Scala

Lightweight Modular Staging

Steven Both, Toby Rufinus, Jaspreet Singh, Daniël Stekelenburg Now that we are familiar with Scala...



Now that we are familiar with Scala... lets look at an awesome library implemented in Scala.

Now that we are familiar with Scala...
lets look at an awesome library implemented in Scala.
Lightweight Modular Staging (LMS)

LMS is..

'A library-based multi-stage programming approach that uses types to distinguish between binding time.'

LMS is..

'A library-based multi-stage programming approach that uses types to distinguish between binding time.'

Lightweight Modular Staging (LMS) is a runtime code generation approach. The framework provides a library of core components for building high performance code generators and embedded compilers in Scala.

Outline

- ► A gentle introduction to LMS
- Generative Programming
- ▶ How to write a multi-staged program
- ▶ Intermediate representation
- ► How do we program in LMS?

A gentle introduction to LMS

Power function in Scala

```
def power(b: Double, x: Int): Double =
  if (x == 0) 1.0 else b * power(b, x - 1)
```

A gentle introduction to LMS

Power function in Scala

```
def power(b: Double, x: Int): Double =
  if (x == 0) 1.0 else b * power(b, x - 1)
```

Power function in Scala LMS

```
trait PowerA { this: Arith =>
  def power(b: Rep[Double], x: Int): Rep[Double] =
   if (x == 0) 1.0 else b * power(b, x - 1)
}
```

A gentle introduction to LMS

What is multi-stage programming (MSP)?

- MSP is a form of metaprogramming in which compilation is divided into a series of intermediate phases, allowing typesafe run-time code generation.
- ► In LMS the stages are defined by the types that are used: T (compile-time) vs Rep[T] (run-time).

What is generative programming?

Commonalities between LMS and generative programming languages

- Code generators and generated code are expressed in the same program.
- Objects that are live within the generator's heap can be accessed from generated code if the code is invoked directly (cross-stage persistence).

Commonalities between LMS and generative programming languages - Cont.

- Staged expressions inherit the static scope of the generator and if the generator is well-typed so is the generated code.
- Data types representing staged expressions are inaccessible to the program itself making optimizations safe that preserve only semantic but not structural equality.

Differences between LMS and generative programming languages

Staging is determined entirely by types, no special syntax is required. Other languages use quasi-quotations:

```
def power(b: String, n: Int): String =
  if (n == 0) s"1.0"
  else s"( b * { power(b, n - 1) })"
```

Given a sufficiently expressive programming language, the whole framework can be implemented as a library.

Differences between LMS and generative programming languages - Cont.

- Staged code fragments are composed through explicit operations.
- The relative evaluation order of expressions is preserved across stage boundaries. There is no danger of accidentally omitting, reordering, or duplicating computations.

Drawbacks of LMS

- The Scala LMS library only implements staged operations for a subset of Scala.
- Debugging is painful LMS can give obscure errors, run into an infinite loop, or generate wrong code.
- Documentation is lacking.


Productivity vs Performance

Software performance depends more on programmer productivity

- ▶ Processor clock speed doesn't double every 18 months
- High-level programming is hard to translate to efficient code
- Shift towards big data workloads

Result: Hand-optimized programs (BAD IDEA)

- abandoning all best practices and benefits of high-level programming
- programs become hard to read, maintain, verify...
- this attracts bugs and security vulnerabilities





Solution: Generative Programming

Write a program generator

- Produces the code of a program as output
- Reorganization of a programs' execution into stages, also called multi-stage programming

How do we write MSP-programs?

- A single-stage program is developed, implemented and tested
- Ensure the the program can be used in a staged manner. Otherwise "refactor"
- Introduce staging annotations

Another stage!

From

- ► Compilation-based program execution:
- ► Compile-time, run-time.

To

- Generated program execution:
- ► Generation-time, Compile-time, run-time.

Trees

- ► The goal is to get a representation of the implementation which supports optimizing compilation.
- A common approach is the use of expression trees which resemble abstract syntax trees (AST) with the following types:

```
type Exp[T]  // atomic: Sym, Const

type Def[T]  // composite: Exp + Exp, Exp * Exp,

type Stm[T]  // statement: val x = Def

type Block[T]  // blocks: { Stm; ...; Stm; Exp }
```

Trees

- Code is generated from the tree by using Forward Traversal
- Optimizations are applied by applying transformations on the tree.

