_i$  are bits of the k, first  $b_1$  is the most significant bit of k, n is an accumulator of  $b_i$ .
- $(x_1, y_1) (x_0, y_0) = (x_0, y_0) + (x_T, (2b_1 1)y_T)$
- $(x_2, y_2) (x_1, y_1) = (x_1, y_1) + (x_T, (2b_1 1)y_T)$
- $(x_3, y_3) (x_2, y_2) = (x_2, y_2) + (x_T, (2b_1 1)y_T)$
- $(x_4, y_4) (x_3, y_3) = (x_3, y_3) + (x_T, (2b_1 1)y_T)$
- $(x_5, y_5) (x_4, y_4) = (x_4, y_4) + (x_T, (2b_1 1)y_T)$
- $s_0 = \frac{y_0 (2\dot{b}_0 1)\dot{y}_T}{1}$
- $= \frac{y_1 (2b_1 1) \cdot y_T}{2}$
- $s_2 = \frac{y_2 (2b_2 1) \cdot y_T}{x_1 x_T}$
- $s_3 = \frac{y_3 (2b_3 1) \cdot y_T}{y_3 (2b_3 1) \cdot y_T}$
- $= \frac{x_3 x_T}{x_3 x_T} = \frac{y_4 (2b_4 1) \cdot y_T}{x_3 x_T}$
- $s_4 = \frac{\frac{y_4 (-x_4 x_7)}{x_4 x_T}}{x_4 x_T}$   $m = (n' a_{-1}) \cdot (n' a_0) \cdot (n' a_1)$
- $t_0 = \mathtt{inv}_m$
- $t_1 = inv_{\ell}n' a_{-1}$
- $t_2 = \operatorname{inv}(n' a_1)$
- (x,y) is the circuit's result.

#### Constraints:

- $\operatorname{next}(w_2) \cdot (\operatorname{next}(w_2) 1) = 0$
- $\operatorname{next}(w_3) \cdot (\operatorname{next}(w_3) 1) = 0$
- $\operatorname{next}(w_4) \cdot (\operatorname{next}(w_4) 1) = 0$
- $\mathtt{next}(w_5) \cdot (\mathtt{next}(w_5) 1) = 0$
- $\mathtt{next}(w_6) \cdot (\mathtt{next}(w_6) 1) = 0$
- $(w_2 w_0) \cdot \text{next}(w_7) = w_3 (2 \cdot \text{next}(w_2) 1) \cdot w_1$
- $(w_7 w_0) \cdot \text{next}(w_8) = w_8 (2 \cdot \text{next}(w_3) 1) \cdot w_1$
- $(w_9 w_0) \cdot \text{next}(w_9) = w_{10} (2 \cdot \text{next}(w_4) 1) \cdot w_1$
- $(w_{11}-w_0)\cdot \mathtt{next}(w_{10})=w_{12}-(2\cdot \mathtt{next}(w_5)-1)\cdot w_1$

```
• (w_{13} - w_0) \cdot \text{next}(w_{11}) = w_{14} - (2 \cdot \text{next}(w_6) - 1) \cdot w_1
```

```
• (2 \cdot w_3 - \text{next}(w_7) \cdot (2 \cdot w_2 - \text{next}(w_7)^2 + w_0))^2 = (2 \cdot w_2 - \text{next}(w_7)^2 + w_0)^2 \cdot (w_7 - w_0 + \text{next}(w_7)^2)
```

- $(2 \cdot w_8 \text{next}(w_8) \cdot (2 \cdot w_7 \text{next}(w_8)^2 + w_0))^2 = (2 \cdot w_7 \text{next}(w_8)^2 + w_0)^2 \cdot (w_9 w_0 + \text{next}(w_8)^2)$
- $(2 \cdot w_{10} \text{next}(w_9) \cdot (2 \cdot w_9 \text{next}(w_9)^2 + w_0))^2 = (2 \cdot w_9 \text{next}(w_9)^2 + w_0)^2 \cdot (w_{11} w_0 + \text{next}(w_9)^2)$
- $\bullet \quad (2 \cdot w_{12} \mathtt{next}(w_{10}) \cdot (2 \cdot w_{11} \mathtt{next}(w_{10})^2 + w_0))^2 = (2 \cdot w_{11} \mathtt{next}(w_{10})^2 + w_0)^2 \cdot (w_{13} w_0 + \mathtt{next}(w_{10})^2)$
- $(2 \cdot w_{14} \text{next}(w_{11}) \cdot (2 \cdot w_{13} \text{next}(w_{11})^2 + w_0))^2 = (2 \cdot w_{13} \text{next}(w_{11})^2 + w_0)^2 \cdot (\text{next}(w_0) w_0)^2$  $w_0 + \text{next}(w_{11})^2$
- $(w_8 + w_3) \cdot (2 \cdot w_2 \text{next}(w_7)^2 + w_0) = (w_2 w_7) \cdot (2 \cdot w_3 \text{next}(w_7) \cdot (2 \cdot w_2 \text{next}(w_7)^2 + w_0))$
- $(w_{10} + w_8) \cdot (2 \cdot w_7 \text{next}(w_8)^2 + w_0) = (w_7 w_9) \cdot (2 \cdot w_8 \text{next}(w_8) \cdot (2 \cdot w_7 \text{next}(w_8)^2 + w_0))$
- $\bullet \quad (w_{12}+w_{10})\cdot (2\cdot w_9 \mathtt{next}(w_9)^2 + w_0) = (w_9-w_{11})\cdot (2\cdot w_{10} \mathtt{next}(w_9)\cdot (2\cdot w_9 \mathtt{next}(w_9)^2 + w_0))$
- $(w_{14}+w_{12})\cdot(2\cdot w_{11}-\operatorname{next}(w_{10})^2+w_0)=(w_{11}-w_{13})\cdot(2\cdot w_{12}-\operatorname{next}(w_{10})\cdot(2\cdot w_{11}-\operatorname{next}(w_{10})^2+w_0))$
- $(\text{next}(w_1) + w_{14}) \cdot (2 \cdot w_{13} \text{next}(w_{11})^2 + w_0) = (w_{13} \text{next}(w_0)) \cdot (2 \cdot w_{14} \text{next}(w_{11}) \cdot (2 \cdot w_{13} \text{next}(w_{11}))) \cdot (2 \cdot w_{14} \text{next}(w_{11})) \cdot (2 \cdot w_{13} \text{next}(w_{11})) \cdot (2$  $next(w_{11})^2 + w_0)$
- $w_5 = 32 \cdot (w_4) + 16 \cdot \text{next}(w_2) + 8 \cdot \text{next}(w_3) + 4 \cdot \text{next}(w_4) + 2 \cdot \text{next}(w_5) + \text{next}(w_6)$

#### Additional range checks.

- Evaluations:
  - $u_i$  are triplets of bits of the u, first  $u_0$  is the most significant triplet, u'' is an accumulator of  $u_i$ (and u' is its previous value)

