

NOTES FOR SPRING 2024 TALKS

FORMAT OF THE DOCUMENT

Slide Title

Slide Contents

1. WORKSHOP ON NUMBER THEORY IN FUNCTION FIELDS @ PENN STATE 3/13/2024

(1) Title

- Thank organizers!
- *The plan*: since everyone I sent this to said they didn't do stacks, we will focus on stacks
- *Intention*: invite & challenge everyone to start using the language of stacks
- *Warning*: You're welcome to interrupt with questions, but this is my first feature-length talk and first talk to experts in my field, and I am not great at doing math "live" so I may say something stupid

(2) Notation

- We focus on the function field of $\mathbb{P}^1/\mathbb{F}_q$ for ease of notation
- We discuss the relevance of the hypothesis that q is odd later; this is *not essential*, merely *convenient*

(3) The classical thing we want to analogize

- *Well-known* classical (modular forms = sections of a line bundle)
- Note: $M(\Gamma) \neq R(\mathcal{X}_\Gamma)$; *need log divisor* $M(\Gamma) = R(\mathcal{X}_\Gamma; \Delta)$
- Gekeler asks for a description of $M(\Gamma)$ for Drinfeld modular forms, in particular with generators/relations

(4) Why Stacks? What are Stacks?

- Stacks are *uniquely suited* to *all* modular forms
e.g. (stacky RR) - "jumpiness" in $\dim M_k(\Gamma)$ corresponds to floors in stacky RR
- Analogy: $(schemes) = \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{locally} \\ \text{ringed spaces} \end{array} \right) \longleftrightarrow (stacks) = \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{categories} \\ \text{fibered in} \\ \text{groupoids} \end{array} \right)$
- Yoneda's Functor of Points perspective means "sheaves = stacks"

(5) So, what are stacks?

- *Main focus* is on stacky curves, but we also discuss closely related *gerbes* over stacky curves
- Note: Every smooth, projective curve X may be treated as a stacky curve with nothing stacky about it. The stack quotient $[X/G]$ for a finite group $G \leq \text{Aut}(X)$ is a stacky curve, (as in Definition [LRZ16, 2.1])
- [VZB22, Remark 5.2.8] - *most* stacky curves are quotients like above
- **gerbe** - smooth, proper, geometrically connected Deligne-Mumford stack of dimension 1, i.e. stacky curve *without* dense open subscheme.
gerbe - stack \approx stacky curve, where every single point has a generic/uniform stabilizer

(6) **Stacky Curves 101**

- **signature of \mathcal{X}** - (genus; orders of stabilizers of stacky points)
- **signature of (\mathcal{X}, Δ)** - (genus; orders of stabilizers of stacky points; degree of Δ) where Δ is a finite formal sum of distinct points of \mathcal{X} called **log divisor**
- Just read the rest of the slide
- *Note:* $\mathcal{X} \cong \text{sProj}(R(\mathcal{X}))$

(7) **Computing the Canonical Ring of a Stacky Curve**

- [VZB22]’s inductive result is based on considering covers of stacky curves formed by removing stacky points or changing the orders of stacky points
- [LRZ16] also has such inductive results
- In [VZB22], [LRZ16], [O’D15], [CFO24] computing canonical rings of stacky curves is a lot about the combinatorics of the floors showing up in stacky RR and giving a *ground-up* description

(8) **Old Friends**

- Example (the j -line v1.0) - recall our favorite algebras of Drinfeld modular forms (without and with type resp.); the stacky j -line is a projective line with 2 stacky points corresponding to e.g. the denominators in the valence formula: [Gek99, Equation (3.10)]:

$$\sum_{z \in \text{GL}_2(A) \backslash \Omega}^* v_z(f) + \frac{v_e(f)}{q+1} + \frac{v_\infty(f)}{q-1} = \frac{k}{q^2-1},$$

where \sum^* denotes a sum over non-elliptic classes of $\text{GL}_2(A) \backslash \Omega$.

- *Note:* we return to the matter of stabilizers carefully later, the point of this example is below
- The problem is: the canonical ring of this stacky j -line *isn’t* the algebra of modular forms for $\text{GL}_2(A)$. *Need a log canonical ring instead*, but this is *not the main focus*.

