Graph SLAM Formulation

Jeff Irion

1 Problem Formulation

Let a robot's trajectory through its environment be represented by a sequence of N poses: $\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \dots, \mathbf{p}_N$. Each pose lies on a manifold: $\mathbf{p}_i \in \mathcal{M}$. Simple examples of manifolds used in Graph SLAM include 1-D, 2-D, and 3-D space, i.e., \mathbb{R} , \mathbb{R}^2 , and \mathbb{R}^3 . These environments are *rectilinear*, meaning that there is no concept of orientation. By contrast, in SE(2) problem settings a robot's pose consists of its location in \mathbb{R}^2 and its orientation θ . Similarly, in SE(3) a robot's pose consists of its location in \mathbb{R}^3 and its orientation, which can be represented via Euler angles, quaternions, or SO(3) rotation matrices.

As the robot explores its environment, it collects a set of M measurements $\mathcal{Z} = \{\mathbf{z}_j\}$. Examples of such measurements include odometry, GPS, and IMU data. Given a set of poses $\mathbf{p}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{p}_N$, we can compute the estimated measurement $\hat{\mathbf{z}}_j(\mathbf{p}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{p}_N)$. We can then compute the residual $\mathbf{e}_j(\mathbf{z}_j, \hat{\mathbf{z}}_j)$ for measurement j. The formula for the residual depends on the type of measurement. As an example, let \mathbf{z}_1 be an odometry measurement that was collected when the robot traveled from \mathbf{p}_1 to \mathbf{p}_2 . The expected measurement and the residual are computed as

$$egin{aligned} \hat{\mathbf{z}}_1(\mathbf{p}_1,\mathbf{p}_2) &= \mathbf{p}_2 \ominus \mathbf{p}_1 \ \mathbf{e}_1(\mathbf{z}_1,\hat{\mathbf{z}}_1) &= \mathbf{z}_1 \ominus \hat{\mathbf{z}}_1 = \mathbf{z}_1 \ominus (\mathbf{p}_2 \ominus \mathbf{p}_1), \end{aligned}$$

where the \ominus operator indicates inverse pose composition. We model measurement \mathbf{z}_j as having independent Gaussian noise with zero mean and covariance matrix Ω_j^{-1} ; we refer to Ω_j as the *information matrix* for measurement j. That is,

$$p(\mathbf{z}_j \mid \mathbf{p}_1, \dots, \mathbf{p}_N) = \eta_j \exp\left(\left(-\mathbf{e}_j(\mathbf{z}_j, \hat{\mathbf{z}}_j)\right)^\mathsf{T} \Omega_j \mathbf{e}_j(\mathbf{z}_j, \hat{\mathbf{z}}_j)\right), \tag{1}$$

where η_i is the normalization constant.

The objective of Graph SLAM is to find the maximum likelihood set of poses given the measurements $\mathcal{Z} = \{\mathbf{z}_i\}$; in other words, we want to find

$$\underset{\mathbf{p}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{p}_N}{\operatorname{arg\,max}} \ p(\mathbf{p}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{p}_N \mid \mathcal{Z})$$

Using Bayes' rule, we can write this probability as

$$p(\mathbf{p}_1, \dots, \mathbf{p}_N \mid \mathcal{Z}) = \frac{p(\mathcal{Z} \mid \mathbf{p}_1, \dots, \mathbf{p}_N) p(\mathbf{p}_1, \dots, \mathbf{p}_N)}{p(\mathcal{Z})}$$
$$\propto p(\mathcal{Z} \mid \mathbf{p}_1, \dots, \mathbf{p}_N), \tag{2}$$

since $p(\mathcal{Z})$ is a constant (albeit, an unknown constant) and we assume that $p(\mathbf{p}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{p}_N)$ is uniformly

distributed. Therefore, we can use (1) and (2) to simplify the Graph SLAM optimization as follows:

$$\arg \max_{\mathbf{p}_{1},\dots,\mathbf{p}_{N}} p(\mathbf{p}_{1},\dots,\mathbf{p}_{N} \mid \mathcal{Z}) = \arg \max_{\mathbf{p}_{1},\dots,\mathbf{p}_{N}} p(\mathcal{Z} \mid \mathbf{p}_{1},\dots,\mathbf{p}_{N})$$