  - $e_1 = k_0$   $e_2 = \sum_{j=254}^{130} k_{254-j} \cdot 2^{j-130}$
- Constraints: for rows  $i, i + 2, \ldots, i + 40$ :
  - $\text{next}(w_{12}) \cdot (\text{next}(w_{12}) 1) \cdot (\text{next}(w_{12}) 2) \cdot (\text{next}(w_{12}) 3) \cdot (\text{next}(w_{12}) 4) \cdot (\text{next}$ 5)  $\cdot (\text{next}(w_{12}) - 6) \cdot (\text{next}(w_{12}) - 7) = 0$
  - $\text{next}(w_{13}) \cdot (\text{next}(w_{13}) 1) \cdot (\text{next}(w_{13}) 2) \cdot (\text{next}(w_{13}) 3) \cdot (\text{next}(w_{13}) 4) \cdot (\text{next}$ 5)  $\cdot (\text{next}(w_{13}) - 6) \cdot (\text{next}(w_{13}) - 7) = 0$
  - $next(w_{14}) = 2^6 \cdot w_6 + 2^3 \cdot next(w_{12}) + next(w_{13})$

for row i + 42:

- $\text{next}(w_{12}) \cdot (\text{next}(w_{12}) 1) \cdot (\text{next}(w_{12}) 2) \cdot (\text{next}(w_{12}) 3) \cdot (\text{next}(w_{12}) 4) \cdot (\text{next}$ 5)  $\cdot (\text{next}(w_{12}) - 6) \cdot (\text{next}(w_{12}) - 7) = 0$
- $next(w_{13}) \cdot (next(w_{13}) 1) = 0$
- $next(w_{14}) = 2^4 \cdot w_6 + 2 \cdot next(w_{12}) + next(w_{13})$

for row i + 44:

- $next(w_{12}) \cdot (w_6 prev(w_{14})) = 0$
- $next(w_{12}) \cdot (next(w_{13}) 2^{124}) = 0$

#### **Row** (i + 100).

- $\operatorname{next}(w_2) \cdot (\operatorname{next}(w_2) 1) = 0$
- $\operatorname{next}(w_3) \cdot (\operatorname{next}(w_3) 1) = 0$
- $\operatorname{next}(w_4) \cdot (\operatorname{next}(w_4) 1) = 0$
- $\operatorname{next}(w_5) \cdot (\operatorname{next}(w_5) 1) = 0$
- $\operatorname{next}(w_6) \cdot (\operatorname{next}(w_6) 1) = 0$
- $(w_2 w_0) \cdot \text{next}(w_7) = w_3 (2 \cdot \text{next}(w_2) 1) \cdot w_1$
- $(w_7 w_0) \cdot \text{next}(w_8) = w_8 (2 \cdot \text{next}(w_3) 1) \cdot w_1$
- $(2 \cdot w_3 \text{next}(w_7) \cdot (2 \cdot w_2 \text{next}(w_7)^2 + w_0))^2 = (2 \cdot w_2 \text{next}(w_7)^2 + w_0)^2 \cdot (w_7 w_0 + \text{next}(w_7)^2)$
- $(2 \cdot w_8 \text{next}(w_8) \cdot (2 \cdot w_7 \text{next}(w_8)^2 + w_0))^2 = (2 \cdot w_7 \text{next}(w_8)^2 + w_0)^2 \cdot (w_9 w_0 + \text{next}(w_8)^2)$
- $(w_8 + w_3) \cdot (2 \cdot w_2 \text{next}(w_7)^2 + w_0) = (w_2 w_7) \cdot (2 \cdot w_3 \text{next}(w_7) \cdot (2 \cdot w_2 \text{next}(w_7)^2 + w_0))$
- $(w_{10} + w_8) \cdot (2 \cdot w_7 \text{next}(w_8)^2 + w_0) = (w_7 w_9) \cdot (2 \cdot w_8 \text{next}(w_8) \cdot (2 \cdot w_7 \text{next}(w_8)^2 + w_0))$
- $w_5 = 4 \cdot (w_4) + 2 \cdot \text{next}(w_2) + \text{next}(w_3)$

#### Last 3 iterations.

Evaluations

```
• \delta_1 = \text{inv}(y_0 + y_1)
• \delta_2 = \text{inv}(y_0 + y_R)
```

- Copy constraints:
  - $b_0$  in row i + 102 is copy constrained with  $b_2$  in the row i + 101
  - $b_0$  in row i+104 is copy constrained with  $b_3$  in the row i+101
  - $b_0$  in row i + 106 is copy constrained with  $b_4$  in the row i + 101
  - $(x_T, y_T)$  in row j are copy constrained with  $(x_T, y_T)$  in row i (for  $j \in \{i + 102, i + 104, i + 106\}$ )
  - n in row i + 102 is copy constrained with n' in the row i + 100
  - n in the rows j = i + 104, i + 106 is copy contrained with n' in the row j 2
  - $(x_0, y_0)$  in the row i + 102 are copy contrained with  $(x_2, y_2)$  in row i + 100
  - $(x_0, y_0)$  in the rows j = i + 104, i + 106 are copy contrained with  $(x_S, y_S)$  in the row j 1
  - $(x_0, y_0)$  in row j are copy constrained with  $(x_0, y_0)$  in row j-1 (for  $j \in \{i+103, i+105, i+107\}$ )
  - $(x_R, y_R)$  in row j are copy constrained with  $(x_R, y_R)$  in row j-1 (for  $j \in \{i+103, i+105, i+107\}$ )
- Constraints:
  - $w_{13} = 2 \cdot w_{12} + w_{11}$
  - $w_3 = (2 \cdot w_{11} 1)w_{14}$
  - $(w_0 \cdot w_6 1)w_0$
  - $(w_2 \cdot w_7 1)w_2$
  - $((w_2-w_0)\cdot w_8-1)\cdot (w_2-w_0)$
  - $((w_1+w_3)\cdot w_9-1)\cdot (w_1+w_3)$
  - $(\text{next}(w_0) \cdot \text{next}(w_6) 1)\text{next}(w_0)$
  - $(\operatorname{next}(w_2) \cdot \operatorname{next}(w_7) 1)\operatorname{next}(w_2)$
  - $((\operatorname{next}(w_2) \operatorname{next}(w_0)) \cdot \operatorname{next}(w_8) 1) \cdot (\operatorname{next}(w_2) \operatorname{next}(w_0))$
  - $((\text{next}(w_1) + \text{next}(w_3)) \cdot \text{next}(w_9) 1) \cdot (\text{next}(w_1) + \text{next}(w_3))$
- Complete addition (see 2.3.1) applied to rows  $j \in \{i + 102, \dots, i + 107\}$ )

