

**Infinite Free Set for Small Measure Set Mappings****Author(s): Ludomir Newelski, Janusz Pawlikowski and Witold Seredyński****Source:** *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, Vol. 100, No. 2 (Jun., 1987), pp. 335–339

Published by: American Mathematical Society

Stable URL: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/2045967>

Accessed: 24-01-2026 18:25 UTC

---

JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content in a trusted digital archive. We use information technology and tools to increase productivity and facilitate new forms of scholarship. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of the Terms & Conditions of Use, available at <https://about.jstor.org/terms>



American Mathematical Society is collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*

## INFINITE FREE SET FOR SMALL MEASURE SET MAPPINGS

LUDOMIR NEWELSKI, JANUSZ PAWLICKOWSKI AND WITOLD SEREDYŃSKI

**ABSTRACT.** A set  $A \subset X$  is free for a function  $F: X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$  provided  $x \notin F(y)$  for any distinct  $x, y \in A$ . We show that, if  $F$  maps the reals into closed subsets of measure less than 1, then there is an infinite free set for  $F$ . This solves Problem 38(B) of Erdős and Hajnal [EH].

A set  $A \subset X$  is free for a function  $F: X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$  if  $x \notin F(y)$  for any distinct  $x, y \in A$ . Erdős and Hajnal [EH, Problem 38(B)] asked about the size of a free set for  $F$  mapping the reals into closed sets of measure less than 1. Gładysz [G] proved the following theorem. Suppose  $X$  is a separable metric space and  $\mu$  is a finite Borel measure which vanishes on points. Then, for any function  $F: X \rightarrow$  closed subsets of  $X$ , a free pair exists provided for some measurable function  $\nu: X \rightarrow R$ ,  $\mu(F(x)) \leq \nu(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ , and

$$\int_X \nu d\mu < \frac{1}{2}(\mu(X))^2.$$

We generalize this result and find conditions for the existence of a  $k$ -element free set,  $2 \leq k \leq \omega$ .

We first fix some terminology.  $\chi_B$  is the characteristic function of a set  $B$ . For  $A \subset X \times Y$ ,  $x \in X$ ,  $y \in Y$ , let  $A_x = \{z \in Y: (x, z) \in A\}$ ,  $A^y = \{z \in X: (z, y) \in A\}$ . A  $\sigma$ -finite measure space is a triple  $(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$ , where  $X$  is a set,  $\mathcal{X}$  is a  $\sigma$ -field of subsets of  $X$ , and  $\mu$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite measure in  $\mathcal{X}$ . If  $\mu$  is a measure, then  $\mu^*$  and  $\mu_*$  are the outer and inner measures respectively. Let  $(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space. For a nonnegative real valued function  $f$ , write  $\bar{\int}_X f d\mu$  for the upper integral, i.e. for  $\inf\{\int_X g d\mu: g \text{ measurable and } g \geq f\}$ . If  $Y \subset X$ , by a classical result of Łoś and Marczewski [LM], we can define a measure  $\mu \upharpoonright Y$  on the  $\sigma$ -field  $\mathcal{X} \upharpoonright Y = \{V \cap Y: V \in \mathcal{X}\}$  by  $(\mu \upharpoonright Y)(V \cap Y) = \mu^*(V \cap Y)$ . Then, for  $V \subset Y$ ,  $(\mu \upharpoonright Y)^*(V) = \mu^*(V)$ , and if  $\mu^*(Y) < \infty$ ,  $(\mu \upharpoonright Y)_*(V) = \mu^*(Y) - \mu^*(Y \setminus V)$ . Moreover, for any nonnegative real valued function  $f$ ,  $\bar{\int}_Y f d(\mu \upharpoonright Y) \leq \bar{\int}_X f d\mu$ .

Now we state a Fubini type lemma.