(9) **What goes “Wrong” in Function Fields**

- Read the slide.
- The idea is that the proofs have too many Lemmas, so we’ll discuss features in the proof instead.
- A big part of this is just phrasing familiar Drinfeld things in stacks terminology.

(10) **Drinfeld Modular Forms**

- Whip through definition of Drinfeld modular form.
- (Every talk needs one joke & one proof (& you should be able to tell the difference)) - The emphasis is that weight and type are *not* independent.

(11) **“Fourier series” for Drinfeld Modular Forms**

- Read Lemma from “right to left”
- u -series tell us about the *log* part of the log canonical ring (pole orders @ cusps)
- u -series help us decompose modular forms into differently *typed* parts

(12) **From Florian and Gebhard with Love**

- *sensitivity* of modular forms to determinants: weight-type dependence & u -series coefficients
- Breuer’s Γ_2 -modular forms are *easier* to recognize as sections of a log canonical divisor on a log stacky Drinfeld modular curve. In particular Breuer was the inspiration for the comparison of algebras Theorem [Fra24, 6.2]
- Breuer’s forms are a *special case* of Böckle’s Γ' -forms since we’re taking inverse image under \det of subgroups of \mathbb{F}_q^\times . Theorem [Fra24, 6.12] was suggested, including a proof technique, by Böckle

(13) **Cusps and Elliptic Points**

- Quickly recall cusps.
- Note: my elliptic points are *not just* $(j = 0)$ -classes on X_{Γ}^{an} .
- Cusps correspond to “tails” of the graph quotient $\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{T}$ for \mathcal{T} the Bruhat-Tits tree of $\text{PGL}_2(K_{\infty})$.
- We illustrate with Mihran’s example how to form a “ramified cover” of $\text{GL}_2(A) \backslash \mathcal{T}$ by $\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{T}$ and the graph of $\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{T}$
- *Advert:* in joint works with Mihran & Kevin Ho, we aim to generalize [GN95] and [PW16]

(14) **Cusps are Elliptic Points**

- For us elliptic points are no more than stacky points - the essential thing is having nontrivial stabilizers, i.e. *extra automorphisms*
- Therefore, cusps of Drinfeld modular curves are elliptic points (under this definition)
- *Example* - extra automorphisms of the Carlitz module $\rho = TX + X^q$ vs. no extra automorphisms of singular elliptic curves. *This is a purely Drinfeld-setting problem.*
- We know $M(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})) \cong \mathbb{C}[E_4, E_6]$ so why are stabilizers not orders 4 and 6? - everything in the moduli has generic μ_2 -stabilizer. Likewise, every Drinfeld module has a generic μ_{q-1} -stabilizer coming from $\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}$ for $a \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}$.
- *Caution:* we’re hiding something tricky here. The cusps of a Drinfeld modular curve X_{Γ}^{an} have isotropy groups $\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \beta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & m \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \}$, but if we think of compactifying $\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{T}$, for \mathcal{T} the fundamental domain for Ω , we can use u^{h_s} , where h_s is the width of the cusp, as our chart at the point ∞ that we’re adding in the compactification. Since we’re compactifying a quotient of the fundamental domain rather than compactifying Ω and then taking a quotient, we’ve already removed the translations from the isotropy groups of the cusps, leaving a finite cyclic isotropy group. We *don’t have a moduli interpretation* for the required $(q-1)^2$ -automorphisms of the Carlitz module yet though...

(15) **Elliptic Points on Stacky Curves**

- *Claim:* cusps are elliptic points under my definition. This is *essential* for computing algebras of Drinfeld modular forms via log canonical rings
- *Question:* how stacky of stacky points are cusps? i.e. how elliptic are the elliptic points?
- We need to discuss *gerbes* in order to be sure we’re talking about the right space with the right stabilizers.
- *Example* - j -lines

(16) **Rigid Stacky GAGA**

- *Recall intention:* become able to work with stacks in Drinfeld setting, i.e. our aim is to introduce the key tools
- We need to generalize rigid analytic GAGA to stacky rigid analytic GAGA via [PY16] to compare Drinfeld modular forms on \mathcal{X}_{Γ} and X_{Γ}^{an}