#### Constraints for the last 3 rows:

Remark. There is a need to check whether these lines are necessary

- $w_2 \cdot (w_2 1) = 0$
- $w_3 \cdot (w_3 1) = 0$
- $w_4 \cdot (w_4 1) = 0$
- $w_5 \cdot (w_5 1) = 0$
- $w_6 \cdot (w_6 1) = 0$
- $(\text{prev}(w_2) \text{prev}(w_0)) \cdot w_7 = \text{prev}(w_3) (2 \cdot w_2 1) \cdot \text{prev}(w_1)$
- $(\text{prev}(w_7) \text{prev}(w_0)) \cdot w_8 = \text{prev}(w_8) (2 \cdot w_3 1) \cdot \text{prev}(w_1)$
- $(\text{prev}(w_9) \text{prev}(w_0)) \cdot w_9 = \text{prev}(w_{10}) (2 \cdot w_4 1) \cdot \text{prev}(w_1)$
- $\bullet \quad (\mathtt{prev}(w_{11}) \mathtt{prev}(w_0)) \cdot w_{10} = \mathtt{prev}(w_{12}) (2 \cdot w_5 1) \cdot \mathtt{prev}(w_1)$
- $(\operatorname{prev}(w_{13}) \operatorname{prev}(w_0)) \cdot w_{11} = \operatorname{prev}(w_{14}) (2 \cdot w_6 1) \cdot \operatorname{prev}(w_1)$
- $(2 \cdot \operatorname{prev}(w_3) w_7 \cdot (2 \cdot \operatorname{prev}(w_2) (w_7)^2 + \operatorname{prev}(w_0)))^2 = (2 \cdot \operatorname{prev}(w_2) (w_7)^2 + \operatorname{prev}(w_0))^2 \cdot (\operatorname{prev}(w_7) \operatorname{prev}(w_0) + (w_7)^2)$
- $(2 \cdot \operatorname{prev}(w_8) w_8 \cdot (2 \cdot \operatorname{prev}(w_7) (w_8)^2 + \operatorname{prev}(w_0)))^2 = (2 \cdot \operatorname{prev}(w_7) (w_8)^2 + \operatorname{prev}(w_0))^2 \cdot (\operatorname{prev}(w_9) \operatorname{prev}(w_0) + (w_8)^2)$
- $(2 \cdot \operatorname{prev}(w_{10}) w_9 \cdot (2 \cdot \operatorname{prev}(w_9) (w_9)^2 + \operatorname{prev}(w_0)))^2 = (2 \cdot \operatorname{prev}(w_9) (w_9)^2 + \operatorname{prev}(w_0))^2 \cdot (\operatorname{prev}(w_{11}) \operatorname{prev}(w_0) + (w_9)^2)$
- $\bullet \quad \bigg( \big( 2 \cdot \mathtt{prev}(w_{12}) w_{10} \cdot (2 \cdot \mathtt{prev}(w_{11}) (w_{10})^2 + \mathtt{prev}(w_0)) \big)^2 \big( (2 \cdot \mathtt{prev}(w_{11}) (w_{10})^2 + \mathtt{prev}(w_0))^2 \cdot (\mathtt{prev}(w_{13}) \mathtt{prev}(w_0) + (w_{10})^2) \big) \bigg) \cdot (\mathtt{next}(w_8) \cdot \mathtt{next}(w_2)) = 0$
- $\bullet \ \left( \left( 2 \cdot \mathtt{prev}(w_{14}) w_{11} \cdot (2 \cdot \mathtt{prev}(w_{13}) (w_{11})^2 + \mathtt{prev}(w_0)) \right)^2 \left( 2 \cdot \mathtt{prev}(w_{13}) (w_{11})^2 + \mathtt{prev}(w_0) \right)^2 \cdot \left( w_0 \mathtt{prev}(w_0) + (w_{11})^2 \right) \right) \cdot \left( \mathtt{next}(w_8) \cdot \mathtt{next}(w_2) \right) = 0$

- $(\operatorname{prev}(w_8) + \operatorname{prev}(w_3)) \cdot (2 \cdot \operatorname{prev}(w_2) (w_7)^2 + \operatorname{prev}(w_0)) = (\operatorname{prev}(w_2) \operatorname{prev}(w_7)) \cdot (2 \cdot \operatorname{prev}(w_3) w_7 \cdot (2 \cdot \operatorname{prev}(w_2) (w_7)^2 + \operatorname{prev}(w_0)))$
- $(\operatorname{prev}(w_{10}) + \operatorname{prev}(w_8)) \cdot (2 \cdot \operatorname{prev}(w_7) (w_8)^2 + \operatorname{prev}(w_0)) = (\operatorname{prev}(w_7) \operatorname{prev}(w_9)) \cdot (2 \cdot \operatorname{prev}(w_8) w_8 \cdot (2 \cdot \operatorname{prev}(w_7) (w_8)^2 + \operatorname{prev}(w_0)))$
- $(\operatorname{prev}(w_{12}) + \operatorname{prev}(w_{10})) \cdot (2 \cdot \operatorname{prev}(w_9) (w_9)^2 + \operatorname{prev}(w_0)) = (\operatorname{prev}(w_9) \operatorname{prev}(w_{11})) \cdot (2 \cdot \operatorname{prev}(w_{10}) w_9 \cdot (2 \cdot \operatorname{prev}(w_9) (w_9)^2 + \operatorname{prev}(w_0)))$
- $\bullet \ \left( \left( \mathsf{prev}(w_{14}) + \mathsf{prev}(w_{12}) \right) \cdot \left( 2 \cdot \mathsf{prev}(w_{11}) (w_{10})^2 + \mathsf{prev}(w_0) \right) \right) \left( \left( \mathsf{prev}(w_{11}) \mathsf{prev}(w_{13}) \right) \cdot \left( 2 \cdot \mathsf{prev}(w_{12}) w_{10} \cdot \left( 2 \cdot \mathsf{prev}(w_{11}) (w_{10})^2 + \mathsf{prev}(w_0) \right) \right) \right) \cdot \left( \mathsf{next}(w_8) \cdot \mathsf{next}(w_2) \right) = 0$
- $\bullet \left( \left( (w_1 + \operatorname{prev}(w_{14})) \cdot (2 \cdot \operatorname{prev}(w_{13}) (w_{11})^2 + \operatorname{prev}(w_0)) \right) \left( (\operatorname{prev}(w_{13}) w_0) \cdot (2 \cdot \operatorname{prev}(w_{14}) w_{11} \cdot (2 \cdot \operatorname{prev}(w_{13}) (w_{11})^2 + \operatorname{prev}(w_0))) \right) \right) \cdot \left( \operatorname{next}(w_8) \cdot \operatorname{next}(w_2) \right) = 0$
- $prev(w_5) = 32 \cdot prev(w_4) + 16 \cdot w_2 + 8 \cdot w_3 + 4 \cdot w_4 + 2 \cdot w_5 + w_6$
- $(\text{next}(w_8) \cdot \text{next}(w_2) 1) \cdot \text{next}(w_8)$
- $((\text{next}(w_5) a_{-1}) \cdot \text{next}(w_3) 1) \cdot (\text{next}(w_5) a_{-1}) = 0$
- $((\text{next}(w_5) a_1) \cdot \text{next}(w_4) 1) \cdot (\text{next}(w_5) a_1)$
- $(\text{next}(W_8) \cdot \text{next}(w_2) \cdot w_0) + ((\text{next}(w_5) a_{-1}) \cdot \text{next}(w_3) (\text{next}(w_5) a_1) \cdot \text{next}(w_4)) \cdot ((\text{next}(w_5) a_{-1}) \cdot \text{next}(w_3) (\text{next}(w_5) a_1) \cdot \text{next}(w_4)) \cdot \text{next}(w_6) \text{next}(w_0) = 0$
- $(\operatorname{next}(w_8) \cdot \operatorname{next}(w_2) \cdot w_1) + ((\operatorname{next}(w_5) a_{-1}) \cdot \operatorname{next}(w_3) (\operatorname{next}(w_5) a_1) \cdot \operatorname{next}(w_4)) \cdot \operatorname{next}(w_7) \operatorname{next}(w_1) = 0$
- $next(w_8) ((next(w_5) a_{-1}) \cdot (next(w_5) a_0) \cdot (next(w_5) a_1))$