$$= \arg \max_{\mathbf{p}_{1},\dots,\mathbf{p}_{N}} \prod_{j=1}^{M} p(\mathbf{z}_{j} \mid \mathbf{p}_{1},\dots,\mathbf{p}_{N})$$

$$= \arg \max_{\mathbf{p}_{1},\dots,\mathbf{p}_{N}} \prod_{j=1}^{M} \exp\left(-(\mathbf{e}_{j}(\mathbf{z}_{j},\hat{\mathbf{z}}_{j}))^{\mathsf{T}} \Omega_{j} \mathbf{e}_{j}(\mathbf{z}_{j},\hat{\mathbf{z}}_{j})\right)$$

$$= \arg \min_{\mathbf{p}_{1},\dots,\mathbf{p}_{N}} \sum_{j=1}^{M} (\mathbf{e}_{j}(\mathbf{z}_{j},\hat{\mathbf{z}}_{j}))^{\mathsf{T}} \Omega_{j} \mathbf{e}_{j}(\mathbf{z}_{j},\hat{\mathbf{z}}_{j}).$$

We define

$$\chi^2 := \sum_{j=1}^{M} (\mathbf{e}_j(\mathbf{z}_j, \hat{\mathbf{z}}_j))^\mathsf{T} \Omega_j \mathbf{e}_j(\mathbf{z}_j, \hat{\mathbf{z}}_j),$$

and this is what we seek to minimize.

2 Dimensionality and Pose Representation

Before proceeding further, it is helpful to discuss the dimensionality of the problem. We have:

- A set of N poses $\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \dots, \mathbf{p}_N$, where each pose lies on the manifold \mathcal{M}
 - Each pose \mathbf{p}_i is represented as a vector in (a subset of) \mathbb{R}^d . For example:
 - An SE(2) pose is typically represented as (x, y, θ) , and thus d = 3.
 - An SE(3) pose is typically represented as $(x, y, z, q_x, q_y, q_z, q_w)$, where (x, y, z) is a point in \mathbb{R}^3 and (q_x, q_y, q_z, q_w) is a quaternion, and so d = 7.
 - We also need to be able to represent each pose compactly as a vector in (a subset of) \mathbb{R}^c .
 - Since an SE(2) pose has three degrees of freedom, the (x, y, θ) representation is again sufficient and c = 3.
 - An SE(3) pose only has six degrees of freedom, and we can represent it compactly as (x, y, z, q_x, q_y, q_z) , and thus c = 6.
 - We use the \boxplus operator to indicate pose composition when one or both of the poses are represented compactly. The output can be a pose in \mathcal{M} or a vector in \mathbb{R}^c , as required by context.
- A set of M measurements $\mathcal{Z} = \{\mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2, \dots, \mathbf{z}_M\}$
 - Each measurement's dimensionality can be unique, and we will use to denote a "wildcard" variable.
 - Measurement $\mathbf{z}_j \in \mathbb{R}^{\bullet}$ has an associated information matrix $\Omega_j \in \mathbb{R}^{\bullet \times \bullet}$ and residual function $\mathbf{e}_j(\mathbf{z}_j, \hat{\mathbf{z}}_j) = \mathbf{e}_j(\mathbf{z}_j, \mathbf{p}_1, \dots, \mathbf{p}_N) \in \mathbb{R}^{\bullet}$.
 - A measurement could, in theory, constrain anywhere from 1 pose to all N poses. In practice, each measurement usually constrains only 1 or 2 poses.

3 Graph SLAM Algorithm

The "Graph" in Graph SLAM refers to the fact that we view the problem as a graph. The graph has a set \mathcal{V} of N vertices, where each vertex v_i has an associated pose \mathbf{p}_i . Similarly, the graph has a set \mathcal{E} of M edges, where each edge e_j has an associated measurement \mathbf{z}_j . In practice, the edges in this graph are either unary (i.e., a loop) or binary. (Note: e_j refers to the edge in the graph associated with measurement \mathbf{z}_j , whereas \mathbf{e}_j refers to the residual function associated with \mathbf{z}_j .)

