REPRESENTATION THEORY

JEFFREY JIANG

1. Artin

Definition 1.1. For a group G, a **matrix representation** of G is a homomorphism $R: G \to GL_n(\mathbb{C})$. We say that n is the **dimension** of the representation R. We say that R is faithful if it is injective.

We often denote the matrix R(g) as R_g . In situations where the representation is clear from context, we often simply write the action of R_g on a vector v as $g \cdot v$. The notation is intentionally similar to that of permutation representations and group actions. Any representation R induces a group action of G on \mathbb{C}^n where G acts linearly on \mathbb{C}^n . Matrix representations are then in bijective correspondence with linear actions of G on \mathbb{C}^n , just as group actions of G on a finite set X are in bijective correspondence with homomorphisms $G \to \operatorname{Sym}(X)$.

We usually want out representations to be basis independent.

Definition 1.2. A **representation** of a group G on a finite-dimensional vector space V is a homomorphism $\rho: G \to \mathsf{GL}(V) = \mathrm{Aut}(V)$

We note that once we fix a basis for V, we can get a matrix representation $G \to GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ simply by mapping g to the matrix representing ρ_g in the basis we chose.

Definition 1.3. Given a representation $\rho: G \to \mathsf{GL}(V)$, the **character** of the representation is a function $\chi: G \to \mathbb{C}$ where

$$\chi(g) = \operatorname{trace} \rho_g$$

Note that χ is constant on conjugacy classes, since conjugation by an element g amounts to changing a basis specified by the operator ρ_g , and trace is basis independent. Another particular thing to note is that $\chi(e) = \dim V$, since ρ being a homomorphism implies that $\rho(e) = \mathrm{id}_V$.

Definition 1.4. Given representations $\rho: G \to \mathsf{GL}(V)$ and $\rho': G \to \mathsf{GL}(W)$, a linear map $T: V \to W$ is an **intertwining map** if for all $g \in G$, we have that $T(g \cdot v) = g \cdot T(v)$. In other works, the following diagram commutes for every $g \in G$.

$$V \xrightarrow{T} W$$

$$\downarrow g$$

$$\downarrow g$$

$$V \xrightarrow{T} W$$

such maps are also called **G-linear** or **G-invariant**. If *T* is an isomorphism, we say it is an **isomorphism of representations**.

One particular thing to note, given an isomorphism of representations $T:V\to W$, if we fix a basis $\mathscr B$ for V, we know $T(\mathscr B)$ is a basis for W. Then if we take the matrix representations with respect to $\mathscr B$ and $T(\mathscr B)$, they will be the same.

Definition 1.5. Given a representation $\rho: G \to \mathsf{GL}(V)$, a vector $v \in V$ is **G-invariant** if for all $g \in G$, we have that

$$g \cdot v = v$$

Given an arbitrary vector $v \in V$, we can construct a G-invariant vector \vec{v} through a process called *averaging* over the group. To do this, let

$$\vec{v} = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} g \cdot v$$

If v is already G-invariant to begin with, we have the factor of $\frac{1}{|G|}$. To see why \vec{v} is G-invariant, let $h \in G$. We want to show that $h \cdot \vec{v} = \vec{v}$. We compute

$$h \cdot \vec{v} = \frac{1}{|G|} \left(h \cdot \sum_{g \in G} g \cdot v \right) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} hg \cdot v$$

We note that the last expression is equal to \vec{v} , since left multiplication by h defines a bijection $G \to G$, so we are just summing over G in a different order. Another thing to note is that \vec{v} is not guaranteed to be nontrivial. We can very well have ended up with $\vec{v} = 0$, which is not particularly interesting.

Definition 1.6. Given a representation $\rho: G \to \mathsf{GL}(V)$, a subspace $W \subset V$ is **G-invariant** if for every $g \in G$, the subspace $g \cdot W = W$. We can then restrict $\rho|_W: G \to \mathsf{GL}(W)$ to obtain a representation of G on W.

If we have a representation $\rho:G\to \mathsf{GL}(V)$ and $V=W_1\oplus W_2$ for G-invariant subspaces W_1 and W_2 , with restricted representations $\alpha:G\to \mathsf{GL}(W_1)$ and $\beta:G\to \mathsf{GL}(W_2)$, we say that ρ decomposes into the direct sum

$$\rho = \alpha \oplus \beta$$

If we fix a bases B_1 and B_2 for W_1 and W_2 respectively, we can concatenate them into a basis $B=(B_1,B_2)$ for V. Then if we consider the matrix representation of ρ_g in this basis, we have that

$$\rho_g = \begin{pmatrix} A_g & 0 \\ 0 & B_g \end{pmatrix}$$

Where A_g and B_g are the matrices for α_g and β_g in their respective bases B_1 and B_2 .

