## SYMPLECTIC GEOMETRY AND KHÄLER MANIFOLDS: AN INTRODUCTION

JEFFREY JIANG

## LINEAR ALGEBRA

As typical when studying smooth manifolds, we first look at the linear algebra that will be non-linearized. To discuss Khäler manifolds (and consequently, Khäler vector spaces), we will need to assemble several mutually compatible structures – an inner product, a symplectic form, and a complex structure.

**Definition 0.1.** An *inner product space* is a vector space V equipped with a bilinear map  $g: V \times V \to V$  that is symmetric and positive definite. In the case that V is a complex vector space, we replace the bilinear condition with the *sesquilinear* condition – conjugate linear in the first term, and linear in the second term. In this case, g is often referred to as a *hermitian inner product*.

You're probably familiar with these, so we won't delve into them.

**Definition 0.2.** A *symplectic vector space* is a vector space V equipped with a nondegenerate skew symmetric bilinear form  $\omega : V \times V \to V$ .

Nondegeneracy here means that if for some v,  $\omega(v,w)=0$  for all  $w\in V$ , then v=0. In other words, the form  $\omega$  gives an isomorphism  $V\to V^*$  via the mapping

$$v \mapsto \omega(v, \cdot)$$

We note that the positive definite condition on an inner product g implies nondegeneracy, so that also defines an analogous isomorphism. An equivalent formulation of nondegeneracy for  $\omega$  is that the wedge product  $\omega \wedge ... \wedge \omega$  with itself n/2 times gives a nonzero volume form for V.

**Example 0.3.**  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  with coordinates  $(x_i, y_i)$  comes with a symplectic structure given by

$$\tilde{\omega} = \sum_{i} dx^{i} \wedge dy^{i}$$

which can be expressed in coordinates by

$$\tilde{\omega}(v, w) = v^T \Omega w$$

where

$$\Omega = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{R}^n} \\ -\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{R}^n} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

In some sense, this is the *only* symplectic vector space, which should be made clear very soon.

**Theorem 0.4.** Every symplectic vector space  $(V, \omega)$  admits a symplectic basis  $\{e_i, f_i\}$  where

$$\omega(e_i, e_j) = 0$$
  $\omega(e_i, f_i) = \delta_{ij}$ 

**Corollary 0.5.** Every symplectic vector space is **symplectomorphic** to  $(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \tilde{\omega})$ . That is, there exists a linear isomorphism  $\varphi: (V, \omega) \to (\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \tilde{\omega})$  where  $\varphi^* \tilde{\omega} = \omega$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\{f_i, g_i\}$  be a symplectic basis for V on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and consider the map  $\varphi : V \to \mathbb{R}^{2n}$  given by mapping  $f_i \mapsto e_i$  and  $g_i \mapsto e_{n+i}$ 

**Definition 0.6.** A *complex structure* on a vector space V is an automorphism  $J: V \to V$  such that  $J^2 = -\operatorname{id}_V$ 

Given a complex structure J and an  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space V, we can make V a  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space by defining the action of  $i \in \mathbb{C}$  by  $i \cdot v = Jv$ . We can then extend this to arbitrary complex numbers  $\alpha + \beta i$  by  $(\alpha + \beta i) \cdot v = \alpha v + \beta Jv$ .

If you know some linear algebra, you might know that there is another way to turn a  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space into a complex one.

1

2 JEFFREY JIANG

**Definition 0.7.** Given an  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space V, define its complexification  $V_{\mathbb{C}}$  as  $V \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ .

Note that adding a complex structure to a vector space is *not* the same as complexifying it. If we find some  $J \in GL(V)$  such that  $J^2 = -\operatorname{id}_V$ , we haven't changed the dimension of V, but complexifying V doubles its dimension over  $\mathbb{R}$ . Despite this, the concepts are quite similar. If we have a vector space V with complex structure J, then if we complexify V, then J extends to a map  $V_{\mathbb{C}} \to V_{\mathbb{C}}$ , where J(v+iw) = Jv + iJw. This gives a decomposition

$$V_{\mathbb{C}} = V^+ \oplus V^-$$

where  $V^+ = \{v + iJv : v \in V\}$  and  $V^- = \{v - iJv : v \in V\}$ , and we get an isomorphism of C-vector spaces  $V \to V^{\pm}$  where  $v \mapsto v \pm iJv$ , using the fact that  $J^2v = -v$ .

Now that we have defined all of these, we would like to define when these structures are compatible, and see what results

**Definition 0.8.** A complex structure *J* is *compatible* with a symplectic form  $\omega$  if  $\omega(Jv, Jw) = \omega(v, w)$ .

An analogous definition is used for an inner product *g*.

**Theorem 0.9.** Given a vector space V with complex structure J, given a J-compatible inner product g, we obtain a symplectic form  $\omega$  where  $\omega(v,w)=g(Jv,w)$ . Likewise, given a J-compatible symplectic form  $\omega$ , we obtain an inner product g where  $g(v,w)=\omega(Jv,w)$ . Symplectic forms/inner products obtained in this manner are said to be **compatible** with the other.

**Definition 0.10.** A vector space V is *Kähler* if it has compatible structures J, g,  $\omega$ .

One final way to obtain these compatible structures is to start with a Hermitian vector space (V, h), and let g(v, w) = Re h(v, w) and  $\omega(v, w) = -\text{Im } h(v, w)$ .

## Kähler Manifolds

With the linear algebra set up, we can move on to talking about the manifolds. We'll first translate the linear algebraic concepts to ones on a manifold.

