

THE PONTRYAGIN-THOM THEOREM

JEFFREY JIANG

A QUICK AND DIRTY TREATMENT OF BORDISM

Definition 1.1. Two closed (compact boundaryless) smooth manifolds X_1 and X_2 are said to be *bordant* if there exists a compact smooth manifold Y with $\partial Y = X_1 \amalg X_2$. We also require there to be a collar neighborhood about each component of ∂Y diffeomorphic to $X_i \times (0, 1]$.

Example 1.2.

- (1) The pair of pants is a bordism $S^1 \amalg S^1 \rightarrow S^1$.
- (2) Given a smooth manifold X , if there exists a smooth manifold Y with $\partial Y = X$, we say that this is a bordism $X \rightarrow \emptyset$. We note that the empty set is vacuously a manifold of any dimension. In particular, $X \amalg X$ is bordant to the empty set, since $[0, 1] \times X$ has boundary $X \amalg X$.

Theorem 1.3. *Bordism forms an equivalence relation*

Proof. This is best done with pictures. The relation is reflexive, since $[0, 1] \times X$ can also be interpreted as a bordism $X \rightarrow X$. For symmetry, given a bordism Y from $X_1 \rightarrow X_2$, the dual bordism Y^\vee is just “ Y turned around.” Finally, for transitivity, given a bordism Y from $X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ and a bordism Z from $X_2 \rightarrow X_3$, we can get a bordism $X_1 \rightarrow X_3$ by gluing Y with Z along the boundary components diffeomorphic to X_2 . There’s a little fussing with collar neighborhoods to ensure the result is a smooth manifold, that we’re hand waving away, but we’ll skim over those details. ■

In fact, bordism classes of n -manifolds forms an abelian group, denoted Ω_n , where the group operation is \amalg , and the identity element is \emptyset . The observation that $X \amalg X = \emptyset$ gives us that all elements are order 2. There’s a lot of interesting theory in studying this group. In fact, the direct sum of all bordism groups is a \mathbb{Z} -graded ring, with multiplication given by the cartesian product. In addition, you can add tangential structures to your manifolds, e.g. orientations or spin structures, and ask for bordisms to preserve this tangential structures, giving us a large family of bordism groups.

FRAMED BORDISM

Let M be a smooth manifold of dimension n , and $Y \subset M$ a submanifold of codimension q , i.e. $\dim Y = n - q$. Then the tangent bundle TY is naturally a subbundle of the ambient tangent bundle $TM|_Y$ over Y . The normal bundle of Y in M , denoted NY , is the vector bundle over Y with each fiber being the quotient space $T_p M / T_p Y$. This gives us the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow TY \longrightarrow TM|_Y \longrightarrow NY \longrightarrow 0$$

You can think of NY as the vector bundle of normal vectors pointing outward from Y . For example, if we consider $S^1 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, we have that the tangent space $T_p S^1 \cong p^\perp$. Therefore, a framing for S^1 is just the assignment $p \mapsto p$, since p spans the orthogonal complement of $T_p S^1$, giving a global frame for NS^1 .

Definition 2.1. A *framing* of a codimension q submanifold $Y \subset M$ is an isomorphism $NY \rightarrow R^q \times Y$. A *framed submanifold* of a manifold M is the data of a submanifold $Y \subset M$ and a framing $NY \rightarrow R^q \times Y$. We note that the isomorphism $NY \rightarrow R^q \times Y$ is equivalent data to a global frame (basis of sections) for NY , hence the name.

Definition 2.2. Let X_0 and X_1 be framed codimension q submanifolds of M . Then X_1 and X_2 are *framed bordant* if there exists a framed submanifold $Y \subset [0, 1] \times M$ such that

- (1) $Y \cap \{i\} \times M = \{i\} \times X_i$ for $i = 0, 1$.
- (2) The framings at $Y \cap \{i\}$ agree with the framings of X_i .

We denote the framed cobordism group of codimension q as $\Omega_{m-q}^{\text{fr}}(M)$.

There's a little subtlety by what we mean by "the framings agree." By this, we note that the boundary of $[0, 1] \times M$ contains two copies of M at the boundary, $\partial([0, 1] \times M) = \{0\} \times M \amalg \{1\} \times M$, and the inclusion $M \hookrightarrow \{i\} \times M$ gives us an isomorphism of vector bundles $TM \cong T(\{i\} \times M) \subset T([0, 1] \times M)$. Likewise, we get a isomorphism of normal bundles $NX_i \cong N(\{i\} \times Y)$, where the normal bundle of $\{i\} \times Y$ is taken inside of $\{i\} \times M$. Hence a framing of $\{i\} \times X_i$ is equivalent to a framing of X_i , and we ask for the framings to agree with the framing for Y . A trivial, but easy to visualize example is to take $S^1 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, with the framing we gave earlier. Then the cylinder $[0, 1] \times S^1 \subset [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^2$ is a framed bordism $S^1 \rightarrow S^1$, where the framing is given by our previous framing at each $\{t\} \times S^1$.