Definition 1.7. Z representation $\rho: G \to \mathsf{GL}(V)$ is **irreducible** if the only G-invariant subspaces are $\{0\}$ and V.

As we'll see soon, irreducible representations will end up being the basic building blocks of the representation theory of finite groups. To see this, we need to introduce another piece of structure- an inner product on V.

Definition 1.8. A bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : V \times V \to \mathbb{C}$ is **Hermitian** if it satisfies

- (1) $\langle cv, w \rangle = \overline{c} \langle v, w \rangle$
- (2) $\langle v_1 + v_2, w \rangle = \langle v_1, w \rangle + \langle v_2, w \rangle$
- (3) $\langle v, cw \rangle = c \langle v, w \rangle$
- (4) $\langle v, w_1 + w_2 \rangle = \langle v, w_1 \rangle + \langle v, w_2 \rangle$

in other words, $\langle \cdot, \cdot, \rangle$ is conjugate linear in the first term, and linear in the second term. A **Hermitian vector space** is a \mathbb{C} -vector space V equipped with a positive definite Hermitian bilinear form as an inner product.

Definition 1.9. For a Hermitian vector space V, a linear operator $T:V\to V$ is **unitary** if it preserves the inner product. In other words, for all $v,w\in V$, we have

$$\langle Tv, Tw \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$$

if we fix an *orthonomal basis* with respect to the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot, \rangle$, the matrix representation of a unitary operator A satisfies the condition $A^{-1} = A^{\dagger}$.

Definition 1.10. A representation $\rho: G \to \mathsf{GL}(V)$ on a Hermitian space V is **unitary** if ρ_g is unitary for all $g \in G$.

Proposition 1.11. For a unitary representation $\rho: G \to \mathsf{GL}(V)$, given a G-invariant subspace $W \subset V$, W^{\perp} is also G-invariant, so $\rho = \rho|_W \oplus \rho|_{W^{\perp}}$.

Proof. Since ρ is a unitary representation, we have that for $v \in W$ and $w \in W^{\perp}$ and $g \in G$

$$\langle v, w \rangle = \langle g \cdot v, g \cdot w \rangle = 0$$

Therefore $g \cdot W^{\perp} = W^{\perp}$, since they are the same dimension and we know that W is G-invariant. Then we can restrict ρ to this subspace, giving us our direct sum decomposition.

Corollary 1.12. Every unitary representation is an orthogonal sum of irreducible representations

Proof. Start with a unitary representation $\rho: G \to \mathsf{GL}(V)$. If it admits no invariant subspaces we are done. Otherwise there exists a nontrivial proper invariant subspace W, so $V = W \oplus W^{\perp}$, and ρ restricts to a representation on these subspaces. Therefore, we can continue this process on W and W^{\perp} to find the direct sum decomposition. Note that this process will always terminate since V is finite dimensional.

The simplicity of unitary representations becomes very helpful, as we will soon see

Definition 1.13. Given a representation $\rho:G\to \mathsf{GL}(V)$, a Hermitian form $\langle\cdot,\cdot,\rangle:V\times V\to\mathbb{C}$ is **G-invariant** if

$$\langle g \cdot v, g \cdot w \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$$

For all $g \in G$ and for all $v, w \in V$.

Theorem 1.14. Every representation $\rho: G \to \mathsf{GL}(V)$ admits a G-invariant inner product

Proof. Let (\cdot, \cdot) be an arbitrary inner product on V. We construct a new inner product $\langle \cdot, \rangle_G$ on V by averaging over the group, i.e.

$$\langle v, w \rangle_G = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} (g \cdot v, g \cdot w)$$

The fact that this is an inner product follows from the fact that (\cdot, \cdot) is. To show that $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_G$ is G-invariant, let $h \in G$. We then compute

$$\langle h \cdot v, h \cdot w \rangle_G = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} (hg \cdot v, hg \cdot w) = \langle v, w \rangle_G$$

So ρ is unitary with respect to the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_G$.

Corollary 1.15.