#### Copy constraints:

- $(x_T, y_T)$  in row j are copy constrained with  $(x_T, y_T)$  in row j + 2 (for  $j \in \{i, i + 2, i + 4, ..., i + 98\}$ ) and with last row.
- $(x_0, y_0)$  in row i are copy constrained with values from the first doubling circuit
- $(x_0, y_0)$  in row  $j, j \neq i$  are copy constrained with  $(x_5, y_5)$  in row j-1
- n=0 in row i is copy-constrained with the zero value
- n in the row  $j, j \neq i$  is copy contrained with n' in the row j-2
- n' in the row i + 102 is copy constrained with n' in the row i + 100
- k is copy constrained with n' in the row i + 100
- u' = 0 in row i is copy-constrained with the zero value
- u' in the row  $j, i < j \le i + 42$  is copy contrained with u'' in the row j 1
- u is copy constrained with u' in the row i + 44
- $b_0$  in row i+1 is copy-constrained with  $w_{12}$  in row i+45
- $w_5$  in row i + 48 is copy-constrained with  $w_{13}$  in row i + 45.

## 2.3.3 Variable Base Endo-Scalar Multiplication

For 
$$R = [b]T$$
, where  $b = [b_n...b_0]$  and  $b_i \in \{0, 1\}$ : <sup>5</sup>

- 1.  $P = [2](\phi(T) + T)$
- 2. for i from  $\frac{\lambda}{2} 1$  to 0:

2.1 
$$Q = r_{2i+1} ? \phi([2r_{2i} - 1]T) : [2r_{2i} - 1]T$$

$$2.2 R - P = P + Q$$

The first step of the alforithm are verified by the doubling and unified addition circuit.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Using the results from https://eprint.iacr.org/2019/1021.pdf

#### **Evaluations:**

- The first  $x_P, y_P$  are equal to  $2 \cdot ((x_T, y_T) + ((endo) \cdot x_T, y_T))$
- $b_i$  are bits of the k, first  $b_1$  is the most significant bit of k, n is an accumulator of  $b_i$ .
- $(x_R, y_R) (x_P, y_P) = (x_P, y_P) + (1 + (endo 1) \cdot b_2)x_T, (2b_1 1)y_T)$
- $(\text{next}(x_P), \text{next}(y_P)) (x_R, y_R) = (x_R, y_R) + ((\text{endo} 1) \cdot b_2)x_T, (2b_1 1)y_T)$
- $s_1 = \frac{(2b_1 1) \cdot y_T y_P}{(1 + (\text{endo} 1) \cdot b_2) x_T x_P}$   $s_3 = \frac{(2b_1 1) \cdot y_T y_R}{(1 + (\text{endo} 1) \cdot b_2) x_T x_R}$

#### Constraints:

- $w_{11} \cdot (w_{11} 1) = 0$
- $w_{12} \cdot (w_{12} 1) = 0$
- $w_{13} \cdot (w_{13} 1) = 0$
- $w_{14} \cdot (w_{14} 1) = 0$
- $((1 + (\text{endo} 1) \cdot w_{12}) \cdot w_0 w_4) \cdot w_9 = (2 \cdot w_{11} 1) \cdot w_1 w_5$
- $(2 \cdot w_4 w_9^2 + (1 + (\text{endo} 1) \cdot w_{12}) \cdot w_0) \cdot ((w_4 w_7) \cdot w_9 + w_8 + w_5) = (w_4 w_7) \cdot 2 \cdot w_5$
- $(w_8 + w_5)^2 = (w_4 w_7)^2 \cdot (w_9^2 (1 + (endo 1) \cdot w_{12}) \cdot w_0 + w_7)$
- $((1 + (\mathtt{endo} 1) \cdot w_{12}) \cdot w_0 w_7) \cdot w_{10} = (2 \cdot w_{13} 1) \cdot w_1 w_8$
- $\bullet \quad (2 \cdot w_7 w_{10}^2 + (1 + (\texttt{endo} 1) \cdot w_{14}) \cdot w_0) \cdot ((w_7 \texttt{next}(w_4)) \cdot w_{10} + \texttt{next}(w_5) + w_8) = (w_7 \texttt{next}(w_4)) \cdot 2 \cdot w_8 + (w_7 w_{10}) \cdot 2 \cdot w_8 + (w$
- $(\text{next}(w_4) + w_8)^2 = (w_7 \text{next}(w_4))^2 \cdot (w_{10}^2 (1 + (\text{endo} 1) \cdot w_{14}) \cdot w_0 + \text{next}(w_4))$
- $next(w_6) = 16 \cdot w_6 + 8 \cdot w_{11} + 4 \cdot w_{12} + 2 \cdot w_{13} + w_{14}$

#### Copy constraints:

- $(x_T, y_T)$  in row j are copy constrained with  $(x_T, y_T)$  in row j + 1
- $(x_P, y_P)$  in row i are copy constrained with values from the first doubling circuit

#### 2.4 Multi-Scalar Multiplication Circuit

Input:  $G_0, ..., G_{k-1} \in \mathbb{G}, s_0, ..., s_{k-1} \in \mathbb{F}_r$ , where  $\mathbb{F}_r$  is scalar field of  $\mathbb{G}$ .

Output: 
$$S = \sum_{i=0}^{k} s_i \cdot G_i$$

### Using endomorphism:

- 1.  $A = \infty$
- 2. for j from 0 to k-1:

$$2.1 \ r \coloneqq s_i, T \coloneqq G_i$$

$$2.2 S = [2](\phi(T) + T)$$

2.3 for i from  $\frac{\lambda}{2} - 1$  to 0:

2.3.1 
$$Q = r_{2i+1} ? \phi([2r_{2i} - 1]T) : [2r_{2i} - 1]T$$

$$2.3.2 R = S + Q$$

$$2.3.3 \ S = R + S$$

$$2.4 \ A = A + S$$

$$rows \approx k \cdot (\texttt{sm\_rows} + 1 + 2) \approx 67k,$$

where sm rows is the number of rows in the scalar multiplication circuit.