(17) **Geometry of Drinfeld Modular Forms (1/3)**

- *Theorem* - the algebra of Drinfeld modular forms of Γ_2 is the log canonical ring of $(\mathcal{X}_{\Gamma_2}; 2\Delta)$
- *Formally* -

Theorem 1.1 ([Fra24, Theorem 6.1]). *Let q be an odd prime and let $\Gamma \leq \mathrm{GL}_2(A)$ be a congruence subgroup containing the diagonal matrices of $\mathrm{GL}_2(A)$ and such that $\det(\gamma) \in (\mathbb{F}_q^\times)^2$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Let Δ be the divisor supported at the cusps of the modular curve \mathcal{X}_Γ with the rigid analytic coarse space $X_\Gamma^{\mathrm{an}} = \Gamma \backslash (\Omega \cup \mathbb{P}^1(K))$. There is an isomorphism of graded rings*

$$M(\Gamma) \cong R(\mathcal{X}_\Gamma, \Omega_{\mathcal{X}_\Gamma}^1(2\Delta)),$$

where $\Omega_{\mathcal{X}_\Gamma}^1$ is the sheaf of differentials on \mathcal{X}_Γ . The isomorphism of algebras is given by the isomorphisms of components $M_{k,l}(\Gamma) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{X}_\Gamma, \Omega_{\mathcal{X}_\Gamma}^1(2\Delta)^{\otimes k/2})$ given by $f \mapsto f(dz)^{\otimes k/2}$.

- *Success* of the Theorem is we can answer Gekeler for Γ_2 using [VZB22], [O'D15], [CFO24], [LRZ16]
- *Failure* of the Theorem is if we can show $(\text{cusps of } \Gamma_2) \leftrightarrow (\text{cusps of } \Gamma)$ then $R(\mathcal{X}_{\Gamma_2}; 2\Delta)$ is the spin log canonical ring of $(\mathcal{X}_\Gamma; \Delta)$ in the sense of [LRZ16]
- *Key Ingredients* - dz double pole at ∞ & rigid stacky GAGA

(18) **Geometry of Drinfeld Modular Forms (2/3)**

- *Theorem* - $M(\Gamma) \cong M(\Gamma_2)$, i.e. we can recover $M(\Gamma)$ from a log canonical ring, fully answering Gekeler
- *Formally* -

Theorem 1.2 ([Fra24, Theorem 6.2]). *Let q be a power of an odd prime. Let $\Gamma \leq \mathrm{GL}_2(A)$ be a congruence subgroup containing the diagonal matrices in $\mathrm{GL}_2(A)$. Let $\Gamma_2 = \{\gamma \in \Gamma : \det(\gamma) \in (\mathbb{F}_q^\times)^2\}$. Then $M(\Gamma) \cong M(\Gamma_2)$, with*

$$M_{k,l}(\Gamma_2) = M_{k,l_1}(\Gamma) \oplus M_{k,l_2}(\Gamma)$$

on each graded piece, where l_1, l_2 are the two solutions to $k \equiv 2l \pmod{q-1}$.

(19) **Geometry of Drinfeld Modular Forms (3/3)**

- *Theorem* - $M(\Gamma) \cong M(\Gamma')$, i.e. [Fra24, Theorem 6.2] is a special case of [Fra24, Theorem 6.12].
- *Formally* -

Theorem 1.3 ([Fra24, Theorem 6.12]). *Let q be a power of an odd prime. Let $\Gamma \leq \mathrm{GL}_2(A)$ be a congruence subgroup. Let $\Gamma_1 = \{\gamma \in \Gamma : \det(\gamma) = 1\}$. Suppose that Γ' is such that $\Gamma_1 \leq \Gamma' \leq \Gamma$. Then as algebras*

$$M(\Gamma) = M(\Gamma'),$$

and each component $M_{k,l}(\Gamma')$ is some direct sum of components $M_{k,l'}(\Gamma)$ for some nontrivial l' .

- This was suggested by Böckle as was the proof technique.
- Both [Fra24, Theorem 6.2] and [Fra24, Theorem 6.12] have classical analogs which come up in discussion of *nebentypes* for classical modular forms e.g.