- (1) *Maschke's Theorem* For a finite group G, every representation is an orthogonal sum of irreducible representations
- (2) Given a representation $\rho: G \to \mathsf{GL}(V)$, there exists a basis of V such that the matrix representation $R: G \to \mathsf{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is unitary
- (3) Given a matrix representation $R: G \to \mathsf{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$, there exists a matrix $P \in \mathsf{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that $P^{-1}R_gP$ is unitary
- (4) Every finite subgroup of $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ is conjugate to a subgroup of the unitary group U_n

Proof.

- (1) By Theorem 1.14, every representation is unitary with respect to some Hermitian inner product. Then from Corollary 1.12, we have that this representation is a direct sum of irreducible representations that are orthogonal with respect to this inner product.
- (2) Pick an orthonomal basis with respect to any *G*-invariant inner product. Then the induced matrix representation will be unitary.
- (3) Pick a G-invariant inner product on \mathbb{C}^n and let P be the matrix consisting of an orthonomal basis with respect to this inner product.
- (4) The inclusion map of this finite subgroup is a representation. Then use (3).

We now return to the discussion of characters. Recall that given a representation ρ , the character of ρ is a function $\chi: G \to \mathbb{C}$ where $\chi(g) = \operatorname{trace}(\rho_g)$.

Proposition 1.16.

- (1) For $g \in G$ where o(g) = k, the eigenvalues of ρ_g are powers of the k^{th} root of unity $\omega_k = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{k}}$. If $\dim \rho = d$, Then $\chi(g)$ is a sum of roots of unity.
- (2) $\chi(g^{-1}) = \overline{\chi(g)}$
- (3) For a direct sum representation $\rho_1 \oplus \rho_2$, the corresponding character is the sum $\chi_1 + \chi_2$
- (4) Isomorphic representations have the same character.

Proof.

(1) Let v be an eigenvector of ρ_g with eigenvalue λ . Since ρ is a homomorphism, we have that

$$(\rho_g)^k(v) = \rho_{q^k}(v) = \lambda^k v$$

We know $\rho_{g^k} = \mathrm{id}_V$, so $\lambda^k = 1$. Therefore, λ is a k^{th} root of unity. Then since the trace of ρ_g is the sum of the eigenvalues (including multiplicity) and ρ_g is invertible, we have that $\chi(g)$ is a sum of roots of unity.

- (2) We know that the eigenvalues $\{\lambda_k\}$ of ρ_g are roots of unity. Therefore, for every k, we have that $\lambda_k^{-1} = \overline{\lambda_k}$. Then since the trace of ρ_g is the sum of the eigenvalues, it follows that $\chi(g^{-1}) = \overline{\chi(g)}$.
- (3) Fix a basis for both representations, and consider the induced matrix representations R_1 , R_2 , and $R_1 \oplus R_2$. It is then clear to see that for every $g \in G$, we have that $\operatorname{trace} R_1 \oplus R_2(g) = \operatorname{trace} R_1(g) + \operatorname{trace} R_2(g)$
- (4) Suppose we have two representations such that $\rho \sim \rho'$, where ρ acts on the vector space V and ρ' acts on V' with corresponding characters χ and χ' . Then let $T:V\to V'$ denote the isomorphism of representations. Then if we fix a basis $\mathscr B$ for V, we have that $T(\mathscr B)$ is a basis for V'. Then if we consider the matrix representations corresponding to these bases, they will be the same. Therefore, we have that $\chi = \chi'$.

We will find that these characters will be elements of a vector space of "class functions."

Definition 1.17. For two characters χ, χ' , there is a Hermitian "inner product" $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ where

$$\langle \chi, \chi' \rangle = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \overline{\chi(g)} \chi'(g)$$

Since characters are constant on the conjugacy classes of G, if we let c_i denote the order of the i^{th} conjugacy class, and let $g_i \in G$ denote a representative of the i^{th} conjugacy class, then

$$\langle \chi, \chi' \rangle = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{i} c_i \overline{\chi(g_i)} \chi'(g_i)$$

These characters will end up being very important, as they will end up being an orthonomal basis.

Proposition 1.18.

(1) The irreducible characters of a representation $\rho: G \to \mathsf{GL}(V)$ are orthonormal, i.e.

$$\langle \chi_i, \chi_j \rangle = \begin{cases} 1 & \rho_i \sim \rho_j \\ 0 & otherwise \end{cases}$$

- (2) There are finitely many isomorphism classes of irreducible representations, equal to the number of conjugacy classes.
- (3) Let $\rho_1 \dots, \rho_r$ denote the isomorphism classes of irreducible representations of G with corresponding characters $\chi_1 \dots \chi_r$. Then $\dim \rho_i \mid |G|$ and $|G| = \sum_i (\dim \rho_i)^2$.