Trees

- Code is generated from the tree by using Forward Traversal
- Optimizations are applied by applying transformations on the tree.
- PROBLEM: Phase Ordering: 'How to determine the ideal sequence of optimization phases to apply to each function or program so as to maximize the gain in speed, code-size, power, or any combination of these performance constraints.'
- Different orderings can have major impact on performance, especially in performance-critical applications.

Graphs

- Directed Graphs "Sea of nodes"
- ► Two types of nodes:
- 1. Expressions: constants and symbols
- 2. Definitions: composite operations
- Each definition has at least one associated symbol (expression) and refers to other definitions by the symbols the other definitions are associated with.

Graphs

If we consider a purely functional language subset: Graphs..

- Allow for possibilities for aggressive optimizations;
- Make optimizations easier to implement;
- Mitigate the phase ordering problem.
- ▶ Because we rely on referential transparency: the value of an expression is always the same, no matter when and where it is computed, so optimizations do not need to check availability or lifetimes of expressions.

Graphs - Optimizations

- Global common subexpression elimination (CSE): elimination of identical expressions (evaluate to the same value);
- ▶ Dead code elimination (DCE): finding all reachable statements and discarding everything else.
- Many many more optimizations possible.

Graphs - Back to code

- Code motion algorithm
- The algorithm will try to push statements inside conditional branches and lift statements out of loops.
- Code motion depends on dependency and frequency information but not directly on data-flow information.

How to LMS:

- Staging
- Generating code
- Data types



Power function in Scala:

```
def power(b: Double, p: Int): Double = {
  if (p == 0)
    1.0
  else
    b * power(b, p - 1)
}
```

Staged power function in LMS:

```
def power(b: Rep[Double], p: Int): Rep[Double] = {
  if (p == 0)
    1.0
  else
    b * power(b, p - 1)
}
```

Staged power function in LMS:

```
def power(b: Rep[Double], p: Int): Rep[Double] = {
  if (p == 0)
    1.0
  else
    b * power(b, p - 1)
}
power(b, 3)
```

```
power(b, 3)

Generated code:

def apply(x3: Double): Double = {
  val x4 = x3 * x3
  val x5 = x3 * x4
  x5
}
```

Simple factorial function

```
def fac(n: Rep[Int]): Rep[Int] = {
  if (n == 0) 1
  else n * fac(n - 1)
}
```

Simple factorial function

```
def fac(n: Rep[Int]): Rep[Int] = {
  if (n == 0) 1
  else n * fac(n - 1)
}
fac(n)
```

Simple factorial function

```
def fac(n: Rep[Int]): Rep[Int] = {
  if (n == 0) 1
  else n * fac(n - 1)
fac(n)
[error] (run-main) java.lang.StackOverflowError
[error] (compile:run) Nonzero exit code: 1
```

power(b, 3) vs. fac(n)



How to LMS: Staging with recursion

```
power(b, 3) vs. fac(n)
Make use of a lambda function:
def fac: Rep[Int => Int] = doLambda { n =>
  if (n == 0) 1
  else n * fac(n-1)
Now we can try it again:
fac(n)
```



How to LMS: Staging with recursion

Generated code

```
def apply(x12:Int): Int = {
 var x1 = {x2: (Int) => }
   val x3 = x2 == 0
   val x8 = if (x3) { 1 }
    else {
     val x4 = x2 - 1
     val x5 = x1(x4) // recursion
     val x6 = x2 * x5
     x6 }
   x8: Int }
 val x13 = x1(x12)
                           // recursion
 x13 }
```

```
power(b, 3)

Generated code:

def apply(x3: Double): Double = {
  val x4 = x3 * x3
  val x5 = x3 * x4
  x5
}
```

Optimized power function

```
def powerOpt(b: Rep[Double], p: Int): Rep[Double] = {
  def loop(x: Rep[Double], ac: Rep[Double],
                            y: Int): Rep[Double] =
    if (y == 0)
      ac
    else if (y \% 2 == 0)
      loop(x * x, ac, y / 2)
    else
      loop(x, ac * x, y - 1)
  loop(b, 1.0, p)
```

```
powerOpt(b, 3)

Generated code:

def apply(x3: Double): Double = {
  val x4 = x3 * x3
  val x5 = x3 * x4
  x5
}
```

```
powerOpt(b, 3)

Generated code:

def apply(x3: Double): Double = {
  val x4 = x3 * x3
  val x5 = x3 * x4
  x5
}
```

LMS can generate the same code from different staged codes.