2. THESIS DEFENSE

(1) **Title**

- *The plan:* Penn state talk with a few more details
- *Intention:* invite & challenge everyone to start using the language of stacks

(2) **Notation**

- We focus on the function field of $\mathbb{P}^1/\mathbb{F}_q$ for ease of notation
- We discuss the relevance of the hypothesis that q is odd later; this is *not essential*, merely *convenient*

(3) **Elliptic Curves and Drinfeld Modules**

- Both elliptic curves and Drinfeld modules have a lattice-quotient (analytic) construction *and* a “Weierstrass” (algebraic) model
- Let $C\{X^q\} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\sum_{i=0}^n a_i X^{q^i} : a_0, \dots, a_n \in C, n \geq 0\}$ denote the non-commutative polynomial ring of \mathbb{F}_q -linear polynomials/ C (i.e. $f(\alpha x) = \alpha f(x)$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$); multiplication given by composition
- Let $\omega \in \mathbb{C}$ be \mathbb{R} -linearly independent from 1. Let $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}\omega + \mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a lattice. Then the **Weierstrass p -function** is

$$p(z, \omega, 1) = p(z, \Lambda) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{z^2} + \sum_{z \in \Lambda - \{0\}} \left(\frac{1}{z - \lambda} - \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \right).$$

The p -function satisfies a differential equation

$$(p')^2(z) = 4p^3(z) - g_2p(z) - g_3,$$

where g_2 and g_3 are values of certain Eisenstein series,

i.e. the p -function gives a Weierstrass model associated to the lattice Λ .

(4) **The classical thing we want to analogize**

- *Well-known* classical (modular forms = sections of a line bundle)
- Note: $M(\Gamma) \neq R(\mathcal{X}_\Gamma)$; *need log divisor* $M(\Gamma) = R(\mathcal{X}_\Gamma; \Delta)$
- Gekeler asks for a description of $M(\Gamma)$ for Drinfeld modular forms, in particular with generators/relations

(5) **Why Stacks? What are Stacks?**

- Stacks are *uniquely suited* to *all* modular forms
e.g. (stacky RR) - “jumpiness” in $\dim M_k(\Gamma)$ corresponds to floors in stacky RR
- Analogy: $(\text{schemes}) = \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{locally} \\ \text{ringed spaces} \end{array} \right) \rightsquigarrow (\text{stacks}) = \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{categories} \\ \text{fibered in} \\ \text{groupoids} \end{array} \right)$
- Yoneda’s Functor of Points perspective means “sheaves = stacks”

(6) **So, what are stacks?**

- *Main focus* is on stacky curves, but we also discuss closely related *gerbes* over stacky curves
- Note: Every smooth, projective curve X may be treated as a stacky curve with nothing stacky about it. The stack quotient $[X/G]$ for a finite group $G \leq \text{Aut}(X)$ is a stacky curve, (as in Definition [LRZ16, 2.1])
- [VZB22, Remark 5.2.8] - *most* stacky curves are quotients like above
- **gerbe** - smooth, proper, geometrically connected Deligne-Mumford stack of dimension 1, i.e. stacky curve *without* dense open subscheme.
gerbe - stack \approx stacky curve, where every single point has a generic/uniform stabilizer

(7) **Stacky Curves 101**

- **signature of \mathcal{X}** - (genus; orders of stabilizers of stacky points)
- **signature of (\mathcal{X}, Δ)** - (genus; orders of stabilizers of stacky points; degree of Δ) where Δ is a finite formal sum of distinct points of \mathcal{X} called **log divisor**
- Just read the rest of the slide
- *Note:* $\mathcal{X} \cong \text{sProj}(R(\mathcal{X}))$

(8) **Computing the Canonical Ring of a Stacky Curve**

- [VZB22]’s inductive result is based on considering covers of stacky curves formed by removing stacky points or changing the orders of stacky points
- [LRZ16] also has such inductive results
- In [VZB22], [LRZ16], [O’D15], [CFO24] computing canonical rings of stacky curves is a lot about the combinatorics of the floors showing up in stacky RR and giving a *ground-up* description

(9) **Example of Section Rings**

- $S_{D'}$ generated in degrees 1, 2, 4; $I_{D'}$ has $\text{gin}_{<}(I_{D'}) = \langle y^2 \rangle \subset \mathbb{k}[u, x_1, x_2^2]$
- S_D generated in degrees 1, 2, 2; I_D has $\text{gin}_{<}(I_D) = \langle x_1^3 \rangle \subset \mathbb{k}[u, x_1, x_2]$