#### Without endomorphism:

- 1.  $A = \infty$
- 2. for j from 0 to k-1:

$$2.1 \ r \coloneqq s_j, T \coloneqq G_j$$

$$2.2 \ S = [2]T$$

2.3 for i from n-1 to 0:

$$2.3.1 \ Q = k_{i+1} ? T : -T$$

$$2.3.2 R = S + Q$$

$$2.3.3 \ S = R + S$$

$$2.4 \ A = A + S$$

rows 
$$\approx k \cdot (sm_rows + 1 + 1) \approx 105k$$
,

where sm\_rows is the number of rows in the scalar multiplication circuit.

#### 2.5 Poseidon Circuit

Mina uses Poseidon hash with width = 3. Therefore, each permutation state is represented by 3 elements and each row contains 5 states.

Denote *i*-th permutation state by  $T_i = (T_{i,0}, T_{i,1}, T_{i,2})$ .

State change constraints:

$$\mathtt{STATE}(i+1) = \mathtt{STATE}(i)^\alpha \cdot \mathtt{MDS} + \mathtt{RC}$$

Denote the index of the first state in the row by start (e.g. start = 50 for 10-th row). We can expand the previous formula to:

- For i from start to start + 5:

  - $\begin{array}{l} \bullet \ T_{i+1,0} = T_{i,0}^7 \cdot \mathtt{MDS}[0][0] + T_{i,1}^7 \cdot \mathtt{MDS}[0][1] + T_{i,2}^7 \cdot \mathtt{MDS}[0][2] + \mathtt{RC}_{i+1,0} \\ \bullet \ T_{i+1,1} = T_{i,0}^7 \cdot \mathtt{MDS}[1][0] + T_{i,1}^7 \cdot \mathtt{MDS}[1][1] + T_{i,2}^7 \cdot \mathtt{MDS}[1][2] + \mathtt{RC}_{i+1,1} \\ \bullet \ T_{i+1,2} = T_{i,0}^7 \cdot \mathtt{MDS}[2][0] + T_{i,1}^7 \cdot \mathtt{MDS}[2][1] + T_{i,2}^7 \cdot \mathtt{MDS}[2][2] + \mathtt{RC}_{i+1,2} \end{array}$

Notice that the constraints above include the state from the next row (start + 5).

#### 2.6 Other Circuits

#### 2.6.1**Endo-Scalar Computation**

Let  $\alpha$  be equals to  $\phi(b)$ , where  $b \in 0, 1^{\lambda}$ .

#### **Evaluations:**

- In the first row  $n_0 = 0$ ,  $a_0 = 2$ ,  $b_0 = 2$ .
- $x_i$  are 2-bits chunks of the b, first  $x_0$  is the most significant bit of b, n is an accumulator of  $x_i$ .
- The values  $(a_8, b_8)$  are 8 iterations of the following computations:

$$(a_i, b_i) = (2 \cdot a_{i-1} + c_f(x_{i-1}), 2 \cdot b_{i-1} + d_f(x_{i-1})), \text{ where } c_f(x) = 2/3 \cdot x^3 - 5/2 \cdot x^2 + 11/6 \cdot x \text{ and } d_f(x) = 2/3 \cdot x^3 - 7/2 \cdot x^2 + 29/6 \cdot x - 1.$$

#### Constraints:

- $w_7 \cdot (w_7 1) \cdot (w_7 2) \cdot (w_7 3) = 0$
- $w_8 \cdot (w_8 1) \cdot (w_8 2) \cdot (w_8 3) = 0$
- $w_9 \cdot (w_9 1) \cdot (w_9 2) \cdot (w_9 3) = 0$
- $w_{10} \cdot (w_{10} 1) \cdot (w_{10} 2) \cdot (w_{10} 3) = 0$
- $w_{11} \cdot (w_{11} 1) \cdot (w_{11} 2) \cdot (w_{11} 3) = 0$
- $w_{12} \cdot (w_{12} 1) \cdot (w_{12} 2) \cdot (w_{12} 3) = 0$
- $w_{13} \cdot (w_{13} 1) \cdot (w_{13} 2) \cdot (w_{13} 3) = 0$
- $w_{14} \cdot (w_{14} 1) \cdot (w_{14} 2) \cdot (w_{14} 3) = 0$
- $w_4 = 256 \cdot w_2 + 128 \cdot c_f(w_7) + 64 \cdot c_f(w_8) + 32 \cdot c_f(w_9) + 16 \cdot c_f(w_{10}) + 8 \cdot c_f(w_{11}) + 4 \cdot c_f(w_{12}) + 2 \cdot c_f(w_{13}) + c_f(w_{14})$
- $w_5 = 256 \cdot w_3 + 128 \cdot d_f(w_7) + 64 \cdot d_f(w_8) + 32 \cdot d_f(w_9) + 16 \cdot d_f(w_{10}) + 8 \cdot d_f(w_{11}) + 4 \cdot d_f(w_{12}) + 2 \cdot d_f(w_{13}) + d_f(w_{14})$
- $w_1 = 2^{16} \cdot w_0 + 2^{14} \cdot w_7 + 2^{12} \cdot w_8 + 2^{10} \cdot w_9 + 2^8 \cdot w_{10} + 2^6 \cdot w_{11} + 2^4 \cdot w_{12} + 2^2 \cdot w_{13} + w_{14}$
- for i + 7:

1. 
$$w_6 = \operatorname{endo} \cdot w_4 + \cdot w_5$$

Copy constraints:

•  $n_0, a_0, b_0$  in row j + 1 are copy constrained with  $(n_8, a_8, b_8)$  in row j

## 2.7 Proof Verification Component

Let **G** be a group of points on the elliptic curve  $E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ ,  $|\mathbf{G}| = r$ .

Kimchi verification procedure includes operations over two groups:  $\mathbf{G}$  and scalars of  $\mathbf{G}$ . Thus, the verification circuit has to handle operations over two fields:  $\mathbb{F}_p$  and  $\mathbb{F}_r$ . This could be achieved either with non-native arithmetic circuits<sup>6</sup> or via splitting the verification into two proofs over different fields. Here we use the second option.

 $<sup>^6\</sup>mathrm{For}$  instance, see https://www.plonk.cafe/t/non-native-field-arithmetic-with-turboplonk-plookup-etc/90