**Definition 1.11.** A *Riemannian metric* on a smooth manifold M is a smoothly symmetric positive definite 2-tensor field  $g: M \to \mathcal{T}^2(M)$ .

Smooth here mean one of several equivalent things:

- (1) The component functions of the matrix  $g_{ij} = \langle \partial_i, \partial_j \rangle$  are smooth in any chart.
- (2) g is a smooth map from M into the tensor bundle  $\mathcal{T}^2(M)$ .
- (3) For any vector fields  $X, Y \in \mathcal{X}(M)$ , the function given by g(X, Y) is smooth.

We note that a Riemannian metric on a manifold is analogous to an inner product on a vector space – it a smooth assignment of an inner product to each tangent space  $T_pM$ . Also, we have that  $g_p$  being an inner product on  $T_pM$  implies that it is nondegenerate, so g induces an isomorphism  $TM \to T^*M$ .

**Definition 1.12.** A *symplectic forn* on a smooth manifold M is a smooth nondegenerate closed skew-symmetric 2-form  $\omega: M \to \Lambda^2(M)$ .

Here closed means that the exterior derivative  $d\omega = 0$ . A symplectic form makes every tangent space  $T_p M$  a symplectic vector space.

**Example 1.13.** Given any manifold M, we can define a symplectic structure on its cotangent bundle  $T^*M$  as follows. Define the *tautological* 1-*form*  $\alpha \in \Omega^1(T^*M)$  by  $\alpha(p,\xi) = d\pi_p^*\xi$  where  $\pi : T^*M \to M$  is the projection. Then the 2-form  $\omega = d\alpha$  is symplectic. If  $(p^i)$  denote coordinates on M, and  $(p^i,q^i)$  denote the induced coordinates given by the local trivialization for  $T^*M$ , we have that

$$\omega = \sum_{i} dq^{i} \wedge dp^{i}$$

which looks exactly like the standard form!

As it turns out, every symplectic manifold has the same local structure, what this means is that every symplectic manifold  $(M, \omega)$  is locally symplectomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  (now thought of as a manifold, rather than a vector space). The same does not hold for Riemannian manifolds. It is not the case that every Riemannian manifold is locally isometric to  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with the standard Euclidean metric.

Another difference is that every manifold admits a Riemannian metric. The same is not true in the symplectic case.

**Proposition 1.14.** The only sphere that admits a symplectic structure is  $S^2$ 

*Proof.* We can give  $S^2$  a symplectic structure with a choice of volume form, which is closed and skew symmetric. Then let  $n \in 2\mathbb{Z}$  with  $n \neq 2$ . We claim that there exists no symplectic structure on  $S^n$ . We know that  $H^2_{dR}(M) = 0$ , so every 2-form is exact. This tells us that the n/2-fold wedge of  $\omega$  with itself is also exact, so it is equal to  $d\beta$  for some  $\beta$ . Then by Stokes' Theorem

$$\int_{S^n} \omega^{n/2} = \int_{S^n} d\beta = 0$$

so  $\omega^{n/2}$  is not a volume form.

**Definition 1.15.** An *almost complex structure* on a smooth manifold is smoothly varying J where at each  $p \in M$ ,  $J_p^2 : T_pM \to T_pM$  is equal to  $\mathrm{id}_{T_pM}$ 

Since the endomorphism is acting on a different vector space at each point, what does smooth mean? We have a canonical isomorphism  $V^* \otimes V \to \operatorname{End}(V)$ , so we identify J as a smoothly varying (1,1) tensor field, and ask that the map to the tensor bundle  $J: M \to \mathcal{T}_1^1(M)$  is smooth.

**Definition 1.16.** A *complex manifold* is a smooth manifold with an atlas of charts  $\varphi: U \to \mathbb{C}^n$  where the transition maps  $\varphi \circ \psi^{-1}: \psi(U) \cap \varphi(V) \to \mathbb{C}^n$  are holomorphic.

As it turns out, an almost complex structure isn't quite enough for our needs – it will need to satisfy some integrability condition. If you know about the Frobenius theorem regarding when vector fields determine a subbundle of the tangent bundle, this is the same concept.

**Definition 1.17.** A *Kähler manifold* is a complex manifold M with a Riemannian metric g and symplectic form  $\omega$  such that at each  $T_pM$ ,  $g_p$  and  $\omega_p$  are compatible with the complex structure given by multiplication by i, i.e.

$$g_p(i \cdot v, w) = \omega(v, w)$$
  $\omega_p(i \cdot v, w) = g(v, w)$ 

We end with some examples

**Proposition 1.18.** *Complex projective space*  $\mathbb{CP}^n$  *is Kähler.* 

*Proof.* To see this, we note that the unitary group U(n+1) acts transitively on  $\mathbb{CP}^n$  thought of as lines in  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ , and  $\mathbb{CP}^n$  will be both homogeneous and isotropic under this action. Using this, we can define a U(n+1) invariant metric on  $\mathbb{CP}^n$ , from which we can recover a hermitian form on  $\mathbb{CP}^n$ , which we can then use the construct the symplectic form. The explicit computations get quite nasty though.

**Proposition 1.19.** Any torus  $T^{2n} = \mathbb{C}^n / \Lambda^n$  (where  $\Lambda^n \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2n}$  is a lattice) is Kähler.

*Proof.* The standard hermitian metric on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  descends to a hermitian metric on  $T^{2n}$ . We can then use this to define the Riemannian metric and symplectic form.