Timeliness of task completion

Did the team member submit materials to the team by the deadlines agreed upon within the team, or did the team member miss some/all deadlines and hold up progress for the rest of the team?

Score		Description	
25	-	-always delivered all materials well in advance of deadlines	
20	-	-always met deadlines	
10		usually submitted materials on time; but one was late, but with only a minor impact on team progress	
5		usually submitted materials on time; but one was very late or really held up team progress	
0	_	consistently late; never submitted material on time to the team	

Team cooperation

Did the team member actively participate in team discussions, and try to help the team reach consensus, or did the team member actively fight the other team members (preventing consensus from being reached)?

Score		Description	
25	-	team member actively strived to get all members to participate, ensured everyone had a voice, made sure meetings ran smoothly and stayed on topic.	
20		team member participated, and was able to reach an agreement with the other team members in a reasonable period of time	
10	-	team member participated sometimes in group meetings, but did not take an active role	
0		team member had no cooperation; sat silent in meetings or always fought with other team members	
0		team member is Donald Duck and always shouted in a voice that nobody could understand	

Quality of work product

Did the team member produce good quality output which you were proud to be associated with, or did the team member produce lower quality work items which you felt were substandard?

Score	Description		
25	-team member's work was exceptional, and served as a leading example for other members in the team		
20	team member's materials were very good, and no rework was necessary before submission		
10	team member's materials were usually very good, but one or two items had to be reworked prior to submission		
0	-team member produced very poor materials; most materials had to be reworked before submission for grading		

Breadth of experience

Did the team member actively participate in all areas of the team's project, or did he/she try to specialize in one area?

Score		Description	
25		team member was very active in all areas of the project, and lent an extra hand without needing to be asked to	
20		team member took an active interest in all areas of the project and offered to help out when possible	
10) –	team member mainly specialized in one area of the project, but did help out on one or two other areas when asked	
0		team member specialized in one area and flat out refused to work on other areas of the project when requested to do so	
0		team member was a micro manager who took over the project and did everything him/herself	

The undersigned team members agree to work on a class project together, and after a team meeting have decided to be evaluated by our fellow teammates using the above evaluation metrics at the end of this project.

Member 1:		
_	(Sign here)	
_	(Print name here)	
Member 2:		
_	(Sign here)	
_	(Print name here)	
Member 3:		
_	(Sign here)	
_	(Print name here)	
Member 4:		
_	(Sign here)	
_	(Print name here)	