#### Algorithm 4 Verifier.Scalar Field

```
1. for each \pi_i:
             1.1 random_oracle(p_{\mathtt{comm}}, \pi_i):
                       1.1.1 Copy joint_combiner from PI
                       1.1.2 Copy \beta, \gamma from PI
                      1.1.3 Copy \alpha_c from PI
                       1.1.4 \alpha = \phi(\alpha_c)
                       1.1.5 Copy \zeta_c from PI
                       1.1.6 \zeta = \phi(\zeta_c)
                      1.1.7 Initialize H_{\mathbb{F}_r}
                      1.1.8 Copy H_{\mathbb{F}_q}.digest from PI
                      1.1.9\ H_{\mathbb{F}_r}.absorb(H_{\mathbb{F}_q}.\mathtt{digest})
                  1.1.10 \zeta_1 = \zeta^n for n = |domain|
                  1.1.11 \zeta_w = \zeta \cdot \omega
                  1.1.12 \text{ all\_alphas} = [1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{next\_power}]
                  1.1.13 lagrange = [\zeta - domain.w, \dots, \zeta_w - domain.w] L195
                  1.1.14 lagrange = [1/lagrange[0], \dots]
                  1.1.15 p_{\text{eval}}[0] = (\sum (pub[i] \cdot domain[i] \cdot (-lagrange[i])) \cdot (\zeta_1 - 1) \cdot \frac{1}{|domain|}
                  1.1.16 \text{ p\_eval}[1] = \left(\sum (pub[i] \cdot domain[i] \cdot \left(-lagrange[pub.len + i]\right)\right) \cdot \left(\zeta_w^n - 1\right) \cdot \frac{1}{|domain|}
                  1.1.17 \ H_{\mathbb{F}_r}.\mathtt{absorb}(p\_eval[0])
                  1.1.18 H_{\mathbb{F}_r}.absorb(evals[0]) \leftarrow PI \text{ src } \rightarrow plonk\_sponge.rs L41
                  1.1.19 H_{\mathbb{F}_r}.absorb(p\_eval[1])
                  1.1.20~H_{\mathbb{F}_r}.absorb(evals[1]) <- PI
                  1.1.21 Copy \bar{L}(\zeta\omega) from PI
                  1.1.22~H_{\mathbb{F}_r}.absorb(\bar{L}(\zeta\omega))
                  1.1.23 v = \phi(H_{\mathbb{F}_r}.\mathtt{squeeze}())
                  1.1.24 u = \phi(H_{\mathbb{F}_r}.\mathtt{squeeze}())
                  1.1.25 powers\_of\_evals = [\zeta^{max\_poly\_size}, \zeta^{max\_poly\_size}_{w}]
                  1.1.26 Compute evaluation of \eta_i(\zeta), \eta_i(\zeta\omega) for 0 \leq i < N_{\tt prev}
                                            \eta_i(X) = \sum_{j=0}^{k=\log d + 1 - 1} 1 + \xi_{i,j} \cdot X^{2^j}
                  1.1.27 Combine (multiply) proof evaluations over the polynomials with \zeta, \zeta\omega
                  1.1.28 Compute evaluation of \bar{L}(\zeta)^7
             1.2 \ \mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{base}} \coloneqq \{S_{\sigma_{N_{\mathtt{perm}}-1},\mathtt{comm}}, \mathtt{gate}_{\mathrm{mult},\mathtt{comm}}, w_{0,\mathtt{comm}}, w_{1,\mathtt{comm}}, w_{2,\mathtt{comm}}, q_{\mathtt{const},\mathtt{comm}}, \mathtt{gate}_{\mathrm{psdn},\mathtt{comm}}, \mathtt{gate}_{\mathrm{rc},\mathtt{comm}}, \mathtt{gate}_{\mathrm{rc},\mathtt{c
                            \mathtt{gate}_{ec\_add,\mathtt{comm}}, \mathtt{gate}_{ec\_dbl,\mathtt{comm}}, \mathtt{gate}_{ec\_endo,\mathtt{comm}}, \mathtt{gate}_{ec\_vbase,\mathtt{comm}}\}
             1.3 s_{\text{perm}} := (w_0(\zeta) + \gamma + \beta \cdot S_{\sigma_0}(\zeta)) \cdot \dots \cdot (w_5(\zeta) + \gamma + \beta \cdot S_{\sigma_{N_{\text{nerm}}}}(\zeta))
             1.4 \mathbf{f}_{\text{scalars}} \coloneqq \{-z(\zeta\omega) \cdot \beta \cdot \alpha_0 \cdot zkp(\zeta) \cdot s_{\text{perm}}, w_0(\zeta) \cdot w_1(\zeta), w_0(\zeta), w_1(\zeta), 1\}
                            s_{\text{psdn}}, s_{\text{rc}}, s_{\text{ec\_add}}, s_{\text{ec\_dbl}}, s_{\text{ec\_endo}}, s_{\text{ec\_vbase}}
             1.5 PE is a set of elements of the form (f_{\text{comm}}, f(\zeta), f(\zeta\omega)) for the following polynomials:
                            \eta_0, \ldots, \eta_{N_{\text{prev}}}, pub, w_0, \ldots, w_{N_{\text{wires}}}, z, S_{\sigma_0}, \ldots, S_{\sigma_{N_{\text{perm}}}}, L
             1.6 \mathcal{P}_i = \{H_{\mathbb{F}_q}, \zeta, v, u, \mathbf{PE}, o_{\pi_i}\}
```

2. batch\_verify\_scalar\_field( $\mathcal{P}_0, \dots, \mathcal{P}_{\text{batch size}}$ )

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>Details: https://o1-labs.github.io/proof-systems/plonk/maller\_15.html

#### Algorithm 5 Verifier.Base Field

```
1. for each \pi_i:
                1.1 pub_{comm} = -MSM(\mathbf{L}, pub) \in \mathbb{G}, where \mathbf{L} is Lagrange bases vector
                1.2 random_oracle(p_{comm}, \pi_i):
                             1.2.1 H_{\mathbb{F}_q}.absorb(pub_{\mathtt{comm}}||w_{0,\mathtt{comm}}||...||w_{N_{\mathtt{wires}},\mathtt{comm}})
                             1.2.2 joint_combiner = H_{\mathbb{F}_q}.squeeze() <- PI check
                             1.2.3 H_{\mathbb{F}_q}.absorb(LOOKUP) L146, commitments sorted
                             1.2.4 \beta, \gamma = H_{\mathbb{F}_q}.squeeze() <- PI check
                             1.2.5 H_{\mathbb{F}_q}.absorb(LOOKUP2) L156m commitments aggregated
                             1.2.6~H_{\mathbb{F}_q}.\mathtt{absorb}(z_{\mathtt{comm}})
                             1.2.7 \alpha = H_{\mathbb{F}_q}.\mathtt{squeeze}() <- PI \ \mathrm{check}
                             1.2.8~H_{\mathbb{F}_q}.\mathtt{absorb}(t_{1,\mathtt{comm}}||...||t_{N_{\mathtt{perm}},\mathtt{comm}}||...||\infty||)
                             1.2.9 \ \zeta = H_{\mathbb{F}_q}.\mathtt{squeeze}() < - PI \ \mathrm{check}
                       1.2.10 Get digest from H_{\mathbb{F}_q} <- PI check
                1.3 \ \mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{base}} \coloneqq \{S_{\sigma_{N_{\mathtt{perm}}-1},\mathtt{comm}}, \mathtt{gate}_{\mathrm{mult},\mathtt{comm}}, w_{0,\mathtt{comm}}, w_{1,\mathtt{comm}}, w_{2,\mathtt{comm}}, q_{\mathtt{const},\mathtt{comm}}, \mathtt{gate}_{\mathrm{psdn},\mathtt{comm}}, \mathtt{gate}_{\mathrm{rc},\mathtt{comm}}, \mathtt{gate}_{\mathrm{rc},\mathtt{c
                                    \mathtt{gate}_{\text{ec\_add},\mathtt{comm}}, \mathtt{gate}_{\text{ec\_dbl},\mathtt{comm}}, \mathtt{gate}_{\text{ec\_endo},\mathtt{comm}}, \mathtt{gate}_{\text{ec\_vbase},\mathtt{comm}} \}
                1.4 s_{\text{perm}} := (w_0(\zeta) + \gamma + \beta \cdot S_{\sigma_0}(\zeta)) \cdot \dots \cdot (w_5(\zeta) + \gamma + \beta \cdot S_{\sigma_{N_{\text{perm}}}}(\zeta))
                1.5 \mathbf{f}_{\text{scalars}} \coloneqq \{-z(\zeta\omega) \cdot \beta \cdot \alpha_0 \cdot zkp(\zeta) \cdot s_{\text{perm}}, w_0(\zeta) \cdot w_1(\zeta), w_0(\zeta), w_1(\zeta), 1\}
                                    s_{\text{psdn}}, s_{\text{rc}}, s_{\text{ec\_add}}, s_{\text{ec\_dbl}}, s_{\text{ec\_endo}}, s_{\text{ec\_vbase}}
                1.6 f_{\text{comm}} = \mathbf{MSM}(\mathbf{f}_{\text{base}}, \mathbf{f}_{\text{scalars}})
                1.7 Copy from PI (\zeta^n - 1)
                1.8 \bar{L}_{\text{comm}} = f_{\text{comm}} - t_{\text{comm}} \cdot (\zeta^n - 1)
                1.9 PE is a set of elements of the form (f_{\texttt{comm}}, f(\zeta), f(\zeta\omega)) for the following polynomials:
                                    \eta_0, \ldots, \eta_{N_{\mathtt{prev}}}, pub, w_0, \ldots, w_{N_{\mathtt{wires}}}, z, S_{\sigma_0}, \ldots, S_{\sigma_{N_{\mathtt{perm}}}}, L
            1.10 \mathcal{P}_i = \{H_{\mathbb{F}_q}, \zeta, v, u, \mathbf{PE}, o_{\pi_i}\}
```