We will postpone the proof for later

Remark. For a representation $\rho: G \to \mathsf{GL}(V)$, let

$$n\rho = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{n} \rho$$

Then from Maschke's Theorem, we have that for any representation ρ ,

$$\rho = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} n_i \rho_i$$

Where the $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and the ρ_i are irreducible representations of G. We note a corollary of the earlier proposition,

Corollary 1.19. *let* $\rho_i \dots \rho_r$ *denote the irreducible representations of a finite group* G *let* $\rho: G \to \mathsf{GL}(V)$ *be an* arbitrary representation. Let χ and χ_i denote the characters for ρ and ρ_i respectively, and let $n_i = \langle \chi, \chi_i \rangle$. Then

(1)
$$\chi = n_1 \chi_1 + ... + n_r \chi_r$$

(2) $\rho = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r n_i \rho_i$

(2)
$$\rho = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r n_i \rho_i$$

Corollary 1.20. For arbitrary characters $\chi, \chi', \langle \chi, \chi' \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\langle \chi, \chi \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^r n_i$

Definition 1.21. A function $f: G \to \mathbb{C}$ is a **class function** if f is constant on conjugacy classes, i.e. for all $g, h \in G, f(ghg^{-1}) = f(h)$

It is fairly simple to see that the class functions on a group G form a Hermitian vector space with dimension equal to the number of conjugacy classes of G, if we use the defined inner product for characters and adapt it for class functions. Note that the earlier proposition then implies that the irreducible characters form an orthonormal basis for the space of class functions of G.

In the special case where V is 1-dimensional, ρ_q is represented by a 1×1 matrix and $\chi(g)$ is the unique entry. A one dimensional character χ can then be interpreted as a homomorphism $\chi: G \to \mathsf{GL}_1(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}^{\times}$.

Theorem 1.22. Let G be a finite abelian group

- (1) Every irreducible character of G is 1-dimensional
- (2) Every matrix representation of G is diagonalizable

Proof.

- (1) We know that the irreducible characters of *G* form a basis for the vector space of class functions. Since G is abelian, we know that this vector space is 1-dimensional, since there is only one conjugacy class of G. Therefore, $\chi(e) = 1$, so the corresponding irreducible representation must be 1-dimensional
- (2) We know that any matrix representation of G is a direct sum of irreducible 1-dimensional representations. If we pick a basis $\{v_i\}$ where v_i is a nonzero vector in the i^{th} irreducible representation, then this representation is necessarily diagonal in this basis.

Let $S = \{s_1 \dots s_n\}$ be a finite set. We can then identify s_i with the standard basis vector $e_i \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Then given a group action of G on S, we get a permutation representation $G \to S_n$, which we can convert to a matrix representation $R: G \to \mathsf{GL}_n(C)$ where $g \mapsto A_\sigma$ where A_σ is the permutation matrix corresponding to permutation induced by the action of g, where $A_{\sigma}(e_i) = e_{\sigma(i)}$.

Lemma 1.23. Let S be a finite set, and let ρ be a permutation representation corresponding to a group action of a finite group G on S. Then for $g \in G$, $\chi(g)$ is equal to the number of elements of S fixed by the action of g.

Proof. Let $R: G \to \mathsf{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be any matrix representation corresponding to ρ by fixing a basis. Then $\chi(g) = \mathsf{CL}_n(g)$ trace $R(g) = \sum R(g)_{ii}$. We know that R(g) is a permutation matrix, so

$$a_{ii} = \begin{cases} 1 & s_i \text{ is fixed by } g \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Definition 1.24. The **regular reprsentation** ρ^{reg} for a finite group G is the permutation representation induced by the group action of *G* on itself via left multiplication.

Note that from the above lemma, we immediately obtain

$$\chi^{reg}(g) = \begin{cases} |G| & g = e \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

since e is the only group element that fixes any elements by left multiplication. This makes computing inner products involving χ^{reg} very easy, since

$$\langle \chi, \chi^{reg} \rangle = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{e \in C} \overline{\chi(g)} \chi^{reg}(g) = \frac{1}{|G|} \chi(e) \chi^{reg}(e) = \dim \chi$$

Using Corollary 1.19, we see that

Corollary 1.25. Let $\rho_1 \dots \rho_r$ be the irreducible representations of a finite group G. Then

(1)
$$\chi^{reg} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} (\dim \chi_i) \chi_i$$

(2) $\rho^{reg} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} (\dim \rho_i) \rho_i$

(2)
$$\rho^{reg} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r (\dim \rho_i) \rho_i$$

Noting that $\dim \rho^{reg} = |G|$, this gives us that

$$\dim \chi^{reg} = |G| = \sum_{i=1}^{r} (\dim \chi_i)^2$$

proving 3) of Proposition 1.18.

