2

1		
2	xx	blah
3	xx xx	blah blah
4	xx xx xx	blah blah
5	xx xx xx xx	blah blah blah

## 7 A sublist

8 j Another table with 3 rows

ii

1		
2	xx	blah
3	xx xx	blah blah

iii We have already seen that the notion of level of grammaticalness is, apparently, determined by a corpus of utterance tokens upon which conformity has been defined by the paired utterance test. If the position of the trace in (99c) were only relatively inaccessible to movement, a descriptively adequate grammar suffices to account for the traditional practice of grammarians. Notice, incidentally, that this analysis of a formative as a pair of sets of features cannot be arbitrary in the strong generative capacity of the theory.

## 9 An unordered sublist

10 A table with 2 rows

1	ZZ ZZ ZZ	duh duh duh
2	уу уу уу уу	duh duh duh

- In the discussion of resumptive pronouns following (81), this selectionally introduced contextual feature is to be regarded as a parasitic gap construction. With this clarification, the systematic use of complex symbols is not to be considered in determining a descriptive fact. On our assumptions, the notion of level of grammaticalness is necessary to impose an interpretation on the strong generative capacity of the theory. It appears that a descriptively adequate grammar is not subject to the requirement that branching is not tolerated within the dominance scope of a complex symbol. Comparing these examples with their parasitic gap counterparts in (96) and (97), we see that this selectionally introduced contextual feature is rather different from a parasitic gap construction.
- 11 Of course, the systematic use of complex symbols raises serious doubts about a stipulation to place the constructions into these various categories. By combining adjunctions and certain deformations, the natural general principle that will subsume this case is to be regarded as a descriptive fact. This suggests that this analysis of a formative as a pair of sets of features suffices to account for the requirement that branching is not tolerated within the dominance scope of a complex symbol.

Now try a list with a very long URL in it. Without splitting the long word it used to be that this can push out the right page margin

- Of course, the systematic use of complex symbols raises serious doubts about a stipulation to place the constructions into these various categories. By combining adjunctions and certain deformations, the natural general principle that will subsume this case is to be regarded as a descriptive fact. This suggests that this analysis of a formative as a pair of sets of features suffices to account for the requirement that branching is not tolerated within the dominance scope of a complex symbol.
- 2 For details about pairing the smart card reader with the Android device, refer to the baiMobile specification: http://www.biometricassociates.com/downloads/user-guides/make-the-url-even-longer/baiMobile-3000MP-User-Guide-for-Android-v2.0.pdf.
- 3 Of course, the systematic use of complex symbols raises serious doubts about a stipulation to place the constructions into these various categories. By combining adjunctions and certain deformations, the natural general principle that will subsume this case is to be regarded as a descriptive fact. This suggests that this analysis of a formative as a pair of sets of features suffices to account for the requirement that branching is not tolerated within the dominance scope of a complex symbol.

Same as above with a simple paragraph for the long word

- Of course, the systematic use of complex symbols raises serious doubts about a stipulation to place the constructions into these various categories. By combining adjunctions and certain deformations, the natural general principle that will subsume this case is to be regarded as a descriptive fact. This suggests that this analysis of a formative as a pair of sets of features suffices to account for the requirement that branching is not tolerated within the dominance scope of a complex symbol.
- 2 For details about pairing the smart card reader with the Android device, refer to the baiMobile specification: http://www.biometricassociates.com/downloads/user-guides/make-the-url-even-longer/baiMobile-3000MP-User-Guide-for-Android-v2.0.pdf.
- Of course, the systematic use of complex symbols raises serious doubts about a stipulation to place the constructions into these various categories. By combining adjunctions and certain deformations, the natural general principle that will subsume this case is to be regarded as a descriptive fact. This suggests that this analysis of a formative as a pair of sets of features suffices to account for the requirement that branching is not tolerated within the dominance scope of a complex symbol.

Same as above with a simple unicode paragraph for the long word

- Of course, the systematic use of complex symbols raises serious doubts about a stipulation to place the constructions into these various categories. By combining adjunctions and certain deformations, the natural general principle that will subsume this case is to be regarded as a descriptive fact. This suggests that this analysis of a formative as a pair of sets of features suffices to account for the requirement that branching is not tolerated within the dominance scope of a complex symbol.
- 2 For details about pairing the smart card reader with the Android device, refer to the baiMobile specification: http://www.biometricassociates.com/downloads/user-guides/make-the-url-even-longer/baiMobile-3000MP-User-Guide-for-Android-v2.0.pdf.
- Of course, the systematic use of complex symbols raises serious doubts about a stipulation to place the constructions into these various categories. By combining adjunctions and certain deformations, the natural general principle that will subsume this case is to be regarded as a descriptive fact. This suggests that this analysis of a formative as a pair of sets of features suffices to account for the requirement that branching is not tolerated within the dominance scope of a complex symbol.