**LEMMA.** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space. Let  $Y$  be a second countable topological space, and let  $\nu$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite measure in the  $\sigma$ -field generated by closed subsets of  $Y$ . Then, for any set  $A \subset X \times Y$  with all sections  $A_x$  closed,*

$$\bar{\int}_Y \mu_*(A^y) d\nu(y) \leq \bar{\int}_X \nu(A_x) d\mu(x).$$

---

Received by the editors January 6, 1986.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 04A05, 04A20; Secondary 28A25.

*Key words and phrases.* Set mapping, free set, Fubini's theorem.

©1987 American Mathematical Society  
0002-9939/87 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

PROOF. We do it for  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  finite. Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a countable base of  $Y$ . Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , find  $B \supset A$  such that for  $x \in X$ ,  $\nu(B_x \setminus A_x) < \varepsilon$  and  $Y \setminus B_x$  is a finite union of some members of  $\mathcal{U}$ . Next find  $Z \subset X$  and a finite  $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{U}$  such that  $\mu^*(Z) > \mu(X) - \varepsilon$  and that for  $z \in Z$ ,  $Y \setminus B_z$  is a union of some members of  $\mathcal{V}$ .

Let  $Z = \mathcal{X} \upharpoonright Z$  and  $\vartheta = \mu \upharpoonright Z$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the finite field generated by  $\mathcal{V}$ . If  $S$  is an atom of  $\mathcal{A}$ , then there is  $R_S$  with  $B^y \cap Z = R_S$  for all  $y \in S$ . Find  $T_S \in Z$  with  $T_S \subset R_S$ ,  $\vartheta(T_S) = \vartheta_*(R_S)$ . Let  $C = \bigcup\{S \times T_S : S \text{ an atom of } \mathcal{A}\}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_Y \vartheta_*(B^y \cap Z) d\nu(y) &= \int_Y \vartheta(C^y) d\nu(y) = \int_Z \nu(C_z) d\vartheta(z) \\ &\leq \bar{\int}_Z \nu(B_z) d\vartheta(z) \leq \bar{\int}_X \nu(B_x) d\mu(x). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by  $\vartheta_*(B^y \cap Z) = \mu^*(Z) - \mu^*(Z \setminus B^y) \geq \mu^*(Z) - \mu(X) + \mu(X) - \mu^*(X \setminus B^y) \geq \mu_*(B^y) - \varepsilon$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\int}_Y \mu_*(B^y) d\nu(y) &\leq \varepsilon \cdot \nu(Y) + \int_Y \vartheta_*(B^y \cap Z) d\nu(y) \\ &\leq \varepsilon \cdot \nu(Y) + \bar{\int}_X \nu(B_x) d\mu(x). \end{aligned}$$

As  $\varepsilon$  was arbitrary, the Lemma follows.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $X$  be a second countable topological space, and let  $\mathcal{X}$  be the  $\sigma$ -field generated by closed subsets of  $X$ . Let  $\mu$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite measure in  $\mathcal{X}$ . Suppose that  $F \subset X \times X$  is such that  $F_x$  is closed for all  $x \in X$ . Consider the mapping  $x \rightarrow F_x$ .*

(a) *Suppose that  $\mu(X) < \infty$ ,  $2 \leq k < \omega$ , and for  $x \in X$  and  $B \subset X$  with  $\mu^*(B) > 0$ , we have*

$$(*) \quad \mu(X) > (k-1) \left( \mu^*(\{x\}) + \frac{2}{\mu^*(B)} \bar{\int}_X \chi_B(t) \mu^*(F_t \cap B) d\mu(t) \right).$$

*Then there is a  $k$ -element free set. Moreover, if  $k = 2$ , it suffices to check (\*) for  $B = X$ .*

(b) *There is an infinite free set provided (i) or (ii) holds.*

(i)  $\mu(X) = \infty$  and for some  $C < \infty$ , for all  $x \in X$ ,  $\mu(F_x) < C$ .

(ii) For all  $x \in X$ ,  $\mu(F_x \cup \{x\}) = 0$ .