We want to optimize

$$\chi^2 = \sum_{e_j \in \mathcal{E}} \mathbf{e}_j^\mathsf{T} \Omega_j \mathbf{e}_j.$$

Let $\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^c$ be the compact representation of pose $\mathbf{p}_i \in \mathcal{M}$, and let

$$\mathbf{x} := egin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_1 \ \mathbf{x}_2 \ dots \ \mathbf{x}_N \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{cN}$$

We will solve this optimization problem iteratively. Let

$$\mathbf{x}^{k+1} := \mathbf{x}^k \boxplus \Delta \mathbf{x}^k = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_1 \boxplus \Delta \mathbf{x}_1 \\ \mathbf{x}_2 \boxplus \Delta \mathbf{x}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{x}_N \boxplus \Delta \mathbf{x}_2 \end{bmatrix}$$
(3)

The χ^2 error at iteration k+1 is

$$\chi_{k+1}^2 = \sum_{e_j \in \mathcal{E}} \underbrace{\left[\mathbf{e}_j(\mathbf{x}^{k+1}) \right]^\mathsf{T}}_{\mathbf{1} \times \mathbf{0}} \underbrace{\Omega_j}_{\mathbf{0} \times \mathbf{1}} \underbrace{\mathbf{e}_j(\mathbf{x}^{k+1})}_{\mathbf{0} \times \mathbf{1}}. \tag{4}$$

We will linearize the residuals as:

$$\mathbf{e}_{j}(\mathbf{x}^{k+1}) = \mathbf{e}_{j}(\mathbf{x}^{k} \boxplus \Delta \mathbf{x}^{k})$$

$$\approx \mathbf{e}_{j}(\mathbf{x}^{k}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \Delta \mathbf{x}^{k}} \left[\mathbf{e}_{j}(\mathbf{x}^{k} \boxplus \Delta \mathbf{x}^{k}) \right] \Delta \mathbf{x}^{k}$$

$$= \mathbf{e}_{j}(\mathbf{x}^{k}) + \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_{j}(\mathbf{x}^{k} \boxplus \Delta \mathbf{x}^{k})}{\partial (\mathbf{x}^{k} \boxplus \Delta \mathbf{x}^{k})} \Big|_{\Delta \mathbf{x}^{k} = \mathbf{0}} \right) \frac{\partial (\mathbf{x}^{k} \boxplus \Delta \mathbf{x}^{k})}{\partial \Delta \mathbf{x}^{k}} \Delta \mathbf{x}^{k}.$$
(5)

Plugging (5) into (4), we get:

