

SPIN GEOMETRY CONFERENCE COURSE

JEFFREY JIANG

WEEK 1

Exercise 1.1. Prove $SL_n(\mathbb{R})$ and $O(n)$ are manifolds

Exercise 1.2. What is the “shape” of $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$?

Exercise 1.3. Prove that

$$O(2) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} \right\} \cup \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & -\cos \theta \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

The first set consists of rotations and the second set consists of reflections. Which rotations commute? Which reflections commute? Do reflections commute with reflections?

Exercise 1.4. Investigate $O(3)$. What is its “shape”?

WEEK 2

Exercise 2.1. What is the derivative of \det ?

Exercise 2.2. Explore the exponential map $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow SL_2(\mathbb{R})$

Exercise 2.3. Prove that every element of $O(n)$ can be written as the composition of at most n reflections about hyperplanes in \mathbb{R}^n .

Proof. We do this by induction. For $n = 1$, this is obvious, since $O(1) \cong \pm 1$. The assuming that this holds for dimension $n - 1$, Let $A \in O(n)$, and let $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We want to construct a hyperplane reflection R such that $RAv = v$, which is obtained by taking R to be the hyperplane reflection about the bisector of v and Av . More explicitly, take R to be the hyperplane reflection about the vector

$$\frac{Av - v}{\|Av - v\|}$$

which is given by the equation

$$Rw = w - 2 \frac{\langle Av - v, w \rangle}{\langle Av - v, Av - v \rangle} (Av - v)$$

Computing its action on v , we get

$$\begin{aligned} Rv &= v - 2 \frac{\langle Av - v, v \rangle}{\langle Av - v, Av - v \rangle} (Av - v) \\ &= v - \frac{2\langle Av, v \rangle - 2\langle v, v \rangle}{2\langle v, v \rangle - 2\langle Av, v \rangle} (Av - v) \\ &= v + Av - v \\ &= Av \end{aligned}$$

Then since R is its own inverse (being a reflection), we have that $RAv = v$, so RAv fixes v and its orthogonal complement. ■

TODO insert motivation of A_n^\pm

Definition 2.4. Define A_n^\pm to be the unital algebra generated by \mathbb{R}^n such that $\zeta^2 = \pm 1$. and $\zeta\eta = ? \eta\zeta$. Determine the sign of $\eta\zeta$. Explore these algebras. Find $A_{\pm 1}, A_2^\pm \dots$. What are they isomorphic to? Can you identify $O(n)$ as a subgroup?

WEEK 3

Exercise 3.1. Classify the algebras A_n^+ (we messed these up week 2).

Exercise 3.2. Prove that

$$\{e_{i_1}e_{i_2}\dots e_{i+k} \mid 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n\}$$

is a basis for A_n^\pm .

Exercise 3.3. Modify the isomorphisms found for A_n^- by choosing $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ gradings for the domains and codomains such that the isomorphisms are now isomorphisms as superalgebras.

Exercise 3.4. Construct a tensor product for super vector spaces and superalgebras.

Exercise 3.5. Explore the “shape” of the group

$$G = \langle v \mid \|v\| = 1 \rangle \subset (A_n^-)^\times$$

and the nature of the surjection $G \rightarrow O(n)$. What is the kernel of this map?

WEEK 4

Exercise 4.1. Define $\varphi : A_n^\pm \rightarrow A_n^\pm$ by $\varphi(v) = -v$ and $\varphi(vw) = (vw)$ (i.e. φ reverses products), and extending linearly to sums. Does $\varphi(x) \cdot x$ define a norm on A_n^\pm ?

Exercise 4.2. Let $(A, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed \mathbb{R} -algebra such that $\|ab\| \leq \|a\| \|b\|$ for all $a, b \in A$. Show that the multiplicative units form an open subset.

We note that an algebra element $a \in A$ determines a linear map $L_a : A \rightarrow A$ by left multiplication, i.e. $L_a(b) = ab$. By fixing a basis for A as a vector space, we get an assignment $a \mapsto M_a$, where M_a is the matrix for L_a in this basis. We claim that an element $a \in A$ is a unit iff $\det M_a \neq 0$. To see this, we note that if L_a is not invertible, then a certainly cannot be, since otherwise $L_{a^{-1}}$ would be an inverse. For the other direction, we note that if a is not a unit, then L_a is not surjective, since 1_A is not in the image. We then claim that this mapping $a \mapsto M_a$ is continuous. Do do this, define a norm on the space of linear maps on A by

$$\|M\| = \sup_{v \in A} \frac{\|Mv\|}{\|v\|}$$

Then given $a, b \in A$, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \|M_{a-b}\| &= \sup_{v \in A} \frac{\|(a-b)v\|}{\|v\|} \\ &\leq \sup_{v \in A} \frac{\|a-b\| \|v\|}{\|v\|} \\ &\leq \|a-b\| \end{aligned}$$

So as $b \rightarrow a$, we have that $\|M_{a-b}\| \rightarrow 0$ as well, so this mapping is continuous. Therefore, the mapping $a \mapsto \det M_a$ is then continuous, which makes the group of units A^\times an open set, being the preimage of the open set $\mathbb{R} - \{0\}$.

