Cours N°1

Salvador Mascarenhas Michael Goodale (TA)

21 Septembre 2023

Table des matières

1	Remarks and Observations about the Nature of Language	2
2	The Goal of Linguistics	2
3	Different levels of Study	2
4	Language and Societies	3
	4.1 Language and Classes	3
	4.2 Language and Dialect	
	4.3 Infinity of Language	3
	4.4 Description	:
	4.5 Phonological Differences	:
	4.6 Internal Structure of Sentences	:

Introduction

DEC is called like that because is would have bothered Prof : Master at NYU, Junior Research at Oxford, here since 2016 Using language as a window into human mind

Linguistics is a broad term for serious principled study of language. Many perspectives, from the cognitive point or study language by an external perspective (structuralism : Ferdinand de Saussure, or Leonard Bloemfield) looking out on structures. Also quite general here. Language looked at as a social entity. History of languages, typology of languages. Not only about cognitive studies, pretty broad look out.

Teaching assistant: Michael Goodale PhD student, LRSCP, computational models of Language Acquisitions. Statistical inference and model language after formal tools. Practical Skills really. Assessments: Homework, graded on a qualitative schedule, due on lecture days. Final: Last Lecture, 30% of grade 10% of grade in TA participation. Website: Moodle hosted by Université de Paris: https://moodle.u-paris.fr/course/view.php?id=7374 Syllabus: https://moodle.u-paris.fr/pluginfile.php/1002151/mod_resource/content/5/intro-ling-syllabus-2023.pdf

Schedule

- 1. Language as a psychological and social entity
- 2. Language (non-)variation: Universals, variation within parameters
- 3. Morphology, language typology
- 4. Syntax I constituent structure; selection and subcategorization
- 5. Syntax II subcategorization; X-bar theory

- 6. Semantics I first look at meaning Studied by Salvador
- 7. Semantics II philosophy of language and the case for methodological solipism.
- 8. **Phonology** phonetic macro classes;
- 9. Language and Reasoning
- 10. Sign languages (guest lecture)
- 11. Language in the brain; deficit-lesion method; functional brain imagining; psycholinguistics; parsing, reading, lexical access.
- 12. Language and thoughts in minds vs. machines

1 Remarks and Observations about the Nature of Language

R.Descartes "Discourse on the Method": Humans, everyone of them, can speak. Animals, though they have what is needed, can't express their thoughts. Insights:

- 1. It doesn't matter on general intelligence, social intelligence or any measure of your intellectual abilities. Happens despite any other difficulties.
- 2. To Our Knowledge Not any other animal can do what we can do. Article from the NYT, saying animals can speak, though it's embarassing. A Chasm appears between humans and animals. Yet, it is continuous of what happens in the animal kingdom.
- 3. Animals are not incapable of language because they can reproduce human language, or use signs to communicate. Studies on Non-Human primate vocalizations, 3-4 words, all alarm calls → Language is independent on organs and communication systems. Yet is it a panic reaction or a real communication. Cannot conclude on A.Is then...

2 The Goal of Linguistics

A complete understanding of how sound (/sign/etc) relates to meaning :

- in terms of the speaker's knowledge: the state their mind is in by virtue of having acquired a natural language (competence). Distinguished from mastery of language/way it is produced. Describing skill, not usage.
- in terms of using that knowledge in linguistic tasks, like uncovering meaning from sound in real-time comprehension; executing motor commands necessary to externalize meaning in language production (performance)

Competence/performance is not really a sort of Chomsky. Chaz Firestone (Yale) published on competence/performance saying machines have been tested on performance and not competence. Tight connection between thinking and speaking. Behaviourism = school of thought that tried to figure out a way of studying humans that postulated and said absolutely about our mind. Not only linguistic behaviour: we shouldn't describe what happens in people's heads, just study what outputs comes from what inputs, without looking at the stimuli. Not what we will postulate. Freud sucks. Cannot look at functions: functions = algorithms, studied based on input/output pairs. Cannot do deduction nor induction but only abduction. Yet, we have no less reasons to believe it is right than to believe black holes exist.

3 Different levels of Study

Example: Mushrooms are an edible fungus

- 1. Sound Categories: Studying the sound signal based on the phonemes, represented in the mind
- 2. Morphemes: first chucks of phonemes that has a meaning: Morphology. Here: Mushroom and z or edi and able. Sometimes they are not pronounced: need for a rigorous description.

Sometimes, they're redundant, and appear with the same meaning in different places: compare theories. What is the probability of that happening? And how about irregularities: Past = laugh-ed or gave? Past in a concept that can manifest itself in different places: simple theory. FMRI theory can improve this theory. Morphemes don't always come in the same orthograph nor sound.

- 3. Words: Not much to do here.
- 4. Semantics: Organizing words into phrases. Here: edible fungus is a phrase, but edible is not. Must be done formally.

Three way of looking: Us, looking from a native's judgement - introspection will answer some questions. Exaggeration, yet: no written language, only looking at spokn language.

4 Language and Societies

4.1 Language and Classes

Language display depends only on human factors, political relationships, genetic factors: distinction between the animal and the meat (names coming from French, spoke by the upper class) in english. Happened in other languages. Different from hyperonyms like *poultry* for *chicken*. Context can explain linguistic aberrations.

4.2 Language and Dialect

Language and Dialect are political constructs and arbitrary decisions:

A language is a dialect with an army and a navy. (M.Weinreich)

4.3 Infinity of Language

Sentences are of arbitrary length, and can always be augmented. Yet infinite-ish sentences are impossible to comprehend because Performance is finite, i.e. cognitive resources are finite. There is a *finite* system generating infinitely many linguistic representations: recursions are of the order.

4.4 Description

Not looking for rules prescripting language (fuck l'académie française), but only for rules describing them. No better way to speak, the way you ought to speak has nothing to do with linguistics and only with politics. Yet, language are principled, even those which are proscribed: adding fu-cking in the middle of a word: Phila-fucking-delphia. Rule here: fucking comes before the stressed syllable and the material right before needs to be heavy. Heavy comes from phonology, rigorous theory of the weight of syllables.

4.5 Phonological Differences

Languages have different constraints on the syllables composing their words: *pnick works in French but not in Engl*sh.

4.6 Internal Structure of Sentences

Sentences are made of constituents that don't act up the same in every language: des is not used in Engl*sh ($\sim of\ the$). They cannot be broken: $des\ burgers\ et\ des\ frites$. It is mysterious tho? Maybe language has something else to do for us than communicate...

They cannot be considered alone: Fat cats eat and Fat cats eat accumulates. Supposition that two words next to each other are related in written language. Also, prosody is a big help in understanding.