Data Boot Camp Grading Rubric

Module 21 - Deep Learning Homework - Charity Funding Predictor

Instructions:

Evaluate the homework against the outlined criteria in the below rubric, assigning a rating to each criterion. Add points earned across all criteria and convert the total points to a letter grade, assigning a "+" or "-" letter grade designation at your discretion.

A (+/-)	90+	C (+/-)	70-79	F (+/-)	<60
B (+/-)	80-89	D (+/-)	60-69		

Notes:

The deployed assignment utilizes the **Pandas**, **sklearn**, and **TensorFlow** libraries to train deep neural network models on a set of data and utilizes those models to make predictions. The source code should also be deployed to **GitHub** or **GitLab**.

Rubric for Charity Funding Predictor:

	Proficiency	Approaching Proficiency	Developing Proficiency	Emerging	Incomplete
--	-------------	-------------------------	------------------------	----------	------------



Data Boot Camp Grading Rubric Module 21 - Deep Learning Homework - Charity Funding Predictor

Data Preprocessing	28 to 30 pts The deliverable does the following: ✓ The EIN and NAME columns have been dropped. ✓ Columns with more than 10 unique values have been grouped together ✓ The categorical variables have been encoded using get_dummies AND has these: ✓ Code is written to split the preprocessed data into features and target arrays ✓ Code is written to split the preprocessed data into training and testing datasets ✓ Code is written to standardize the numerical values using the StandardScaler	25 to 27 pts The deliverable meets this requirement: ✓ The preprocessed data is split into features and target arrays. AND has these: ✓ Code is written to split the preprocessed data into training and testing datasets ✓ Code is written to standardize the numerical values using the StandardScaler	22 to 24 pts The deliverable meets this requirement: ✓ The preprocessed data is split into training and testing datasets AND has this: ✓ Code is written to standardize the numerical values using the StandardScaler	O to 21 pts The deliverable fulfills meets this requirement: ✓ The numerical values have been standardized using the StandardScaler	No submission was received -OR- Submission was empty or blank -OR-
Compile, Train, and Evaluate the Model	19 to 20 pts The deliverable does the following: ✓ The number of layers, number of neurons per layer, and activation function are defined ✓ An output layer with an activation function is created AND does these: ✓ Code is written to create an output of the structure of the model ✓ Code is written to create an output of the model's loss and accuracy ✓ Code is written to save the	The deliverable meets this requirement: ✓ There is an output of the structure of the model AND does these: ✓ Code is written to create an output of the model's loss and accuracy ✓ Code is written to save the results to an HDF5 file, but there is an error	The deliverable meets this requirement: ✓ There is an output of the model's loss and accuracy AND has this: ✓ Code is written to save the results to an HDF5 file, but there is an error	O to 14 pts The deliverable meets this requirement: ✓ Code is written to save the results to an HDF5 file, but there is an error	Submission contains evidence of academic dishonesty



Data Boot Camp Grading Rubric Module 21 - Deep Learning Homework - Charity Funding Predictor

	results to an HDF5 file, but there is an error			
	18 to 20 pts	15 to 17 pts	13 to 14 pts	0 to 12 pts
Model Optimization	Student produces model that demonstrates predictive accuracy over 75% OR- The student's solution contains working code that attempts to increase model performance at least THREE times using the following steps: Noisy variables are removed from features Additional neurons are added to the hidden layers Additional hidden layers are added The activation function of hidden layers or output layers are changed for optimization -AND- The results are saved to an HDF5 file	The student's solution contains working code that attempts to increase model performance at least TWO times using the following steps: Leaves out noisy variables from features Additional neurons are added to the hidden layers Adds additional hidden layers to the model Changes the activation function of hidden layers or output layers -AND- The results are saved to an HDF5 file	The student's solution contains working code that attempts to increase model performance at least ONE time using the following steps: Leaves out noisy variables from features Additional neurons are added to the hidden layers Adds additional hidden layers to the model Changes the activation function of hidden layers or output layers -AND- The results are saved to an HDF5 file	Student attempts to produce working code that produces the following steps: Leaves out noisy variables from features Additional neurons are added to the hidden layers Adds additional hidden layers to the model Changes the activation function of hidden layers or output layer -AND- The results are saved to an HDF5 file
	5 to 6 pts	4 to 5 pts	3 to 4 pts	0 to 2 pts
Report: Structure, Organization, and Formatting	The written analysis has ALL of the following: ✓ There is a title, and there are multiple sections ✓ Each section has a heading and subheading ✓ The images are formatted and	The written analysis has ALL of the following: ✓ There is a title, and there are multiple sections ✓ Each section has a heading and subheading ✓ The images are formatted and	The written analysis has the following: ✓ There is a title, and there are multiple sections. AND ONE of the following:	The written analysis has the following: ✓ There is a title ✓ There may be a subheading for a section ✓ There are no headings for each section, but there are three sections



Data Boot Camp Grading Rubric Module 21 - Deep Learning Homework - Charity Funding Predictor

	displayed correctly	displayed correctly, with one or two minor errors	✓ Each section may have a heading and subheading ✓ The images are formatted and displayed correctly, with one or two minor errors	
Report: Analysis	22 to 24 pts ✓ The purpose is well defined ✓ ALL SIX questions are answered ✓ The results are summarized, and there is a recommendation on using a different model to solve the classification problem, with a justification	19 to 21 pts ✓ The purpose is well defined ✓ FIVE of the SIX questions are answered ✓ The results are summarized, and there is a recommendation on using a different model to solve the classification problem, but there is no justification	17 to 18 pts ✓ The purpose is well defined ✓ FOUR of the SIX questions are answered ✓ The results are summarized, but there is no recommendation on using a different model to solve the classification problem	O to 16 pts ✓ The purpose is well defined ✓ THREE of the SIX questions are answered ✓ The results are summarized, but there is no recommendation on using a different model to solve the classification problem