(10) **Old Friends**

- Example (the j -line v1.0) - recall our favorite algebras of Drinfeld modular forms (without and with type resp.); the stacky j -line is a projective line with 2 stacky points corresponding to e.g. the denominators in the valence formula: [Gek99, Equation (3.10)]:

$$\sum_{z \in \text{GL}_2(A) \backslash \Omega}^* v_z(f) + \frac{v_e(f)}{q+1} + \frac{v_\infty(f)}{q-1} = \frac{k}{q^2-1},$$

where \sum^* denotes a sum over non-elliptic classes of $\text{GL}_2(A) \backslash \Omega$.

- *Note:* we return to the matter of stabilizers carefully later, the point of this example is below
- The problem is: the canonical ring of this stacky j -line *isn’t* the algebra of modular forms for $\text{GL}_2(A)$. *Need a log canonical ring instead*, but this is *not the main focus*.

(11) **What goes “Wrong” in Function Fields**

- Read the slide.
- The idea is that the proofs have too many Lemmas, so we’ll discuss features in the proof instead.
- A big part of this is just phrasing familiar Drinfeld things in stacks terminology.

(12) **Drinfeld Modular Forms**

- Whip through definition of Drinfeld modular form.
- (Every talk needs one joke & one proof (& you should be able to tell the difference)) - The emphasis is that weight and type are *not* independent.

(13) **“Fourier series” for Drinfeld Modular Forms**

- Read Lemma from “right to left”
- u -series tell us about the *log* part of the log canonical ring (pole orders @ cusps)
- u -series help us decompose modular forms into differently *typed* parts

(14) **From Florian and Gebhard with Love**

- *sensitivity* of modular forms to determinants: weight-type dependence & u -series coefficients
- Breuer's Γ_2 -modular forms are *easier* to recognize as sections of a log canonical divisor on a log stacky Drinfeld modular curve. In particular Breuer was the inspiration for the comparison of algebras Theorem [Fra24, 6.2]
- Bruer's forms are a *special case* of Böckle's Γ' -forms since we're taking inverse image under \det of subgroups of \mathbb{F}_q^\times . Theorem [Fra24, 6.12] was suggested, including a proof technique, by Böckle

(15) **Cusps and Elliptic Points**

- Quickly recall cusps.
- Note: my elliptic points are *not just* $(j = 0)$ -classes on X_Γ^{an} .
- Cusps correspond to “tails” of the graph quotient $\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{T}$ for \mathcal{T} the Bruhat-Tits tree of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(K_\infty)$.
- We illustrate with Mihran's example how to form a “ramified cover” of $\mathrm{GL}_2(A) \backslash \mathcal{T}$ by $\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{T}$ and the graph of $\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{T}$
- *Advert:* in joint works with Mihran & Kevin Ho, we aim to generalize [GN95] and [PW16]

(16) **Cusps are Elliptic Points**

- For us elliptic points are no more than stacky points - the essential thing is having nontrivial stabilizers, i.e. *extra automorphisms*
- Therefore, cusps of Drinfeld modular curves are elliptic points (under this definition)
- *Example* - extra automorphisms of the Carlitz module $\rho = TX + X^q$ vs. no extra automorphisms of singular elliptic curves. *This is a purely Drinfeld-setting problem.*
- We know $M(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})) \cong \mathbb{C}[E_4, E_6]$ so why are stabilizers not orders 4 and 6? - everything in the moduli has generic μ_2 -stabilizer. Likewise, every Drinfeld module has a generic μ_{q-1} -stabilizer coming from $\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}$ for $a \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$.
- *Caution:* we're hiding something tricky here!

(17) **Isotropy (1/2)**

- The “degenerate” Drinfeld modules of rank 2 which are cusps of a Drinfeld modular curve are Drinfeld modules of rank 1.
- Up to homothety there is only one rank 1 Drinfeld module: the **Carlitz module**:

$$\rho(T) = TX + X^q \rightsquigarrow \bar{\pi}A \subset \Omega,$$

where $\bar{\pi} \in K_\infty(\sqrt[q-1]{-T})$ is the **Carlitz period**, defined up to a $(q-1)$ st root of unity.