But not per se.



But not per se.

For example:

powerOpt(b, 6)

```
But not per se.

For example:

powerOpt(b, 6)

Generated code of optimized version
```

```
def apply(x4:Double): Double = {
  val x5 = x4 * x4
  val x6 = x5 * x5
  val x7 = x5 * x6
  x7
}
```

Trivial regular expression

```
checkRegex(".", "Hello world")
```

The char '.' is a wildcard in Scala.

Partial regex code

```
def matchStar(...): Rep[Boolean] = { ... }
def matchBegin(...): Rep[Boolean] = { ... }
def matchEnd(...): Rep[Boolean] = { ... }

def matchChar(c: Char, t: Rep[Char]): Rep[Boolean] = { c == '.' || c == t }
```

Partial regex code

```
def matchStar(...): Rep[Boolean] = { ... }
def matchBegin(...): Rep[Boolean] = { ... }
def matchEnd(...): Rep[Boolean] = { ... }

def matchChar(c: Char, t: Rep[Char]): Rep[Boolean] = { c == '.' || c == t }

Now do:
checkRegex(".", s)
```

```
val x47 = while ({ val x28 = x27
  val x34 = if (x28) \{ false \}
  else \{ val x30 = x26 \}
    val x32 = x30 < x31
    x32 } x34}) {
  val x36 = x26 += 1
  val x37 = x26
  val x38 = x37 < x31
  val x42 = if (x38) {
    val x39 = x25(x37)
    val x40 = '.' == x39 // matchChar(...)
    val x41 = true | x40 // c == '.' | c == t
    x41 }
  else { false }
```



Generated code is not meant to be human-readable

The previous examples only considered:

- ► Rep[Int]
- ► Rep[Double]
- Rep[Char]
- ► Rep[Boolean]

The previous examples only considered:

- ► Rep[Int]
- Rep[Double]
- Rep[Char]
- Rep[Boolean]

But what to do for datatypes that are of your own making?

- Scala LMS only has pre-defined operations for standard library types.
- ➤ You add Rep[T] types to your own functions to stage them, allowing them to work on staged values.

Unstaged:

```
case class Vec3(x: Double, y: Double, z: Double) {
  def +(that: Vec3): Vec3 =
    Vec3(this.x + that.x,
        this.y + that.y, this.z + that.z)
}
```

```
Unstaged:
case class Vec3(x: Double, y: Double, z: Double) {
  def +(that: Vec3): Vec3 =
    Vec3(this.x + that.x,
      this.y + that.y, this.z + that.z)
}
Staged:
case class Vec3(x: Rep[Double], y: Rep[Double],
    z: Rep[Double]) {
  def +(that: Vec3): Vec3 =
    Vec3(this.x + that.x,
      this.y + that.y, this.z + that.z)
```



What about this function?

```
Unstaged:
```

```
case class Vec3(x: Double, y: Double, z: Double) {
  def length: Double =
    sqrt(x * x + y * y + z * z)
}
```

Universiteit Utrecht

```
What about this function?
Unstaged:
case class Vec3(x: Double, y: Double, z: Double) {
  def length: Double =
    sqrt(x * x + y * y + z * z)
Staged:
case class Vec3(x: Rep[Double], y: Rep[Double],
   z: Rep[Double]) {
  def length: Double =
    sqrt(x * x + y * y + z * z)
```

A problem: undefined operations on staged types

- Scala LMS defines some fundamental operations on staged types, such as integer/floating point arithmetic.
- ► If your staged function only uses those operations (like the + operator in the Vec3 example) you're fine.



A problem: undefined operations on staged types

- But LMS doesn't define everything in the Scala language! And it certainly doesn't define any third-party library functions.
- Some staged operations can't be defined in terms of other staged functions. We really don't want to implement sqrt() ourselves; we want to use the scala.math.sqrt() function somehow.
- ► That function call needs to end up in the generated code.
- We need to be able to add new operations on staged types.