PROOF. (a) By the Lemma,

$$\bar{\int}_X \mu_*(F^t) d\mu(t) \leq \bar{\int}_X \mu(F_t) d\mu(t).$$

Hence,

$$\bar{\int}_X (\mu_*(F^t) + \mu(F_t)) d\mu(t) \leq 2 \bar{\int}_X \mu(F_t) d\mu(t).$$

So, for some  $x \in X$ ,

$$(**) \quad \mu_*(F^x) + \mu(F_x) \leq \frac{2}{\mu(X)} \bar{\int}_X \mu(F_t) d\mu(t).$$

Let  $Y = X \setminus (F^x \cup F_x \cup \{x\})$ . By  $(*)$  and  $(**)$ ,  $\mu^*(Y) > 0$ . Hence  $Y \neq \emptyset$  and to get a 2-element free set we can take any  $y \in Y$ . Then  $y \notin F^x \cup F_x \cup \{x\}$ , so  $\{x, y\}$  is free. If we want more, we use induction.

By  $(*)$ , for  $y \in Y$  and  $B \subset Y$  with  $\mu^*(B) > 0$ ,

$$\mu(X) > (k-1) \left( \mu^*(\{y\}) + \frac{2}{\mu^*(B)} \int_X \chi_B(t) \mu^*(F_t \cap B) d\mu(t) \right).$$

Also

$$\mu(X) > (k-1) \left( \mu^*(\{x\}) + \frac{2}{\mu(X)} \int_X \mu^*(F_t) d\mu(t) \right).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (k-2)\mu(X) + \mu(X) \\ &> (k-2)(k-1) \left( \mu^*(\{y\}) + \frac{2}{\mu^*(B)} \int_X \chi_B(t) \mu^*(F_t \cap B) d\mu(t) \right) \\ &\quad + (k-1) \left( \mu^*(\{x\}) + \frac{2}{\mu(X)} \int_X \mu^*(F_t) d\mu(t) \right). \end{aligned}$$

By  $(**)$ , after division by  $(k-1)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^*(Y) &\geq \mu(X) - \left( \mu^*(\{x\}) + \frac{2}{\mu(X)} \int_X \mu(F_t) d\mu(t) \right) \\ &> (k-2) \left( \mu^*(\{y\}) + \frac{2}{\mu^*(B)} \int_X \chi_B(t) \mu^*(F_t \cap B) d\mu(t) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now set  $\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{X} \upharpoonright Y$  and  $\nu = \mu \upharpoonright Y$ . By the above argument,

$$\nu(Y) > (k-2) \left( \nu^*(\{y\}) + \frac{2}{\nu^*(B)} \int_Y \chi_B(t) \nu^*(F_t \cap B) d\nu(t) \right)$$

for any  $y \in Y$  and any  $B \subset Y$  with  $\nu^*(B) > 0$ . That is,  $(*)$  holds for  $Y, \nu$ , and  $k-1$ . So, by the induction hypothesis, there is a  $(k-1)$ -element free set in  $Y$ . Clearly  $Y \cup \{x\}$  is a  $k$ -element free set.

(b) For (i) the key is the following claim.

**CLAIM.** There is  $x \in X$  such that  $\mu^*(X \setminus F^x) = \infty$ .

**PROOF OF CLAIM.** Suppose not. Find  $Y \subset X$  and  $N < \omega$  such that  $C < \mu^*(Y) < \infty$  and  $\mu^*(X \setminus F^y) < N$  for  $y \in Y$ . Then, for  $B \in \mathcal{X}$ ,  $\mu_*(F^y \cap B) \geq \mu(B) - N$  for all  $y \in Y$ . Set  $\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{X} \upharpoonright Y$  and  $\nu = \mu \upharpoonright Y$ . By the Lemma, for any  $B \in \mathcal{X}$  such that  $0 < \mu(B) < \infty$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(B) \cdot \mu^*(Y) - N \cdot \mu^*(Y) &\leq \int_Y \mu_*(F^y \cap B) d\nu(y) \\ &\leq \int_B \nu(F_x \cap Y) d\mu(x) \leq \mu(B) \cdot C. \end{aligned}$$

So  $C \geq \mu^*(Y) \cdot (\mu(B) - N) \cdot \mu(B)^{-1}$ , which, for large  $\mu(B)$ , contradicts  $C < \mu^*(Y)$ . The Claim is proved.