- $\mathrm{Aut}(\rho) \cong \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ since $\bar{\pi}A \sim \alpha \bar{\pi}A$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$.
- “Extra” automorphisms come from specifying a Carlitz period.
- *Just read* the isotropy groups of cusps side.

(18) **Isotropy (2/2)**

- Classical pictures [DS05, Figures 2.3 and 2.4]
- Drinfeld fundamental domain from Tristan Phillips
- The point here is that the notation $X_\Gamma^{an} = \Gamma \backslash (\Omega \cup \mathbb{P}^1(K))$ is misleading!
- We are *really* taking
 1. a quotient $\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{F}$ for \mathcal{F} the fundamental domain (i.e. building of $\mathcal{T}(\mathbb{R})$) of Ω
 2. a quotient $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{P}^1(K)$ separately
 3. *then* glueing the chart(s) at ∞ (resp. cusps) to the (open/affine) quotient $\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{F}$
- We can use u^{h_s} , where h_s is the width of the cusp s , as our chart at the point ∞ that we're adding in the compactification

(19) **Elliptic Points on Stacky Curves**

- *Claim:* cusps are elliptic points under my definition. This is *essential* for computing algebras of Drinfeld modular forms via log canonical rings
- *Question:* how stacky of stacky points are cusps? i.e. how elliptic are the elliptic points?
- We need to discuss *gerbes* in order to be sure we're talking about the right space with the right stabilizers.
- *Example* - j -lines
- *What is the j -line?* Every elliptic curve (resp. Drinfeld module) has a numerical invariant called its j -invariant $j(E) = \frac{c_4(E)^3}{\Delta(E)}$ (resp. $j(\varphi) = \frac{g(\varphi)^{q+1}}{\Delta(\varphi)}$). This j comes from a **modular function** - a meromorphic function on the (compactified) upper half-plane with a transformation rule similar to a modular form's "weak modular condition." That is, the j -function maps from a given modular curve to a projective line \mathbb{P}^1 (base field) and the j -invariant of an elliptic curve (resp. Drinfeld module) is the image of the curve (resp. module) under this map

(20) **Rigid Stacky GAGA**

- *Recall intention:* become able to work with stacks in Drinfeld setting, i.e. our aim is to introduce the key tools
- We need to generalize rigid analytic GAGA to stacky rigid analytic GAGA via [PY16] to compare Drinfeld modular forms on \mathcal{X}_Γ and X_Γ^{an}

(21) **Geometry of Drinfeld Modular Forms (1/3)**

- *Theorem* - the algebra of Drinfeld modular forms of Γ_2 is the log canonical ring of $(\mathcal{X}_{\Gamma_2}; 2\Delta)$
- *Formally* -

Theorem 2.1 ([Fra24, Theorem 6.1]). *Let q be an odd prime and let $\Gamma \leq \text{GL}_2(A)$ be a congruence subgroup containing the diagonal matrices of $\text{GL}_2(A)$ and such that $\det(\gamma) \in (\mathbb{F}_q^\times)^2$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Let Δ be the divisor supported at the cusps of the modular curve \mathcal{X}_Γ with the rigid analytic coarse space $X_\Gamma^{\text{an}} = \Gamma \backslash (\Omega \cup \mathbb{P}^1(K))$. There is an isomorphism of graded rings*

$$M(\Gamma) \cong R(\mathcal{X}_\Gamma, \Omega_{\mathcal{X}_\Gamma}^1(2\Delta)),$$

where $\Omega_{\mathcal{X}_\Gamma}^1$ is the sheaf of differentials on \mathcal{X}_Γ . The isomorphism of algebras is given by the isomorphisms of components $M_{k,l}(\Gamma) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{X}_\Gamma, \Omega_{\mathcal{X}_\Gamma}^1(2\Delta)^{\otimes k/2})$ given by $f \mapsto f(dz)^{\otimes k/2}$.
- *Success* of the Theorem is we can answer Gekeler for Γ_2 using [VZB22], [O'D15], [CFO24], [LRZ16]
- *Failure* of the Theorem is if we can show (cusps of Γ_2) \leftrightarrow (cusps of Γ) then $R(\mathcal{X}_{\Gamma_2}; 2\Delta)$ is the spin log canonical ring of $(\mathcal{X}_\Gamma; \Delta)$ in the sense of [LRZ16]
- *Key Ingredients* - dz double pole at ∞ & rigid stacky GAGA

