

## DIFFERENTIAL GEOMETRY LECTURE 2

JEFFREY JIANG

### 1. VECTOR FIELDS

Recall that given a smooth  $n$  dimensional manifold  $M$ , we get the *tangent bundle*  $TM$ , which is a  $2n$  dimensional smooth manifold, equipped with a map  $\pi : TM \rightarrow M$ , where the fiber  $\pi^{-1}(p)$  over a point  $p \in M$  is the tangent space  $T_pM$ . Also recall that a *section* of a  $\pi$  is a map  $\sigma : U \rightarrow TM$  such that  $\pi \circ \sigma = \text{id}_U$ . If  $U = M$ , we call  $\sigma$  a global section.

**Definition 1.1.** A *vector field* on a smooth manifold is a global section  $X : M \rightarrow TM$ . The set of vector fields on  $M$  is denoted  $\mathfrak{X}(M)$ .

If you unwrap the definitions, we see that a section is exactly the data we want – for every point  $p \in M$ , we are assigning to it a vector in  $T_pM$ . We will often denote the vector  $X(p)$  at  $p$  by  $X_p$ .

If we fix a chart  $U$  with coordinates  $x^i$ , we get the *coordinate vector fields*  $\partial/\partial x^i$ , where

$$\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \right)_p = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \Big|_p$$

Then given an arbitrary smooth vector field  $X$ , we have that  $X_p$  is a linear combination of the coordinate vector fields. Smoothness of  $X$  then tells us that we can write  $X$  locally as

$$X = X^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$$

for smooth functions  $X^i : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . We also note that  $\mathfrak{X}(M)$  admits more structure than that of a set.

**Proposition 1.2.**

- (1) Let  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ . Then  $fX + gY$  defined a smooth vector field, for  $f, g \in C^\infty(M)$ .
- (2)  $\mathfrak{X}(M)$  is a  $C^\infty(M)$  module.

In more sheafy language, this tells us that the sheaf of vector fields on  $M$  is a sheaf of modules over the sheaf of smooth functions. In fact, we can say even more about the algebraic structure of vector fields. Given a chart  $U$  with coordinates  $x^i$ , we know we can write any vector field as  $X^i \partial_i$ . This tells us that the  $\partial_i$  form a basis for the local vector fields  $\mathfrak{X}(U)$  as a  $C^\infty(U)$  module, i.e. locally, the vector fields form a free module over  $C^\infty(U)$ . Note that this might not hold globally though.

**Definition 1.3.** A *local frame* for  $M$  is an collection of smooth vector fields  $E_i$  defined on an open set  $U \subset M$  such that for each  $p \in U$ , we have that the  $E_i|_p$  form a basis for  $T_pM$ . If  $U = M$ , we say that the  $E_i$  form a *global frame*.

We've already seen a local frame, the coordinate vector fields  $\partial_i$ .

Recall that a vector  $v \in T_pM$  acts on functions  $f \in C^\infty(M)$  – it takes as an input a smooth function, and produces a real number. We see then that vector fields act on smooth functions as well, where we define the action pointwise to produce a new function. Explicitly, given  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$  and  $f \in C^\infty(M)$ , we have

$$(Xf)(p) = X_p f$$

In this way, we see that a vector field  $X$  determines a linear map  $C^\infty(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$ . In fact, it defines a *derivation*, i.e.

$$X(fg) = fXg + gXf$$

since each vector  $X_p$  is a derivation at  $p$ .

**Proposition 1.4.** *Vector fields on  $M$  are in bijection with derivations  $D : C^\infty(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$ , where the mapping is given by  $X \mapsto D_X$  where  $D_X f = Xf$ .*

Given a vector field  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$  and a smooth map  $F : M \rightarrow N$ , we can apply the differential  $dF_p$  pointwise to  $X$ , but the result may not be well defined. For example, if  $F$  is not injective, there will exist at least two points  $p, q$  such that  $F(p) = F(q) = y$ . Then if we want to use  $F$  and  $X$  to define a vector field on  $N$ , we have a conundrum – what vector should we assign to  $y$ ? Should it be  $dF_p(X_p)$  or  $dF_q(X_q)$ ? Therefore in order for  $X$  to push forward to a vector field on  $N$ , we must impose the condition on each fiber  $F^{-1}(y)$  that for all  $p \in F^{-1}(y)$ , we have  $dF_p(X_p)$  is the same.

**Definition 1.5.** Given smooth manifolds  $M$  and  $N$ , a smooth map  $F : M \rightarrow N$ , and vector fields  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$  and  $Y \in \mathfrak{X}(N)$ . We say that  $X$  and  $Y$  are  *$F$ -related* if for all  $q \in N$  and all  $p \in F^{-1}(q)$ , we have  $dF_p(X_p) = Y_q$ .

Given an arbitrary vector field  $X$  and a smooth map  $F : M \rightarrow N$ , it's not true in general that an  $F$ -related vector field exists in  $\mathfrak{X}(N)$ , however, in the case that  $F$  is a diffeomorphism, a unique  $F$ -related vector field exists, called the pushforward  $F_*X$ . In order for a vector field to be  $F$ -related to  $X$ , we see that it must be defined by

$$(F_*X)_p = dF_{F^{-1}(p)}(X_{F^{-1}(p)})$$

which is well defined since  $F$  is invertible.