

Computer Networking Written Assignment 2

Name: 吉辰卿

ID: 11911303

Q<sub>1</sub>

solution:

(a) Interface 0: range:  $11100100 \sim 11111011$ ; the number of address: 24

Interface 1: range:  $11100000 \sim 11100011$ ; the number of address: 4

Interface 2: range:  $11111100 \sim 11111111$ ; the number of address: 4

Interface 3: range:  $00000000 \sim 11011111$ ; the number of address: 224

(b)

For  $11001000$ , the first 3 bits is inconsistent with 111 (it's 110),  
so, the appropriate link interface is interface 3.

For  $11100001$ , due to the longest prefix matching. Although the first 3 bits <sup>are</sup> 111, but the first 6 bits are 111000, which is matched to interface 1.

so, the appropriate link interface is interface 1.

For  $11110000$ , the first 3 bits are 111, but the first 6 bits are 111100. It's neither 111000 nor 111111, so it's belongs to the prefix match for interface 0.

so, the appropriate link interface is interface 0.

Q<sub>2</sub>

solution For organization 1,  $128 = 2^7 < 200 < 256 = 2^8$

and the ISP owns the block IP of 128.119.40.0/23.

So, for organization 1, we can change the last 8 bits for host number.

$$\text{That is: } (40)_{10} = (00101000)_2, \quad (128)_{10} = (10000000)_2$$

$$(119)_{10} = (01110111)_2$$

So, the ISP owns the block IP is:

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 10000000 & 01110111 & 00101000 & 00000000 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

"network number"

Then, for organization, if we can change the last 8 bits, we can may

$$\text{get: } \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 10000000 & 01110111 & 00101000 & 00000000 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

"network number"

$$\downarrow$$

so, all the IP for organization 1 is:  $\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 10000000 & 01110111 & 00101000 & xxxxxxxx \\ \hline \end{array}$

$$\text{That is: } 128.119.41.0/24$$

so, the prefixes for organization 1 is 128.119.41.0/24

For organization 2, because  $2^6 = 64 < 96 < 2^7 = 128$

so, we can change the last 7 bits for host number.

That is:

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 10000000 & 01110111 & 00101000 & 00000000 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

"network number"

$$\downarrow$$

so, all the IP for organization 2 is:  $\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 10000000 & 01110111 & 00101000 & xxxxxxxx \\ \hline \end{array}$

$$\text{That is: } 128.119.40.128/25$$

so, the prefixes for organization 2 is 128.119.40.128/25.

For organization 3, because  $2^5 = 32 < 62 < 2^6 = 64$

so, we can change the last 6 bits for host number.

That is:

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 10000000 & 0110111 & 00101000 & 01000000 \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{l} \text{xxxxxx} \\ \text{xxxxxx} \end{array}$$
  
"network number"

so, all the IP for organization 3 is:  $10000000 | 0110111 | 00101000 | 01xxxxxx$

That is: 128.119.40.64/26

so, the prefixes for organization 3 is 128.119.40.64/26.

For organization 4, because  $2^5 = 32 < 60 < 2^6 = 64$

so, we can change the last 6 bits for the host number.

That is:

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 10000000 & 0110111 & 00101000 & 00000000 \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{l} \text{xxxxxx} \\ \text{xxxxxx} \end{array}$$
  
"network number"

so, all the IP for organization 4 is:  $10000000 | 0110111 | 00101000 | 00xxxxxx$

That is: 128.119.40.0/26

so, the prefixes for organization 4 is: 128.119.40.0/26.

Q3

solution:

(a) because the network address of the home network is 192.168.2.128/25

That is: seems like:

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline \underbrace{xx\dots}_{192} & \underbrace{x\dots x}_{168} & \underbrace{xx\dots x}_2 & 10000000 \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{l} \text{xxxxxx} \\ \text{xxxxxx} \end{array}$$
  
"network number"

so, the last 7 bits can change for assigning the different host.

Therefore: the last 7 bits can be like: 0000001, 0000010, 0000011

so, based on that, the three hosts can have the IP: (see next page)

Host1: 192.168.2.129/25

Host2: 192.168.2.130/25

Host3: 192.168.2.131/25

∴ Therefore, the ~~same~~ addresses to the interfaces of the three hosts in the home network can be assigned as: 192.168.2.129/25, 192.168.2.130/25 and 192.168.2.131/25 respectively.

(b) So, the NAT translation table is:

| NAT translation Table |                     |
|-----------------------|---------------------|
| WAN                   | LAN                 |
| 24.34.114.232, 5001   | 192.168.2.200, 3000 |
| 24.34.114.232, 5002   | 192.168.2.200, 3001 |
| 24.34.114.232, 5003   | 192.168.2.201, 3000 |
| 24.34.114.232, 5004   | 192.168.2.201, 3001 |

Q4

solution: The table is as follows:

| step | N'      | D(t), P(t) | D(u), P(u) * P(v), P(v) * D(w), P(w) * P(y), P(y) * D(z), P(z) |      |      |      |      |
|------|---------|------------|--|------|------|------|------|
| 0    | X       | ∞          | ∞  | 3, x | 6, x | 6, x | 8, x |
| 1    | XV      | T, v       | 6, v   |      | 6, x | 6, x | 8, x |
| 2    | XVU     | T, v       |  |      | 6, x | 6, x | 8, x |
| 3    | XVUW    | T, v       |  |      |      | 6, x | 8, x |
| 4    | XVUWY   | T, v       |  |      |      |      | 8, x |
| 5    | XVUWYT  |            |  |      |      |      | 8, x |
| 6    | XVUWYtz |            |  |      |      |      |      |

