

Practical 2

For a given set of training data examples stored in a .CSV file, implement and demonstrate the Candidate-Elimination algorithm to output a description of the set of all hypotheses consistent with the training examples.

CANDIDATE-ELIMINATION Learning Algorithm

The CANDIDATE-ELIMINATION algorithm computes the version space containing all hypotheses from H that are consistent with an observed sequence of training examples.

Initialize G to the set of maximally general hypotheses in H

Initialize S to the set of maximally specific hypotheses in H

For each training example d , do

- If d is a positive example
 - Remove from G any hypothesis inconsistent with d
 - For each hypothesis s in S that is not consistent with d
 - Remove s from S
 - Add to S all minimal generalizations h of s such that
 - h is consistent with d , and some member of G is more general than h
 - Remove from S any hypothesis that is more general than another hypothesis in S
- If d is a negative example
 - Remove from S any hypothesis inconsistent with d
 - For each hypothesis g in G that is not consistent with d
 - Remove g from G
 - Add to G all minimal specializations h of g such that
 - h is consistent with d , and some member of S is more specific than h
 - Remove from G any hypothesis that is less general than another hypothesis in G

CANDIDATE- ELIMINATION algorithm using version spaces

Training Examples:

Example	Sky	AirTemp	Humidity	Wind	Water	Forecast	EnjoySport
1	Sunny	Warm	Normal	Strong	Warm	Same	Yes
2	Sunny	Warm	High	Strong	Warm	Same	Yes
3	Rainy	Cold	High	Strong	Warm	Change	No
4	Sunny	Warm	High	Strong	Cool	Change	Yes

Algorithmic steps:

Initially : $G_0 = [[?, ?, ?, ?, ?, ?]]$
 $S_0 = [\phi, \phi, \phi, \phi, \phi, \phi]$

For instance 1 : Positive output (convert specific to general)

Instance 1: <'sunny','warm','normal','strong','warm ','same'>

$G_1 = G$

$S_1 = \text{'<sunny','warm','normal','strong','warm ','same'>}$

For instance 2 : Positive output (convert specific to general)

Previous hypothesis: <'sunny','warm','**normal**','strong','warm ','same'>

Instance 2: <'sunny','warm','high','strong','warm ','same'>

$G_2 = G_1$

$S_2 = \text{'<sunny','warm','2','strong','warm ','same'>}$ = New hypothesis

For instance 3 : Negative output (convert general to specific)

Previous hypothesis: <'sunny','warm','2','strong','warm ','same'>

Instance 3: <'rainy','cold','**high**','strong','warm ','**change**'>

Instance 2: <'sunny','warm','high','strong','warm ','same'>

$G_3 = [\text{'<sunny', '?', '?', '?', '?', ?>'}, \text{'<?', 'warm', '?', '?', '?', ?>'}, \text{'<?', '?', '?', '?', '?', ?>'},$
 $\text{'<?', '?', '?', '?', '?', ?>'}, \text{'<?', '?', '?', '?', '?', ?>'}, \text{'<?', '?', '?', '?', '?', 'same'>}]$

$S_3 = S_2$

For instance 4 : Positive output (convert specific to general)

Previous hypothesis: <'sunny','warm',2,'strong','warm ','same'>

Instance 4: <'sunny','warm','**high**','strong','**cool**','**change**'> (s4 compare with s3)

Previous G3 = [['sunny', '?', '?', '?', '?', '?'], ['?', 'warm', '?', '?', '?', '?'], ['?', '?', '?', '?', '?', '?'],
['?', '?', '?', '?', '?', '?'], ['?', '?', '?', '?', '?', '?'], ['?', '?', '?', '?', '?', 'same']]

G4 = [['sunny', '?', '?', '?', '?', '?'], ['?', 'warm', '?', '?', '?', '?']] (“same” is ? in S4)
S4 = ['sunny','warm',?,'strong', ?, ?]

At last, by synchronizing the G4 and S4 algorithm produce the output.

Output:

Final Specific_h:

['sunny' 'warm' '?' 'strong' '?' '?']

Final General_h:

[['sunny', '?', '?', '?', '?', '?'],
['?', 'warm', '?', '?', '?', '?']]