GWTEventService

Test Review Document

Application: GWTEventService

Software version: 1.2.0 RC1
Document version: 1.1.0
Last document update: 2011-10-16

Project home: http://gwteventservice.googlecode.com/
Project owner: strawbill UG (haftungsbeschränkt)

Contact: info@strawbill.com



Personal / contact information

The personal data is only used	d for possible callbad	sks and will not be passed to others.
Name or nickname*:		
E-Mail address or forum / group user name*:		(for possible callbacks)
Usage / application informat	tion	
Productive usage of GWTEventService?		version:
The following information is or GWTEventService.	nly required, if you tri	ed to port your application to (the tested version of)
How many <i>Domains</i> are defined?		0 🔾 1 🔾 < 5 🔾 >= 5 🔾 (📗)
How many types of <i>Events</i> are defined?		0 🔾 1 🔾 < 5 🔾 >= 5 🔾 (📗)
How many <i>EventFilters</i> are programmed?		0 🔾 1 🔾 < 5 🔾 >= 5 🔾 (🔃)
Test review		
GWTEventService 1.2 was tes - sample / demo applica - prototype application - productive application	ation O	x) O
GWTEventService 1.2 has get	nerally worked	
New features of GWTEventSe	ervice 1.2	
Multiple / shared sessions Streaming (alternative to long- Automatic reconnect Timeout listening	polling) not tested (not tested (hasn't worked O not required O improvable O good O hasn't worked O not required O improvable O good O hasn't worked O not required O improvable O good O hasn't worked O not required O improvable O good O hasn't worked O not required O improvable O good O
Improvements of GWTEventS	ervice 1.2	
Concurrency optimizations not tested of Multi-threading improvements not tested of Maven build system integration not tested of Platform refactoring not tested of Logging improvements not tested of Notice		hasn't worked O not required O improvable O good O hasn't worked O not required O improvable O good O hasn't worked O not required O improvable O good O hasn't worked O not required O improvable O good O hasn't worked O not required O improvable O good O hasn't worked O not required O improvable O good O hasn't worked O not required O improvable O good O hasn't worked O not required O improvable O good O
Overall impression:	bad O better than t	he previous version
Your opinion / found issues:		

Sven Strohschein 2 / 2