$$\begin{split} \chi_{k+1}^2 \approx & \sum_{e_j \in \mathcal{E}} \underbrace{\underbrace{\left[\mathbf{e}_j(\mathbf{x}^k)\right]^\mathsf{T}}_{1 \times \bullet} \underbrace{\Omega_j}_{\bullet \times \bullet} \underbrace{\mathbf{e}_j(\mathbf{x}^k \boxplus \Delta \mathbf{x}^k)}_{\bullet \times \bullet}\right|_{\Delta \mathbf{x}^k = \mathbf{0}}}_{\bullet \times dN} \underbrace{\frac{\partial (\mathbf{x}^k \boxplus \Delta \mathbf{x}^k)}{\partial \Delta \mathbf{x}^k}}_{\bullet \times dN} \underbrace{\frac{\partial \mathbf{x}^k \boxplus \Delta \mathbf{x}^k)}{\partial \Delta \mathbf{x}^k}}_{\bullet \times dN} \underbrace{\frac{\partial \mathbf{x}^k}{\partial \Delta \mathbf{x}^k}}_{\bullet N \times 1} \underbrace{\frac{\partial \mathbf{x}^k}{\partial \Delta \mathbf{x}^k}}_{\bullet N \times 1} \underbrace{\frac{\partial \mathbf{x}^k \boxplus \Delta \mathbf{x}^k)}{\partial \Delta \mathbf{x}^k}}_{\bullet \times dN} \underbrace{\frac{\partial \mathbf{x}^k \boxplus \Delta \mathbf{x}^k)}{\partial \Delta \mathbf{x}^k}}_{\bullet \times dN} \underbrace{\frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_j(\mathbf{x}^k \boxplus \Delta \mathbf{x}^k)}{\partial \Delta \mathbf{x}^k}}_{\bullet \times dN} \underbrace{\frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_j(\mathbf{x}^k \boxplus \Delta \mathbf{x}^k)}{\partial \Delta \mathbf{x}^k}}_{\bullet \times dN} \underbrace{\frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_j(\mathbf{x}^k \boxplus \Delta \mathbf{x}^k)}{\partial \Delta \mathbf{x}^k}}_{\bullet \times dN} \underbrace{\frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_j(\mathbf{x}^k \boxplus \Delta \mathbf{x}^k)}{\partial \Delta \mathbf{x}^k}}_{\bullet \times dN} \underbrace{\frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_j(\mathbf{x}^k \boxplus \Delta \mathbf{x}^k)}{\partial \Delta \mathbf{x}^k}}_{\bullet \times dN} \underbrace{\frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_j(\mathbf{x}^k \boxplus \Delta \mathbf{x}^k)}{\partial \Delta \mathbf{x}^k}}_{\bullet \times dN} \underbrace{\frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_j(\mathbf{x}^k \boxplus \Delta \mathbf{x}^k)}{\partial \Delta \mathbf{x}^k}}_{\bullet \times dN} \underbrace{\frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_j(\mathbf{x}^k \boxplus \Delta \mathbf{x}^k)}{\partial \Delta \mathbf{x}^k}}_{\bullet \times dN} \underbrace{\frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_j(\mathbf{x}^k \boxplus \Delta \mathbf{x}^k)}{\partial \Delta \mathbf{x}^k}}_{\bullet \times dN} \underbrace{\frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_j(\mathbf{x}^k \boxplus \Delta \mathbf{x}^k)}{\partial \Delta \mathbf{x}^k}}_{\bullet \times dN} \underbrace{\frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_j(\mathbf{x}^k \boxplus \Delta \mathbf{x}^k)}{\partial \Delta \mathbf{x}^k}}_{\bullet \times dN} \underbrace{\frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_j(\mathbf{x}^k \boxplus \Delta \mathbf{x}^k)}{\partial \Delta \mathbf{x}^k}}_{\bullet \times dN} \underbrace{\frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_j(\mathbf{x}^k \boxplus \Delta \mathbf{x}^k)}{\partial \Delta \mathbf{x}^k}}_{\bullet \times dN} \underbrace{\frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_j(\mathbf{x}^k \boxplus \Delta \mathbf{x}^k)}{\partial \Delta \mathbf{x}^k}}_{\bullet \times dN} \underbrace{\frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_j(\mathbf{x}^k \boxplus \Delta \mathbf{x}^k)}{\partial \Delta \mathbf{x}^k}}_{\bullet \times dN} \underbrace{\frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_j(\mathbf{x}^k \boxplus \Delta \mathbf{x}^k)}{\partial \Delta \mathbf{x}^k}}_{\bullet \times dN} \underbrace{\frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_j(\mathbf{x}^k \boxplus \Delta \mathbf{x}^k)}{\partial \Delta \mathbf{x}^k}}_{\bullet \times dN} \underbrace{\frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_j(\mathbf{x}^k \boxplus \Delta \mathbf{x}^k)}{\partial \Delta \mathbf{x}^k}}_{\bullet \times dN} \underbrace{\frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_j(\mathbf{x}^k \boxplus \Delta \mathbf{x}^k)}{\partial \Delta \mathbf{x}^k}}_{\bullet \times dN} \underbrace{\frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_j(\mathbf{x}^k \boxplus \Delta \mathbf{x}^k)}{\partial \Delta \mathbf{x}^k}}_{\bullet \times dN} \underbrace{\frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_j(\mathbf{x}^k \boxplus \Delta \mathbf{x}^k)}{\partial \Delta \mathbf{x}^k}}_{\bullet \times dN} \underbrace{\frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_j(\mathbf{x}^k \boxplus \Delta \mathbf{x}^k)}{\partial \Delta \mathbf{x}^k}}_{\bullet \times dN} \underbrace{\frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_j(\mathbf{x}^k \boxplus \Delta \mathbf{x}^k)}{\partial \Delta \mathbf{x}^k}}_{\bullet \times dN} \underbrace{\frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_j(\mathbf{x}^k \boxplus \Delta \mathbf{x}^k)}{\partial \Delta \mathbf{x}^k}}_{\bullet \times dN} \underbrace{\frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_j(\mathbf{x}^k \boxplus \Delta \mathbf{x}^k)}{\partial \Delta \mathbf{x}^k}}_{\bullet \times dN} \underbrace{\frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_j(\mathbf{x}^k \boxplus \Delta \mathbf{x}^k)}{\partial \Delta \mathbf{x}^k}}_{\bullet \times dN} \underbrace{\frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_j(\mathbf{x}^k \boxplus \Delta \mathbf{x}^k)}{\partial \Delta \mathbf{x}^k}}_{\bullet \times dN} \underbrace{\frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_j(\mathbf{x}^k \boxplus \Delta \mathbf{x}^k)}{\partial \Delta \mathbf{x}^k}}_{\bullet \times dN} \underbrace{\frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_j(\mathbf{x}^k \boxplus \Delta \mathbf{x}^k)}{\partial \Delta \mathbf{x}^k}}_{\bullet \times dN} \underbrace{\frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_j(\mathbf{x}^k \boxplus \Delta \mathbf{x}^k)}$$

