### Homework01

### JongCheolLee

2024-09-30

#### Data

```
library(MASS)
data(Boston)
head(Boston)
       crim zn indus chas
                                             dis rad tax ptratio black lstat
                           nox
                                  rm age
## 1 0.00632 18 2.31 0 0.538 6.575 65.2 4.0900 1 296 15.3 396.90 4.98
## 2 0.02731 0 7.07 0 0.469 6.421 78.9 4.9671 2 242 17.8 396.90 9.14
## 3 0.02729 0 7.07 0 0.469 7.185 61.1 4.9671 2 242 17.8 392.83 4.03
## 4 0.03237 0 2.18 0 0.458 6.998 45.8 6.0622 3 222 18.7 394.63 2.94
## 5 0.06905 0 2.18 0 0.458 7.147 54.2 6.0622 3 222 18.7 396.90 5.33
## 6 0.02985 0 2.18 0 0.458 6.430 58.7 6.0622 3 222 18.7 394.12 5.21
##
   medv
## 1 24.0
## 2 21.6
## 3 34.7
## 4 33.4
## 5 36.2
## 6 28.7
y <- Boston[, 1]
x \leftarrow Boston[, -c(1,4,9)]
x <- as.matrix(scale(x))</pre>
  • 506 observations with 14 variables
  • crim: response variable (11 variables are scaled predictors)
dim(x)
## [1] 506 11
length(y)
## [1] 506
```

#### Housing Values in Suburbs of Boston

- crim: 마을별 1인당 범죄율.
- zn: 25,000 평방 피트 이상의 주거용 토지 비율.
- indus: 마을별 비소매업 상업 지역의 비율.
- nox: 대기 중 산화질소 농도(10백만분율).
- rm: 주택당 평균 방 개수.
- age: 1940년 이전에 건설된 자가 거주 주택 비율.
- dis: 보스턴의 5개 고용 센터까지의 가중 평균 거리.
- tax: 10,000달러당 재산세율.
- ptratio: 마을별 학생-교사 비율.
- black: 1000(Bk⊠0.63)^2 여기서 Bk는 마을별 흑인 인구 비율.
- Istat: 저소득층 인구 비율(백분율).
- medv: 자가 소유 주택의 중위값(단위: 1,000달러).

#### Goal Boston의 각 마을의 1인당 범죄율 예측

```
apply(x, 2, function(t) sum(is.na(t)))
##
        zn
              indus
                         nox
                                                   dis
                                                            tax ptratio
                                                                           black
                                                                                    lstat
                                   rm
                                          age
##
         0
                           0
                                    0
                                             0
                                                      0
                                                              0
##
      medv
##
         0
head(x, 3)
```

```
##
                     indus
                                                                dis
             zn
                                  nox
                                             rm
                                                       age
                                                                           tax
## 1 0.2845483 -1.2866362 -0.1440749 0.4132629 -0.1198948 0.140075 -0.6659492
## 2 -0.4872402 -0.5927944 -0.7395304 0.1940824 0.3668034 0.556609 -0.9863534
## 3 -0.4872402 -0.5927944 -0.7395304 1.2814456 -0.2655490 0.556609 -0.9863534
##
       ptratio
                    black
                               lstat
## 1 -1.4575580 0.4406159 -1.0744990 0.1595278
## 2 -0.3027945 0.4406159 -0.4919525 -0.1014239
## 3 -0.3027945 0.3960351 -1.2075324 1.3229375
```

#### Question 1.

```
test \leftarrow x[1,]
train \leftarrow x[-1,]
te <- 1
tran <- (1:nrow(x))[-te]
dist_1 <- function(train, test) {</pre>
  diff <- train - matrix(rep(test, nrow(train)),</pre>
                            nrow=nrow(train), byrow=T)
  dists <- apply(abs(diff), 1, sum)</pre>
  dists <- as.numeric(dists)</pre>
  return(dists)
dist_2 <- function(train, test) {</pre>
  diff <- train - matrix(rep(test, nrow(train)),</pre>
                            nrow=nrow(train), byrow=T)
  dists <- sqrt(apply(diff^2, 1, sum))</pre>
  dists <- as.numeric(dists)</pre>
  return(dists)
}
dist 3 <- function(train, test) {</pre>
  diff <- train - matrix(rep(test, nrow(train)),</pre>
                            nrow=nrow(train), byrow=T)
  numer <- abs(diff)</pre>
  denom <- abs(train) + abs(matrix(rep(test, nrow(train)),</pre>
                                       nrow=nrow(train), byrow=T))
  dists <- apply((numer/denom), 1, sum)</pre>
  dists <- as.numeric(dists)</pre>
  return(dists)
}
fhat <- function(dist_func, train, test, target, K) {</pre>
  dist_vector <- dist_func(train, test)</pre>
  closest_K <- order(dist_vector,</pre>
                         decreasing = F)[1:K]
  fhat <- mean(target[closest_K])</pre>
  return(fhat)
}
fhat_1 <- fhat(dist_func=dist_1,</pre>
                 train=train,
                 test=test,
                 target=y[tran],
                 K=10)
fhat_2 <- fhat(dist_func=dist_2,</pre>
                 train=train,
                 test=test,
                 target=y[tran],
                 K=10)
fhat_3 <- fhat(dist_func=dist_3,</pre>
```

```
train=train,
    test=test,
    target=y[tran],
    K=10)

data.frame(l=1:3,fhat=c(fhat_1, fhat_2, fhat_3))

## 1    fhat
## 1    1    0.115894
## 2 2 0.201866
## 3 3 0.074659


# [1] 0.115894 0.201866 0.074659
```