One thing to note is that the proof that $a \mapsto M_a$ is continuous works with any norm such that $\|ab\| \leq c \|a\| \|b\|$ for any constant c . Therefore, we have a small lemma regarding finite dimensional algebras with an inner product.

Lemma. Let A be an n -dimensional algebra with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, and let $\|\cdot\|$ denote the norm induced by the inner product $\|x\|^2 = \langle x, x \rangle$. Then for all $xy \in A$, we have

$$\|xy\| \leq n^5 |\Gamma| \|a\| \|b\|$$

where Γ denotes the structure constant of maximal magnitude with respect to a fixed orthonormal basis.

Proof. Fix a basis $\{e_i\}$ for A , and let c_{ij}^k denote the structure constants where

$$e_i e_j = c_{ij}^k e_k$$

Then let $x = a^i e_i$ and $y = b^j e_j$. We then compute

$$\begin{aligned} \|xy\|^2 &= \langle a^i b^j e_i e_j, a^\ell b^m e_\ell e_m \rangle \\ &= \langle a^i b^j c_{ij}^k e_k, a^\ell b^m c_{\ell m}^n e_n \rangle \\ &= a^i a^\ell b^j b^m c_{ij}^k c_{\ell m}^n \langle e_k, e_n \rangle \\ &\leq a^i a^\ell b^j b^m \Gamma^2 n \\ &\leq n^{5/2} \Gamma^2 \|a\| \|b\| \end{aligned}$$

■

Because of this, we have that for the Clifford algebras A_n^\pm , the mapping from algebra elements to linear maps on the algebra is continuous, regardless of our choice of inner product. We can then use this to define a nicer norm on the Clifford algebras. First fix an arbitrary inner product and denote the induced norm $\|\cdot\|_1$. Then define

$$\|a\| = \sup_{v \in A_n^\pm} \frac{\|av\|_1}{\|v\|_1}$$

which gives us a submultiplicative norm, so the group of units is an open subset.

Exercise 4.3. An algebra A is called a **matrix algebra** if there exists an isomorphism $A \cong \text{End}(V)$ for some vector space V . Which A_n^\pm are matrix algebras?

Exercise 4.4. Given a unital associative algebra A and left A -modules M and N , how would you form the direct sum? Can you tensor them? What if A was a super algebra and M, N super vector spaces?

Exercise 4.5. Let V be a vector space and $b : V \times V \rightarrow V$ a bilinear form. We want to construct the Clifford algebra $\text{Cliff}(V, b)$ as the “best” associative unital \mathbb{R} -algebra generated by V subject to the relation

$$v_1 v_2 + v_2 v_1 = 2b(v_1, v_2) 1_A$$

where 1_A denotes the multiplicative unit in A .

We claim that the above relation is equivalent to the relation $v^2 = b(v, v) 1_A$. To see this, we first note that the above condition implies this when we take $v_1 = v_2$. Then for the other direction, consider

$$(v_1 + v_2)^2 = v_1 v_2 + v_2 v_1 + v_1^2 + v_2^2$$

We then apply our relation, giving us

$$\begin{aligned} b(v_1 + v_2, v_1 + v_2) &= v_1 v_2 + v_2 v_1 + b(v_1, v_1) + b(v_2, v_2) \\ \implies b(v_1 + v_2, v_1 + v_2) - b(v_1, v_1) - b(v_2, v_2) &= v_1 v_2 + v_2 v_1 \end{aligned}$$

Then applying polarization, we arrive at the desired identity.

With this, we want to construct $\text{Cliff}(V, b)$ as the unital algebra satisfying our relation and subject to no others (other than bilinearity of multiplication). Therefore, we can consider the quotient of the tensor algebra $\mathcal{T}(V)$ by the ideal $(v^2 - b(v, v))$ to construct $\text{Cliff}(V, b)$. To characterize it, we think of it as the universal such algebra containing V subject to our relation. Since it is subject to no other relations, we expect this object to be *initial*. It should have a map into every other such algebra satisfying this relation. In other words, for every algebra A with an inclusion $j : V \hookrightarrow A$ such that $j(v_1)j(v_2) + j(v_2)j(v_1) = 2b(v_1, v_2) 1_A$, we get a unique map $\text{Cliff}(V, b) \rightarrow A$ such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V & & \\ \downarrow & \searrow j & \\ \text{Cliff}(V, b) & \dashrightarrow & A \end{array}$$

We claim that this characterizes the Clifford algebra up to unique isomorphism. Let A be another algebra with map $j : V \hookrightarrow A$ satisfying the same property we gave above. From the universal property of $\text{Cliff}(V, b)$, we get a unique map $\text{Cliff}(V, b) \rightarrow A$. Likewise, the inclusion $V \hookrightarrow \text{Cliff}(V, b)$ gives us a unique map $A \rightarrow \text{Cliff}(V, b)$. We claim that these two maps are inverses.