Notes from last week

OvO, OvA

OvO: vote

More to compute than OvA. Still a heuristic.

OvA: choose classifier with highest score

This heuristic presents several problems. The classifiers are not necessarily comparable. Also, the binary classifiers see unbalanced class ratios (more negatives than positives).

Minibatch vs Stochastic descent

- **SGD.** Update for each sample in the training set (repeatedly).
 - Often called online
 - Frequent updates mean quick insight on progress
 - Sometimes faster learning
 - Noisy, which can help avoid local minima
 - But... lots of updates
 - Noisy (cf above)
 - Sometimes slower
- Batch Gradient Descent. Train on all samples (one epoch), then update.
 - Fewer updates, sometimes more efficient
 - Not so noisy
 - More easily parallelisable
 - But... stability sometimes means premature convergence
 - Updates are more costly (but have to do them anyway)
 - Sometimes slow
- Mini-Batch Gradient Descent. Like batch, but smaller epochs (train on a subset each time)
 - Goldilocks version

- Probably the most common case
- Coordinate Gradient Descent. Choose one coordinate at a time. Less common.

Continuous vs discrete

Make a continuous problem discrete with a threshold.

Rules of Machine Learning

(source: Martin Zinkevich, Google)

Some terms

- **Instance**: The thing about which you want to make a prediction. For example, the instance might be a web page that you want to classify as either "about cats" or "not about cats".
- Label: An answer for a prediction task either the answer produced by a machine learning system, or the right answer supplied in training data. For example, the label for a web page might be "about cats".
- **Feature**: A property of an instance used in a prediction task. For example, a web page might have a feature "contains the word 'cat'".
- **Feature Column:** A set of related features, such as the set of all possible countries in which users might live. An example may have one or more features present in a feature column. "Feature column" is Google-specific terminology. A feature column is referred to as a "namespace" in the VW system (at Yahoo/Microsoft), or a field.
- **Example:** An instance (with its features) and a label.
- **Model:** A statistical representation of a prediction task. You train a model on examples then use the model to make predictions.
- Metric: A number that you care about. May or may not be directly optimized.
- **Objective:** A metric that your algorithm is trying to optimize.
- **Pipeline:** The infrastructure surrounding a machine learning algorithm. Includes gathering the data from the front end, putting it into training data files, training one or more models, and exporting the models to production.
- Click-through Rate: The percentage of visitors to a web page who click a link in an ad.

Overview

- Engineering is more important than ML. If it's not reliable, solid, and reproducible, the rest doesn't matter.
- Have reasonable objects
- Be as simple as possible

Start without ML

- ML needs data, you rarely start with lots of data
- Heuristics will often get you half way there
- Your first goal is just to be better than random. So identify what random looks like.

Design and Implement Metrics

- Start by measuring, otherwise you can't know how you're doing
- Measuring the first thing is the hardest
- People care less early, so less resistance
- Get historical data now. When you start to care, you'll have a baseline.

Prefer ML to complex heuristics

- It's more maintainable
- But have you tried simple heuristics?

Start with simple models and get infrastructure right

- If your pipeline is shoddy, it will be hard to do anything anyway
- The first model provides the biggest delta

- This is "hello world" territory, focus on the basics
 - getting data
 - representing data
 - identifying good vs bad
 - how to integrate model into application
- Simple features are easier to understand, debug
- Make sure you understand your data

Test infrastructure separately from ML

- Make sure the infra is testable
- Make sure the ML is encapsulated
- Test getting data into the system
- Test that features are populated correctly
- Inspect the data (if allowed)
- Compare statistics from your pipeline with other sources (if exist)
- Test moving models from training to production
- Make sure you understand your data

Heuristics become features

- Often some system already exists. It uses heuristics, produces features. Take advantage of that.
- Consider using the existing system as a sort of pre-processor, generating synthetic features.

Monitoring and alerting are important

- Understand your freshness requirements
- Do sanity checks at model export time, at deploy time

- Understand what requires an email, what requires a page, what just has to be available for inspection
- Be aware of silent failures (e.g., data source decay)
- Make sure features have owners and that it's documented who they are (and that the features are documented). Same for algorithms.

Objectives (objective function)

- Start simple: at first, many things are correlated
- Start simple: observable and easily measurable
- Avoid (at first) indirect effects (next/previous day, correlations between features)
- Don't try to use ML to measure user internal state (happiness, satisfaction)

Interpretable models are easier to debug

- Linear, logistic, and poisson regression are directly motivated by probabilistic models, so easier to reason about
- Models with objectives based on 0-1 loss, hinge loss, etc. are harder to reason about

From phase 1 to phase 2

- Phase 1 is getting a working end-to-end system
 - training data
 - metrics
 - infrastructure, pipeline
 - unit and system tests
- Phase 2 is feature engineering
 - adding and inventing new features
 - metrics mostly all rising

Launch (and iterate)

- Expect that your first model is not your last: avoid complexity that will slow you down later
- Think about how easy it is to add or remove features
- Think about how to run multiple copies in parallel
- Don't sweat the small stuff, you'll do it next iteration (next quarter)

Start with observed features

- That is, don't start with learned features
 - features from other systems (different objectives, maybe stale)
 - features you learn yourself (e.g., clustering)
- Many algorithms are non-convex, so taking their features might kill your convergence (different local minima on different runs)
- Harder to judge impact of changes
- So shoot first for good baseline

Simple feature engineering

- Consider fixed intervals (e.g., MLP) rather than variable (e.g., LSTM)
- Consider discretisation (e.g., age bands), don't worry too much about getting the banding right
- Crosses are useful, but can generate too much data, can overfit. E.g., word in query, word in document
- You can (roughly) learn as many weights as you have data
- Clean up features you are no longer using, they are technical debt

Human analysis of the system

• This is more art than science, but it's important!

- You are not a typical end user
 - You are too close to the code and to the problem
 - Confirmation bias
- Get (even pay) other people to test, they cost less than engineers
- Think about team bias: are you all white, all male, etc.
- Watch real people use the system, don't correct them, they're right, whatever they do

Comparing models

- Know how to measure delta between models (e.g., what you're working on and production)
- Make sure that comparing a model with itself says small delta
- Remember that, ultimately, you're optimising a business problem, not log loss