In particular, there is one easy way to obtain a huge family of framed submanifolds. Let M be an m dimensional manifold, and N an n dimensional manifold with $n \leq m$. Then by Sard's theorem, for any map $f : M \rightarrow N$, the set of critical points of f forms a set of measure 0. In other words, for almost any point $p \in N$, we have that $df_x : T_x M \rightarrow T_p N$ is a surjective map, giving us that $f^{-1}(p)$ is a submanifold of M of codimension n . The tangent space $T_x f^{-1}(p)$ is naturally identified with the kernel of df_x , so df_x factors through the quotient $T_x M / T_x f^{-1}(p)$, giving an isomorphism $T_x M / T_x f^{-1}(p) \rightarrow T_p N$. Therefore, fixing a basis for $T_p N$ gives us an isomorphism $T_x M / T_x f^{-1}(p) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \times f^{-1}(p)$, which is the definition of a framing for $f^{-1}(p)$.

THE PONTRYAGIN-THOM THEOREM

We now consider the special case where we have M mapping into a sphere S^q . Let $[M, S^q]$ denote the set of all homotopy classes of maps $M \rightarrow S^q$. Fix a framed submanifold $Y \subset M$. Then it admits a **tubular neighborhood**, which is the data of an open set $U \subset M$ containing Y equipped with a submersion $U \rightarrow Y$, and a diffeomorphism $NY \rightarrow U$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} NY & \xrightarrow{\quad} & U \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & Y & \end{array}$$

commutes. You can think of U as a "fattened up" version of Y , and the submersion $U \rightarrow Y$ squishes U onto Y . Then the framing for Y gives us an isomorphism $NY \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^q \times Y$, and then taking the inverse diffeomorphism $U \rightarrow NY$, we obtain a map $U \rightarrow NY \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^q \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^q$, where the last map is projection. We denote the map as $h : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^q$. Intuitively, the map $h(p)$ is the length of the shortest vector from p to Y . Then by fixing a cutoff function ρ , we define the **Pontryagin-Thom collapse map** of Y , $f_Y : M \rightarrow S^q$ by

$$f_Y(p) = \begin{cases} \frac{h(p)}{\rho(|h(p)|)} & p \in U \\ \infty & p \in M - U \end{cases}$$

Where we identify S^q as the one point compactification $\mathbb{R}^q \cup \{\infty\}$ via stereographic projection. Intuitively, the map collapses Y to a point, (namely 0), and smoothly stretches out U onto the sphere before collapsing the rest of M onto the point at infinity.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\varphi : [M, S^q] \rightarrow \Omega_{n-q}^{\text{fr}}$ be the map taking $[f]$ to the preimage $f^{-1}(p)$ of a regular value, and let $\psi : \Omega_{n-q}^{\text{fr}} \rightarrow [M, S^q]$ be the map taking the bordism class $[Y]$ to the homotopy class of the collapse map f_Y . Then φ and ψ are inverse maps.*

Proof. We first need to show that φ and ψ are well-defined on equivalence classes. For φ , suppose we have two maps f_0 and f_1 that are homotopic via $F : M \times [0, 1] \rightarrow S^q$. In the case that p is not a regular value of f_0 or f_1 , we can perturb either slightly to obtain a homotopic map that is. We can also perturb F to obtain a map F' that it is transverse to $\{p\}$ and such that it agrees with F in a small neighborhood of $\{0, 1\} \times M$, so $F'_0 = f_0$ and $F'_1 = f_1$. Then $F'^{-1}(p)$ is a submanifold of $M \times [0, 1]$, and by construction, it is a framed bordism $f_0^{-1}(p) \rightarrow f_1^{-1}(p)$. In addition, it is independent of our choice of p , since we can compose with a rotation on S^q that takes any point to our regular value.

To show that ψ is well defined, we need to show that for submanifolds Y_0 and Y_1 , that the collapse maps f_{Y_0} and f_{Y_1} are homotopic. In addition, we would need to show that it is independent of our choice of cutoff

function and tubular neighborhood, but we'll hand wave those details away for now. Let $X \subset [0, 1] \times M$ be a bordism $Y_0 \rightarrow Y_1$. Then taking a tubular neighborhood U of X gives us a collapse map $f_X : [0, 1] \times M \rightarrow S^q$. We then note that this is a homotopy from f_{Y_0} to f_{Y_1} , so ψ is well-defined as well.

We note that $\varphi \circ \psi$ is identity, since given a framed submanifold Y , we have that 0 is a regular value of f_Y with preimage Y by construction. For the other direction, given f_0 , this determines a submanifold $f_0^{-1}(p)$, which in turn defines a collapse map for $f_1 = f_0^{-1}(p)$. We need to show that this collapse map is homotopic to f_0 . As it turns out, our construction gives us that $df_0|_Y = df_1|_Y$, which is enough to deduce that $f_0 \simeq f_1$, after some fiddling. ■

The theorem gives us a remarkable dictionary from bordism to algebraic topology. In the case that $M = S^n$, we have that the maps are actually group isomorphisms between the bordism groups and the higher homotopy groups! In addition, by the Whitney embedding theorem, we actually can embed any manifold into R^N for sufficiently large N , and consequently, via stereographic projection, into S^N . Therefore, understanding the higher homotopy groups of spheres is in a sense equivalent to understanding framed bordism!