where

$$\mathbf{b}^{\mathsf{T}} = \sum_{e_{j} \in \mathcal{E}} \underbrace{\left[\mathbf{e}_{j}(\mathbf{x}^{k})\right]^{\mathsf{T}}}_{1 \times \bullet} \underbrace{\Omega_{j}}_{\bullet \times \bullet} \underbrace{\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_{j}(\mathbf{x}^{k} \boxplus \Delta \mathbf{x}^{k})}{\partial (\mathbf{x}^{k} \boxplus \Delta \mathbf{x}^{k})}\right|_{\Delta \mathbf{x}^{k} = \mathbf{0}}}_{\bullet \times dN} \underbrace{\frac{\partial (\mathbf{x}^{k} \boxplus \Delta \mathbf{x}^{k})}{\partial \Delta \mathbf{x}^{k}}}_{dN \times cN}$$

$$H = \sum_{e_{j} \in \mathcal{E}} \underbrace{\left(\frac{\partial (\mathbf{x}^{k} \boxplus \Delta \mathbf{x}^{k})}{\partial \Delta \mathbf{x}^{k}}\right)^{\mathsf{T}}}_{cN \times dN} \underbrace{\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_{j}(\mathbf{x}^{k} \boxplus \Delta \mathbf{x}^{k})}{\partial (\mathbf{x}^{k} \boxplus \Delta \mathbf{x}^{k})}\right|_{\Delta \mathbf{x}^{k} = \mathbf{0}}\right)^{\mathsf{T}}}_{\bullet \times \bullet} \underbrace{\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_{j}(\mathbf{x}^{k} \boxplus \Delta \mathbf{x}^{k})}{\partial (\mathbf{x}^{k} \boxplus \Delta \mathbf{x}^{k})}\right|_{\Delta \mathbf{x}^{k} = \mathbf{0}}\right)}_{\bullet \times dN} \underbrace{\frac{\partial (\mathbf{x}^{k} \boxplus \Delta \mathbf{x}^{k})}{\partial (\mathbf{x}^{k} \boxplus \Delta \mathbf{x}^{k})}\right|_{\Delta \mathbf{x}^{k} = \mathbf{0}}}_{\bullet \times dN}$$

Using this notation, we obtain the optimal update as

$$\Delta \mathbf{x}^k = -H^{-1}\mathbf{b}.\tag{6}$$

We apply this update to the poses via (3) and repeat until convergence.