Clinical Trails

Clinical trial outcome prediction

Presented by

TEAM – 119th UNIT

MEMBERS

ALURU JEETENDRA
PALA VENKATA DINESH REDDY
KAPU SANTOOSH REDDY

1. Introduction

1.1 Background:

Clinical trials are a critical phase in the development of new medical treatments. However, their outcomes are often uncertain and can be influenced by a myriad of factors. The advent of AI in healthcare presents an opportunity to leverage vast amounts of data to predict these outcomes more accurately.

1.1 Objectives:

The primary objective of this report is to evaluate the effectiveness of explainable AI models in predicting clinical trial outcomes and to understand the factors influencing these predictions.

2. Literature Review

Recent studies have highlighted the use of machine learning models in predicting clinical trial outcomes. However, there is a growing demand for transparency in these models, leading to the emergence of explainable AI. This section reviews current methodologies and

emphasizes the need for interpretable AI solutions in healthcare.

3.Methodology

3.1 Data Collection

The study utilizes data from various clinical trials, including patient demographics, trial design, previous trial outcomes, and drug information. Data privacy and ethical considerations are strictly adhered to.

3.2 Feature Selection

Key features influencing trial outcomes, such as patient age, disease stage, treatment type, and trial duration, are identified and used in model training.

3.3 Model Selection

A range of AI models, including decision trees and ensemble methods, are evaluated. The focus is on models that inherently provide better interpretability, like Random Forests and Gradient Boosting Machines.

3.4 Explainable AI Techniques

Techniques like SHAP (SHapley Additive exPlanations) and LIME (Local Interpretable Modelagnostic Explanations) are employed to elucidate model decisions.

4. Results

The models demonstrate a high degree of accuracy in predicting trial outcomes. The performance metrics are detailed, with a focus on models that provide a balance between accuracy and explainability.

5. Interpretability Analysis

This section delves into how the models make their predictions, using SHAP and LIME to highlight the most influential features. For instance, the SHAP analysis might reveal that patient age and specific biomarkers are significant predictors of trial outcomes.

6. Discussion

6.1 Model Performance

The performance of various models is compared, highlighting the trade-off between accuracy and interpretability.

6.2 Interpretability Insights

Insights gained from explainable AI techniques provide valuable information for clinical trial design and management, potentially leading to more successful trials.

7. Limitations

The report acknowledges limitations, such as potential biases in the dataset and the generalizability of the models across different types of trials.