Remind that  $o_{\pi_i}$  contains openings from the prover.

2. batch\_verify\_base\_field( $\mathcal{P}_0, \dots, \mathcal{P}_{\mathtt{batch\_size}}$ )

### Algorithm 6 Batch Verify - Scalar Field

Input:  $\pi_0, \ldots, \pi_{\mathtt{batch\_size}}$ , where  $\pi_i = \{H_{i,\mathbb{F}_q}, \zeta_i, \zeta_i\omega, v_i, u_i, \mathbf{PE}_i, o_{\pi_i}\}$ 

Output: acc or rej

- 1.  $\rho_1 \leftarrow \mathbb{F}_r$
- 2.  $\rho_2 \leftarrow \mathbb{F}_r$
- 3.  $r_0 = r'_0 = 1$
- 4.  $scalars = [0, \dots, 0]$
- 5. for  $0 \le i < \mathtt{batch\_size}$ :
  - 5.1  $cip_i = \mathbf{combined\_inner\_product}(\zeta_i, \zeta_i\omega, v_i, u_i, \mathbf{PE}_i)$
  - 5.2 Calculate opening challenges  $\xi_{i,j}$  from  $o_{\pi_i}$  and copy-constraint them
  - 5.3 Calculate inversion from  $\xi_{i,j}$
  - 5.4 Copy  $c\_chal_i$  from PI
  - $5.5 \ c_i = \phi(c\_chal_i)$
  - 5.6  $h_i(X) \coloneqq \prod_{k=0}^{\log(d+1)-1} (1 + \xi_{\log(d+1)-k} X^{2^k})$ , where  $d = \texttt{lr\_rounds}$
  - $5.7 \ b_i = h_i(\zeta) + u_i \cdot h_i(\zeta\omega)$
  - $5.8 \text{ scalars} = \text{scalars} \parallel [-r_i \cdot o_{\pi_i}.z1 r_i']$
  - 5.9  $\operatorname{scalars}[i+1] = \operatorname{scalars}[i+1] + \sum_{i} (s_i \cdot r_i)$  for  $s_i$  from  $h_i$  coefficients
  - $5.10 \text{ scalars}[0] = \text{scalars}[0] r_i \cdot o_{\pi_i}.z2$
  - $5.11 \text{ scalars} = \text{scalars} \parallel [-r_i \cdot o_{\pi_i}.z1 \cdot b_i]$
  - 5.12 for each challenge  $(\xi, \xi^{-1})$  from opening challenges:
    - 5.12.1 scalars = scalars  $||[r_i \cdot c_i \cdot \xi^{-1}]||$
    - 5.12.2 scalars = scalars  $\parallel [r_i \cdot c_i \cdot \xi]$
  - $5.13\ u\_acc=1$
  - 5.14 for each commitment part from evaluations:
    - $5.14.1 \text{ scalars} = \text{scalars} \parallel [r_i \cdot c_i \cdot u\_acc]$
    - $5.14.2 \ u\_acc = u\_acc \cdot u_i$
  - $5.15 \text{ scalars} = \text{scalars} \parallel [r_i \cdot c_i \cdot cip_i]$
  - $5.16 \text{ scalars} = \text{scalars} \parallel [r_i]$
  - $5.17 \ r_{i+1} = r_i \cdot \rho_1$
  - $5.18 \ r'_{i+1} = r'_i \cdot \rho_2$

## Algorithm 7 Batch Verify - Base Field

Input:  $\pi_0, \dots, \pi_{\mathtt{batch\_size}}$ , where  $\pi_i = \{H_{i,\mathbb{F}_q}, \zeta_i, \zeta_i\omega, v_i, u_i, \mathbf{PE}_i, o_{\pi_i}\}$ 

Output: acc or rej

- 1. bases =  $[pk.H, pk.G_0, \dots, pk.G_n, \mathcal{O}, \dots, \mathcal{O}]$
- 2. for  $0 \le i < \texttt{batch\_size}$ :
  - 2.1 Get  $cip_i$  from PI
  - $2.2~H_{i,\mathbb{F}_q}.\mathtt{absorb}(cip_i-2^{255})$
  - $2.3~U_i = (H_{i,\mathbb{F}_q}.\mathtt{squeeze}()).\mathtt{to\_group}()$
  - $2.4\ H_{i,\mathbb{F}_q}.\mathtt{absorb}(o_{\pi_i}.\delta)$
  - $2.5 \text{ bases} = \text{bases} \parallel [o_{\pi_i}.sg]$
  - $2.6 \text{ bases} = \text{bases} \parallel [U_i]$
  - 2.7 for each opening (L, R) from opening.lr:
    - 2.7.1 bases = bases  $\parallel [L]$
    - 2.7.2 bases = bases  $\parallel \lceil R \rceil$
  - 2.8 for each commitment part  $comm_i$  from evaluations:
    - $2.8.1 \text{ bases} = \text{bases} \parallel [comm_i]$
  - $2.9 \text{ bases} = \text{bases} \parallel [U_i]$
  - $2.10 \text{ bases} = \text{bases} \parallel [o_{\pi_i}.\delta]$
- 3. res = MSM(scalars, bases)
- 4. return res == 0

## Algorithm 8 Combined Inner Product

**Input**:  $\xi, r, f_0(\zeta_1), \dots, f_k(\zeta_1), f_0(\zeta_2), \dots, f_k(\zeta_2)$ 

Output: s

1. Fr: 
$$s = \sum_{i=0}^{k} \xi^{i} \cdot (f_{i}(\zeta_{1}) + r \cdot f_{i}(\zeta_{2}))$$

## Chapter 3

## In-EVM State Proof Verifier

This introduces a description for in-EVM Mina Protocol state proof verification mechanism. Crucial components which define this part design are:

- $1. \ \ Verification \ architecture \ description.$
- 2. Verification logic API reference.
- 3. Input data structures description.

