Package jSDM functionalities

Jeanne Clément

2021-02-19

2 Results

2.1 Method validation and benchmark against state-of-the-art jSDMs

(HMSC, BayesComm, boral, s-jSDM)

2.1.1 Computational speed

2.1.2 Accuracy of the inference about species-environment and species-species associations

comparison of TSS and RMSE obtained with differents libraries

2.1.3 Predicting species occurrences

comparison of AUC obtained with differents libraries

2.2 Case study - Inference of biodiversity maps in Madagascar from forest inventories

2.2.1 Predictive performance of the model

compute AUC on inventory sites

2.2.2 Estimated species-species associations

sjSDM :plotAssociations()

- 2.2.3 Estimated species richness on inventory sites
- 2.2.4 Estimated species richness at Madagascar scale
- 2.2.5 Estimated species turn over at Madagascar scale

3 Methods

3.1 The structure of the jSDM problem

- Benefits of JSDMs over SDMs
- models definition (link function: probit, logit, log and data in long format)

3.2 Current approaches to fit the jSDM model structure

- $\Sigma = \Lambda \Lambda'$ Warton et al. (2015)
- inference method: Gibbs sampling using conjugate prior formula (probit link function) or Metropolis-Hastings algorithm (logit and log link function)

3.3 Methods and software to fit joint species distribution models

table to compare functionalities of HMSC, s-jSDM, boral (JAGS), greta, gjam, BayesComm, STAN and gllvm

3.4 Benchmarking our method against state-of-the-art jSDM implementation

- datasets Wilkinson et al. (2019)
- n.mcmc
- compute AUC to assess the predictive performance of the models

3.5 Case study - Inference of biodiversity maps in Madagascar from forest inventories

- 3.5.1 Datasets
- 3.5.1.1 Forest inventory
- 3.5.1.2 Current environmental variables
- 3.5.2 Fitting joint species distribution model (JSDM)
- 3.5.2.1 Model definition
- 3.5.2.2 Parameters inference

3.5.2.3 Evaluation of MCMC convergence

3.5.3 Evaluation of predictive performance

compute AUC on inventory sites to assess the predictive performance of the model

- 3.5.4 Computation of species-species associations
- 3.5.5 Intrapolation of parameters between inventory sites
- 3.5.6 Species richness computation
- 3.5.7 Species turn over computation

4 Discussion

- 4.1 Computational performance
- 4.2 Inferential performance
- 4.3 Implications and outlook for ecological data analysis
- 5 Acknowledgments
- 6 Data Accessibility

References

Warton, David I., F. Guillaume Blanchet, Robert B. O'Hara, Otso Ovaskainen, Sara Taskinen, Steven C. Walker, and Francis K.C. Hui. 2015. "So Many Variables: Joint Modeling in Community Ecology." *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 30 (12). Elsevier: 766–79. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2015.09.007.

Wilkinson, David P., Nick Golding, Gurutzeta Guillera-Arroita, Reid Tingley, and Michael A. McCarthy. 2019. "A Comparison of Joint Species Distribution Models for Presence-Absence Data." *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* 10 (2): 198–211. https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.13106.