How to Stage Your Algorithm

- 1. Add Rep [T] type annotations
- Define an interface for new operations on staged types
- 3. Implement the interface in terms of IR nodes
- (optional) Define optimizations, rewriting certain patterns of IR nodes
- Extend code generator so new IR nodes can be turned into code

You can create a wave-like function by summing up a number of sine waves. The Fourier transform decomposes the waveform back into its sine components. The Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) is a fast numerical algorithm that can do this.

```
def fft(xs: Array[Complex]): Array[Complex]
= xs match {
  case (x :: Nil) => xs
  case =>
    val N = xs.length // assume it's a power of two
    val (even0, odd0) = splitEvenOdd(xs)
    val (even1, odd1) = (fft(even0), fft(odd0))
    val (even2, odd2) = (even1 zip odd1 zipWithIndex)
      case ((x, y), k) \Rightarrow
        val z = omega(k, N) * y
        (x + z, x - z)
    }.unzip;
    even2 ::: odd2
```



```
case class Complex(re: Double, im: Double) {
  def +(that: Complex) = Complex(this.re + that.re,
     this.im + that.im)
  def *(that: Complex) = ...
}

def omega(k: Int, N: Int): Complex = {
  val kth = -2.0 * k * Math.Pi / N
     Complex(cos(kth), sin(kth))
}
```

Note the operations we perform on doubles: arithmetic (addition, multiplication, ...) and trigonometry (sin, cos)



Step 1: Add Rep[T] type annotations

Unstaged:

```
case class Complex(re: Double, im: Double) {
  def +(that: Complex) = Complex(this.re +
        that.re, this.im + that.im)
  def *(that: Complex) = ...
}
def omega ...
def fftt ...
```

Step 1: Add Rep[T] type annotations

Staged:

```
trait FFT { this: Arith with Trig =>
  case class Complex(re: Rep[Double], im:
    Rep[Double]) {
    def + Complex(this.re + that.re,
        this.im + that.im)
    def * ...
}
def omega ...
def fft ...
}
```

Step 1: Add Rep[T] type annotations

```
trait FFT { this: Arith with Trig =>
  case class Complex(re: Rep[Double], im:
    Rep[Double]) {
    def + Complex(this.re + that.re,
      this.im + that.im)
    def * ...
  def omega ...
  def fft ...
```

Step 1: Add Rep[T] type annotations

The staged version of complex numbers consists of a pair of Rep[Double] We need to be able to do arithmetic and trigonometric operations on staged doubles. Fortunately, the Scala LMS library happens to define operations for Rep[Double]

Step 1: Add Rep[T] type annotations

```
trait FFT { this: Arith with Trig =>
  case class Complex(re: Rep[Double], im:
    Rep[Double]) {
    def + Complex(this.re + that.re,
        this.im + that.im)
    def * ...
}
def omega ...
def fft ...
}
```

Step 1: Add Rep[T] type annotations

As an exercise, let's pretend that LMS didn't have definitions for Rep[Double]. What if we need to define these staged operations ourselves? (In other words: how is Rep[Double] implemented in the LMS library?) If we know how to do this, we can also define staged operations on our own data types.

Step 1: Add Rep[T] type annotations

```
trait FFT { this: Arith with Trig =>
  case class Complex(re: Rep[Double],
    im: Rep[Double]) {
    def + Complex(this.re + that.re,
        this.im + that.im)
    def * ...
}
def omega ...
def fft ...
}
```

- How to define our own staged operations that work on Rep[Double]?
- ▶ We define these operations in traits and mix them in.
- ► The this: Arith with Trig part means: whenever the trait FFT is instantiated, we need to mix in traits that provide arithmetic and trigonometric operations too.

Step 2: Define an interface for new operations on staged types

Scala LMS provides a Base trait; the Rep[T] type is defined there.

```
trait Arith extends Base {
  def infix_+(x: Rep[Double], y:
      Rep[Double]): Rep[Double]
  def infix_*(x: Rep[Double], y:
      Rep[Double]): Rep[Double]
  ...
}
```

Step 2: Define an interface for new operations on staged types

These traits contain only abstract members; they are interfaces. We need to create subclasses with concrete implementations.

