Homework 2

Matthieu Boyer

19 novembre 2024

1 Question 1

■ Notation 1.1 For $F \subseteq E$ and $b \in B$, we will denote $F(b) = \{a \in A \mid (a,b) \in F\}$ and by $F(X) = \{a \in X \mid \exists b \in B, (a,b) \in F\}$. We then define the matroids $\mathbb{A} = (E, \mathcal{A}), \mathbb{B} = (E, \mathcal{B})$ where :

$$\mathcal{A} = \{ I \subseteq E \mid |I(a)| \le 1 \forall a \in A \}$$
$$\mathcal{B} = \{ I \subseteq E \mid I(h) \in \mathcal{M}_b \forall b \in B \}$$

We then see that $M \subseteq E$ is a A-perfect matching if and only if |M| = |A| and M is an independent set of A and B. Thus, we will call sets in $A \cap B$ independent matchings.

Then, since $|A| \ge \max_{I \in \mathcal{A}} |I|$, from Edmonds' mini-max formula on matroid intersection, we just need to have $\min_{I \subseteq E} r_{\mathcal{A}}(I) + r_{\mathcal{B}}(E \setminus I) \ge |A|$ to have the existence of a A-perfect matching.

We define $s: 2^E \to \mathbb{N}$ as :

$$s(I)\sum_{b\in B}rank_{M_b}(I(b)\cap N(b)) \tag{1}$$

We see that the rank set in \mathcal{B} can be seen as the ranks on each component (by separating edges on the $b \in \mathcal{B}$ they are connected to). Indeed, since \mathcal{B} can be seen as a union of matroids (the M_b seen as matroids on the edges connected to b) we have, for $I \subseteq E$:

$$r_{\mathcal{B}}(I) = \min_{T \subseteq I} |I \setminus T| + s(T) = \min_{T \subseteq I} |I| - |T| + s(T)$$

Then plugging this into our main equation:

$$r_{\mathcal{A}}(E \setminus I) + r_{\mathcal{B}}(I) = r_{\mathcal{A}}(E \setminus I) + \min_{T} |I| - |T| + s(T)$$

$$\geq \min_{T} |I| - |T| + s(T)$$

$$= \min_{T} |A| - |T(A)| + s(T)$$

But since this should be greater than |A| for all T and all I, it is equivalent to being true for all possible A' = T(A) (and modifying the type of s accordingly, which doesn't change anything) and thus:

$$\max_{I \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}} |I| = |A| \Longleftrightarrow \forall A' \subseteq A, s(A') - |A'| \ge 0$$

which is the wanted result.

2 Question 2

Let $F=2^I$ and let us denote by $g:2^{\mathcal{F}}\to\mathbb{R}^+$ the function that to a family of sets gives their combined profit. Clearly, g is submodular. Furthermore we denote by X_0 the emptyset, and by X_i the set of items taken after i knapsacks were filled by our algorithm. Since we apply the FPTAS k times, and since g is submodular, we have:

$$g(X_i) - g(X_{i-1}) \ge \frac{OPT - g(X_{i-1})}{k}$$
 (2)

for each i, where OPT is the weight of an optimal solution. Then, we have :

$$g(X_1) - g(X_0) = g(X_1) \ge \frac{OPT}{k} = OPT(1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right))$$
 (3)

and then:

$$g(X_2) \ge OPT(1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right)^2)$$

By induction:

$$g(X_i i) \ge OPT(1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right)^i)$$

And thus:

$$g(X_k) \ge OPT(1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right)^k) \ge OPT(1 - \frac{1}{e})$$

3 Question 3

3.1 Part 1

3.2 Part 2

Algorithme 1 Minimum Odd Size Cut

- First, we build the Gomory-Hu tree of our graph.
- Then, for each edge in the tree we consider both components formed by removing the edge.
- For every odd-sized such component, we retrieve the cut size (the label of the edge in the Gomory-Hu tree), if it's less than one we return True. If none are of cut size ≤ 1 then we return false.

This algorithm takes:

$$\mathcal{O}\left(\underbrace{(n-1)\times \text{max-flow}}_{\text{Gomory-Hu algorithm}} + \underbrace{n^2}_{\text{Check Sizes}} + \underbrace{n}_{\text{Retrieve Cut-size}}\right)$$

For correctness we just need to show that one of the cuts determined by T is a minimum odd cut in G. To do so, we just need to see that if $\delta(U)$ is a min odd cut in G, then U or $V \setminus U$ is the symmetric difference of the V_f for $f \in \delta_F(U)$. Hence, $|V_f|$ must be odd for at least one of the $f \in \delta_F(U)$. So $\delta_G(V_f)$ is an odd cut. If f = (u, v), $\delta_G(V_f)$ is a minimum u - v cut and as $\delta_G(U)$ is u - v cut, $\delta_G(V_f)$ is a minimum odd-cut.