## THE FRÖLICHER SPECTRAL SEQUENCE AND THE ∂∂ LEMMA

## JEFFREY JIANG

For any complex manifold X, we have the Frölicher spectral sequence, which computes the de Rham cohomology of a complex manifold in terms of the  $\bar{\partial}$  and  $\bar{\partial}$  cohomology. On the  $E_0$  page, it is given by the Dolbeault cohomology of the bundles  $\Omega^{p,0}$ , i.e. the item in the (p,q) position is the bundle  $\Omega^{p,q}$  of (p,q) forms, and the differential on  $E_0$  is just  $\bar{\partial}$ . For example, a small section of the  $E_0$  page would be:

Then in the  $E_1$  page, we have  $E_1^{p,q}$  is the cohomology in the (p,q) slot in the  $E_0$  page, which is just  $H^{p,q}(X)$  (abbreviated to  $H^{p,q}$ ) by Hodge theory in the compact case. The differentials going from left to right are the operators  $\partial$ , which descends to cohomology because  $\partial \bar{\partial} = -\bar{\partial} \partial$ . A small section of the  $E_1$  page would be:

For a general compact complex manifold X, this continues to the  $E_2$  page, where the differential "rotates," and the (p,q) slot is the cohomology in the (p,q) slot of the  $E_1$  page. The big theorem we want to prove is:

**Theorem 1.1.** For a compact Kähler manifold X, the Frölicher spectral sequence degenerates at the  $E_1$  page, i.e. all the differentials are 0.

In other words, we can terminate our spectral sequence computations at  $E_1$ . Going to the  $E_1$  page is easy, since all the computations are done with the operators  $\partial$  and  $\bar{\partial}$ . In practice, continuing on to further pages is difficult. The entire spectral sequence story seems very difficult (and it is), but in the compact Kähler story, it reduces to a simple lemma.

**Theorem 1.2** (*The*  $\partial \overline{\partial}$  *lemma*). Let X be a Kähler manifold, and  $\eta$  a complex k-form that is  $\partial$  and  $\overline{\partial}$ -closed. Then if  $\eta$  is d,  $\partial$ , or  $\overline{\partial}$ -exact, there exists a form  $\xi$  such that  $\eta = \partial \overline{\partial} \xi$ .

The proof of this lemma requires the following results from Hodge Theory:

**Theorem 1.3** (*Comparison of the Laplacians*). Let X be a compact Kähler manifold. Then

$$\Delta = 2\Delta_{\partial} = 2\Delta_{\overline{\partial}}$$

where  $\Delta$ ,  $\Delta_{\partial}$ , and  $\Delta_{\overline{\partial}}$  are the Laplacians

$$\Delta = dd^* + d^*d$$

$$\Delta_{\partial} = \partial \partial^* + \partial^* \partial$$

$$\Delta_{\overline{\partial}} = \overline{\partial} \overline{\partial}^* + \overline{\partial}^* \overline{\partial}$$

The proof of this theorem requires certain commutation relations to hold, called the *Kähler identities*. This identity is not true in general for an arbitrary compact complex manifold. The other result we need is

**Theorem 1.4** (*The Hodge Decomposition*). Any complex valued form  $\alpha \in \Omega^{p,q}$  can be written as

$$\alpha = \beta + \Delta \gamma$$

where  $\beta$  is harmonic, i.e.  $\Delta\beta = 0$ .

This theorem is true for general compact complex manifolds, not just Kähler manifolds.

*Proof of the*  $\partial \overline{\partial}$  *lemma*. The proof for all three cases is much the same, so we do just the one where  $\eta = \overline{\partial} \alpha$  is  $\overline{\partial}$ -exact. By the Hodge decomposition, we write  $\alpha = \beta + \Delta \gamma$ , with  $\beta$  harmonic. Since  $\Delta = 2\Delta_{\overline{\partial}}$ , and  $\beta$  is  $\Delta_{\overline{\partial}}$ -harmonic if and only if  $\overline{\partial}\beta = \overline{\partial}^*\beta = 0$ , we have that  $\overline{\partial}\beta = 0$ . Then using Lemma 7.8, we compute

$$\begin{split} \eta &= \overline{\partial} \alpha \\ &= \overline{\partial} (\beta + \Delta \gamma) \\ &= \overline{\partial} \beta + 2 \overline{\partial} (\Delta_{\partial} \gamma) \\ &= 0 + 2 \overline{\partial} (\partial \partial^* \gamma + \partial^* \partial \gamma) \\ &= 2 \overline{\partial} \partial \partial^* \gamma - 2 \partial^* \overline{\partial} \partial \gamma \\ &= -2 \partial \overline{\partial} \partial^* \gamma - 2 \partial^* \overline{\partial} \partial \gamma \end{split}$$

Then since  $\eta$  is  $\partial$ -closed, we have that  $\partial^* \bar{\partial} \partial \gamma$  must also be  $\partial$ -closed. By orthogonality of the image of  $\partial^*$  with the kernel of  $\partial$ , we have that  $\partial^* \bar{\partial} \partial \gamma = 0$ , so  $\eta = -2 \partial \bar{\partial} \partial^* \gamma = 2 \bar{\partial} \partial \partial^* \gamma$ , so letting  $\xi = \partial^* \gamma$ , we are done.

We now use this to prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of 1.1. We want to show that all the differentials on the  $E_1$  page are 0, i.e. for a cohomology class  $[\alpha] \in H^{p,q}$ ,  $[\partial \alpha] = 0$ . Since  $[\alpha]$  is a Dolbeault cohomology class, we know that  $\alpha$  is  $\bar{\partial}$ -closed. Therefore,  $\partial \alpha$  is both  $\bar{\partial}$  and  $\bar{\partial}$  closed, since  $\bar{\partial}$  and  $\bar{\partial}$  anticommute. Then by the  $\bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}$  lemma, we have that  $\bar{\partial}\alpha = \bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}\eta$  for some  $\eta$ . Therefore, using the fact that  $\bar{\partial}$  and  $\bar{\partial}$  commute one final time, we find that  $\bar{\partial}\alpha$  is  $\bar{\partial}$ -exact, i.e.  $[\bar{\partial}\alpha] = 0$