Recall that given representations of a group G on vector spaces V and W, a linear transformation $T:V\to \mathbb{R}$ W is G-invariant if it commutes with the action of every $g \in G$, i.e.

$$T(g \cdot v) = g \cdot T(v)$$

Lemma 1.26. Let $T:V\to W$ be a G-invariant linear transformation. Then $\ker T$ and $\operatorname{Im} T$ are G-invariant subspaces of V and W respectively.

Proof. Let $v \in \ker T$. Then since T is G-invariant, we know for all $g \in G$,

$$T(q \cdot v) = q \cdot T(v) = q \cdot 0 = 0$$

Therefore, $g \cdot v \in \ker T$, so $\ker T$ is G-invariant. Then let $w \in \operatorname{Im} T$. Therefore, w = T(v) for some $v \in V$. Then using the fact that *T* is *G*-invariant,

$$g \cdot w = g \cdot T(v) = T(g \cdot v)$$

which implies that $g \cdot w \in \operatorname{Im} T$

Theorem 1.27 (Schur's Lemma).

- (1) Let $\rho_V \rho_W$ be irreducible representations of G on V and W respectively. Let $T:V\to W$ be a G-invariant linear transformation. Then T=0 or T is an isomorphism
- (2) For an irreducible representation $\rho: G \to \mathsf{GL}(V)$ and a G-invariant linear operator $T: V \to V$, $T = \lambda I$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proof.

- (1) We know $\ker T$ is G-invariant. Therefore $\ker T = \{0\}$ or $\ker T = V$. WLOG assume that $\ker T = \{0\}$. Then T is injective. Since Im T is G-invariant, Im T is either $\{0\}$ or W. Therefore, T is either 0 or an isomorphism.
- (2) Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ be an eigenvalue of T. We claim that $S = T \lambda I$ is also G-invariant. To see this, we note that λI is clearly G-invariant. Then we compute

$$(T - \lambda I)(g \cdot v) = T(g \cdot v) - \lambda I(g \cdot v) = g \cdot T(v) - g \cdot \lambda I(v)$$

We know that S is not an isomorphism, since any eigenvector with eigenvalue λ will lie inside the kernel. Therefore, S = 0, which implies that $T = \lambda I$.

We were able to manufacture G-invariant vectors an inner products by averaging over the group. It should not surprising that the same process works for *G*-invariant linear transformations.

Theorem 1.28. Let $\rho: G \to \mathsf{GL}(V)$ and $\rho': G \to \mathsf{GL}(V')$ be representations of a finite group G, and let $T: V' \to V$ be an arbitrary linear transformation. Then we can create a G-invariant linear transformation T, where

$$\tilde{T}(v) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} g^{-1} \cdot T(g \cdot v)$$

Proof. Let $h \in G$ be arbitrary. to show \tilde{T} is G-invariant, it is sufficient to show that

$$h^{-1}\tilde{T}h(v) = \tilde{T}(v)$$

We compute

$$h^{-1}\tilde{T}h(v) = h^{-1} \cdot \left(\sum_{g \in G} g^{-1} \cdot T(g \cdot h \cdot v) \right)$$
$$= \sum_{g \in G} h^{-1}g^{-1} \cdot T(gh \cdot v)$$
$$= \tilde{T}(v)$$

2. Dummit and Foote

Definition 2.1. Let R be a ring and G a group. The **group ring** RG is defined to be the set of all functions $G \to R$ where only finitely many elements are mapped to a nonzero element. This is equivalent to saying that RG is the set of all finite formal sums of elements of g with coefficients in R. We define addition on RG "component wise", where

$$\left(\sum_{g \in G} a_g g\right) + \left(\sum_{g \in G} b_g g\right) = \sum_{g \in G} (a_g + b_g)g$$

We define the multiplication of two elements $(r_1g_1)(r_2g_2) = r_1r_2g_1g_2$ and extending linearly to the sums such that the distributive property holds.