#### Question 2.

```
set.seed(12345)
tran <- sample(nrow(x), 400) # Randomly selected 400 samples
te <- c(1:nrow(x))[-tran]
PE <- function(x, y) {
  train <- x[tran,]</pre>
  testset <- x[-tran,]</pre>
  y_te <- y[te]</pre>
  K_{list} \leftarrow seq(1,100)
  f1_mat <- matrix(0, nrow=nrow(testset), ncol=100)</pre>
  f2_mat <- matrix(0, nrow=nrow(testset), ncol=100)</pre>
  f3_mat <- matrix(0, nrow=nrow(testset), ncol=100)</pre>
  for (i in 1:nrow(testset)) {
    test <- testset[i,]</pre>
    y_i <- y_te[i]</pre>
    for (k in K_list) {
      fhat_1 <- fhat(dist_1, train, test, y[tran], K=k)</pre>
      fhat_2 <- fhat(dist_2, train, test, y[tran], K=k)</pre>
      fhat_3 <- fhat(dist_3, train, test, y[tran], K=k)</pre>
      f1_{mat[i,k]} \leftarrow (y_i - fhat_1)^2
      f2_{mat[i,k]} \leftarrow (y_i - fhat_2)^2
      f3_{mat[i,k]} \leftarrow (y_i - fhat_3)^2
    }
  }
  PE1 <- apply(f1_mat, 2, function(t) sqrt(mean(t)))</pre>
  PE2 <- apply(f2_mat, 2, function(t) sqrt(mean(t)))
  PE3 <- apply(f3_mat, 2, function(t) sqrt(mean(t)))
# plot(PE1, type="l")
# lines(PE2, col="blue")
```

```
# lines(PE3, col="red")
  result_df <- data.frame(K_opt=c(which.min(PE1),</pre>
                                   which.min(PE2),
                                   which.min(PE3)),
                           PE_value=c(min(PE1),
                                      min(PE2),
                                      min(PE3)))
 return(list(result_df, cbind(PE1, PE2, PE3)))
}
res2 \leftarrow PE(x,y)
plot(res2[[2]][,1], type="l",
     xlab="K", ylab="PE", main="3 PE(f) lines over K")
lines(res2[[2]][,2], col="blue")
lines(res2[[2]][,3], col="red")
legend("topright", legend=c("dist1", "dist2", "dist3"),
       col=c("black", "blue", "red"), lty=1)
```