- Scala LMS uses a node-based intermediate representation for staged expressions.
- ► The BaseExp class from the LMS framework defines some related types.
- Exp[T] is a simple IR expression (a constant or symbol).
- Def [T] is a composite operation; these operations will be converted to simple expressions.
- ▶ BaseExp also defines Rep[T] = Exp[T] so staged expressions will be converted to IR expressions.



```
trait ArithExp extends Arith with BaseExp {
  // These case classes are IR nodes
  case class Plus(x: Exp[Double], y: Exp[Double])
    extends Def[Double]
  case class Times(x: Exp[Double], y: Exp[Double])
    extends Def[Double]
  // The abstract functions defined in trait Arith ar
  def infix_+(x: Exp[Double], y: Exp[Double]) =
    Plus(x, y)
  def infix_*(x: Exp[Double], y: Exp[Double]) =
    Times(x, y)
```

```
trait TrigExp extends Trig with BaseExp {
  case class Sin(x: Exp[Double]) extends Def[Double]
  case class Cos(x: Exp[Double]) extends Def[Double]

  def sin(x: Exp[Double]) = Sin(x)
  def cos(x: Exp[Double]) = Cos(x)
}
```

```
sin(x + 2 * y) + sin(0)
Plus(Sin(Plus(Sym(x),
Times(Const(2),
Sym(y)))),
Sin(Const(0)))
```

Step 4: Define optimizations, rewriting certain patterns of IR nodes

- Scala LMS already contains a number of generic optimizations, such as dead code elimination and reusing identical expressions.
- We can define our own optimizations, both generic optimizations and domain-specific ones.
- ► These are again defined in traits, so you can combine them in a modular way.

```
trait ArithExpOpt extends ArithExp {
  override def infix_*(x: Exp[Double],
    y: Exp[Double]) =
    (x, y) match {
      // Multiplying two constants? We can calculate
      case (Const(x), Const(y)) \Rightarrow Const(x * y)
      // 1 * x = x, and vice versa
      case (x. Const(1)) \Rightarrow x
      case (Const(1), x) => x
      // Base case: apply the regular base function
      case => super.infix *(x, y)
```

Step 4: Define optimizations, rewriting certain patterns of IR nodes

```
trait TrigExpOptFFT extends TrigExpOpt {
  override def cos(x: Exp[Double]) = x match {
    case Const(x)
    if { val z = x / math.Pi / 0.5;
        z != 0 && z == z.toInt } =>
        Const(0.0)
    case _ => super.cos(x)
  }
}
```

Step 5: Extend code generator so new IR nodes can be turned into code

Finally, IR nodes have to be converted to actual code. The LMS framework provides a ScalaGenBase class that we can use. We only have to define what to do when the generator encounters one of the new nodes we added.

Step 5: Extend code generator so new IR nodes can be turned into code

```
trait ScalaGenArith extends ScalaGenBase
  with ArithExp {
  override def emitNode(sym: Sym[T],
     node: Def[T]) =
   node match {
      // val z = x + y
      case Plus(x, y) =>
        println("val %s = %x + %y".format(sym, x, y))
      // val z = x * y
      case Times(x, y) =>
        println("val %s = %x * %y".format(sym, x, y))
      case => super.emitNode(sym, node)
    }
```

Step 5: Extend code generator so new IR nodes can be turned into code

- ▶ It's also possible to generate code for other languages if you define your own generator.
- Scala LMS even comes with a CGenBase trait that can generate C code from your staged Scala functions.

Step 5: Extend code generator so new IR nodes can be turned into code

The CompileScala trait defines a compile function that lets you load the generated code immediately into the running program. Essentially, compile "unstages" your staged function (Rep[A] => Rep[B]) into a regular function (A => B). This function can then be called:

```
val fftCompiled = compile(fft)
// Now we can call fftCompiled with regular values
// Just like any other function in the program
fftCompiled(Array(1.0,0.0, 1.0,0.0, 2.0,0.0, 2.0,0.0))
```

```
> Array(6.0,0.0, -1.0,1.0, 0.0,0.0, -1.0,-1.0)
```





Conclusion

TODO