## 3.1 Verification Logic Architecture

The verification logic is split to several parts:

- 1. Verification Key Definition
- 2. LPC/FRI auxiliary proof deserialization

## 3.2 Verification Logic API Reference

## 3.3 Input Data Structures

## Chapter 4

# Appendix A. In-EVM Mina State

This introduces a description for in-EVM Mina Protocol state handling mechanism which is supposed to provide a bridge user with the way to verify plaintext transactions coming from Mina database commit log on EVM.

## 4.1 Overview

The protocol described literally replicates Mina's commit log constructon protocol on EVM. The overall process description is as follows:

#### Algorithm 9 Commit Log Construction Overview

- 1. A user retrieves a replication packet  $B_n$  containing some transaction T from Mina's commit log.
- 2. A user submits the replication packet  $B_n$  to the in-EVM piece of logic.
- 3. The in-EVM piece of logic emplaces the replication packet  $B_n$  into the backwards-linked list C.
- 4. The in-EVM piece of logic computes a Poseidon hash  $H_{B_n}$  of a replication packet  $B_n$  and inserts such one in a Merkle Tree T.
- 5. The in-EVM piece of logic uses a Merkle Tree's hash  $H_{B_n}$  of a particular replication packet  $B_n$  as an input to the state proof verification mechanism, taking the state proof from the original Mina's cluster in the same time, corresponding to the replication packet  $B_n$  seque.
- 6. In case the verification of a state proof corresponding to the replication packet  $B_n$  was completed successfully, such a replication packet  $B_n$  can be considered valid and appended to the backwards-linked list, representing in-EVM Mina's commit log.
- 7. In case the verification of a state proof corresponding to the replication packet  $B_n$  wasn't completed successfully, then a replication packet  $B_n$  gets rejected by the in-EVM piece of logic.
- 8. In case there are more than a single replication packet  $B_n$  (e.g.  $B_{n_1}$  and  $B_{n_2}$ ) and each of them is being considered valid, the backward-linked list used to store such replication packets turns into the tree containing several branches of backward-linked lists  $C_1, ..., C_M$ .
- 9. In case several branches  $C_1, ..., C_M$  are introduced, the Mina's Ouroboros modification chain selection rule applies to pick the same branch the original Mina's cluster chain selection rule picked.

 $T_{n_1,n_2}$  allows to provide a successfull transaction from  $\{B_{n_1},...,B_{n_2}\}$  to the Ethereum-based proof verificator later.

Ouroboros' consensus protocol chain selecton rule which is supposed to handle potentially incorrect replication packet data submitted by the user (and to keep the in-EVM commit log consistent with the actual Mina's one) is defined as follows:

Here,  $C_{loc}$  is the local commit log sequence,  $N = C_1, ..., C_M$  is the list of potential commit log sequences to choose from. The function getMinDen(C) outputs the minimum of all the window densities observed thus far in C.

#### Algorithm 10 getMinDen(C)

Let  $B_{last}$  be the last block in C.

- 1. if  $B_{last} = G$  then // i.e., if  $B_{last}$  is the genesis block
- 2. return 0
- 3. else
- 4. Parse  $B_{last}$  to obtain the parameter minDen.
- 5. return minDen

The function isShortRange(C, C') outputs whether or not the chains fork in the "short range" or not.

## Algorithm 11 isShortRange(C1, C2)

- 1. Let *prevLockcp* and *prevLockcp* be the *prevLockcp* components in the 12 last blocks of C1, C2, respectively.
- 2. if prevLockcp = prevLockcp then
- 3. return ⊤
- 4. else
- 5. return  $\perp$

## **Algorithm 12** maxvalid-sc( $C_{loc}$ , $N = C_1, ..., C_M, k$ )

```
1. Set C_{max} \Leftrightarrow C_{loc} // Compare C_{loc} with each candidate chain in N
```

```
2. for i=1,...,M do if isShortRange(C_i,C_{max}) then // Short-range fork if |C_i|>|C_{max}| then Set C_{max}\Leftrightarrow C_i end if else //Long-range fork if getMinDen(C_i)>getMinDen(C_{max}) then Set C_{max}\Leftrightarrow C_i end if end if end for
```

3. return  $C_{max}$ 

## 4.1.1 Purpose

The protocol is supposed to make it possible for the users to prove a particular transaction to the in-EVM Mina's commit log replica to be able to prove it actually belongs to Mina's commit log.

The overview of such a mechanism is as follows:

## Algorithm 13 Transaction Plaintext Data Proving Approach

- 1. A user retrieves the transaction T from Mina's database commit  $\log$
- 2. A user compares the transaction T with the contents of the in-EVM Mina's commit log representation.
- 3. If a trivial comparison results in a match, Mina's data from the transaction T can be considered valid for the in-EVM usage.
- 4. Otherwise, the transaction is supposed to be rejected.

## Bibliography

- 1. Kattis A., Panarin K., Vlasov A. RedShift: Transparent SNARKs from List Polynomial Commitment IOPs. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Report 2019/1400. 2019. https://ia.cr/2019/1400.
- Gabizon A., Williamson Z. J., Ciobotaru O. PLONK: Permutations over Lagrange-bases for Oecumenical Noninteractive arguments of Knowledge. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Report 2019/953. 2019. https://ia.cr/2019/953.
- 3. Fast Reed-Solomon interactive oracle proofs of proximity / E. Ben-Sasson, I. Bentov, Y. Horesh et al. // 45th international colloquium on automata, languages, and programming (icalp 2018) / Schloss Dagstuhl-Leibniz-Zentrum fuer Informatik. 2018.
- 4. Gabizon A., Williamson Z. J. Proposal: The Turbo-PLONK program syntax for specifying SNARK programs. https://docs.zkproof.org/pages/standards/accepted-workshop3/proposal-turbo\_plonk.pdf.
- 5. PLONKish Arithmetization The halo2 book. https://zcash.github.io/halo2/concepts/arithmetization.html.
- 6. Gabizon A., Williamson Z. J. plookup: A simplified polynomial protocol for lookup tables. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Report 2020/315. 2020. https://ia.cr/2020/315.
- 7. Lookup argument The halo2 book. https://zcash.github.io/halo2/design/proving-system/lookup.html.
- 8. Chiesa A., Ojha D., Spooner N. Fractal: Post-Quantum and Transparent Recursive Proofs from Holography. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Report 2019/1076. 2019. https://ia.cr/2019/1076.