(22) **Geometry of Drinfeld Modular Forms (2/3)**

- *Theorem* - $M(\Gamma) \cong M(\Gamma_2)$, i.e. we can recover $M(\Gamma)$ from a log canonical ring, fully answering Gekeler
- *Formally* -

Theorem 2.2 ([Fra24, Theorem 6.2]). *Let q be a power of an odd prime. Let $\Gamma \leq \text{GL}_2(A)$ be a congruence subgroup containing the diagonal matrices in $\text{GL}_2(A)$. Let $\Gamma_2 = \{\gamma \in \Gamma : \det(\gamma) \in (\mathbb{F}_q^\times)^2\}$. Then $M(\Gamma) \cong M(\Gamma_2)$, with*

$$M_{k,l}(\Gamma_2) = M_{k,l_1}(\Gamma) \oplus M_{k,l_2}(\Gamma)$$

on each graded piece, where l_1, l_2 are the two solutions to $k \equiv 2l \pmod{q-1}$.

(23) **Geometry of Drinfeld Modular Forms (3/3)**

- *Theorem* - $M(\Gamma) \cong M(\Gamma')$, i.e. [Fra24, Theorem 6.2] is a special case of [Fra24, Theorem 6.12].
- *Formally* -

Theorem 2.3 ([Fra24, Theorem 6.12]). *Let q be a power of an odd prime. Let $\Gamma \leq \mathrm{GL}_2(A)$ be a congruence subgroup. Let $\Gamma_1 = \{\gamma \in \Gamma : \det(\gamma) = 1\}$. Suppose that Γ' is such that $\Gamma_1 \leq \Gamma' \leq \Gamma$. Then as algebras*

$$M(\Gamma) = M(\Gamma'),$$

and each component $M_{k,l}(\Gamma')$ is some direct sum of components $M_{k,l'}(\Gamma)$ for some nontrivial l' .

- This was suggested by Böckle as was the proof technique.
- Both [Fra24, Theorem 6.2] and [Fra24, Theorem 6.12] have classical analogs which come up in discussion of *nebentypes* for classical modular forms e.g.

REFERENCES

- [CFO24] Michael Cerchia, Jesse Franklin, and Evan O’Dorney, *Section rings of \mathbb{Q} -divisors on genus 1 curves*, 2024, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2312.15128>.
- [DS05] Fred Diamond and Jerry Shurman, *A first course in modular forms*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 228, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2005. MR 2112196
- [Fra24] Jesse Franklin, *The geometry of Drinfeld modular forms*, 2024, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2310.19623>.
- [Gek99] Ernst-Ulrich Gekeler, *A survey on Drinfeld modular forms*, Turkish J. Math. **23** (1999), no. 4, 485–518. MR 1780937
- [GN95] Ernst-Ulrich Gekeler and Udo Nonnengardt, *Fundamental domains of some arithmetic groups over function fields*, Internat. J. Math. **6** (1995), no. 5, 689–708. MR 1351161
- [LRZ16] Aaron Landesman, Peter Ruhm, and Robin Zhang, *Spin canonical rings of log stacky curves*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **66** (2016), no. 6, 2339–2383. MR 3580174
- [O’D15] Evan O’Dorney, *Canonical rings of \mathbb{Q} -divisors on \mathbb{P}^1* , Annals of Combinatorics **19** (2015), no. 4, 765–784.
- [PW16] Mihran Papikian and Fu-Tsun Wei, *On the eisenstein ideal over function fields*, Journal of Number Theory **161** (2016), 384–434.
- [PY16] Mauro Porta and Tony Yue Yu, *Higher analytic stacks and GAGA theorems*, Adv. Math. **302** (2016), 351–409. MR 3545934
- [VZB22] John Voight and David Zureick-Brown, *The canonical ring of a stacky curve*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **277** (2022), no. 1362, v+144. MR 4403928