## 3 PE(f) lines over K



```
res2[[1]]
```

## K\_opt PE\_value


```
## 1 7 6.909187
## 2 20 7.193706
## 3 17 7.280037
```

#### Question 3.

```
set.seed(1234)
foldID <- sample(rep(1:10, length=nrow(x)))</pre>
CVE <- function(x, y){
  res_mat <- matrix(0, nrow=100, ncol=3)</pre>
  for (id in 1:10) {
    train <- x[foldID!=id,]</pre>
    testset <- x[foldID==id,]
    y_te <- y[foldID==id]</pre>
    K_list <- 1:100</pre>
    f1_mat <- matrix(0, nrow=nrow(testset), ncol=100)</pre>
    f2_mat <- matrix(0, nrow=nrow(testset), ncol=100)</pre>
    f3_mat <- matrix(0, nrow=nrow(testset), ncol=100)</pre>
    for (i in 1:nrow(testset)) {
      test <- testset[i,]</pre>
      y_i <- y_te[i]</pre>
      for (k in K_list) {
         fhat_1 <- fhat(dist_1, train, test, y[foldID!=id], K=k)</pre>
         fhat_2 <- fhat(dist_2, train, test, y[foldID!=id], K=k)</pre>
        fhat_3 <- fhat(dist_3, train, test, y[foldID!=id], K=k)</pre>
        f1_mat[i,k] \leftarrow (y_i - fhat_1)^2
        f2_{mat[i,k]} \leftarrow (y_i - fhat_2)^2
        f3_mat[i,k] \leftarrow (y_i - fhat_3)^2
      }
    mkPE1 <- apply(f1_mat, 2, sum)</pre>
    mkPE2 <- apply(f2_mat, 2, sum)</pre>
    mkPE3 <- apply(f3_mat, 2, sum)
    res_mat <- res_mat + cbind(mkPE1, mkPE2, mkPE3)</pre>
  CVE_mat <- sqrt(res_mat/506)</pre>
# plot(CVE_mat[,1], type="l")
# lines(CVE_mat[,2], col="blue")
# lines(CVE_mat[,3], col="red")
  K_opt <- apply(CVE_mat, 2, which.min)</pre>
  CVE_value <- apply(CVE_mat, 2, min)</pre>
```

```
result_df <- data.frame(K_opt=c(K_opt[1],</pre>
                                   K_opt[2],
                                   K_opt[3]),
                           CVE_value=c(CVE_value[1],
                                      CVE_value[2],
                                      CVE_value[3]))
  rownames(result_df) = c("1","2","3")
 return(list(result_df, CVE_mat))
}
res3 <- CVE(x,y)</pre>
plot(res3[[2]][,3], type="1", col="red",
     xlab="K", ylab="CVE", main="3 CVE(f) lines over K")
lines(res3[[2]][,2], col="blue")
lines(res3[[2]][,1])
legend("topright", legend=c("dist1", "dist2", "dist3"),
       col=c("black", "blue", "red"), lty=1)
```

# 3 CVE(f) lines over K



```
res3[[1]]
```


```
## K_opt CVE_value
## 1 8 6.269773
## 2 13 6.471639
```

### Question 4.

```
ghat <- function(dist func, train, test, target, K) {</pre>
  dist_vector <- dist_func(train, test)</pre>
  closest_K <- order(dist_vector,</pre>
                         decreasing = F)[1:K]
  ghat <- median(target[closest_K])</pre>
  return(ghat)
set.seed(1234)
foldID <- sample(rep(1:10, length=nrow(x)))</pre>
CVE_g <- function(x, y){</pre>
  res_mat <- matrix(0, nrow=100, ncol=3)</pre>
  for (id in 1:10) {
    train <- x[foldID!=id,]</pre>
    testset <- x[foldID==id,]
    y_te <- y[foldID==id]</pre>
    K_list <- 1:100</pre>
    g1_mat <- matrix(0, nrow=nrow(testset), ncol=100)</pre>
    g2_mat <- matrix(0, nrow=nrow(testset), ncol=100)</pre>
    g3_mat <- matrix(0, nrow=nrow(testset), ncol=100)</pre>
    for (i in 1:nrow(testset)) {
      test <- testset[i,]</pre>
      y_i <- y_te[i]</pre>
      for (k in K list) {
         ghat_1 <- ghat(dist_1, train, test, y[foldID!=id], K=k)</pre>
        ghat_2 <- ghat(dist_2, train, test, y[foldID!=id], K=k)</pre>
         ghat_3 <- ghat(dist_3, train, test, y[foldID!=id], K=k)</pre>
         g1_mat[i,k] <- (y_i - ghat_1)^2
        g2_mat[i,k] \leftarrow (y_i - ghat_2)^2
        g3_mat[i,k] <- (y_i - ghat_3)^2
      }
    mkPE1 <- apply(g1_mat, 2, sum)</pre>
    mkPE2 <- apply(g2_mat, 2, sum)</pre>
    mkPE3 <- apply(g3_mat, 2, sum)
    res_mat <- res_mat + cbind(mkPE1, mkPE2, mkPE3)</pre>
  CVE_mat <- sqrt(res_mat/506)
# plot(CVE_mat[,1], type="l")
```

```
# lines(CVE_mat[,2], col="blue")
# lines(CVE_mat[,3], col="red")
  K_opt <- apply(CVE_mat, 2, which.min)</pre>
  CVE_value <- apply(CVE_mat, 2, min)</pre>
  result_df <- data.frame(K_opt=c(K_opt[1],</pre>
                                   K_opt[2],
                                   K_opt[3]),
                           CVE_value=c(CVE_value[1],
                                      CVE_value[2],
                                       CVE_value[3]))
  rownames(result_df) <- 1:3</pre>
  return(list(result_df, CVE_mat))
res4 <- CVE_g(x,y)
plot(res4[[2]][,3], type="1", col="red",
     xlab="K", ylab="CVE", main="3 CVE(g) lines over K")
lines(res4[[2]][,2], col="blue")
lines(res4[[2]][,1])
legend("topright", legend=c("dist1", "dist2", "dist3"),
       col=c("black", "blue", "red"), lty=1)
```