We note that RG contains a "copy" of R, using the identification $r \sim re$, and RG also contains a "copy" of G using the identification $g \sim 1g$

In the case that $R = \mathbb{F}$ for some field \mathbb{F} , we note that the group ring $\mathbb{F}G$ is also a vector space over \mathbb{F} , with a basis given by the elements $\{1g\}_{g \in G}$. Since we are talking about the representation theory of finite groups, this means that $\mathbb{F}G$ is finite dimensional. This gives us a vector space structure that is compatible with the ring structure, so $\mathbb{F}G$ is also an \mathbb{F} -algebra. Because of this, we sometimes refer to $\mathbb{F}G$ as the **group algebra**.

Proposition 2.2. Let G be a finite group, and V an $\mathbb{F}G$ -module. Then V is also a vector space over \mathbb{F} .

Proof. Let the addition for V as a vector space be the same as the module addition. Noting that $\mathbb{F}G$ contains a copy of F define scalar multiplication on V by $\lambda v = (\lambda e) \cdot v$, where the RHS is the ring action of $\mathbb{F}G$ on V. The module axioms then guarantee that this is bilinear, so V is a vector space over \mathbb{F} .

This gives us yet another way to think of representations $\rho: G \to GL(V)$. Just like how they are in bijective correspondence with linear group actions, they are also in bijective correspondence with $\mathbb{F}G$ modules.

Proposition 2.3. Representations $\rho: G \to \mathsf{GL}(V)$ for a finite group G are in bijective correspondence with $\mathbb{F}G$ -modules.

Proof. First, let $\rho: G \to \mathsf{GL}(V)$ be a representation of G on a \mathbb{F} -vector space V. We claim that we can endow V with the structure of an $\mathbb{F} G$ -module. Let the module addition be the same as the vector space addition. Then given an arbitrary element of $\mathbb{F} G$, we know that it is of the form a^ig_i for $a^i\in\mathbb{F}$. Then define the action of a^ig_i on a vector v to be

$$a^i g_i \cdot v = a^i \rho_{g_i}(v)$$

This satisfies the module axioms for the ring axioms due to the linearity of every ρ_{g_i} and the fact that ρ is a homomorphism.

Conversely, let V be an $\mathbb{F} G$ -module. We note that V is also an \mathbb{F} vector space as proven above, with the elements $\{1g\}_{g\in G}$ forming a basis. We claim that there exists a unique representation $\rho:G\to \mathsf{GL}(V)$ corresponding to this module. Define the action of g on a vector $v\in V$ by

$$g \cdot v = 1g \cdot v$$

8 JEFFREY JIANG

where the right hand side is the ring action of 1g on v given by the fact that V is a $\mathbb{F}G$ -module. It quickly follows from the module axioms for scalar multiplication that each g acts linearly and that

$$g \cdot h \cdot v = gh \cdot v$$

Having specified a linear group action of G on V, this gives us a representation $\rho: G \to \mathsf{GL}(V)$.

This gives as an alternative way to think of *G*-invariant subspaces.

Proposition 2.4. Let V be a $\mathbb{F}G$ -module. Then the submodules of V are exactly the G-invariant subspaces.

Proof. Let $W \subset V$ be a G-invariant subspace, i.e.

$$\forall g \in G, g \cdot w \in W$$

We then claim that W is also a $\mathbb{F}G$ -submodule. We clearly have that W is closed under addition, since it is a subspace, so all we need to check is that the ring action of any arbitrary ring element $a^ig_i \in \mathbb{F}G$ also fixes W. We have that

$$a^i g_i \cdot w = a^i \rho_{\mathfrak{g}_i}(w)$$

which clearly lies inside of W since W is G-invariant. Therefore, W also forms a $\mathbb{F} G$ -submodule

Conversely, suppose we have a $\mathbb{F} G$ submodule W, and let $\rho:G\to \mathsf{GL}(V)$ be the induced representation. We wish to show that W is a G-invariant subspace. Let $w\in W$. Then for any $g\in G$, we know that $\rho_g(w)=1g\cdot w\in W$, since W is a submodule. Therefore, W is also a G-invariant subspace.

Definition 2.5. Let R be a ring and M a nonzero \mathbb{R} -module

- (1) M is **irreducible/simple** if the only submodules are $\{0\}$ and M
- (2) M is **indecomposable** if M cannot be expressed as $M_1 \oplus M_2$ for any nontrivial submodules M_1 and M_2
- (3) *M* is **completely reducible** if it can be decomposed into a direct sum of irreducible submodules
- (4) A submodule $N \subset M$ is a **constituent** of M if there exists a submodule \tilde{N} such that $M = N \oplus \tilde{N}$