Now, pick  $x \in X$  by the Claim, and look at  $Y = X \setminus (F_x \cup F^x \cup \{x\})$ . Since  $\mu^*(Y) = \infty$ , we can apply the Claim to  $Y$ ,  $\chi \upharpoonright Y$ , and  $\mu \upharpoonright Y$ . So pick  $y \in Y$  by the Claim. Since  $y \notin F_x \cup F^x \cup \{x\}$ ,  $\{x, y\}$  is free. Moreover,

$$(\mu \upharpoonright Y)^*(Y \setminus (F_y \cup F^y \cup \{y\})) = \infty,$$

so we can continue and pick in this fashion an infinite free set.

For (ii) the key is that by (\*\*) of (a), there is  $x \in X$  such that  $\mu_*(F^x) = 0$ , so  $\mu^*(X \setminus (F_x \cup F^x \cup \{x\})) = \mu(X)$ . Now we can find an infinite free set in the fashion of (i).

**COROLLARY (1).** *On the real line consider a map  $x \rightarrow F(x)$ , where  $F(x)$  is closed of measure less than 1. Then there is an infinite free set.*

This answers Problem 38(B) of Erdős and Hajnal [EH].

**COROLLARY (2).** *On the unit interval consider a map  $x \rightarrow F(x)$ , where  $F(x)$  is closed of measure zero. Then there is an infinite free set.*

In this case  $F(x)$  is nowhere dense. Erdős [E] proved that such mappings have infinite free sets. But the above argument applies also to measures which do not force closed sets of measure zero to be nowhere dense.

**COROLLARY (3).** *Suppose that  $X$  is a second countable topological space,  $\mu$  is a measure in the  $\sigma$ -field generated by closed sets, and  $\mu$  vanishes on points. Let  $2k - 2 < \mu(X) < \infty$ ,  $k \geq 2$ . Then, for any mapping  $x \rightarrow F(x)$  with  $F(x)$  closed of measure less than 1, there is a  $k$ -element free set. In fact there are disjoint sets  $B_1, \dots, B_k$  of positive outer measure such that any selector  $x_1 \in B_1, \dots, x_k \in B_k$  is free.*

**PROOF.** Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a countable base of  $X$  and let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be small. Find  $A$  such that  $A_x \supset F(x)$ ,  $\mu(A_x \setminus F(x)) < \varepsilon$ , and  $X \setminus A_x$  is a finite union of members of  $\mathcal{U}$ . Next take  $Y \subset X$  with  $\mu^*(Y) > \mu(X) - \varepsilon$ , and  $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{U}$  such that for all  $y \in Y$ ,  $A_y$  is a union of elements of  $\mathcal{V}$ . By the Lemma (the proof) there is  $S \subset Y$  with  $\mu^*(S) > 0$ , and  $R_S \subset Y$  with  $\mu^*(R_S) < 1$ , such that for all  $y \in S$ ,  $A^y \subset R_S$ . Refine  $S$  further to get  $T \subset S$  with  $\varepsilon > \mu^*(T) > 0$ , and  $P_T$  such that for all  $y \in T$ ,  $A_y = P_T$ . Then set  $B_1 = T$  and look at  $Y \setminus (R_S \cup T \cup P_T)$ . If  $\varepsilon$  is small, this set has outer measure greater than  $2(k - 1) - 2$  and we can continue.

