## Homework 2

Matthieu Boyer

20 novembre 2024

## 1 Question 1

■ Notation 1.1 For  $I \subseteq E$  and  $b \in B$ , we will denote  $I(b) = \{a \in A \mid (a,b) \in I\}$  and by  $I(X) = \{a \in X \mid \exists b \in B, (a,b) \in I\}$ . We then define the matroids  $\mathbb{A} = (E, \mathcal{A}), \mathbb{B} = (E, \mathcal{B})$  where :

$$\mathcal{A} = \{ I \subseteq E \mid |I(a)| \le 1 \forall a \in A \}$$
$$\mathcal{B} = \{ I \subseteq E \mid I(b) \in \mathcal{M}_b \forall b \in B \}$$

We then see that  $M \subseteq E$  is a A-perfect matching if and only if |M| = |A| and M is an independent set of A and B. Thus, we will call sets in  $A \cap B$  independent matchings.

Then, since  $|A| \ge \max_{I \in \mathcal{A}} |I|$ , from Edmonds' mini-max formula on matroid intersection, we just need to have  $\min_{I \subset E} r_{\mathcal{A}}(I) + r_{\mathcal{B}}(E \setminus I) \ge |A|$  to have the existence of a A-perfect matching.

We define  $s: 2^E \to \mathbb{N}$  as :

$$s(I) = \sum_{b \in B} rank_{M_b}(I(b) \cap N(b)) \tag{1}$$

We see that the rank set in  $\mathcal{B}$  can be seen as the ranks on each component (by separating edges on the  $b \in \mathcal{B}$  they are connected to). Indeed, since  $\mathcal{B}$  can be seen as a union of matroids (the  $M_b$  seen as matroids on the edges connected to b) we have, for  $I \subseteq E$ :

$$r_{\mathcal{B}}(I) = \min_{T \subseteq I} |I \setminus T| + s(T) = \min_{T \subseteq I} |I| - |T| + s(T)$$

Then plugging this into our main equation:

$$r_{\mathcal{A}}(E \setminus I) + r_{\mathcal{B}}(I) = r_{\mathcal{A}}(E \setminus I) + \min_{T} |I| - |T| + s(T)$$

$$\geq \min_{T} |I| - |T| + s(T)$$

$$= \min_{T} |A| - |T(A)| + s(T)$$

But since this should be greater than |A| for all T and all I, it is equivalent to being true for all possible A' = T(A) (and modifying the type of s accordingly, which doesn't change anything) and thus:

$$\max_{I \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}} |I| = |A| \Longleftrightarrow \forall A' \subseteq A, s(A') - |A'| \ge 0$$

which is the wanted result.

## 2 Question 2

Let  $F = 2^I$  and let us denote by  $g: 2^{\mathcal{F}} \to \mathbb{R}^+$  the function that to a family of sets gives their combined profit. Clearly, g is submodular. Furthermore we denote by  $X_0$  the empty set, and by  $X_i$  the set of items taken after i knapsacks were filled by our algorithm. Since we apply the FPTAS k times, and since g is submodular, we have:

$$g(X_i) - g(X_{i-1}) \ge (1 - \varepsilon) \frac{OPT - g(X_{i-1})}{k} \tag{2}$$

for each i, where OPT is the weight of an optimal solution. Then, we have :

$$g(X_1) - g(X_0) = g(X_1) \ge (1 - \varepsilon) \frac{OPT}{k} = OPT(1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right) - \varepsilon) = OPT\left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right) - \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)\right)$$
(3)

and then:

$$g(X_2) \ge (1 - \varepsilon) \frac{OPT - g(X_1)}{k} = (1 - \varepsilon)OPT \left( 1 - \left( 1 - \frac{1}{k} \right) - \varepsilon \right)$$

$$= OPT \left( 1 - \left( 1 - \frac{1}{k} \right)^2 - \varepsilon \right) - OPT \times \varepsilon \left( 1 - \left( 1 - \frac{1}{k} \right) - \varepsilon \right)$$

$$= OPT \left( 1 - \left( 1 - \frac{1}{k} \right)^2 - \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon) \right)$$

By induction:

$$g(X_i i) \ge OPT\left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right)^i - \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)\right)$$

And thus:

$$g(X_k) \geq OPT\left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right)^k - \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)\right) \geq OPT\left(1 - \frac{1}{e} - \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)\right)$$

# 3 Question 3

### 3.1 Part 1

Let us have two edge-cuts  $U_1=(A,V\setminus A=A^\complement)$  and  $V_2=(B,B^\complement)$ . Then,  $U_1\Delta U_2$  is an edge-cut:

$$U_{1}\Delta U_{2} = \left(A \cup B \setminus (A \cap B), (A \cup B)^{\complement} \cup (A \cap B)\right) = \left(A \cup B \setminus (A \cap B), (A \cup B \setminus (A \cap B))^{\complement}\right) = \left(A\Delta B, (A\Delta B)^{\complement}\right)$$

This, in particular, means that  $\Delta_{i \in [\![1,t]\!]} V_{f_i}$  is a cut set. Let us then see that the choice of  $V_f$  or it's complement  $V^{\complement} = V \setminus V_f$  does not change the result. This is obvious when looking at the edge-cut equivalent to  $(V, V^{\complement})$  in G since V and  $V^{\complement}$  define the same edge-cut. Indeed, since an edge-cut can be seen as both  $(A, A^{\complement})$  and  $(A^{\complement}, A)$ , we know the choice of  $V_f$  or  $V \setminus V_f$  does not change anything.

#### 3.2 Part 2

This algorithm takes:

$$\mathcal{O}\left(\underbrace{(n-1)\times \text{max-flow}}_{\text{Gomory-Hu algorithm}} + \underbrace{n^2}_{\text{Check Sizes}} + \underbrace{n}_{\text{Retrieve Cut-size}}\right)$$

3.2 Part 2

### Algorithme 1 Minimum Odd Size Cut

- First, we build the Gomory-Hu tree of our graph.
- Then, for each edge in the tree we consider both components formed by removing the edge.
- For every odd-sized such component, we retrieve the cut size (the label of the edge in the Gomory-Hu tree), if it's less than one we return True. If none are of cut size  $\leq 1$  then we return false.

For correctness we just need to show that one of the cuts determined by T is a minimum odd cut in G. To do so, we just need to see that if  $\delta(U)$  is a min odd cut in G, then one of the  $V_f$  must be odd. Indeed, if there is only one  $V_f$ , then  $V_f = U$  or  $V \setminus V_f$  and we have the result. Else, if there are multiple edges in the path from u to v, then either  $|V_{f_1}|$  or  $|V \setminus V_{f_1}|$  is odd (and we have the result), or  $|V_{f_2}|$  is odd. Indeed, if  $f_1 = (a, b)$  and  $f_2 = (b, c)$ , then if  $a \in V_{f_1}$  and  $a \in V_{f_2}$ ,  $|V_{f_2}| = |V_{f_1}| + 1$  since we add b to the part of the cut containing a and remove it from the other part. In the other naming cases, we could verify a similar equality with -1 if we lose b and b. Finally, if  $f \in F$   $\delta_G(V_f)$  is the minimum odd u - v cut, since  $\delta_G(U)$  is a u - v cut,  $\delta_G(V_f)$  is a minimum odd-cut.