## 3 CVE(g) lines over K




#### res4[[1]]

#### Question 5.

```
hhat <- function(dist_func, train, test, target, K) {</pre>
  dist_vector <- dist_func(train, test)</pre>
  closest_K <- order(dist_vector,</pre>
                         decreasing = F)[1:K]
  min_dist <- min(dist_vector)</pre>
  delta <- exp(-(dist_vector[closest_K] - min_dist)^2)</pre>
  D_lk <- sum(delta)</pre>
  hhat <- sum(delta*target[closest K])/(D lk)</pre>
  return(hhat)
}
set.seed(1234)
foldID <- sample(rep(1:10, length=nrow(x)))</pre>
CVE_h <- function(x, y){</pre>
  res_mat <- matrix(0, nrow=100, ncol=3)</pre>
  for (id in 1:10) {
    train <- x[foldID!=id,]</pre>
    testset <- x[foldID==id,]</pre>
    y_te <- y[foldID==id]</pre>
    K_list <- 1:100</pre>
    h1_mat <- matrix(0, nrow=nrow(testset), ncol=100)</pre>
    h2_mat <- matrix(0, nrow=nrow(testset), ncol=100)
    h3_mat <- matrix(0, nrow=nrow(testset), ncol=100)
    for (i in 1:nrow(testset)) {
      test <- testset[i,]</pre>
      y_i <- y_te[i]</pre>
       for (k in K_list) {
         hhat_1 <- hhat(dist_1, train, test, y[foldID!=id], K=k)</pre>
         hhat_2 <- hhat(dist_2, train, test, y[foldID!=id], K=k)</pre>
         hhat_3 <- hhat(dist_3, train, test, y[foldID!=id], K=k)</pre>
         h1_mat[i,k] \leftarrow (y_i - hhat_1)^2
         h2_mat[i,k] <- (y_i - hhat_2)^2
         h3_mat[i,k] <- (y_i - hhat_3)^2
      }
```

```
mkPE1 <- apply(h1_mat, 2, sum)</pre>
    mkPE2 <- apply(h2_mat, 2, sum)</pre>
    mkPE3 <- apply(h3_mat, 2, sum)</pre>
    res_mat <- res_mat + cbind(mkPE1, mkPE2, mkPE3)</pre>
  CVE_mat <- sqrt(res_mat/506)</pre>
# plot(CVE_mat[,1], type="l")
# lines(CVE_mat[,2], col="blue")
# lines(CVE_mat[,3], col="red")
  K_opt <- apply(CVE_mat, 2, which.min)</pre>
  CVE_value <- apply(CVE_mat, 2, min)</pre>
  result_df <- data.frame(K_opt=c(K_opt[1],</pre>
                                     K_opt[2],
                                     K_opt[3]),
                            CVE_value=c(CVE_value[1],
                                        CVE_value[2],
                                        CVE_value[3]))
  rownames(result_df) <- 1:3</pre>
  return(list(result_df, CVE_mat))
}
res5 <- CVE_h(x,y)
plot(res5[[2]][,3], type="1", col="red",
     xlab="K", ylab="CVE", main="3 CVE(h) lines over K")
lines(res5[[2]][,2], col="blue")
lines(res5[[2]][,1])
legend("topright", legend=c("dist1", "dist2", "dist3"),
       col=c("black", "blue", "red"), lty=1)
```

# 3 CVE(h) lines over K



### res5[[1]]

## Question 6.

# Result Table

|       | $\hat{f}$ |       | $\hat{g}$ |       | $\hat{h}$ |       |
|-------|-----------|-------|-----------|-------|-----------|-------|
|       | K         | CVE   | K         | CVE   | K         | CVE   |
| $d_1$ | 8         | 6.270 | 6         | 6.244 | 8         | 6.278 |
| $d_2$ | 13        | 6.472 | 4         | 6.489 | 13        | 6.458 |
| $d_3$ | 11        | 6.386 | 5         | 6.209 | 32        | 6.268 |

Figure 1: Summary

#### 결과 해석

- 본 결과의 최소 CVE 관점에서는 예측함수 g와 거리함수 d3의 조합이 가장 좋았다.
- 하지만 예측함수 h는 test sample과 특징이 더 가까울 수록 큰 가중치를 부여하여, 주변 샘플들의 target(crim) 값 가중평균을 예측값으로 사용하므로, 그래프를 비교하였을 때 **K**가 증가해도 가장 안정적인 예측 성능을 보였다.
- 또한 h는 거리함수 d3를 사용했을 때, 전반적으로 낮은 CVE값을 나타냈다.
- 따라서 예측의 안정성까지 고려했을 때는 예측함수 h와 거리함수 d3를 조합한 방법을 사용하는 것이 가장 좋다.