**COROLLARY (4).** *Suppose that  $F: \{0, 1, \dots, n - 1\} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\{0, 1, \dots, n - 1\})$ ,  $|F(x)| \leq m$  for all  $x$ . Then there is a  $k$ -element free set iff  $k < 1 + n/(2m + 1)$ .*

**PROOF.** For the “if” direction use Theorem (a) with the counting measure. For the “only if” direction we give the following example. Let  $n = (2m + 1)d + r$ ,  $0 < r \leq 2m + 1$ . For  $i = 0, \dots, d - 1$ ,  $0 \leq c < 2m - 1$ , let

$$F((2m + 1)i + c) = \{(2m + 1)i + (c + j)_{\text{mod}(2m+1)} : j = c + 1, \dots, c + m\},$$

and, for  $0 \leq c < r$ , let

$$F((2m + 1)d + c) = \{(2m + 1)d + (c + j)_{\text{mod}(r)} : j = c + 1, \dots, c + m\}.$$

Then always  $|F(x)| \leq m$ , and any free set for  $F$  has at most one element in each segment  $(2m + 1)i \leq x < (2m + 1)(i + 1)$ . The number of segments is  $d + 1$ , so there is no free set of size  $\geq 1 + d + 1 \geq 1 + n/(2m + 1)$ .

NOTES. (1) Corollary (4) shows that the assumptions of the Theorem are (in some sense) essential.

(2) It seems worthwhile to note the following corollary of the Lemma. Let  $\lambda$  be the Lebesgue measure. Suppose  $A$  is a subset of the plane with all vertical sections closed of measure zero. Then

$$\lambda^*(\{y : \lambda_*(A_y) > 0\}) = 0.$$

(3) Topology is not necessary. Let  $(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space. Let  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{X}$  be a countable field. For  $A \subset X$  set  $\bar{\mu}(A) = \inf\{\mu(C) : C \in \mathcal{C} \text{ and } A \subset C\}$ . Then we can drop the assumption that  $F_x$  is closed but we must replace  $\mu(F_x)$  by  $\bar{\mu}(F_x)$ . This is an equivalent approach because  $\mathcal{C}$  can be taken as a base for the topology on  $X$ .

ACKNOWLEDGMENT. We are grateful to Professor Węglorz for drawing our attention to the Erdős-Hajnal problem.

ADDED IN PROOF. 1. D. H. Fremlin remarks that if  $\mu$  is atomless (i.e.  $\mu^*(\{x\}) = 0$  for each  $x \in X$ ), then the condition (\*) in Theorem (a) can be weakened to  $(\mu(X))^2 > 2(k-1)\underline{\int} \mu(F_x) d\mu(x)$ ,  $\underline{\int}$  being the lower integral. The argument is based on the equality

$$\underline{\int}_X \mu(F_x) d\mu(x) = \inf\{(\nu^2)^*(F) : \nu \text{---a measure on } X \text{ extending } \mu\}$$

and the following fact: if  $E \subset X^2$  is  $\nu^2$ -measurable with  $(k-1)\nu^2(E) < (\nu(X))^2$  then there are points  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$  such that  $(x_i, x_j) \notin E$  whenever  $i < j < n$ .

2. In a forthcoming paper, *Half Fubini theorem*, the second author discusses the following strengthened version of the Lemma:

If  $f : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  then  $\overline{\int}^R (\underline{\int}^L f(x, y) dx) dy \leq \underline{\int}^L (\overline{\int}^R f(x, y) dy) dx$ ,  $L$  standing for Lebesgue,  $R$ —for Riemann integral.

## REFERENCES

- [E] P. Erdős, *Some remarks on set theory*. III, Michigan Math. J. **2** (1953–54), 51–57.
- [EH] P. Erdős and A. Hajnal, *Unsolved problems in set theory*, Axiomatic Set Theory, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. 13, Part 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1971, pp. 17–48.
- [G] S. Gladysz, *Bemerkungen über die Unabhängigkeit der Punkte in Bezug auf Mengenwertigen Funktionen*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. **13** (1962), 199–201.
- [LM] J. Loś and E. Marczewski, *Extensions of measure*, Fund. Math. **36** (1949), 267–276.

INSTYTUT MATEMATYCZNY, POLSKA AKADEMIA NAUK, UL. KOPERNIKA 18, WROCŁAW,  
POLAND (Current address of Ludomir Newelski and Witold Seredyński)

INSTYTUT MATEMATYCZNY, UNIWERSYTET WROCŁAWSKI, PL. GRUNWALDZKI 2, 50-  
384 WROCŁAW, POLAND (Current address of Janusz Pawlikowski)