Q5

Solution: For the answer of this question, I will draw a table like the lecture note 11 to cover all the problems:

| Node x table:<br>cost to -- |   |    |    | Cost to -- |    |    |    | Cost to -- |    |    |    | Cost to -- |    |    |    |
|-----------------------------|---|----|----|------------|----|----|----|------------|----|----|----|------------|----|----|----|
| x                           | y | z  |    | x          | y  | z  |    | x          | y  | z  |    | x          | y  | z  |    |
| x                           | 0 | 51 | 50 | x          | 0  | 51 | 50 | x          | 0  | 51 | 50 | x          | 0  | 51 | 50 |
| from y                      | 4 | 0  | 1  | from y     | 60 | 0  | 1  | from y     | 60 | 0  | 1  | from y     | 51 | 0  | 1  |
| z                           | 5 | 1  | 0  | z          | 5  | 1  | 0  | z          | 50 | 1  | 0  | z          | 50 | 1  | 0  |

detect  $(x,y) = (cost) = 60$

| Node y table:<br>cost to -- |    |   |    | Cost to -- |    |    |    | Cost to -- |    |    |    | Cost to -- |    |    |    |
|-----------------------------|----|---|----|------------|----|----|----|------------|----|----|----|------------|----|----|----|
| x                           | y  | z |    | x          | y  | z  |    | x          | y  | z  |    | x          | y  | z  |    |
| x                           | 0  | 4 | 50 | x          | 0  | 51 | 50 | x          | 0  | 51 | 50 | x          | 0  | 51 | 50 |
| from y                      | 60 | 0 | 1  | from y     | 60 | 0  | 1  | from y     | 51 | 0  | 1  | from y     | 51 | 0  | 1  |
| z                           | 50 | 1 | 0  | z          | 50 | 1  | 0  | z          | 50 | 1  | 0  | z          | 50 | 1  | 0  |

| Node z table:<br>cost to -- |   |   |   | Cost to -- |    |    |    | Cost to -- |    |    |    | Cost to -- |    |    |    |
|-----------------------------|---|---|---|------------|----|----|----|------------|----|----|----|------------|----|----|----|
| x                           | y | z |   | x          | y  | z  |    | x          | y  | z  |    | x          | y  | z  |    |
| x                           | 0 | 4 | 5 | x          | 0  | 51 | 50 | x          | 0  | 51 | 50 | x          | 0  | 51 | 50 |
| from y                      | 4 | 0 | 1 | from y     | 60 | 0  | 1  | from y     | 60 | 0  | 1  | from y     | 51 | 0  | 1  |
| z                           | 5 | 1 | 0 | z          | 50 | 1  | 0  | z          | 50 | 1  | 0  | z          | 50 | 1  | 0  |

to (change happened) after change happened

$t_1$  time (s)

$t_2$

$t_3$  (steady state)

whole process:

1. At  $t_0$ , the change happened. So, node X and node Y will recalculate their distance vector. Because for node X,

$$D_X(Y) = \min \{ c(X, Y) + D_Y(Y), c(X, Z) + D_Z(Y) \}$$
$$= \min \{ 60 + 0, 50 + 1 \} = 51$$

$$D_X(Z) = \min \{ c(X, Y) + D_Y(Z), c(X, Z) + D_Z(Z) \} = \min \{ 60 + 1, 50 \} = 50$$

So:  $D_X(Y) = 51$ ,  $D_X(Z) = 50$

for node Y,  $D_Y(X) = \min \{ c(Y, X) + D_X(X), c(Y, Z) + D_Z(X) \} = \min \{ 60 + 0, 1 + 60 \}$

and  $D_Y(Z) = \min \{ c(Y, Z) + D_Z(Z), c(Y, X) + D_X(Z) \} = \min \{ 1, 60 + 50 \} = 1$

So:  $D_Y(X) = 60$  and  $D_Y(Z) = 1$

Therefore, at  $t_0$ : X will change  $D_X(Y)$  to 51 and  $D_X(Z)$  to 50.

Y will change  $D_Y(X)$  to 60 and  $D_Y(Z)$  to 1 (still not changed!).

2. At  $t_1$ , Y will tell X and Z,  $D_Y(X) = 60$ . And X will tell Y,

$D_X(Y) = 51$  and  $D_X(Z) = 50$ , X will also tell Z  $D_X(Y) = 50$  and  $D_X(Z) = 50$ .

Then: Node Z will recalculate its distance vector to X that:

$$D_Z(X) = \min \{ c(Z, X) + D_X(X), c(Z, Y) + D_Y(X) \} = \min \{ 50 + 0, 1 + 60 \} = 50$$

So: Node Z will change  $D_Z(X)$  to 50. And node X and node Y will not change their ~~vector~~ distance vector in this time.

3. At  $t_2$ : Node Z will tell X and Y that  $D_Z(X) = 50$ . Then, node Y will recalculate its distance vector to X that:

$$D_Y(X) = \min \{ c(Y, X) + D_X(X), c(Y, Z) + D_Z(X) \} = \{ 60 + 0, 1 + 50 \} = 51$$

Therefore: Node Y will change  $D_Y(X)$  to 51. And node X and node Z will not change their distance vector in this time.

4. At  $t_3$ , node  $y$  will tell node  $x$  that  $D_y(x) = 5$  and tell node  $z$  that  $D_y(x) = \infty$ . Then, this algorithm will keep a steady state.